Pacific Journal of Mathematics

A CHARACTERIZATION OF THE MAXIMAL MONOIDS AND MAXIMAL GROUPS IN β_X

DARALD JOE HARTFIEL AND CARLTON JAMES MAXSON

Vol. 58, No. 2

April 1975

A CHARACTERIZATION OF THE MAXIMAL MONOIDS AND MAXIMAL GROUPS IN β_x

D. J. HARTFIEL AND C. J. MAXSON

This paper gives a characterization of the maximal monoids and maximal groups in β_X , the semigroup of binary relations. The characterization is applied to show that the maximal group containing the partial order α is isomorphic to the group of order automorphisms of (X, α) .

Introduction. In establishing some background research in which the setting of this current paper can be placed, one cites that much work has been done on the semigroup β_X , the binary relations on the set X. In particular, the works of Montague and Plemmons [4], Plemmons and Schein [5], Schwarz [6], as well as Clifford [3] appear dominant in the study of the maximal groups contained in β_X . The basic result of these papers shows that the maximal group of β_X containing the partial order α , is isomorphic to Auto (X, α) , the group of order automorphisms of (X, α) . Thus, as Birkhoff's Theorem provides that every group is isomorphic to a group of automorphisms on some partially ordered set (X, α) , it follows that every group is isomorphic to a maximal group in β_X , for some X.

The work of this paper is concerned with maximal monoids as well as maximal groups in β_x . The work differs from previously published papers in that the concern here is to provide a unified characterization of these two algebraic systems of β_x . The characterization then provides a natural avenue to obtain the isomorphism theorems of Montague and Plemmons [4], Plemmons and Schein [5], and Clifford [3]. Further, by viewing the isomorphism theorems through our characterization, the researcher obtains some measure of intuition as to their validity.

As a convenient method of presentation, the paper is divided into two parts, a section of genral results, followed by a section of applications in which our general results are applied to β_X for X infinite and then X finite.

General results. Let $\alpha \in \beta_X$. We associate with α a Boolean matrix whose (x, y)th entry is 1 if and only if $(x, y) \in \alpha$. This correspondence provides an isomorphism between the semigroup β_X and the semigroup B_X of $X \times X$ Boolean matrices. Thus, without loss of generality, we characterize the maximal monoids and maximal group in B_X .

Let V_x be the collection of $X \times 1$ Boolean vectors. If $A \in B_x$, A^x denotes the *x*th column of *A*. By $z = \sum_{x \in \tau} A^x$ we mean the vector in V_x with the property that the *y*th entry in *z* is 1 if and only if there is an $x \in \tau$ such that A^x has *y*th entry 1. Set $R(A) = \{Ax \mid x \in V_x\}$. By End R(A) we mean all cone endomorphisms from R(A) into R(A), i.e. $\{\psi \mid \psi(\sum_{x \in \tau} a_x z^x) = \sum_{x \in \tau} a_x \psi(z^x)$ where $z^x \in R(A)$ and $a_x \in B$, *B* the Boolean algebra, for all $x \in \tau$, and index set}. Similarly, Aut $R(A) = \{\psi \in \text{End } R(A) \mid \psi$ is one-to-one and onto}.

It should be noted here that $\psi(ax + by) = a\psi(x) + b\psi(y)$ for all $x, y \in R(A)$ and $a, b \in B$ does not guarantee $\psi \in \text{End } R(A)$. For example, let $X = \{1, 2, \dots, n, \dots\}$. Define

 $\psi(x) = x$ if x has only finitely many nonzero entries, and

 $\psi(x) = e = (1, 1, \dots, 1, \dots)^i$ if x has infinitely many nonzero entries. Thus $\psi(ax + by) = a\psi(x) + b\psi(y)$ for all $x, y \in V_X$ and $a, b \in B$. Now let $e_i \in V_X$ be the vector with precisely one 1, which is in the *i*th position. Set $z = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e_{2i}$. Then $\psi(z) = e$, yet $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \psi(e_{2i}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e_{2i} \neq e$, and hence $\psi \notin End R(I)$ where I is the identity matrix.

Finally, if $I \in B_X$ is an idempotent,

$$M(I) = \{A \in B_X \mid AI = IA = A\}$$

and

 $G(I) = \{$ units in $M(I) \}.$

M(I) is the maximal monoid with identity I and of course, G(I) is the maximal group in B_x which contains I.

For further background in this area, the reader is referred to [1].

Our initial results characterize M(I).

