Pacific Journal of Mathematics

A CONDITIONAL ENTROPY FOR THE SPACE OF PSEUDO-MENGER MAPS

Alan Saleski

Vol. 59, No. 2

June 1975

A CONDITIONAL ENTROPY FOR THE SPACE OF PSEUDO-MENGER MAPS

Alan Saleski

Let X be a set and $T: X \to X$ be a bijection. Consider the space \mathscr{M} of pseudo-Menger maps on X which induce a compact topology on X for which T is a homeomorphism. The lattice properties of \mathscr{M} are investigated and a bivariate nonnegative function of \mathscr{M} is defined which possesses certain properties analogous to those of the usual conditional entropy function defined on the space of measurable partitions of a probability space.

1. Introduction. A pseudo-Menger map on a set X is, roughly speaking, an assignment of a distribution function to every pair of points in X in a manner consistent with the axioms of a pseudometric space. Each such map induces a topology on X as defined by Schweizer and Sklar [9]. Let T be a bijection of X onto itself. Let \mathcal{M} denote the space of all pseudo-Menger maps which induce a compact topology on X for which T is a homeomorphism. If $\theta \in \mathcal{M}$ let $h(T, \theta)$ denote the topological entropy of T with respect to the topology on X induced by θ . In [7] it was shown that $h(T, \cdot)$ is leftcontinuous in the sense that if θ , $\theta_n \in \mathcal{M}$, $\theta_n \geq \theta$ and $\theta_n(p, q) \rightarrow \theta(p, q)$ in distribution for all $p, q \in X$ then $h(T, \theta_n) \rightarrow h(T, \theta)$. In an effort to extend this result one is led to ask the question whether \mathcal{M} is closed under the operations of Max and Min and, if so, what can one say about the entropy of T acting on the topology engendered by the maps obtained as a result of such operations. We now proceed to provide precise definitions and notation.

2. Preliminaries. Let I denote the closed unit interval, R the real numbers and Z^+ the positive integers. Let \mathscr{D} be the set of all left-continuous monotone increasing functions $F: \mathbb{R} \to I$ satisfying F(0) = 0 and $\sup_x F(x) = 1$. Endowed with the Lévy metric \mathscr{D} is a complete metric space. If $F_n, F \in \mathscr{D}$ then $F_n \xrightarrow{\mathscr{D}} F$ will denote convergence with respect to the Lévy topology. It is well-known that $F_n \xrightarrow{\mathscr{D}} F$ if and only if $F_n(x) \to F(x)$ for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$ at which F is continuous. If $F, G \in \mathscr{D}$ then $F \geqq G$ will mean $F(x) \ge G(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $H \in \mathscr{D}$ be the function defined by: H(t) = 0 for $t \le 0$ and H(t) = 1 for t > 0.

Let X be a fixed set. Let $\mathscr{F}(X)$ denote the collection of all functions $\theta: X \times X \to \mathscr{D}$. For convenience we shall often write θ_{pq} in place of $\theta(p, q)$. A statistical pseudo-metric space is an ordered pair (X, θ) where $\theta \in \mathscr{F}$ satisfies:

(SM 2) $\theta_{pq}(a + b) = 1$ whenever $\theta_{pr}(a) = \theta_{rq}(b) = 1$ for some $r \in X$

$$(\text{SM 3}) \qquad \qquad \theta_{pp} = H \quad \text{for all} \quad p \in X \,.$$

If, in addition, θ satisfies:

$$(\text{SM 4}) \qquad \qquad \theta_{pq} = H \quad \text{only if} \quad p = q$$

then (X, θ) is a statistical metric space. Let $\mathscr{S}(X)$ denote the collection of all θ for which (X, θ) is a statistical pseudo-metric space.

A triangular norm is a function $\Delta: I \times I \to I$ which is associative, commutative, non-decreasing in each variable and satisfies $\Delta(y, 1) = y$ for each $y \in I$. A continuous Menger space [pseudo-Menger space] is a statistical metric space [statistical pseudo-metric space] (X, θ) for which there exists a continuous triangular norm Δ satisfying:

(SM 5)
$$\theta_{pr}(a+b) \ge \Delta(\theta_{pq}(a), \theta_{qr}(b))$$
 for all $p, q, r \in X$ and $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$.

Let $\mathscr{M}(X)$ denote the set of all θ for which (X, θ) is a continuous pseudo-Menger space. If $\theta_n, \theta \in \mathscr{M}(X)$ and $\theta_n(p,q) \xrightarrow{\mathscr{D}} \theta(p,q)$ for all $p, q \in X$ then we will write $\theta_n \xrightarrow{\mathscr{D}} \theta$. Similarly, if $\theta, \Gamma \in \mathscr{M}(X)$ and $\theta_{pq} \geq \Gamma_{pq}$ for all $p, q \in X$ then we write $\theta \geq \Gamma$. Let $\Xi \in \mathscr{M}(X)$ be defined by $\Xi_{pq} = H$ for all $p, q \in X$.

