

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

THE $\bar{\beta}$ TOPOLOGY FOR W^* -ALGEBRAS

JACKSON NEAL HENRY AND DONALD CURTIS TAYLOR

THE $\bar{\beta}$ TOPOLOGY FOR W^* -ALGEBRAS

J. N. HENRY AND D. C. TAYLOR*

Let A be a W^* -algebra and A_* its unique predual. A new locally convex topology $\bar{\beta}$ is developed for the study of the algebra A . It is shown that if A is a type I W^* -algebra, that is either countably decomposable, commutative, or a factor, then $\bar{\beta}$ is the Mackey topology for the dual pair $\langle A, A_* \rangle$. Consequently, when $A = L^\infty(X, \mu)$, where X is completely regular and μ is a compact regular Borel measure on X , $A_{\bar{\beta}}^* = L^1(X, \mu)$ and $\bar{\beta}$ convergence on uniformly bounded sets is equivalent to convergence in measure.

Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space, βX the Stone-Čech compactification of X , and $C(\beta X)$ the collection of all complex-valued continuous functions on βX . In 1958, R. C. Buck [2] introduced a new locally convex topology for $C(\beta X)$ that gave new insight into the intricate structure of $C(\beta X)$. This locally convex topology for $C(\beta X)$, which Buck called the strict topology, is the topology generated by the seminorms $\{\lambda_f\}_{f \in C_0(X)}$, where $\lambda_f(g) = \|fg\|_\infty$. Here, $C_0(X)$ denotes those functions in $C(\beta X)$ that vanish on $\beta X \setminus X$. Although Buck's approach is very useful in the study of $C(\beta X)$, X locally compact, it does not lend itself to the study of $C(\beta X)$, X completely regular, since $C_0(X)$ may be the $\{0\}$ subspace in this setting. In [18], F. D. Sentilles was able to overcome this possibility by introducing a new topology which, in the locally compact setting, reduces to the strict topology. Sentilles' topology, β , is defined as follows: for each $Q \subseteq \beta X \setminus X$, let β_Q be the strict topology on $C(\beta X)$ determined by $C_0(\beta X \setminus Q)$. Then β is defined as the inductive limit of the topologies β_Q as Q ranges over all compact subsets of $\beta X \setminus X$ [18]. Note that β is determined by the collection of open sets V , $\beta X \supseteq V \supseteq X$, whose Stone-Čech compactification is βX and is therefore not a unique topology, since it depends on the underlying subspace X . Using this topology, substantial progress has been made in the study of $C(\beta X)$, X completely regular, by Sentilles, Wheeler and others (see [8], [18], [24], [25]).

The purpose of this paper is to define and study a noncommutative analogue of the topology introduced by Sentilles. Noncommutative versions of Buck's topology already exist in a Banach module setting [19] and in the C^* -algebra of double centralizers $M(B)$ of the C^* -algebra B [3], [20], [22]. In the double centralizer setting, B is viewed as a closed two-sided ideal in $M(B)$, and the strict topology for $M(B)$ is

generated by the seminorms $\{\lambda_b, \rho_b\}_{b \in B}$, where $\lambda_b(x) = \|bx\|$ and $\rho_b(x) = \|xb\|$ for $x \in M(B)$. This topology has been very useful in the study of the C^* -algebra $M(B)$. In general it would be desirable to use this approach to study C^* -algebras A with identity, that is, develop a locally convex topology for A with the essential properties of the strict topology. It would be natural to try to find a closed two-sided ideal $J \subseteq A$ such that $M(J) = A$, but this in general is difficult to do. Consequently, we find it necessary to place additional restrictions on our C^* -algebra. Namely, we will require A to be a W^* -algebra. Here we view a W^* -algebra as a C^* -algebra which is the dual of a unique Banach space A_* [14]. In a W^* -algebra A , it is known that a closed two-sided ideal $J \subseteq A$ has the property that $M(J) = A$ if and only if J is essential, that is, $J^0 \equiv \{x \in A : xJ = \{0\}\} = \{0\}$ (see [22]). Since it is probable that more than one ideal with this property exists, it seems natural to apply Sentilles' method to our setting. Consequently, we define the $\bar{\beta}$ topology for a W^* -algebra A as follows: for each essential closed two-sided ideal $J \subseteq A$, we define the strict topology β_J for A to be the locally convex topology generated by the seminorms $\{\lambda_a, \rho_a\}_{a \in J}$ as in the double centralizer setting above. We then define the $\bar{\beta}$ topology to be the inductive limit of the β_J topologies [13]. The algebra A under the $\bar{\beta}$ topology will be denoted by $A_{\bar{\beta}}$. If A is topologically simple, then the $\bar{\beta}$ topology is the norm topology, since A is the only ideal $J \subseteq A$ such that $M(J) = A$. Note that our $\bar{\beta}$ topology is space free and unique while Sentilles' topology is generated by a subclass of these ideals and, consequently, in Sentilles' setting our topology is a weaker topology than his β topology. The main question that we consider in this paper is the following: for a countably decomposable W^* -algebra (for example, A_* separable), what are necessary and sufficient conditions for the dual of $A_{\bar{\beta}}$, denoted $A_{\bar{\beta}}^*$, to be A_* ? We show that a sufficient condition is for A to be a type I W^* -algebra and we have evidence to suggest it is a necessary condition as well. When $A_{\bar{\beta}}^*$ is A_* , then $\bar{\beta}$ is the Mackey topology $\tau(A, A_*)$ as studied by Sakai [14], Akemann [1] and others. In the special case when A is $L^\infty(\Omega, \mu)$, $\bar{\beta}$ is the mixed topology of Dazord and Jourlin [4].

In §2 we discuss hyper-Stonean spaces as related to a W^* -algebra and §3 is devoted to the study of essential ideals. The general study of the $\bar{\beta}$ topology is presented in §4 with our main results appearing in §5. The reader is referred to [5], [6], and [14] for definitions and basic concepts of C^* -algebras and W^* -algebras.