LEMMA 1. Set $z = \sum_{x \in \tau} z^x$ where $z^x \in V_x$ for $x \in \tau$. If $A \in B_x$, $Az = \sum_{x \in \tau} Az^x$.

Proof. For $w \in V_x$, let w_y denote the yth entry in w. Now $z_y = 1$ if and only if $z_y^x = 1$ for some $x \in \tau$. By calculation,

$$Az = \sum_{z_y=1} A^y = \sum_{\substack{z_y=1\\x\in\tau}} A^y = \sum_{x\in\tau} Az^x.$$

Hence the lemma follows.

Our characterization of M(I) is contained in the next theorem.

THEOREM 1. End R(I) is isomorphic to M(I) for any idempotent $I \in B_x$.

Proof. For each $\psi \in \text{End } R(I)$, consider the matrix A_{ψ} whose x th column is $\psi(I^x)$. Pick $\sigma \in \text{End } R(I)$. Then $A_{\psi} \cdot A_{\sigma}$ has x th column $\sum_{y} [\sigma(I^x)]_{y} \psi(I^y)$ where $[\sigma(I^x)]_{y}$ is the y th entry of $\sigma(I^x)$. Now

$$\sum_{y} [\sigma(I^{x})]_{y} \psi(I^{y}) = \psi \left[\sum_{y} [\sigma(I^{x})]_{y} I^{y} \right] = \psi [I\sigma(I^{x})]$$
$$= \psi [\sigma(I^{x})] = \psi \circ \sigma(I^{x}).$$

Thus $A_{\psi}A_{\sigma} = A_{\psi\circ\sigma}$.

Now, if 1 is the identity endomorphism, $A_1 = I$ and so $A_{\psi}I = IA_{\psi} = A_{\psi}$ from which it follows that $A_{\psi} \in M(I)$.

Consider the map $\pi(\psi) = A_{\psi}$ of End R(I) into M(I). Of course π is a monomorphism. To show π is onto, pick $A \in M(I)$ and set $\psi(z) = Az$ for each $z \in R(I)$. Then by Lemma 1, $\psi \in$ End R(I). Since AI = A, the xth column of A is $\psi(I^{x})$ and hence $A = A_{\psi}$.

COROLLARY 1. Aut R(I) is isomorphic to G(I) for any idempotent $I \in B_x$.

Proof. The group of units of M(I) is G(I) while the group of units of End R(I) is Aut R(I). Since a monoid isomorphism maps units to units the result follows.

We say that a set $\mathscr{S} = \{S^x \mid S^x \in V_x \text{ for } x \in T, \text{ some index set}\}$ is independent if and only if no $S^x = 0$ and

$$\sum_{x \in N \subseteq T} S^x = S^y \quad \text{implies} \quad y \in N.$$

Further, if $w, z \in V_x$ we say $w \leq z$ if and only if the x th entry of z being 1 implies the x th entry of w is 1. Note that $\sum_{x \in N \subseteq \tau} S^x \geq S^x$ for each $x \in N$ and thus in independence proofs one needs only to check that $S^y = \sum_{x \in N \subseteq \tau} S^x$ implies $S^y \leq S^x$ for some $x \in N$. For $S, T \subseteq V_x$ we say that ψ is an order map from S to T if and only if for $w \leq z$ in $S, \psi(w) \leq \psi(z)$ in T.

With these definitions, our direction of research is now to refine the characterization of M(I) and thereby to provide a link from Aut R(I) to Auto (X, I). The instrument for this link is given in the next theorem.

THEOREM 2. Let $S \in B_x$ and $\mathscr{S} = \{S^x \mid x \in \tau, \tau \text{ some index set}\}$ be independent such that

- (1) $R(S) = \{ w \mid w = \sum_{x \in N \subseteq \tau} S^x \} \cup \{ 0 \}.$
- (2) $\sum_{x \in N \subseteq \tau} S^x \ge S^y$ implies some $S^x \ge S^y$, $x \in N$.

Then if ψ is an order map from \mathcal{G} into R(S) there is a unique $\bar{\psi} \in \operatorname{End} R(S)$ so that $\bar{\psi} = \psi$ on \mathcal{G} .