If $\theta \in \mathscr{F}(X)$ let X be endowed with the topology, denoted $\tau(\theta)$, generated by all sets of the form $N(p, \varepsilon, \lambda, \theta) = \{q \in X: \theta_{pq}(\varepsilon) > 1 - \lambda\}$ where $p \in X$, $\varepsilon > 0$, $\lambda > 0$. Let $T: X \to X$ be a bijection. Let $\mathscr{M}(X, T) = \{\theta \in \mathscr{M}(X): T \text{ is a self-homeomorphism of } (X, \tau(\theta)) \text{ and } \tau(\theta) \text{ is compact}\}.$

If $\theta \in \mathscr{M}(X, T)$ we will let $h(T, \theta)$ denote the topological entropy of T with respect to the $\tau(\theta)$ topology. We will follow the notation and definitions of topological entropy developed in [1]. The only exception is the understanding that if T is a self-homeomorphism of (X, τ) where τ is not a compact topology and $\mathscr{U} \subset \tau$ is a cover of X which possesses a finite subcover then $h(T, \mathscr{U}) = \lim_{k \to \infty} (1/k) H(\bigvee_{j=0}^{k-1} T^j \mathscr{U})$. We let $\mathscr{M}_F(X, T) = \{\theta \in \mathscr{M}(X, T): h(T, \theta) < \infty\}.$

Let ρ be a pseudo-metric on a set Y and let \mathscr{U} be an open cover of (Y, ρ) . Then ρ -diam \mathscr{U} will mean the sup $\{\rho$ -diam $A: A \in \mathscr{U}\}$. Let $\tau(\rho)$ denote the topology on Y determined by ρ . In addition, if $\varepsilon > 0$ and $a \in Y$ then let $B(Y, a, \rho, \varepsilon) = \{q \in Y: \rho(q, a) < \varepsilon\}$. If D is another pseudo-metric on Y then $\rho \ge D$ will mean $\rho(a, b) \ge D(a, b)$ for all $a, b \in Y$. Finally, if X is a pseudo-metric space then X^* will denote the (unique up to uniform isomorphism) completion for which $X^* \sim X$ is Hausdorff. Such an X^* will be called the *pseudo-metric space completion of* X. 3. Lattice operations. If θ , $\Psi \in \mathcal{M}(X, T)$ define $\theta \vee \Psi =$ Min (θ, Ψ) and $\theta \wedge \Psi =$ Max (θ, Ψ) . It is easy to construct examples in which $\theta \wedge \Psi$ fails even to belong to $\mathscr{S}(X)$. However, we will show that $\theta \vee \Psi$ admits a canonical extension to a map belonging to $\mathscr{M}(X^*, T^*)$ where X^* is the completion of $(X, \tau(\theta \vee \Psi))$ and T^* is the extension of T to X^* .

PROPOSITION 1. If $\theta, \Psi \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ then $\theta \lor \Psi \in \mathcal{M}(X)$.

Proof. Let Δ_1 and Δ_2 be continuous triangular norms for θ and Ψ respectively which satisfy:

$$\theta_{pq}(a+b) \geq \Delta_1(\theta_{pr}(a), \theta_{rq}(b))$$

and

 $\Psi_{pq}(a + b) \ge \varDelta_2(\Psi_{pr}(a), \Psi_{rq}(b))$ for all $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$ and all $p, q, r \in X$.

It is easy to check that $\Delta_3 = \text{Min}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2)$ is a continuous triangular norm. Using the monotonicity of Δ_1 and Δ_2 we verify the triangle inequality for $\theta \vee \Psi$ with respect to Δ_3 :

$$(heta \lor \Psi)_{pr}(a+b) = \operatorname{Min} \left(heta_{pr}(a+b), \Psi_{pr}(a+b)
ight) \ \geq \operatorname{Min} \left(extsf{$\Delta_1(heta_{pq}(a), heta_{qr}(b)), extsf{$\Delta_2(\Psi_{pq}(a), \Psi_{qr}(b)))$}
ight) \ \geq \operatorname{Min} \left(extsf{$\Delta_1, extsf{$\Delta_2$}}
ight) (\operatorname{Min} \left(heta_{pq}(a), \Psi_{pq}(a)
ight), \operatorname{Min} \left(heta_{qr}(b), \Psi_{qr}(b)
ight))
ight) \ = extsf{$\Delta_3((heta \lor \Psi)_{pq}(a), (heta \lor \Psi)_{qr}(b))$} \ .$$

LEMMA 1. Assume $\theta, \Psi \in \mathscr{M}(X)$ determine compact topologies $\tau(\theta)$ and $\tau(\Psi)$ respectively. Let d_1 and d_2 be pseudo-metrics on X which generate the topologies $\tau(\theta)$ and $\tau(\Psi)$ respectively. Then the pseudo-metric $D = d_1 + d_2$ determines the topology $\tau(\theta \vee \Psi)$.