2. Hyper-Stonean topological spaces. Let Ω be a compact Hausdorff space and $C(\Omega)$ the space of all complex-valued continuous functions on Ω . The space Ω is called *Stonean* if the

closure of every open set is open, or equivalently, $C(\Omega)$ is a conditionally complete lattice [9, 3N. 6, p. 52]. Now suppose Ω is Stonean. A finite positive regular Borel measure μ on Ω is said to be *normal* if it satisfies the following property: if $\{f_\alpha\}$ is a uniformly bounded increasing directed set of positive functions in $C(\Omega)$, then $\text{l.u.b. } \int_\Omega f_\alpha d\mu = \int_\Omega \text{l.u.b. } f_\alpha d\mu$. A finite complex regular Borel measure is called normal if it is a linear combination of positive normal measures. We denote by $M(\Omega)$ the finite complex regular Borel measures on Ω and by $N(\Omega)$ the closed subspace of normal measures. The Stonean space Ω is said to be *hyper-Stonean* if the union of the supports of the positive normal measures is dense in Ω , or equivalently, $C(\Omega)$ is a W^* -algebra [14, p. 46].

Throughout this section we shall assume that Ω is a hyper-Stonean space. The results in this section are due to Dixmier [7] and we include them here for completeness.

2.1. PROPOSITION. *Let $\{f_\alpha\}$ be an increasing net of continuous functions in $C(\Omega)$ which is bounded above. If f is the lattice supremum and f' the upper envelope ($f'(x) = \sup_\alpha f_\alpha(x)$, $x \in \Omega$), then f and f' differ on a set of first category.*

Proof. For the proof, see [7, p. 154].

2.2. PROPOSITION. *In order that the measure μ in $M(\Omega)$ be normal it is necessary and sufficient that $\mu(\Delta) = 0$ for all nowhere dense Borel subsets Δ of Ω .*

Proof. For a proof, see [7, Proposition 1, p. 157].

2.3. PROPOSITION. *Let μ be a positive normal measure on Ω and f a μ -measurable complex-valued function. Then there exists a continuous function f' on Ω such that $f = f'$ almost everywhere.*

Proof. For a proof, see [7, Proposition 2, p. 157].

2.4. COROLLARY. *If the support of μ is Ω , then $C(\Omega)$ is $*$ -isomorphic to $L^\infty(\Omega, \mu)$.*

We note that by [14, 1.2.6, p. 5] every $*$ -isomorphism of C^* -algebras is an isometry.

2.5. PROPOSITION. *Let μ be a positive normal measure on Ω and Δ a μ -measurable subset of Ω . Then Δ coincides, except on a set of μ -measure zero, with the closure $\bar{\Delta}$, with the interior Δ^i , with the closure of Δ^i , and with the interior of $\bar{\Delta}$.*

Proof. For a proof, see [7, Corollary, p. 158].

2.6. COROLLARY. *The support of μ is both open and closed.*

2.7. COROLLARY. *If the support of μ is Ω and Δ is a μ -measurable set such that $\mu(\Delta) = 0$, then Δ is nowhere dense.*

A measure space (Γ, ν) is said to be *localizable* if there exists a family $\{(\Gamma_\alpha, \nu_\alpha)\}$ of finite measure spaces such that $\Gamma = \bigcup \Gamma_\alpha$, $\nu = \sum \nu_\alpha$, and the family $\{\Gamma_\alpha\}$ is pairwise disjoint. Note that $L^\infty(\Gamma, \nu) = \sum L^\infty(\Gamma_\alpha, \nu_\alpha)$. The measure space (Γ, ν) is called *W^* -localizable* if each Γ_α is a hyper-Stonean space and ν_α is a positive normal measure on Γ_α with support Γ_α .

2.8. PROPOSITION. *Let Z be a commutative W^* -algebra. Then Z is $*$ -isomorphic to some $L^\infty(\Gamma, \nu)$, where (Γ, ν) is a W^* -localizable measure space. Moreover, the Stone-Čech compactification of Γ is the spectrum of Z .*

Proof. Since Z is $*$ -isomorphic to $C(\Omega)$, Ω hyper-Stonean, the result follows from the proof of [7, Theorem 1, p. 169].

3. Essential ideals in W^* -algebras. Let A be a W^* -algebra and J a closed two-sided ideal of A . The ideal J is called essential if $J^0 \equiv \{x \in A : xJ = \{0\}\} = \{0\}$. The essential ideals of A will be denoted by \mathcal{E}_A , or \mathcal{E} if A is understood. We do not assume J is proper.

A double centralizer of the ideal J is an ordered pair (S, T) of functions from J to J such that $xS(y) = T(x)y$ for all x, y in J . In [3] Busby shows S and T are bounded linear maps with $\|S\| = \|T\|$ and the space of all double centralizers of J , denoted by $M(J)$, is a C^* -algebra under the natural algebraic operations and norm $\|(S, T)\| = \|S\|$. There is a natural embedding of A into $M(J)$, namely, the map $x \rightarrow (L_x, R_x)$ where $L_x(y) = xy$ and $R_x(y) = yx$ for all $y \in J$. Our next result connects double centralizer algebras and essential ideals. For basic concepts and definitions of double centralizers, we refer the reader to [3], [20] and [22].

3.1. LEMMA. *Let J be a closed two-sided ideal of the W^* -algebra A . Then the map $x \rightarrow (L_x, R_x)$ is a $*$ -homomorphism of A onto $M(J)$. Moreover, the map is a $*$ -isomorphism if and only if J is essential.*

Proof. Let A_0 be the W^* -subalgebra of A generated by J . It is easy to show that J is essential in A . The conclusion follows from [22, Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.2, p. 478].

3.2. PROPOSITION. *Let A be a W^* -algebra and I, J and K closed two-sided ideals of A . The following statements are true:*

- (1) *If $J \subseteq K$ and $J \in \mathcal{E}$, then $K \in \mathcal{E}$.*
- (2) *If $I, J \in \mathcal{E}$, then $I + J \in \mathcal{E}$.*
- (3) *If $I, J \in \mathcal{E}$, then $I \cap J \in \mathcal{E}$.*

Proof. The proof of (1) is trivial. It is well-known that $I + J$ is a closed two-sided ideal, so (2) follows immediately from (1). It is straightforward to show, by utilizing 3.1, that $\|x\| = \sup\{\|xy\|: y \in I \cap J, \|y\| \leq 1\}$, since I and J are essential. Thus the map of 3.1 is an isometry and (3) follows.

