

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

THREE QUESTIONS ON DUO RINGS

HANS-HEINRICH BRUNGS

THREE QUESTIONS ON DUO RINGS

H. H. BRUNGS

A ring R (with unit element) is called a duo ring if every one-sided ideal is two-sided. This is equivalent with the existence of elements r' and r'' in R with $rs = sr'$, $sr = r''s$ for elements r, s in R . We will discuss in this note the following three problems: (A) Is the localization at a prime ideal P of a duo ring again a duo ring? (B) Is in a duo ring the P -component of zero equal to the right (left) P -component of zero? (C) Is in a noetherian duo domain the semi group of ideals (under multiplication) commutative?

The answer to all three questions is "no" in general, but "yes" for (A) and (B) in the noetherian case, and "yes" for (C) if R is integrally closed in its division ring of quotients.

1. Let R be a duo ring, P a prime ideal in R (necessarily completely prime). One defines the ideal $N = \{r \in R; s_1rs_2 = 0 \text{ for } s_1, s_2 \in S = R \setminus P\}$ as the P -component of zero. Since the image \bar{S} of $S = R \setminus P$ in $\bar{R} = R/N$ is an Ore-system consisting of nonzero divisors, the ring of quotients $R_p = \bar{R}\bar{S}^{-1} = \{\bar{r}\bar{s}^{-1}; \bar{r} \in \bar{R}, \bar{s} \in \bar{S}\}$ exists and is equal to $\bar{S}^{-1}\bar{R}$. We ask in problem (A) if this ring is again a duo ring. But the ring constructed in [4] provides us with a counter-example. We repeat the construction: Let F be the function field $\mathbf{Q}(t)$ in one variable t over the rational numbers \mathbf{Q} . The field F can be ordered by writing $(q_0t^n + \dots + q_n)(q'_0t^m + \dots + q'_m)^{-1} > 0$ if and only if $q_nq'_m > 0$ for a typical element in F with $q_n \neq 0 \neq q'_m$. Let G be the group of all pairs (a, b) , $a > 0$, a, b in F with $(a_1, b_1)(a_2, b_2) = (a_1a_2, b_1a_2 + b_2)$ as operation. G is an ordered group if we use the lexicographic ordering and the unit element in G is $(1, 0)$. We then form the generalized power series ring $R = \mathbf{Q}\{\{G^+\}\}$ consisting of all series $\sum q_\alpha g_\alpha$ with q_α in \mathbf{Q} and $\{g_\alpha\}$, $g_\alpha \geq (1, 0)$ being a well ordered sequence of elements in G . (For details of this construction see [3]).

For $r = \sum q_\alpha g_\alpha \neq 0$ in R we define $v(r) = g_0$, where $g_0 = \{g_\alpha; g_\alpha \neq 0\}$ and $v(0) = \infty$ with the usual properties of this symbol. Using this notation we set $P = \{r \in R; v(r) > (1, q) \text{ for every } q \text{ in } \mathbf{Q}\}$. This is a prime ideal in R and R itself is a duo ring. But $(t, 0)R_p$ is not a left ideal in R_p , since $(1, -1)(t, 0) = (t, -t) = (t, 0)(1, -t)$ is not contained in $(t, 0)R_p$.

We wrote in the above argument $g = 1g$ in R with 1 the unit element in \mathbf{Q} , g in G .

It is well known that by using generalized power series rings one can construct duo domains whose semigroup of principal ideals $\neq (0)$ is isomorphic to the positive cone of an arbitrary (fully) ordered group.

As a positive answer to a special case of problem (A) we prove the following:

LEMMA 1. R_p is again a duo ring if R_p satisfies the maximum condition for principal right and left ideals.

For a proof let us assume that not every right ideal in R_p is two-sided. Then there must exist an element s in S and an element r in R such that $s^{-1}rR_p \not\subseteq rR_p$. Since an element s' in R exists with $sr = rs'$, we have $s^{-1}rs' = r$ and obtain

$$rR_p \not\subseteq s^{-1}rR_p \not\subseteq s^{-2}rR_p \not\subseteq \dots \not\subseteq \dots,$$

a contradiction. That every left ideal is a right ideal is proved in the same fashion.

We wrote r, s instead of \bar{r}, \bar{s} for elements in R_p as it is done in the commutative case.