Proof. Define $\overline{\psi}(S^x) = \psi(S^x)$ for each $x \in \tau$.

$$\bar{\psi}(0) = 0.$$
$$\bar{\psi}\left(\sum_{x \in N \subseteq \tau} S^x\right) = \sum_{x \in N \subseteq \tau} \psi(S^x).$$

To see that $\bar{\psi}$ is well defined, note that if $\sum_{x \in N \subseteq \tau} S^x = \sum_{x \in M \subseteq \tau} S^x$ then for each $y \in M$ there is an $x \in N$ so that $S^x \ge S^y$. Thus $\bar{\psi}(S^x) = \psi(S^x) \ge \psi(S^y) = \bar{\psi}(S^y)$ and hence $\bar{\psi}(\sum_{x \in N} S^x) \ge \bar{\psi}(\sum_{x \in M} S^x)$. Similarly, $\bar{\psi}(\sum_{x \in M} S^x) \ge \bar{\psi}(\sum_{x \in N} S^x)$ and so $\bar{\psi}(\sum_{x \in N} S^x) = \bar{\psi}(\sum_{x \in M} S^x)$.

To see that $\bar{\psi} \in \text{End } R(S)$ pick $z^x \in R(S)$, $x \in N$ where N is some index set. Suppose $z^x = \sum_{y \in N_x} S^y$, N_x an index set. Consider

$$\begin{split} \bar{\psi}\left(\sum_{x\in N} z^{x}\right) &= \bar{\psi}\left(\sum_{\substack{y\in N_{x}\\x\in N}} S^{y}\right) = \sum_{\substack{y\in N_{x}\\x\in N}} \psi(S^{y}) = \sum_{x\in N} \sum_{y\in N_{x}} \psi(S^{y}) \\ &= \sum_{x\in N} \bar{\psi}\left(\sum_{x\in N_{x}} S^{y}\right) = \sum_{x\in N} \bar{\psi}(z^{x}). \end{split}$$

Thus $\bar{\psi} \in \text{End } R(S)$.

.

.

Finally, the uniqueness of $\overline{\psi}$ is clear as any $\sigma \in \text{End } R(S)$ must satisfy $\sigma(\sum_{x \in M \subseteq T} S^x) = \sum_{x \in M \subseteq T} \sigma(S^x)$ and hence is uniquely determined on the independent set.

We now apply the results of this section to illustrate the utility of our characterization and to obtain the isomorphism theorem of Montague, Plemmons, Schein, and Clifford, as a consequence.

Applications. The organization of this section is to separate the applications of the general results to B_x into two cases, i.e. X infinite and X finite.

Case 1. X infinite. Our first lemma shows that a partial order satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2.

LEMMA 2. If I is a partial order, $\mathscr{G} = \{I^x \mid x \in X\}$ is independent with $\sum_{x \in N \subseteq X} I^x \ge I^y$ implying some $I^x \ge I^y$ for $x \in N$.

Proof. Let $I^{y} \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $I^{y} = \sum_{x \in N \subseteq X} I^{x}$. As $(y, y) \in I$, $(y, x) \in I$ for some $x \in N$. Now $(z, y) \in I$ implies $(z, x) \in I$. So $I^{x} \ge I^{y}$ and hence \mathcal{S} is independent.

Now consider

$$\sum_{x \in N \subseteq X} I^x \ge I^y \qquad \text{for some } y.$$

Then as in the above argument, there is some $x \in N$ so that $I^x \ge I^y$. Hence the result follows.

From this lemma, we can argue our first isomorphism theorem.

THEOREM 3. If I is a partial order, Aut R(I) is isomorphic to Auto (\mathcal{G}, \leq) where $\mathcal{G} = \{I^x \mid X \in X\}$.

Proof. By Lemma 2, \mathscr{S} satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 2. Thus, any $\psi \in \operatorname{Auto}(\mathscr{G}, \leq)$ extends to a unique $\overline{\psi} \in \operatorname{Aut} R(I)$. Conversely, any $\overline{\psi} \in \operatorname{Aut} R(I)$, when restricted to \mathscr{G} , determines a $\psi \in \operatorname{Auto}(\mathscr{G}, \leq)$. Thus $\operatorname{Auto}(\mathscr{G}, \leq)$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Aut} R(I)$.

The Plemmons, Schein, Clifford result is an immediate application.

COROLLARY 3. If I is a partial order, G(I) is isomorphic to Auto (X, I).

Proof. From previous results, G(I) is isomorphic to Auto (\mathcal{G}, \leq) , $\mathcal{G} = \{I^x \mid x \in X\}$. Finally, note that $(x, y) \in I$ if and only if $I^x \leq I^y$. Thus Auto (\mathcal{G}, \leq) is isomorphic to Auto (X, I).

To illustrate the utility of our characterization, we provide the following example.