The next result shows that W^* -algebras in general have an ample supply of essential ideals.

3.3. PROPOSITION. *Let A be a W^* -algebra. Then A can be written as follows: $A = \sum_{\alpha \in \pi} \oplus A_\alpha$, where each W^* -algebra A_α is either topologically simple or each maximal two-sided ideal of A_α is essential with respect to A_α .*

Proof. Let F be the family of all sets $\{P_\alpha\}$ of central projections with the following properties: (1) $P_\alpha P_\beta = 0$ for $\alpha \neq \beta$; (2) $P_\alpha A$ is topologically simple. It is easy to see, by using Zorn's lemma, that there is a maximal such family $\{P_\alpha\}$. Let $A_\alpha = P_\alpha A$ and $P = \sum P_\alpha$. It is straightforward to verify that $A = (\sum \oplus A_\alpha) \oplus (1 - P)A$. Now suppose J is a maximal ideal of $(1 - P)A$ that is not essential. It follows that J^0 is a nonzero topologically simple two-sided ideal of $(1 - P)A$ which is closed in the $\sigma(A, A_*)$ topology. Therefore, there is a central projection Q such that $QA = J^0$ [14, 1.10.5, p. 25]. But this contradicts the fact that $\{P_\alpha\}$ was maximal. Hence our proof is complete.

It is well known that a factor contains a smallest nonzero, not necessarily proper, closed two-sided ideal [26, Remark 3, p. 61]. We will use this fact in the following proposition.

3.4. PROPOSITION. *Suppose that the W^* -algebra A is a factor. Then every nonzero closed two-sided ideal of A is essential.*

Proof. Let J be the smallest nonzero closed two-sided ideal of A . By virtue of 3.2, we need only show J is essential. If $J^0 \neq \{0\}$, then

$J \subseteq J^0$. But this is clearly a contradiction. Hence $J^0 = \{0\}$ and our proof is complete.

Let (Ω, μ) be a localizable measure space and A a W^* -algebra with separable predual A^* . We let $L^\infty(\Omega, \mu, A)$ denote the Banach space of all A -valued essentially bounded weakly* μ -locally measurable functions on Ω (see [11, 3.5, p. 72]). In [14, 1.22.13, p. 68], Sakai shows $L^\infty(\Omega, \mu, A)$ is a W^* -algebra under pointwise multiplication and its predual is $L^1(\Omega, \mu, A^*)$, where $L^1(\Omega, \mu, A^*)$ is the Banach space of all A^* -valued Bochner μ -integrable functions on Ω . The next lemma connects W^* -tensor products with the space $L^\infty(\Omega, \mu, A)$. For basic definitions and concepts of tensor products of C^* -algebras, we refer the reader to [14, 1.22, pp. 58–70]. For the definition of the $s(A, A^*)$ and $s^*(A, A^*)$ topologies see, [14, p. 20].

3.5. LEMMA. *Let Z be a commutative W^* -algebra and A a W^* -algebra with separable predual. Then $Z \bar{\otimes} A$ is $*$ -isomorphic to $\sum_{\alpha \in \pi} \bigoplus L^\infty(\Omega_\alpha, \mu_\alpha, A)$, where each Ω_α is hyper-Stonian and μ_α is a positive normal measure with support Ω_α .*

Proof. The proof follows immediately from 2.8 and [14, 1.22.13, p. 68].

3.6. LEMMA. *Let Z be a commutative W^* -algebra and A a factor with A^* separable. If J is a closed two-sided ideal of $Z \bar{\otimes} A$ such that $J \cap (Z \bar{\otimes}_{\alpha_0} A) = \{0\}$, then $J = \{0\}$.*

Proof. By virtue of 3.5 we may assume $Z \bar{\otimes} A = L^\infty(\Omega, \mu, A)$, where Ω is hyper-Stonian and μ is a positive normal measure with support Ω . Moreover, by virtue of 2.4 and [14, 1.22.3, p. 61], we may assume $Z \bar{\otimes}_{\alpha_0} A = C(\Omega, A)$, where $C(\Omega, A)$ is viewed as a subalgebra of $L^\infty(\Omega, \mu, A)$ in the natural way. Note that it follows from 2.4 that the center of $L^\infty(\Omega, \mu, A)$ is $C(\Omega) \cdot 1$, where 1 denotes the identity of A .

First, suppose A is finite. Then, by [14, 2.6.1, p. 98], $L^\infty(\Omega, \mu, A)$ is finite. The conclusion follows directly from Corollary 1 of Proposition 2 in [5, p. 256].

Next, suppose A is semi-finite. By [14, p. 157] there exists an increasing net of projections $\{e_\alpha\}$ which are finite and such that $\sup e_\alpha = 1$. Set $A_\alpha = L^\infty(\Omega, \mu, e_\alpha A e_\alpha)$. Then A_α is a W^* -subalgebra of $L^\infty(\Omega, \mu, A)$. Suppose J is a closed two-sided ideal of $L^\infty(\Omega, \mu, A)$ such that $J \cap C(\Omega, A) = \{0\}$. Then $J \cap C(\Omega, e_\alpha A e_\alpha) = \{0\}$ and therefore $J \cap A_\alpha = \{0\}$, since $e_\alpha A e_\alpha$ is a finite factor and the above applies. Now

let $x \in J^+$ and set $E_\alpha(t) = e_\alpha$ for all $t \in \Omega$. It follows that $E_\alpha x E_\alpha \in J^+ \cap A_\alpha$ and consequently $E_\alpha x E_\alpha = 0$. Since $\{E_\alpha\}$ converges to the identity of $L^\infty(\Omega, \mu, A)$ in the $s(L^\infty(\Omega, \mu, A), L^1(\Omega, \mu, A_*))$ topology [14, 1.13.4, p. 30] and multiplication is jointly $s(L^\infty(\Omega, \mu, A), L^1(\Omega, \mu, A_*))$ continuous on uniformly bounded spheres [14, 1.8.12, p. 21], it follows that $E_\alpha x E_\alpha$ converges to x . Hence $x = 0$. Since x was chosen arbitrarily, $J = \{0\}$.