COROLLARY 1. Let R be a noetherian duo ring with a prime ideal P . For r in R , not in N , the P -component of zero, and s in $S = R \setminus P$ there exist elements s', s'' in S with $sr = rs', rs = s''r$.

R noetherian implies R_p noetherian and $s^{-1}rR_p = rR_p$ follows. This means that $s^{-1}r = rat^{-1}$ for elements a in R and t in S . This leads to $rt = rs'a$ for some s' in R and $r(t - s'a) = 0$. But R_p is a local ring, and s' in P implies that $(t - s'a)$ is a unit in R_p and $r = 0$ in R_p follows contrary to our assumption r not in N .

2. Let R be a duo ring with a prime ideal P . We defined the P -component of zero above, and the left P -component of zero is defined as $N_1 = \{r \in R; sr = 0 \text{ for some } s \in S\}$. N_r , the right component of zero, is defined in the corresponding fashion, and we ask in question (B) if $N = N_1 = N_r$ is true, which is the same as if we ask for $N_r = N_1$.

The ring R in 1. can be used to obtain a negative answer to question (B) as well: R contains the two-sided ideal $(t, t)R = I$. We put $T = R/I$ and write P_1 for the image of P in T . It follows that $sr = (1, 1)(t, 0) = (t, t)$ in R , but $\overline{(t, 0)}\bar{s} \neq \bar{0}$ for all s in $R \setminus P$ if we write \bar{a} for the image in T of an element a in R . This means that $\overline{(t, 0)}$ is contained in the left P_1 -component of zero, but not in the right P_1 -component of zero in T .

In any duo ring R a primary ideal Q is defined as an ideal with the property that $ab \in Q$ implies either a or b^n in Q for some n or b or a^m in Q for some m . The radical $\sqrt{A} = \{r \in R; r^n \in A \text{ for some } n\}$ of an ideal A in R is defined as in the commutative case and the radical of a primary ideal Q is a prime ideal P ; Q is then called P -primary or belonging to P . If R is a noetherian duo ring then every ideal can be written as the finite intersection of primary ideals belonging to different prime ideals. This means that the assumption of the following Lemma is certainly satisfied if R is a noetherian duo ring.

LEMMA 2. *Let R be a duo ring in which the zero ideal is the intersection of finitely many primary ideals, P a prime ideal in R . Then $N = N_r = D = \bigcap Q_i$, $Q_i \subset P$, if $\bigcap_{i=1}^n Q_i = (0)$ is the primary decomposition of (0) .*

Proof. Let $D = \bigcap_{i=1}^k Q_i$ and it is clear that $1 \leq k \leq n$. For r in N elements s_1, s_2 in S do exist with $s_1 r s_2 = 0 \in Q_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. This implies r in D and $N \subset D$ follows. For every Q_j , $j = k+1, \dots, n$ there exists an element $s_j \in S \cap Q_j$ and $s = \prod s_j$ is still not contained in P . But sD and Ds are contained in every Q_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $sD = Ds = (0)$ follows. This shows $D \subset N_r$, $D \subset N_l$ and gives the result.

3. The examples listed in [5] all have the property

$$(*) aRbR = bRaR.$$

The duo rings constructed as generalized power series rings show that $(*)$ is certainly not satisfied by all duo rings. But if R is duo and noetherian the method just mentioned leads also to a ring in which the ideal multiplication is commutative. Our next example (generalizing a construction used in commutative ring theory, see for example [2]) will show that nevertheless property $(*)$ is not necessarily satisfied in a noetherian duo domain.

Let F be the splitting field of $p(x) = x^3 - 2$ over the rational numbers \mathbf{Q} . Let $\sqrt[3]{2}$ be the real root of $p(x)$ and $\sqrt[3]{2}\omega$ be one of the complex roots. Then there exists an automorphism σ of F with $\sigma(\sqrt[3]{2}) = \sqrt[3]{2}\omega$ that fixes \mathbf{Q} . We consider the twisted power series ring $K = F[[x, \sigma]]$ in one variable over F with elements $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x^i d_i$ where $d_i \in F$ and $dx = x\sigma(d)$. Let $R = \mathbf{Q} + xK$ be the subring of K consisting of all those elements whose constant term is contained in \mathbf{Q} .

Every element in R can be written as $x^n d_n (1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x^i d'_i)$ and $1 + \sum x^i d'_i$ is a unit in R .