EXAMPLE. Let X = [0, 1] and let I be the natural partial order \geq on X. Clearly, $\{I^x | x \in X\}$ is independent. Further, R(I) = $\{I^x | x \in X\} = \mathcal{S}$ and hence $\{\psi | \psi : \mathcal{S} \to R(I), \psi$ an order map $\} =$ $\{\psi | \psi : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{S}, \psi$ an order map $\}$. Thus, it follows that M(I) is isomorphic to the semigroup of nondecreasing functions $f : X \to X$ so that f(0) = 0. Hence G(I) is the group of continuous strictly increasing functions $f : X \to X$, so that f(0) = 0 and f(1) = 1.

Case 2. X finite. For this case we use the following result of Schwarz [7].

Idempotent Theorem. If I is an idempotent then there is a permutation matrix P so that

$$P'IP = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ A_{21} & A_2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & \\ A_{s-1,1} & A_{s-1,2} & \cdots & A_{s-1} & 0 \\ A_{s,1} & A_{s,2} & \cdots & A_{s,s-1} & A_s \end{bmatrix}$$
where

(1) each A_k is composed entirely of 1's or $A_k = (0)$, the 0-matrix of order one,

(2) each A_{kj} is composed entirely of 1's or entirely of 0's,

(3) if
$$A_{jk} > 0$$
 and a_k a column in $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ A_k \\ \vdots \\ A_{s,k} \end{bmatrix}$, a_j a column in $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ A_j \\ \vdots \\ A_{s,j} \end{bmatrix}$, then $a_k \ge a_j$,

(4) if $A_i = A_j = (0)$, i > j, and $A_{ij} \neq (0)$ then there is a k, i > k > j so that A_k , A_{ik} and A_{kj} are composed entirely of 1's.

Let I be a nonzero finite idempotent. Without loss of generality we assume I has the form given in the Idempotent theorem. As a consequence of this theorem we note that I has a nonzero main diagonal element and, in fact the next theorem shows that this characterizes the independent columns of I.

To show that the situation is different for infinite idempotents consider the set X = [0, 1] and define $I \in B_X$ by $(x, y) \in I$ if and only if x > y. Then I is an idempotent with no set of independent columns that generate R(I) and I has no nonzero diagonal elements.

THEOREM 4. Let I be a finite nonzero idempotent and \mathcal{S} the set of distinct vectors I^x such that $(x, x) \in I$. Then \mathcal{S} is an independent set satisfying the conditions of Theorem 2.

Proof. From the remarks above, $\mathscr{G} \neq \emptyset$. Clearly \mathscr{G} is independent and satisfies Condition 2. Thus, we need only prove $R(I) = \{w \mid w = \sum_{I^x \in N \subseteq \mathscr{G}} I^x\} \cup \{0\}$. Let I^y be nonzero and not in \mathscr{G} . Let $T = \{I^x \mid (x, x) \in I \text{ and } (x, y) \in I\}$. From (4) of the Idempotent Theorem, $T \neq \emptyset$. We show $\sum_T I^x = I^y$. It is seen from (3) of the Idempotent Theorem that $I^y \ge I^x$ for all $I^x \in T$. Thus pick any $(z, y) \in I$. Consider I^z . If $(z, z) \in I$ then $I^z \in T$. If $(z, z) \notin I$, then by (4) of the Idempotent Theorem there is an $I^x, y < x < z$ with $(x, x) \in I$ and $(z, x) \in \sum_T I^x$ and the result follows.

We now give the finite analogue of Theorem 3. Note that in this case, the partial order assumption is not required. Indeed the result holds for any idempotent.

THEOREM 5. If I is a finite nonzero idempotent, Aut R(I) is isomorphic to Auto (\mathcal{G}, \leq) where \mathcal{G} is as given in Theorem 4.

The Montague, Plemmons result follows as a consequence.

COROLLARY 4. If I is a finite partial order, G(I) is isomorphic to Auto (X, I).

In conclusion, we provide an example indicating how our characterization theorem can be applied for the case X is finite.

EXAMPLE. Consider the idempotent

$$I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$R(I) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1\\1\\1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

with

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1\\1\\1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 independent and $\begin{pmatrix} 1\\1\\1 \end{pmatrix} \ge \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Hence, using Theorem 2,

$$M(I) = \left(I, [0], \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right\}$$

and

$$G(I) = \{I\}.$$

Other such examples are easily constructed.