Finally, suppose A is purely infinite. Since A_* is separable, A is countably decomposable [14, 2.1.9, p. 80]. Moreover, since the support of μ is Ω , it follows from [7, Proposition 7, p. 161] that $C(\Omega)$ is countably decomposable. Hence $L^\infty(\Omega, \mu, A)$ is a countably decomposable type III (purely infinite) W^* -algebra [14, 2.6.6, p. 101]. Now, if J is a closed two-sided ideal of $L^\infty(\Omega, \mu, A)$ such that $J \cap C(\Omega, A) = \{0\}$, then it follows directly from [14, 4.1.5, p. 155] that $J = \{0\}$.

Since A must be either finite, semi-finite or purely infinite, our proof is complete.

3.7. COROLLARY. *Let Ω be a hyper-Stonean space, μ a positive normal measure with support Ω , and A a factor with separable predual A_* . If J is a closed two-sided ideal of $L^\infty(\Omega, \mu, A)$ such that $J \cap C(\Omega, A) = \{0\}$, then $J = \{0\}$.*

3.8. THEOREM. *Let Z be a commutative W^* -algebra and A a factor with separable predual A_* . If J is an essential ideal of $Z \bar{\otimes} A$, then $J \cap (Z \bar{\otimes}_{\alpha_0} A)$ is an essential ideal of $Z \bar{\otimes}_{\alpha_0} A$.*

Proof. Just as in 3.6, we may assume $Z \bar{\otimes} A = L^\infty(\Omega, \mu, A)$, where Ω is hyper-Stonean and μ is a positive normal measure with support Ω . Moreover, we may assume $Z \bar{\otimes}_{\alpha_0} A = C(\Omega, A)$. Now suppose J is an essential ideal of $L^\infty(\Omega, \mu, A)$ such that $J_1 \equiv C(\Omega, A) \cap J$ is not essential in $C(\Omega, A)$.

First, we will show that there exists an open and closed subset G of Ω such that $x(t) = 0$ for each $x \in J_1$ and $t \in G$. Since $J_1^0 \neq \{0\}$, we may choose a nonzero $y \in J_1^0$. Because $t \rightarrow \|y(t)\|$ is a continuous map, it is clear that there is an open and closed set G for which $\|y(t)\| > 0$ for each $t \in G$. Now suppose there is a t_0 in G and an x in J_1 such that $x(t_0) \neq 0$. Then $K_{t_0} = \{x(t_0) : x \in J_1\}$ is a nonzero closed two-sided ideal of A and moreover, by 3.4, K_{t_0} is essential in A . Since $y \in J_1^0$, $y(t_0)x(t_0) = 0$ for each $x \in J_1$. Thus, $y(t_0) = 0$ since K_{t_0} is essential in A . But this is a contradiction because $y(t_0) \neq 0$. So, $x(t) = 0$ for each $x \in J_1$ and $t \in G$.

Due to the fact that J is essential in $L^\infty(\Omega, \mu, A)$, it is straightforward to show that $\chi_G J$ is a nonzero ideal of $L^\infty(\Omega, \mu, A)$. Thus, by 3.7,

$\chi_G J \cap C(\Omega, A) \neq \{0\}$. It follows that there must be an $x \in J_1$ for which $x(t) \neq 0$ for some $t \in G$. But this contradicts the defining properties of G . Consequently, J_1 must be essential in $C(\Omega, A)$ and our proof is complete.

3.9. COROLLARY. *Let Ω, μ , and A be defined as in 3.7. If J is an essential ideal of $L^\infty(\Omega, \mu, A)$, then $J \cap C(\Omega, A)$ is an essential ideal of $C(\Omega, A)$.*

3.10. PROPOSITION. *Let Ω, μ , and A be defined as in 3.7. If K is an essential closed two-sided ideal in A , then $L^\infty(\Omega, \mu, K)$ is an essential closed two-sided ideal of $L^\infty(\Omega, \mu, A)$.*

Proof. Let $J = L^\infty(\Omega, \mu, K)$ and suppose $J^0 \neq \{0\}$. Since J^0 is a closed two-sided ideal, there exists by 3.6 a nonzero x in $J^0 \cap \bar{C}(\Omega, A)$. In particular, we have $xy = 0$ for all $y \in C(\Omega, K)$. Since $C(\Omega, K)$ is essential in $C(\Omega, A)$ it follows that $x = 0$, contradicting that $x \neq 0$. Thus J is essential and our proof is complete.

Let H be a separable Hilbert space, $B(H)$ the bounded linear operators on H , $B_0(H)$ the compact operators, and $T(H)$ the trace class operators. It is well known that the dual of $B_0(H)$ is $T(H)$ [14, 1.19.1, p. 47] and that the predual of $B(H)$ is $T(H)$ [14, 1.15.3, p. 39]. Furthermore, $T(H)$ is separable whenever H is separable [14, 2.1.10, p. 81]. These facts will be used in the following examples.

3.11. EXAMPLE. Let Ω and μ be defined as in 3.7 and H as above. Then $L^\infty(\Omega, \mu, B_0(H))$ is an essential ideal of $L^\infty(\Omega, \mu, B(H))$.

3.12. EXAMPLE. Let J be a closed two-sided ideal of $L^\infty(\Omega, \mu, B(H))$. Then J is essential if and only if there exists a closed nowhere dense, possibly empty, subset E of Ω with the property that for each $x \in J$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there is an open neighborhood V of E such that $\|x|_V\| \leq \epsilon$. We will denote by J_E the essential ideal consisting of all those elements of $L^\infty(\Omega, \mu, B_0(H))$ which satisfy this property. If $B(H)$ is the complex number system, this essential ideal of $L^\infty(\Omega, \mu)$ will be denoted by I_E .

4. The $\bar{\beta}$ topology. In this section, A will always denote a W^* -algebra and A_* its predual. Let J be an essential ideal of A . Recall that the β_J topology for A is the locally convex topology generated by the family of seminorms $\{\lambda_a, \rho_a\}_{a \in J}$, where $\lambda_a(x) = \|ax\|$ and $\rho_a(x) = \|xa\|$ for all $x \in A$, and the $\bar{\beta}$ topology for A is the inductive limit [13, p. 79] of all the β_J topologies. As before, let \mathcal{E}_A , or \mathcal{E} if A is

understood, denote the family of all essential ideals of A . In this section we study the algebra A under the $\bar{\beta}$ topology.