Using this representation of elements in R one can show that R is a duo domain.

To prove that R is noetherian, let, I be any right ideal in R . Let $n = \min\{m, d_m \neq 0 \text{ for } \sum x^i d_i \in I\}$. (We may assume $I \neq (0)$) All coefficients d_n of elements in I for this minimal n form a finite dimensional vectorspace over \mathbb{Q} with basis $d_{n,1}, d_{n,2}, \dots, d_{n,k}$, say. Then there exist elements $r_i, i = 1, \dots, k$, of the form $r_i = x^n d_{n,i} + \dots$ in I and they generate I .

We observe finally that $xRx \sqrt[3]{2}R \neq x \sqrt[3]{2}RxR$

Even though we proved in 1. that every localization at a multiplicatively closed system S of a duo ring R is again a duo ring in case R is noetherian, the above ring R is contained in the ring $D = (\mathbb{R} \cap F) + xK$ which is contained in the quotient ring of R , but is not duo. (\mathbb{R} is the field of real numbers)

The following result will be proved:

THEOREM. *Let R be noetherian integrally closed duo domain. Then $aRbR = bRaR$ for all a, b in R and ideal multiplication is commutative.*

Before proving the theorem we must explain what it meant by R being integrally closed. We use the following definition which is equivalent to the usual one in the commutative case: The duo domain R is integrally closed if and only if $\text{End}_R(M_R) = R$ for every finitely generated ideal $M \neq (0)$ of R .

For a proof of the theorem let $a \neq 0$, not a unit, be an element in R and let P be one of the prime ideals associated with aR . We will show, using essentially the commutative theory, see for example [7], that R_p is a ring satisfying property (*) and that R is the intersection of such rings.

With the help of Corollary 1 one generalizes Theorem 11 on p.214, Vol I in [7] and obtains an element b in R , not in aR , such that $bP \subset aR$. The ideal P is finitely generated and our assumption $\text{End}_R(P, P) = R$ implies that $a^{-1}bP \not\subseteq P$. But then there exist elements p in P and c in R with

$$bp = ac, \text{ where } c \text{ is not contained in } P.$$

The ring R_p exists and $aR_p = acR_p = bpR_p \subset bPR_p \subset aR_p$ follows. This means that bPR_p is equal to aR_p and further $PR_p = pR_p$.

The local ring R_p has therefore a principal maximal ideal pR_p and is a noetherian duo domain. This implies that the intersection $\bigcap (pR_p)^n$ is zero (the Krull intersection theorem holds for noetherian duo domains) and that the powers $p^n R_p$ of pR_p are the only ideals $\neq (0)$ of

R_p . We can conclude further that P is a prime ideal of height 1 in R . Especially property (*) is satisfied in R_p . It remains to show that $R = \bigcap R_p$, where the intersection is taken over all prime ideals with height 1 in R . Let $s^{-1}r$ be an element in this intersection. Then $r \in \bigcap (sR_p \cap R)$ follows. But $sR_p \cap R = Q$ is the P -primary component of sR or R if $s \notin P$. We obtain $r \in \bigcap (sR_p \cap R) = sR$ and $s^{-1}r \in R$, as desired. Finally $aRbR = abR = \bigcap abR_p = \bigcap baR_p = baR = bRaR$. Ideal multiplication in R is commutative, since the product AB, A, B arbitrary ideals, is the sum of the ideals abR , for elements a in A and b in B .

COROLLARY 2. *Every ring between a noetherian integrally closed duo domain and its division ring of quotients is again a duo domain.*

REFERENCES

1. E. H. Feller, *Properties of primary non-commutative rings*; Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **89** (1958), 79-91.
2. R. W. Gilmer, *Multiplicative ideal theory II*; Queens Papers on Pure and Applied Mathematics No. 12. Queens University, Kingston, Ontario 1968.
3. B. H. Neumann, *On ordered division rings*; Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **66** (1949), 202-252.
4. F. Rado, *Non-injective collineations on some sets in Desarguesian projective planes and extensions of non-commutative valuations*; Aequat. Math., **4** (1970), 307-321.
5. T. S. Shores, *Injective modules over duo rings*; Pacific J. Math., **40** (1972), 695-702.
6. G. Thierrin, *On duo rings*; Canad. Math. Bull., **3** (1960), 167-172.
7. O. Zariski and P. Samuel, *Commutative Algebra*, New York Vol. I 1958, Vol. II 1960.