Proof. As a consequence of Lemma 4 of [7] and the above proposition we know that $\tau(\theta \lor \Psi) \supset \tau(\theta) \cup \tau(\Psi)$. Thus it suffices to show that $\tau(\theta \lor \Psi)$ is generated by $\{A \cap C : A \in \tau(\theta) \text{ and } C \in \tau(\Psi)\}$. Let $p \in X, \varepsilon > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$ be given. Let $q \in N(p, \varepsilon, \lambda, \theta \lor \Psi)$. For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ choose $A_n = N(q, 1/n, 1/n, \theta)$ and $C_n = N(q, 1/n, 1/n, \Psi)$. Suppose for each n there exists $y_n \in A_n \cap C_n$ such that $y_n \notin N(p, \varepsilon, \lambda, \theta \lor \Psi)$. Then $\theta_{qy_n}(1/n) > 1 - (1/n)$ and $\Psi_{qy_n}(1/n) > 1 - (1/n)$ from which $(\theta \lor \Psi)_{pq_n} \to H$. Since $(\theta \lor \Psi)_{pq}$ is left-continuous and $(\theta \lor \Psi)_{pq}(\varepsilon) > 1 - \lambda$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ for which $(\theta \lor \Psi)_{pq}(\varepsilon - \delta) > 1 - \lambda$. Now $(\theta \lor \Psi)_{py_n}(\varepsilon) \ge \Delta((\theta \lor \Psi)_{pq}(\varepsilon - \delta), (\theta \lor \Psi)_{qy_n}(\delta)) \to (\theta \lor \Psi)_{pq}(\varepsilon - \delta) > 1 - \lambda$ from which one draws the contradiction that $y_n \in N(p, \varepsilon, \lambda, \theta \lor \Psi)$ for large n.

PROPOSITION 2. Let $\theta, \Psi \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ and suppose $\tau(\theta)$ and $\tau(\Psi)$ are each compact. Then $\tau(\theta \lor \Psi)$ is totally bounded.

Proof. Let d_1, d_2 be pseudo-metrics on X which determine $\tau(\theta)$ and $\tau(\Psi)$ respectively. Then $D = d_1 + d_2$ is a pseudo-metric for $\tau(\theta \lor \Psi)$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Let $p_i, q_j \in X, 1 \leq i \leq N, 1 \leq j \leq M$, be chosen such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^{N} B(X, p_i, d_1, \varepsilon/2) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{M} B(X, q_j, d_2, \varepsilon/2) = X$. Then it is easy to verify that, for each i and $j, B(X, p_i, d_1, \varepsilon/2) \cap$ $B(X, q_j, d_2, \varepsilon/2) \subset B(X, z_{ij}, D, \varepsilon)$ for any $z_{ij} \in B(X, p_i, d_1, \varepsilon/2) \cap$ $B(X, q_j, d_2, \varepsilon/2)$ provided this intersection is nonempty.

THEOREM 1. Let $\theta, \Psi \in \mathscr{M}(X, T)$ and let (X^*, τ^*) denote the pseudo-metric space completion of $(X, \tau(\theta \vee \Psi))$. Then:

1. T admits a unique extension to a self-homeomorphism T^* of (X^*, τ^*) .

2. $\theta \lor \Psi$ admits a unique extension to a map $(\theta \lor \Psi)^*: X^* \times X^* \to \mathscr{D}$

3. $(\theta \lor \Psi)^* \in \mathscr{M}(X^*, T^*)$ 4. $\tau^* = \tau((\theta \lor \Psi)^*)$

Proof. Let D^* denote the pseudo-metric on (X^*, τ^*) which extends the pseudo-metric D on $(X, \tau(\theta \lor \Psi))$. Since $\theta \lor \Psi \colon X \times X \to \mathscr{D}$ is a uniformly continuous map [8] it can be extended (Cor. 6.2, Ch. 14 of [3]) to a continuous map $(\theta \lor \Psi)^* \colon X^* \times X^* \to \mathscr{D}$. The work of Sherwood [11, 12] implies that $(\theta \lor \Psi)^* \in \mathscr{M}(X)$ and $\tau((\theta \lor \Psi)^*) = \tau^*$. Since T is uniformly continuous on (X, D) there exists an extension T^* which is a self-homeomorphism of (X^*, τ^*) . Now since τ^* is compact, $(\theta \lor \Psi)^* \in \mathscr{M}(X^*, T^*)$.