The proofs of 4.1 through 4.5 are by virtue of [20, Corollary 2.7, p. 638], simple adaptations of arguments given by Sentilles. Consequently, we do not include them, but rather refer the reader to [18, pp. 317–318] and [20, pp. 636–638].

4.1. THEOREM. *Let W be a convex, balanced and absorbing subset of A . Then W is a $\bar{\beta}$ neighborhood of zero if and only if, for each $r > 0$ and $J \in \mathcal{E}$, there is a β_J neighborhood of zero V_J such that $V_J \cap \{x: \|x\| \leq r\} \subseteq W$. Consequently, the strongest locally convex topology for A that agrees with the $\bar{\beta}$ topology on uniformly bounded subsets of A is the $\bar{\beta}$ topology.*

4.2. COROLLARY. *The continuity of linear maps on $A_{\bar{\beta}}$ is determined on the uniformly bounded subsets of A .*

4.3. COROLLARY. *Let B be a locally convex space and $T: A \rightarrow B$ a linear or conjugate linear map. Then T is $\bar{\beta}$ continuous if and only if T is β_J continuous for each $J \in \mathcal{E}$.*

4.4. COROLLARY. *The mappings $x \rightarrow ax$, $x \rightarrow xa$ and $x \rightarrow x^*$ are $\bar{\beta}$ continuous for $x, a \in A$.*

4.5. PROPOSITION. *The following statements are true: (1) as subsets of A^* , $A_{\bar{\beta}}^* = \bigcap_{J \in \mathcal{E}} A_{\beta_J}^*$; (2) if, for each $J \in \mathcal{E}$, β_J is the Mackey topology of the dual pair $\langle A, A_{\beta_J}^* \rangle$, then $\bar{\beta}$ is the Mackey topology of the dual pair $\langle A, A_{\bar{\beta}}^* \rangle$.*

Note that A_* is a uniformly closed subspace of A^* .

4.6. THEOREM. *For the dual pair $\langle A, A_* \rangle$, we have $\tau(A, A_*) \leq \bar{\beta}$, where $\tau(A, A_*)$ denotes the Mackey topology of the dual pair $\langle A, A_* \rangle$.*

Proof. By virtue of [14, 1.16.7, p. 41], A can be viewed as a weakly closed self-adjoint subalgebra of $B(H)$, where H is some Hilbert space with the property $H = \{T(h): T \in A, h \in H\}$. Let J be an essential ideal in A . By the Cohen-Hewitt factorization theorem [10, Theorem 2.5, p. 151], $H_0 \equiv \{T(h): T \in J, h \in H\}$ is a closed subspace of H . Furthermore, since J is essential, $H = H_0$. It follows that the β_J topology is stronger than the strong operator topology and therefore stronger than the $s(A, A_*)$ topology on uniformly bounded spheres [14, 1.15.2, p. 35]. Moreover, due to the fact that the map

$x \rightarrow x^*$ is β_J continuous and multiplication is jointly β_J continuous on uniformly bounded spheres, the β_J topology is stronger than the $s^*(A, A^*)$ topology on uniformly bounded spheres. But, Akemann has shown that on uniformly bounded spheres the $\tau(A, A^*)$ and $s^*(A, A^*)$ topologies agree [1, Theorem II.7, p. 292]. The conclusion now follows from 4.1.

4.7. COROLLARY. *The Banach space A^* is equal to $A^*_{\bar{\beta}}$ if and only if $\bar{\beta} = \tau(A, A^*)$.*

4.8. COROLLARY. *A set $V \subseteq A$ is $\bar{\beta}$ bounded if and only if V is uniformly bounded.*

4.9. COROLLARY. *The unit ball of A is closed in the $\bar{\beta}$ topology.*

4.10. PROPOSITION. *The following statements are equivalent*

- (1) $\mathcal{E} = \{A\}$
- (2) $\bar{\beta}$ is normable
- (3) $\bar{\beta}$ is metrizable
- (4) $\bar{\beta}$ is bornological
- (5) $\bar{\beta}$ is barrelled.

Proof. It is clear that (1) implies (2), (2) implies (3), and (3) implies (4). Assume (4) holds, that is, $\bar{\beta}$ is bornological. Then $\bar{\beta}$ is the strongest locally convex topology on A with the same class of bounded sets. Thus, by 4.8 $\bar{\beta}$ is the norm topology and therefore barrelled. Now assume $\bar{\beta}$ is barrelled. It follows that the unit ball B_1 of A is a $\bar{\beta}$ neighborhood of zero. Thus the norm and β_J topologies agree on A for all $J \in \mathcal{E}$. From [8, 3.2.4, p. 78] we have, for $J \in \mathcal{E}$, $A = M(J) = J$ and our proof is complete.

4.11. PROPOSITION. *Suppose $\{A_\alpha\}$ is a family of W^* -algebras such that $A = \sum_{\alpha \in \pi} \bigoplus A_\alpha$. Then $A^*_{\bar{\beta}} = (\sum_{\alpha \in \pi} \bigoplus (A_\alpha^*)^*_{\bar{\beta}})_1$ [14, 1.1.5, p. 2]. Consequently, $A^*_{\bar{\beta}} = A^*$ if and only if $(A_\alpha^*)^*_{\bar{\beta}} = (A_\alpha)^*$ for each $\alpha \in \pi$.*

Proof. Note that essential ideals of A of the form $(\sum_{\alpha \in \pi} \bigoplus J_\alpha)_0$, where J_α is essential in A_α , generate the $\bar{\beta}$ topology for A . By $(\sum_{\alpha \in \pi} \bigoplus J_\alpha)_0$ we mean those $\{x_\alpha\}$ in A such that $x_\alpha \in J_\alpha$ and $\alpha \rightarrow \|x_\alpha\|$ vanishes at infinity. By using this fact together with 4.3 and 4.13, the proof becomes straightforward.

4.12. PROPOSITION. *Let f be a hermitian $\bar{\beta}$ continuous linear functional on A . Then there exists a unique decomposition $f = f_1 - f_2$, where f_1 and f_2 are positive $\bar{\beta}$ continuous linear functionals such that $\|f\| = \|f^+\| + \|f^-\|$.*

Proof. The proof follows directly from [6, 12.3.4, p. 245], [21, Corollary 2.6, p. 164] and 4.3.