Received January, 1974.

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT DER UNIVERSITÄT GIESSEN
 63 GIESSEN, ARNDTSTR. NR. 2, WEST GERMANY
 AND
 UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT

University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM

Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

* * *

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$ 72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$ 36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION
Printed at Jerusalem Academic Press, POB 2390, Jerusalem, Israel.

Copyright © 1975 Pacific Journal of Mathematics
All Rights Reserved

Zvi Artstein and John Allen Burns, <i>Integration of compact set-valued functions</i>	297
Mark Benard, <i>Characters and Schur indices of the unitary reflection group [321]³</i>	309
Simeon M. Berman, <i>A new characterization of characteristic functions of absolutely continuous distributions</i>	323
Monte Boisen and Philip B. Sheldon, <i>Pre-Prüfer rings</i>	331
Hans-Heinrich Brungs, <i>Three questions on duo rings</i>	345
Iracema M. Bund, <i>Birnbau-Orlicz spaces of functions on groups</i>	351
John D. Elwin and Donald R. Short, <i>Branched immersions between 2-manifolds of higher topological type</i>	361
Eric Friedlander, <i>Extension functions for rank 2, torsion free abelian groups</i>	371
Jon Froemke and Robert Willis Quackenbush, <i>The spectrum of an equational class of groupoids</i>	381
Barry J. Gardner, <i>Radicals of supplementary semilattice sums of associative rings</i>	387
Shmuel Glasner, <i>Relatively invariant measures</i>	393
George Rudolph Gordh, Jr. and Sibe Mardesic, <i>Characterizing local connectedness in inverse limits</i>	411
Siegfried Graf, <i>On the existence of strong liftings in second countable topological spaces</i>	419
Stanley P. Gudder and D. Strawther, <i>Orthogonally additive and orthogonally increasing functions on vector spaces</i>	427
Darald Joe Hartfiel and Carlton James Maxson, <i>A characterization of the maximal monoids and maximal groups in β_X</i>	437
Robert E. Hartwig and S. Brent Morris, <i>The universal flip matrix and the generalized faro-shuffle</i>	445
William Emery Haver, <i>Mappings between ANRs that are fine homotopy equivalences</i>	457
J. Bockett Hunter, <i>Moment sequences in l^p</i>	463
Barbara Jeffcott and William Thomas Spears, <i>Semimodularity in the completion of a poset</i>	467
Jerry Alan Johnson, <i>A note on Banach spaces of Lipschitz functions</i>	475
David W. Jonah and Bertram Manuel Schreiber, <i>Transitive affine transformations on groups</i>	483
Karsten Juul, <i>Some three-point subset properties connected with Menger's characterization of boundaries of plane convex sets</i>	511
Ronald Brian Kirk, <i>The Haar integral via non-standard analysis</i>	517
Justin Thomas Lloyd and William Smiley, <i>On the group of permutations with countable support</i>	529
Erwin Lutwak, <i>Dual mixed volumes</i>	531
Mark Mahowald, <i>The index of a tangent 2-field</i>	539
Keith Miller, <i>Logarithmic convexity results for holomorphic semigroups</i>	549
Paul Milnes, <i>Extension of continuous functions on topological semigroups</i>	553
Kenneth Clayton Pietz, <i>Cauchy transforms and characteristic functions</i>	563
James Ted Rogers Jr., <i>Whitney continua in the hyperspace $C(X)$</i>	569
Jean-Marie G. Rolin, <i>The inverse of a continuous additive functional</i>	585
William Henry Ruckle, <i>Absolutely divergent series and isomorphism of subspaces</i>	605
Rolf Schneider, <i>A measure of convexity for compact sets</i>	617
Alan Henry Schoenfeld, <i>Continuous measure-preserving maps onto Peano spaces</i>	627
V. Merriline Smith, <i>Strongly superficial elements</i>	643
Roger P. Ware, <i>A note on quadratic forms over Pythagorean fields</i>	651
Roger Allen Wiegand and Sylvia Wiegand, <i>Finitely generated modules over Bezout rings</i>	655
Martin Ziegler, <i>A counterexample in the theory of definable automorphisms</i>	665