4. Entropy. We begin by investigating the relation among $h(T, \theta \lor \Psi)$, $h(T, \theta)$ and $h(T, \Psi)$. Several lemmas are required.

LEMMA 2. Let (X, ρ) be a compact pseudo-metric space and $T: X \to X$ be a homeomorphism. Let $\{\mathscr{U}_n: n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$ be a sequence of open covers of X satisfying ρ -diam $\mathscr{U}_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Then $h(T, \mathscr{U}_n) \to h(T)$.

Proof. Let $\{\mathscr{U}_{n_j}: j \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$ be a subsequence of the $\{\mathscr{U}_n\}$. Using the Lebesgue covering lemma one can select a subsequence $\{m_j\}$ of the $\{n_j\}$ such that $\mathscr{U}_{m_j} \prec \mathscr{U}_{m_{j+1}}$ for $j \ge 1$. Now applying the Corollary on page 314 of [1] the desired result is obtained.

LEMMA 3. Suppose D and d are pseudo-metrics on X satisfying $D \ge d$, $\tau(d)$ is compact and $\tau(D)$ is totally bounded. Assume T is a self-homeomorphism of (X, d) and of (X, D). Let $\{\mathscr{V}_n : n \in Z^+\}$ be a sequence of $\tau(D)$ -open covers of X such that D-diam $\mathscr{V}_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ and each \mathscr{V}_n possesses a finite subcover of X. Then sup $\{h(T, \mathscr{U}) : \mathscr{U} \subset \tau(d)\} \le \overline{\lim_{n \to \infty} h(T, \mathscr{V}_n)}.$

Proof. Let \mathscr{W}_n be a sequence of $\tau(d)$ -open covers of X such that d-diam $\mathscr{W}_n \to 0$. Then for each n > 0 there exists an $m \ge n$ such that $\mathscr{W}_n \prec \mathscr{V}_m$. Thus $\lim_n h(T, \mathscr{W}_n) \le \overline{\lim_n} h(T, \mathscr{V}_n)$. Now sup $\{h(T, \mathscr{U}): \mathscr{U} \subset \tau(d)\} = \lim_n h(T, \mathscr{W}_n)$.

LEMMA 4. Let $\theta, \Psi \in \mathscr{M}(X, T)$ and let D be a pseudo-metric on X for which $\tau(D) = \tau(\theta \lor \Psi)$. For each $\varepsilon > 0$ let $\mathscr{U}_{\varepsilon} = \{B(X, p, D, \varepsilon): p \in X\}$. Then $h(T^*, (\theta \lor \Psi)^*) = \sup_{\varepsilon} h(T, \mathscr{U}_{\varepsilon})$.

Proof. Let $\mathscr{U}_{\epsilon}^{*} = \{B(X^{*}, p, D^{*}, \varepsilon): p \in X\}$. Then $\mathscr{U}_{\epsilon} = \{A \cap X: A \in \mathscr{U}_{\epsilon}^{*}\}$ and $h(T^{*}, (\theta \vee \Psi)^{*}) = \sup_{\epsilon} h(T^{*}, \mathscr{U}_{\epsilon}^{*})$. It is easy to verify that $N(\bigvee_{0}^{\kappa} T^{*i}\mathscr{U}_{\epsilon}^{*}) = N(\bigvee_{0}^{\kappa} T^{i}\mathscr{U}_{\epsilon})$ for all $K \geq 0$. Consequently $h(T^{*}, \mathscr{U}_{\epsilon}^{*}) = h(T, \mathscr{U}_{\epsilon})$ and the lemma is proven.

THEOREM 2. Let $\theta, \Psi \in \mathscr{M}(X, T)$. Then: Max $(h(T, \theta), h(T, \Psi)) \leq h(T^*, (\theta \lor \Psi)^*) \leq h(T, \theta) + h(T, \Psi)$.

Proof. Let d_1 and d_2 be pseudo-metrics on X which generate $\tau(\theta)$ and $\tau(\Psi)$ respectively. Then $D = d_1 + d_2$ is a pseudo-metric for $\tau(\theta \lor \Psi)$. Let ε_n be a sequence of positive numbers such that $\varepsilon_n \to 0$. Let $\mathscr{V}_n = \{B(X, p, D, \varepsilon_n): p \in X\}$ and $\mathscr{V}_n^* = \{B(X^*, p, D^*, \varepsilon_n): p \in X\}$. Applying lemma 3, we have $h(T^*, (\theta \lor \Psi)^*) = \lim_{n \to \infty} h(T^*, \mathscr{V}_n^*) \ge \lim_{n \to \infty} h(T, \mathscr{V}_n) \ge \sup \{h(T, \mathscr{U}): \mathscr{U} \subset \tau(d_1)\} = h(T, \theta)$.