4.13. COROLLARY. *The space $A_{\bar{\beta}}^*$ is the linear span of its positive elements.*

For $f \in A^*$ and $x, y \in A$ we define the elements of $A^* \cdot f, f \cdot x$ and $x \cdot f \cdot y$ by $(x \cdot f)(a) = f(ax)$, $(f \cdot x)(a) = f(xa)$ and $(x \cdot f \cdot y)(a) = f(yax)$ for all $a \in A$.

4.14. PROPOSITION. *Suppose J is an essential ideal of A . Then $A_{\bar{\beta}}^*$ is the linear span of all linear functionals in $A_{\bar{\beta}}^*$ of the form $x \cdot g \cdot x$, where $x \in J^+$ and g is a positive $\bar{\beta}$ continuous linear functional on A .*

Proof. Let $f \in A_{\bar{\beta}}^*$. Suppose $\{e_\lambda\}$ is a positive approximate identity for J . Since f is also β_J continuous, it follows from [20, Corollary 2.2, p. 635] that $\lim e_\lambda \cdot f = \lim f \cdot e_\lambda = f$. Due to the fact that $A_{\bar{\beta}}^*$ is both a left and right J -module, we see that $f = a \cdot h \cdot b$ by virtue of [19, Theorem 2.1, p. 142], where $h \in A_{\bar{\beta}}^*$. By a variant of [19, Theorem 2.1, p. 142] there exist elements x, y, z in J such that $x \geq 0$ and $a = xy$ and $b = zx$. Thus $f = x \cdot g \cdot x$, where $g = y \cdot h \cdot z$. The remainder of the proof follows immediately from 4.13.

4.15. PROPOSITION. *Suppose A is a factor and J is the smallest closed two-sided ideal of A . Then $A_{\bar{\beta}}^* = A_{\beta_J}^*$.*

Proof. The proof is trivial.

4.16. PROPOSITION. *Suppose A is a factor. Then $A_{\bar{\beta}}^* = A^*$ if and only if A is of type I.*

Proof. If A is a type I factor, then $A = B(H)$ for some Hilbert space H . For this case $A^* = T(H)$, the trace class operators, and $A_{\bar{\beta}}^* = A_{\beta_J}^*$, where $J = B_0(H)$. But $T(H) = B_0(H)^* = A_{\beta_J}^*$, [20, Corollary 2.3, p. 635]. So, the first part of our proof is complete.

Now suppose $A_{\bar{\beta}}^* = A^*$. By 4.15 and [20, Corollary 2.3, p. 635], $A_{\bar{\beta}}^* = A_{\beta_J}^* = J^* = A^*$. So $A_{\beta_J}^{**} = A$ and by [23, Theorem 5.1, p. 533], A is of type I.

5. The main results. In this section, A will denote a W^* -algebra, A^* its unique predual, \mathcal{E}_A the essential ideals of A , or \mathcal{E} if A is understood, and $A_{\bar{\beta}}^*$ the dual of A under the $\bar{\beta}$ topology. We will now state one of the main results of the section.

THEOREM I. *If A is a countably decomposable type I W^* -algebra, then $A_{\beta}^* = A^*$. Consequently, the β topology is the Mackey topology of the dual pair $\langle A, A^* \rangle$.*

Before we proceed with the proof of Theorem I, we will need the following three lemmas.

5.1. LEMMA. *If A is a countably decomposable type I_n W^* -algebra (n a cardinal number), then $n \leq \aleph_0$. Consequently, A is $*$ -isomorphic to $\sum_{\alpha \in I} \bigoplus L^\infty(\Omega_\alpha, \mu_\alpha, B(H))$, where Ω_α is hyper-Stonean, μ_α is a finite positive normal measure with support Ω_α , and the dimension of the Hilbert space H is n .*

Proof. The proof follows from [14, 2.3.3, p. 89] and 3.5.

In the next two lemmas we will assume Ω is hyper-Stonean, μ is a finite positive normal measure with support Ω , and H is a separable Hilbert space.

5.2. LEMMA. *Let B_1 be the set of all finitely-valued functions x in $C(\Omega, B_0(H))^+$ with $\|x\| \leq 1$. Then $\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} Cl_{\beta_j}(B_1)$ is equal to D_1 , the set of all x in $L^\infty(\Omega, \mu, B_0(H))^+$ with $\|x\| \leq 1$. Here, $Cl_{\beta_j}(B_1)$ denotes the closure of B_1 in the β_j topology.*

Proof. By 4.9, $\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} Cl_{\beta_j}(B_1) \subseteq D_1$. Let $x \in D_1$. By [11, Corollary 1 and Corollary 2, p. 73], there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in D_1 of countably-valued functions such that $\|x_n - x\| \rightarrow 0$. Thus, it suffices to assume that x is countably-valued. Let $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be the values in $B_0(H)^+$ assumed by x . Then set $E_i = \{t: x(t) = T_i\}$. By virtue of 2.2, 2.5 and 2.7, we may assume $x = \sum_{i=1}^\infty T_i \chi_{E_i}$, where $E_i \cap E_j = \emptyset$, $i \neq j$, and E_i is open and closed. Let $E = \overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty E_i} \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty E_i$ and suppose $E \neq \emptyset$. Then E is closed and, by 2.5 and 2.7, nowhere dense. Let J_E be the ideal of $L^\infty(\Omega, \mu, B_0(H))$ defined in 3.12. We shall show that $x_n \rightarrow x$ in the β_{J_E} topology where $x_n = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i \chi_{E_i}$. Given $z \in J_E$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an open set $V_\epsilon \supseteq E$ such that $\|z(t)\| < \epsilon/2\|x\|$ for almost all $t \in V_\epsilon$. Since x is continuous on \tilde{V}_ϵ , the complement of V_ϵ , the functions $t \rightarrow \|x_n(t) - x(t)\|$ form a decreasing sequence of positive continuous functions that converge pointwise to 0 on the compact set \tilde{V}_ϵ . Consequently, by Dini's Theorem there exists an integer N such that $\|x_n(t) - x(t)\| < \epsilon/\|z\|$ for all $t \in \tilde{V}_\epsilon$ and $n \geq N$. It easily follows that $\|zx_n - zx\| < \epsilon$ and $\|x_n z - xz\| < \epsilon$ for $n \geq N$. Thus, $x_n \rightarrow x$ in the β_{J_E} topology. If $E = \emptyset$, then by Dini's theorem $x_n \rightarrow x$ uniformly on Ω . Therefore $x \in \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} Cl_{\beta_j}(B_1)$ and our proof is complete.