REFERENCES

1. J. C. Abbott, Sets Lattices and Boolean Algebras, Allyn and Bacon Inc., Boston, 1969.

2. G. Birkhoff, Sobre los grupos de automorfismos, Rev. Un. Math., Argentina, 11 (1964), 155-157.

3. A. H. Clifford, A proof of the Montague-Plemmons-Schein theorem of maximal subgroups of a semigroup of binary relations, Semigroup Forum, 1 (1970), 272–275.

4. J. S. Montague and R. J. Plemmons, Maximal subgroups of the semigroup of relations, J. of Algebra, 13 (1969), 575-587.

5. R. J. Plemmons and B. M. Schein, Groups of binary relations, Semigroup Forum, 1 (1970), 267-271.

6. Stefan Schwarz, *The semigroup of binary relations on a finite set*, presented to the International Symposium on Semigroups, Bratislava, Czecheslovakia, June, 1968.

7. ——, On idempotent binary relations on a finite set, Czechoslavak Math. J. 20 (1970), 696–702.

Received May 16, 1974.

TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)

University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

R. A. BEAUMONT University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

• • •

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION Printed at Jerusalem Academic Press, POB 2390, Jerusalem, Israel.

> Copyright © 1975 Pacific Journal of Mathematics All Rights Reserved

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 58, No. 2 April, 1975

Zvi Artstein and John Allen Burns, <i>Integration of compact set-valued functions</i>	297
Mark Benard, Characters and Schur indices of the unitary reflection group [321] ³	309
Simeon M. Berman, A new characterization of characteristic functions of absolutely continuous	
distributions	323
Monte Boisen and Philip B. Sheldon, <i>Pre-Prüfer rings</i>	331
Hans-Heinrich Brungs, <i>Three questions on duo rings</i>	345
Iracema M. Bund, Birnbaum-Orlicz spaces of functions on groups	351
John D. Elwin and Donald R. Short, Branched immersions between 2-manifolds of higher	
topological type	361
Eric Friedlander, <i>Extension functions for rank</i> 2, <i>torsion free abelian groups</i>	371
Jon Froemke and Robert Willis Quackenbush, The spectrum of an equational class of	
groupoids	381
Barry J. Gardner, Radicals of supplementary semilattice sums of associative rings	387
Shmuel Glasner, <i>Relatively invariant measures</i>	393
George Rudolph Gordh, Jr. and Sibe Mardesic, <i>Characterizing local connectedness in inverse</i>	411
umus	411
Steglined Grai, On the existence of strong lightings in second countable topological spaces	419
Stanley P. Gudder and D. Strawther, Orthogonally dadnive and orthogonally increasing	407
Junctions on vector spaces	427
Daraid Joe Hartinei and Cariton James Maxson, A characterization of the maximal monoids and	127
Pohert E. Hertwig and S. Pront Morris. The universal fin matrix and the conversional	437
faro shuffle	115
William Emery Haver, Mannings between ANDs that are fine homotopy equipaleness	445
I Bockett Hunter, Mamont sequences in 1P	457
J. Bockett Huller, <i>Moment sequences in l²</i>	405
Baroara Jencou and winnam Thomas Spears, Semimodularity in the completion of a poset	407
Jerry Alan Jonnson, A note on Banach spaces of Lipschitz functions	475
David w. Johan and Bertram Manuel Schreiber, Transitive affine transformations on	192
groups	405
houndaries of plane conversets	511
Populd Brign Kirk. The Haar integral via non-standard analysis	517
Justin Thomas Lloyd and William Smiley. On the aroun of normulation with countable	517
support	520
Fryin Lutwak Dual mixed volumes	521
Erwin Lutwak, Duai mixea volumes	520
Walk Mallowald, The index of a langent 2-field	540
Return Millers, Logardinnic convexity results for notomorphic semigroups	552
Paul Milles, Extension of continuous functions on topological semigroups	555
Kenneth Clayton Pietz, Cauchy transforms and characteristic functions	563
James Ted Rogers Jr., <i>Whitney continua in the hyperspace C</i> (X)	569
Jean-Marie G. Rolin, <i>The inverse of a continuous additive functional</i>	585
William Henry Ruckle, Absolutely divergent series and isomorphism of subspaces	605
Rolf Schneider, A measure of convexity for compact sets	617
Alan Henry Schoenfeld, <i>Continous measure-preserving maps onto Peano spaces</i>	627
V. Merriline Smith, <i>Strongly superficial elements</i>	643
Roger P. Ware, A note on quadratic forms over Pythagorean fields	651
Roger Allen Wiegand and Sylvia Wiegand, <i>Finitely generated modules over Bezout rings</i>	655
Martin Ziegler A counterexample in the theory of definable automorphisms	665