Let $\mathscr{P}_n = \{B(X, p, d_1, 1/n): p \in X\}, \quad \mathscr{Q}_n = \{B(X, p, d_2, 1/n): p \in X\}$ and $\mathscr{R}_n = \{B(X, p, D, 1/n): p \in X\}.$ Since $\mathscr{R}_n \prec \mathscr{P}_{4n} \lor \mathscr{Q}_{4n}$ we have $h(T, \mathscr{R}_n) \leq h(T, \mathscr{P}_{4n} \lor \mathscr{Q}_{4n}) \leq h(T, \mathscr{P}_{4n}) + h(T, \mathscr{Q}_{4n}).$ Lemma 4 yields $h(T^*, (\theta \lor \Psi)^*) = \lim_{n \to \infty} h(T, \mathscr{R}_n) \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} h(T, \mathscr{P}_{4n}) + \lim_{n \to \infty} h(T, \mathscr{Q}_{4n}) = h(T, \theta) + h(T, \Psi).$

EXAMPLE 1. Let $Y = \{0, 1, 2\}$ and $X = Y^z$. Define the shift $T: X \rightarrow X$ by $T(\{y_i\}) = \{y_{i+1}\}$. Let d_1 and d_2 be pseudo-metrics on X given by:

$$d_{i}(\{u_{i}\},\{z_{i}\}) = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} rac{\mid \omega(u_{i}) - \omega(z_{i}) \mid}{2^{\mid i \mid}}$$

where

$$\omega(a)=egin{cases} 0 & ext{if} \quad a=2\ 1 & ext{if} \quad a=0,\,1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$d_2(\{u_i\}, \{z_i\}) = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|\alpha(u_i) - \alpha(z_i)|}{2^{|i|}}$$

where

$$lpha(a)=egin{cases} 0 & ext{if} & a=0\ 1 & ext{if} & a=1,2 \end{cases}$$

for all $\{u_i\}$ and $\{z_i\} \in X$. Define $\theta, \Psi \in \mathscr{M}(X, T)$ by:

$$\theta_{uz}(\varepsilon) = H(\varepsilon - d_1(u, z))$$

and

$$\Psi_{uz}(\varepsilon) = H(\varepsilon - d_2(u, z)) \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon > 0 \text{ and all } u, z \in X$$

Then it follows that $h(T^*, (\theta \lor \Psi)^*) = \ln 3$, Max $(h(T, \theta), h(T, \Psi)) = \ln 2$, and $h(T, \theta) + h(T, \Psi) = \ln 4$.

DEFINITION. If $\theta, \Psi \in \mathscr{M}_{F}(X, T)$ let $h_{T}(\theta \mid \Psi) = h(T^{*}, (\theta \lor \Psi)^{*}) - h(T, \Psi)$

PROPOSITION 3. Assume $\theta, \Psi, \Gamma \in \mathscr{M}_F(X, T)$. Then: (a) $0 \leq h_T(\theta \mid \Psi) \leq h(T, \theta)$ (b) $h_T(\theta \mid \theta) = 0$ (c) $h_T(\theta \lor \Psi \mid \Gamma) = h_T(\theta \mid \Psi \lor \Gamma) + h_T(\Psi \mid \Gamma)$ provided $\theta \lor \Psi$, $\Psi \lor \Gamma \in \mathscr{M}_F(X, T)$ (d) $h_T(\theta \mid \Xi) = h(T, \theta)$

Proof. Statement (a) is a corollary of Theorem 2. Statements (b), (c) and (d) follow quickly from the definitions.

PROPOSITION 4. Let θ , $\Gamma \in \mathscr{M}_F(X, T)$. Suppose $\theta \lor \Gamma \in \mathscr{M}_F(X, T)$ and that (X, Γ) is a Menger space. Then $h_T(\theta \mid \Gamma) = 0$.

Proof. This follows from the fact that any two compact metrizable topologies on X, each of which renders T a homeomorphism, yield the same topological entropy for T.

PROPOSITION 5. Let $\theta, \Psi \in \mathscr{M}_F(X, T)$. Assume that $\theta \lor \Psi \in \mathscr{M}_F(X, T)$ and that $\theta_{pq} = H$ if and only if $\Psi_{pq} = H$. Then $h_r(\theta|\Psi) = 0$.