In the following lemma let A denote the W^* -algebra $L^\infty(\Omega, \mu, B(H))$.

5.3. LEMMA. *If $F \in A_{\bar{\beta}}^*$ and x is a finitely valued function in $C(\Omega, B_0(H))$, then $x \cdot F \in A_*$.*

Proof. It is straightforward to verify, by utilizing the spectral theorem for compact operators, 4.13, and 2.5, that we can make the following assumptions: (1) $x = \chi_G P$, where G is an open and closed subset of Ω and P is a one-dimensional projection on H ; (2) F is positive. First, we will show that $x \cdot F \cdot x \in A_*$, or equivalently, $x \cdot F \cdot x$ is normal [14, 1.13.2, p. 28]. Let $\{z_\alpha\}$ be an increasing net in A^+ with $z = \sup z_\alpha$. Since $x \cdot F \cdot x(z_\alpha) = F(xz_\alpha x)$ and F is $\bar{\beta}$ continuous, it will suffice to show $xz_\alpha x \rightarrow xzx$ in the $\bar{\beta}$ topology.

Let $E \subseteq \Omega$ be a closed nowhere dense set, I_E the ideal of $L^\infty(\Omega, \mu)$ as defined in 3.12 and J_E the corresponding ideal of $L^\infty(\Omega, \mu, B_0(H))$ (see 3.12). For $y \in J_E$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|y(t)x(t)[z(t) - z_\alpha(t)]x(t)\| \\ & \leq \sup\{\|y(t)x(t)[z(t) - z_\alpha(t)]x(t)h\| : h \in H, \|h\| \leq 1\} \\ & \leq \sup\{|\langle h, h_0 \rangle \langle (z(t) - z_\alpha(t))(h_0), h_0 \rangle| \|y(t)(h_0)\| : h \in H, \|h\| \leq 1\} \\ & \leq |\langle (z(t) - z_\alpha(t))(h_0), h_0 \rangle| \|y(t)\|, \end{aligned}$$

where $P(h_0) = h_0$ and $\|h_0\| = 1$. By [11, Theorem 2.8.5, p. 34] and [11, Theorem 3.5.2, p. 72], the map $t \rightarrow \|y(t)\|$ is measurable and thus equal to, almost everywhere, a continuous function that vanishes on E . Therefore, it suffices to find a closed nowhere dense set E for which $\phi_\alpha(t) \equiv \langle z_\alpha(t)(h_0), h_0 \rangle$ converges to $\phi(t) \equiv \langle z(t)(h_0), h_0 \rangle$ in the β_{I_E} topology, for then $xz_\alpha x$ will converge to xzx in the β_{J_E} topology, and hence, in the $\bar{\beta}$ topology.

Since $xz_\alpha x \rightarrow xzx$ in the $\sigma(A, A_*)$ topology [14, 1.7.4, p. 15] and the predual of $L^\infty(\Omega, \mu, B(H))$ is $L^1(\Omega, \mu, T(H))$, it is easy to show that $\int_\Omega \phi_\alpha(t) d\mu \rightarrow \int_\Omega \phi(t) d\mu$. By virtue of 2.4 we can choose functions f_α, f in $C(\Omega)$ such that $f_\alpha = \phi_\alpha$ and $f = \phi$ almost everywhere. Note that f_α is an increasing net with $f \geq f_\alpha$, so $f \geq \sup f_\alpha \equiv f'$. Since μ is a positive normal measure, $\int_\Omega f_\alpha d\mu \rightarrow \int_\Omega f' d\mu$. Hence, $\int_\Omega (f - f') d\mu = 0$, or equivalently, $f = f'$. Now, let E be the closure of $\{t : f(t) > \sup f_\alpha(t)\}$. By 2.1, 2.2, 2.5 and 2.7, E is nowhere dense. By virtue of Dini's theorem, it is straightforward to show that $f_\alpha \rightarrow f$ in the β_{I_E} topology for $C(\Omega)$ and consequently $xz_\alpha x \rightarrow xzx$ in the β_{J_E} topology for $L^\infty(\Omega, \mu, B(H))$. Thus $x \cdot F \cdot x \in A_*$.

Finally, we must show $x \cdot F \in A_*$. Suppose $x_\alpha \rightarrow 0$ for the

$s^*(A, A_*)$ topology where $\|x_\alpha\| \leq 1$. Then $x_\alpha^* \rightarrow 0$ for the $s^*(A, A_*)$ topology and by [14, 1.8.9, p. 20] and [14, 1.8.12, p. 21] it follows that $x_\alpha^* x_\alpha \rightarrow 0$ for the $s(A, A_*)$ topology. By utilizing the Schwartz inequality [14, p. 9], [14, 1.8.10, p. 21] and the above result for $x \cdot F \cdot x$ it is easy to show that $(x \cdot F)(x_\alpha) \rightarrow 0$. Thus $x \cdot F \in A_*$ and our proof is complete.

Proof of Theorem I. By [14, 2.3.2, p. 89], $A = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{I}} \bigoplus A_n$, where each A_n is a type I_n W^* -algebra. By virtue of 4.11 we may assume A is of type I_n and consequently by 5.1 and 4.11 we may assume $A = L^\infty(\Omega, \mu, B(H))$ where Ω is hyper-Stonean, μ is a positive normal measure with support Ω , and H is a separable Hilbert space.