Proof. For $x, y \in X$, define $x \sim y$ if and only if $\theta_{xy} = H$. This equivalence relation on X induces a self-homeomorphism \tilde{T} of X/\sim . It is easy to verify that $h(T) = h(\tilde{T})$. One can then apply Proposition 4.

PROPOSITION 6. Let $\theta, \Psi, \Gamma \in \mathscr{M}_F(X, T)$ and suppose $\Psi \leq \Gamma$. Then $h_T(\Psi \mid \theta) \geq h_T(\Gamma \mid \theta)$.

Proof. Lemma 4 of [7] yields $\tau(\Gamma) \subset \tau(\Psi \lor \theta)$. Since $\tau(\theta) \subset$ $\tau(\Psi \lor \theta)$ we have $\tau(\Gamma \lor \theta) \subset \tau(\Psi \lor \theta)$. Let (X_1^*, τ_1^*) and (X_2^*, τ_2^*) denote the completions of $(X, \tau(\theta \vee \Gamma))$ and $(X, \tau(\theta \vee \Psi))$ respectively, and let T_1^* and T_2^* denote the extensions of T to X_1^* and X_2^* respectively. One may assume that $X_1^* \subset X_2^*$ and that T_2^* extends T_1^* . Then the relative topology on X_1^* induced by τ_2^* contains τ_1^* . Let D_1 and D_2 denote pseudo-metrics on X_1^* which generate τ_1^* and $\tau_2^*|_{X_1^*}$ (the topology induced on X_1^* by τ_2^*) respectively. By replacing D_2 with $D_1 + D_2$, if necessary, we may assume that $D_1 \leq D_2$. Let D_2^* denote the extension of D_2 to (X_2^*, τ_2^*) . Let $\mathscr{Y}_n^* = \{B(X_2^*, p, D_2^*, 1/n):$ $p \in X_1^*$ and $\mathscr{V}_n = \{A \cap X_1^* : A \in \mathscr{V}_n^*\}$. Applying Lemma 3 together with the fact that $h(T_1^*, \mathscr{V}_n) = h(T_2^*, \mathscr{V}_n^*)$, we have:

$$egin{aligned} h(T_1^*,\,(heta\,ee\, ec\, \Gamma)^*) & \leq ec \lim_{n o\infty} h(T_1^*,\,\,\mathscr{V}_n) \ & \leq \sup_n h(T_2^*,\,\,\mathscr{V}_n^*) \ & = h(T_2^*,\,(heta\,ee\, ec\, ee)^*) \,, \end{aligned}$$

EXAMPLE 2. Two examples are given to show that, in general, if $\theta, \Psi, \Gamma \in \mathscr{M}_{\mathbb{F}}(X, T)$ and $\Psi \leq \Gamma$ then $h_{\mathbb{T}}(\theta \mid \Psi)$ may be less than or greater than $h_{\tau}(\theta \mid \Gamma)$.

First, note that $\Psi \leq \Xi$ and $h_T(\theta \mid \Xi) = h(T, \theta)$. Thus, if $h(T, \theta) > 0$, then $0 = h_T(\theta \mid \theta) < h_T(\theta \mid \Xi)$.

We now provide an example in which $\Psi \leq \Gamma$ and $h_T(\theta \mid \Psi) >$ $h_{T}(\theta \mid \Gamma)$. Let $Y = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ and $X = Y^{z}$. Define three pseudometrics on X as follows:

$$D(\{y_i\}, \{z_i\}) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} rac{\mid c(y_i) - c(z_i) \mid}{2^{\mid i \mid}}$$

where

$$c(a) = egin{cases} 1 & ext{if} & a = 5 \ 0 & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 $(\{y_i\}, \{z_i\}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} rac{|s(y_i) - s(z_i)|}{|s(y_i)|}$

$$d(\{y_i\}, \{z_i\}) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|s(y_i) - s(z_i)|}{2^{|i|}}$$

where

$$s(a) = egin{cases} 2 & ext{if} & a = 1 & ext{or} & 3 \ 1 & ext{if} & a = 2 & ext{or} & 4 \ 0 & ext{if} & a = 5 \ \end{pmatrix}
ho(\{y_i\}, \{z_i\}) = \sum_{-\infty}^\infty rac{\mid t(y_i) - t(z_i) \mid}{2^{\mid i \mid}}$$

where

 $t(a) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a = 1 & \text{or } 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

for all $\{y_i\}, \{z_i\} \in X$. Define $\theta, \Psi, \Gamma \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ as follows:

$$egin{aligned} & heta_{pq}(arepsilon) &= H(arepsilon -
ho(p,q)) \ & \Gamma_{pq}(arepsilon) &= H(arepsilon - D(p,q)) \ & \Psi_{pq}(arepsilon) &= H(arepsilon - d(p,q)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $p, q \in X$.

Let $T: X \to X$ be the shift given by $T(\{y_i\}) = \{y_{i+1}\}$. Then $\theta, \Gamma, \Psi \in \mathscr{M}(X, T), \Psi \leq \Gamma, h_T(\theta \mid \Psi) = h(T, \theta \lor \Psi) - h(T, \Psi) = \ln 5 - \ln 3 = \ln 5/3$, and $h_T(\theta \mid \Gamma) = h(T, \theta \lor \Gamma) - h(T, \Gamma) = \ln 3 - \ln 2 = \ln 3/2$.

THEOREM 3. Let $\theta_n, \Psi_n, \theta, \Psi \in \mathscr{M}_F(X, T)$. Suppose $\theta_n \geq \theta, \Psi_n \geq \Psi$, $\theta_n \xrightarrow{\mathscr{D}} \theta, \Psi_n \xrightarrow{\mathscr{D}} \Psi$ and $\theta \lor \Psi \in \mathscr{M}(X, T)$. Then $h_T(\theta_n | \Psi_n) \to h_T(\theta | \Psi)$ as $n \to \infty$.

The proof of this theorem is based upon the following lemma.

LEMMA 5. Assume $\Sigma \in \mathscr{M}(X, T)$, $\Omega \in \mathscr{M}(X)$, $\tau(\Omega)$ is totally bounded, $\Omega \geq \Sigma$ and T is a self-homeomorphism of $(X, \tau(\Omega))$. Then $\Omega \in \mathscr{M}(X, T)$.

Proof. We must show $\tau(\Omega)$ is compact. Let X^* be the completion of $(X, \tau(\Omega))$ and T^* be the extension of T to X^* . So $\Omega^* \in \mathcal{M}(X^*, T^*)$. Since X^* is compact, Lemma 3 of [7] is applicable. Thus, given $q \in X^*$, $\{N(q, \varepsilon, \lambda, \Omega^*) : \varepsilon, \lambda > 0\}$ is a local basis for $\tau(\Omega^*)$ at q. If $p \in X$ observe that $N(p, \varepsilon, \lambda, \Omega^*) \cap X = N(p, \varepsilon, \lambda, \Omega)$. Hence, for $p \in X$, $\{N(p, \varepsilon, \lambda, \Omega) : \varepsilon, \lambda > 0\}$ is a local basis for $\tau(\Omega)$ at p. Now an argument analogous to that used in lemma 4 of [7] yields $\tau(\Omega) \subset \tau(\Sigma)$.

Proof of Theorem 3. Since $\theta_n \vee \Psi_n \geq \theta \vee \Psi \in \mathscr{M}_F(X, T)$ and $\theta_n \vee \Psi_n \in \mathscr{M}(X)$ the preceding lemma yields $\theta_n \vee \Psi_n \in \mathscr{M}(X, T)$. Now, applying the main theorem of [7], $h(T, \theta_n \vee \Psi_n) \to h(T, \theta \vee \Psi)$ and $h(T, \Psi_n) \to h(T, \Psi)$. The desired result now follows.

We conclude with a brief consideration of a special class of pseudo-Menger maps.

A CONDITIONAL ENTROPY FOR THE SPACE OF PSEUDO-MENGER MAPS 533

DEFINITION. The map $\theta \in \mathcal{M}(X, T)$ is (X, T)-deterministic if $h(T, \theta) = 0$.

PROPOSITION 7. Let $\theta, \Gamma \in \mathcal{M}(X, T)$ and suppose that $\theta \geq \Gamma$. If Γ is (X, T)-deterministic then so is θ .

Proof. This follows at once from Lemma 4 of [7] where it is shown that $\tau(\theta) \subset \tau(\Gamma)$.

The following two propositions are consequences of Theorem 2.

PROPOSITION 8. Let θ , $\Gamma \in \mathcal{M}(X, T)$. Then θ and Γ are (X, T)-deterministic if and only if $\theta \vee \Gamma$ is (X^*, T^*) -deterministic.

PROPOSITION 9. If $\Gamma \in \mathcal{M}(X, T)$ is (X, T)-deterministic and $\theta \in \mathcal{M}_{F}(X, T)$ then $h_{T}(\theta \mid \Gamma) = h(T, \theta)$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. The author is grateful to the referee for uncovering several errors in the original version of this paper.

References

1. R. L. Adler, A. G. Konheim and M. H. McAndrew, *Topological entropy*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **114** (1965), 309-319.

2. P. Billingsley, Ergodic Theory and Information, Wiley and Sons, New York, 1965.

3. J. Dugundji, Topology, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, 1967.

4. M. Loève, Probability Theory, 3rd Ed., Van Nostrand Co., Princeton, N. J., 1963.

5. K. Menger, Statistical metrics, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., 28 (1942), 535-537.

6. W. Parry, Entropy and Generators in Ergodic Theory, Benjamin, New York, 1969.

7. A. Saleski, Entropy of self-homeomorphisms of statistical pseudo-metric spaces, Pacific J. Math., **51** (1974), 537-542.

8. B. Schweizer, On the uniform continuity of the probabilistic distance, Z. Wahr., 5 (1966), 357-360.

9. B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, Statistical metric spaces, Pacific J. Math., 10 (1960), 313-334.

10. B. Schweizer, A. Sklar and E. Thorp, *The metrization of statistical metric spaces*, Pacific J. Math., **10** (1960), 673-675.

11. H. Sherwood, On the completion of probabilistic metric spaces, Z. Wahr., 6 (1966), 62-64.

12. ____, Complete probabilistic metric spaces, Z. Wahr., 20 (1971), 117-128.

Received October 4, 1974 and in revised form April 21, 1975.

UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, California 90024 J. DUGUNDJI Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

E. F. BECKENBACH

R. A. BEAUMONT

University of Washington

Seattle, Washington 98105

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON * * * AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics expects the author's institution to pay page charges, and reserves the right to delay publication for nonpayment of charges in case of financial emergency.

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.),

8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1975 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 59, No. 2 June, 1975

Aharon Atzmon, A moment problem for positive measures on the unit disc	317
Peter W. Bates and Grant Bernard Gustafson, Green's function inequalities for	
two-point boundary value problems	327
Howard Edwin Bell, Infinite subrings of infinite rings and near-rings	345
Grahame Bennett, Victor Wayne Goodman and Charles Michael Newman, <i>Norms of random matrices</i>	359
Beverly L. Brechner, Almost periodic homeomorphisms of E^2 are periodic	367
Beverly L. Brechner and R. Daniel Mauldin, <i>Homeomorphisms of the plane</i>	375
Jia-Arng Chao, <i>Lusin area functions on local fields</i>	383
Frank Rimi DeMeyer, <i>The Brauer group of polynomial rings</i>	391
M. V. Deshpande, <i>Collectively compact sets and the ergodic theory of</i>	571
semi-groups	399
Raymond Frank Dickman and Jack Ray Porter, θ -closed subsets of Hausdorff	
spaces	407
Charles P. Downey, <i>Classification of singular integrals over a local field</i>	417
Daniel Reuven Farkas, <i>Miscellany on Bieberbach group algebras</i>	427
Peter A. Fowler, <i>Infimum and domination principles in vector lattices</i>	437
Barry J. Gardner, Some aspects of T-nilpotence. II: Lifting properties over	
<i>T-nilpotent ideals</i>	445
Gary Fred Gruenhage and Phillip Lee Zenor, <i>Metrization of spaces with countable</i>	
large basis dimension	455
J. L. Hickman, <i>Reducing series of ordinals</i>	461
Hugh M. Hilden, Generators for two groups related to the braid group	475
Tom (Roy Thomas Jr.) Jacob, Some matrix transformations on analytic sequence	
spaces	487
Elyahu Katz, <i>Free products in the category of</i> k_w -groups	493
Tsang Hai Kuo, On conjugate Banach spaces with the Radon-Nikodým property	497
Norman Eugene Liden, <i>K</i> -spaces, their antispaces and related mappings	505
Clinton M. Petty, <i>Radon partitions in real linear spaces</i>	515
Alan Saleski, A conditional entropy for the space of pseudo-Menger maps	525
Michael Singer, <i>Elementary solutions of differential equations</i>	535
Eugene Spiegel and Allan Trojan, On semi-simple group algebras. 1	549
Charles Madison Stanton, Bounded analytic functions on a class of open Riemann	
surfaces	557
Sherman K. Stein, <i>Transversals of Latin squares and their generalizations</i>	567
Ivan Ernest Stux, <i>Distribution of squarefree integers in non-linear sequences</i>	577
Lowell G. Sweet, <i>On homogeneous algebras</i>	585
Lowell G. Sweet, <i>On doubly homogeneous algebras</i>	
	595
Florian Vasilescu, <i>The closed range modulus of operators</i>	595 599
Florian Vasilescu, <i>The closed range modulus of operators</i> Arthur Anthony Yanushka, <i>A characterization of the symplectic groups</i> PSp(2m, q)	