Let $F \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mu, B(H))_\beta^*$ such that $F \geq 0$. By 4.14 and 3.11 we may assume $F = x \cdot G \cdot x$ for some $x \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mu, B_0(H))^+$ and G a positive $\bar{\beta}$ continuous linear functional on $L^\infty(\Omega, \mu, B(H))$. Clearly, we may assume $\|x\| \leq 1$. By 5.2 there exists an essential ideal J in $L^\infty(\Omega, \mu, B(H))$ such that $x \in Cl_{\beta_I}(B_I)$. Consequently, there exists a net $\{x_\alpha\}$ in B_I that converges to x in the β_I topology. By 4.14 we may assume $G = y \cdot G_1 \cdot y$ for some $y \in J^+$ and G_1 a positive $\bar{\beta}$ continuous linear functional on $L^\infty(\Omega, \mu, B(H))$. Therefore $x_\alpha \cdot G \cdot x_\alpha \rightarrow F$ uniformly. Hence, by virtue of 5.3, $F \in A_*$. Since A_β^* is the linear span of its positive linear functionals, $A_\beta^* = A_*$. That $\bar{\beta}$ is the Mackey topology of the dual pair $\langle A, A_* \rangle$, is an immediate consequence of 4.7 and our proof is now complete.

For a type I W^* -algebra, the condition of being countably decomposable is not necessary for Theorem I to hold. In fact, Theorem I holds for $A = B(H)$, H not separable (see 4.16), and for any commutative W^* -algebra Z . Moreover, it is easy to see from our proof, that Theorem I holds for $Z \bar{\otimes} B(H)$ where H is a separable Hilbert space. It is of interest to note that for the W^* -algebra $L^\infty = L^\infty(X, \nu)$, where X completely regular and ν is a compact regular Borel measure on X , we have $\bar{\beta} = \tau(L^\infty, L^1)$ where $L^1 = L^1(X, \nu)$. Thus, $\bar{\beta}$ is the mixed topology considered by Dazord and Jourlin [4]. These results lead us to the following two related questions.

5.4. *Question.* Suppose A is a type I W^* -algebra that is not countably decomposable. Must A_β^* be equal to A_* ?

5.5. *Question.* Let A be a countably decomposable W^* -algebra such that $A_\beta^* = A_*$. Must A be a type I W^* -algebra? In other words, does the converse of Theorem I hold?

Our next result suggests that the converse of Theorem I may indeed be true. We will now view A as a W^* -algebra on a separable Hilbert space.

THEOREM II. *Let $A = \int_{\Gamma} A(t) \mu(dt)$ be the direct integral decomposition of A into factors [17, Corollary 10, p. 53]. Let B be a factor and define Λ to be the set of all $t \in \Gamma$ for which $A(t)$ is spatially isomorphic to B . If $A \#_{\tilde{\beta}} A^* = A^*$ and $\mu(\Lambda) > 0$, then B must be a type I factor.*

Proof. By virtue of [17, Theorem 2, p. 228], 4.11, and 3.5, we need only consider the case $A = L^{\infty}(\Omega, \mu, B)$, where Ω is hyper-Stonean, μ is a positive normal measure with support Ω , and $\mu(\Omega) = 1$. Now, let D be the set of all elements in $C(\Omega, B)$ of the form $\sum_{k=1}^n x_k \chi_{E_k}$, where $x_k \in B$ and $\{E_k\}_{k=1}^n$ are pairwise disjoint sets that are both open and closed. Since D is a $*$ -subalgebra of $C(\Omega, B)$ that separates points and contains the identity, we have by [6, 11.5.3, p. 234] that D is uniformly dense in $C(\Omega, B)$. Consequently, it follows from [14, 1.22.3, p. 61] and [14, p. 67] that D is $\sigma(A, A^*)$ dense in $L^{\infty}(\Omega, \mu, B)$. Now, for $f \in (B \#_{\tilde{\beta}_B})^+$ define \tilde{f} on D as follows: for $x = \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \chi_{E_k}$, set $\tilde{f}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n f(x_k) \mu(E_k)$. We will now show that \tilde{f} is continuous on the unit ball of D for the relative $\tilde{\beta}_A$ topology. By 4.1 and 4.3, it will suffice to show that \tilde{f} is continuous on the unit ball of D in the relative β_J topology for each $J \in \mathcal{E}_A$.

Let J be a closed two-sided essential ideal of A , I_0 the smallest closed two-sided ideal of B , and $\epsilon > 0$. Since f is $\tilde{\beta}_B$ continuous on B , there exists a $b \in I_0$ such that $|f(x)| \leq \epsilon/2$ whenever $\|bx\| + \|xb\| \leq 1$. Now set $J_1 = J \cap C(\Omega, B)$. By 3.9, J_1 is essential in $C(\Omega, B)$, so the set $E = \{t \in \Omega: x(t) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in J_1\}$ is a closed nowhere dense subset of Ω . Since μ is normal, there exists an open and closed set $G \supseteq E$ such that $\mu(G) < \epsilon/2\|f\|$. For each $t_0 \in \tilde{G}$, the complement of G , the set $\{x(t_0): x \in J_1\}$ contains I_0 . Therefore, it is straightforward to show that there exists a subset V_{t_0} of \tilde{G} and an element a_0 in J_1 that satisfy the following:

(1) $t_0 \in V_{t_0}$ and V_{t_0} is both open and closed;

(2) $\|a_0(t) - b\| < 1/8$ for $t \in V_{t_0}$ and $a_0(t) = 0$ otherwise. Since \tilde{G} is compact and $\{V_{t_i}\}_{i=1}^m$ is an open cover, there exists a finite collection $\{V_{t_i}\}_{i=1}^m$ that covers \tilde{G} . Due to the fact that each set V_{t_i} is both open and closed, we can construct an element a in J_1 such that $\|a(t) - b\| < 1/4$ for $t \in \tilde{G}$ and $a(t) = 0$, $t \in G$. Now let x be an element of the unit ball of D , where $x = \sum_{k=1}^n x_i \chi_{E_i}$, and suppose $\|xa\| + \|ax\| \leq 1/2$. We may assume that, for some positive integer k , E_1, E_2, \dots, E_k are subsets of G

and E_{k+1}, \dots, E_n are subsets of \tilde{G} . Since $\|x\| \leq 1$ and $\|ax\| + \|xa\| \leq 1/2$, it is easy to show that $\|x_i b\| + \|b x_i\| \leq 1$ for $i = k+1, \dots, n$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{f}(x)| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k |f(x_i)|\mu(E_i) + \sum_{i=k+1}^n |f(x_i)|\mu(E_i) \\ &\leq \|f\|\mu(G) + (\epsilon/2)\mu(\tilde{G}) < \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 = \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, \tilde{f} is $\bar{\beta}_A$ continuous on the unit ball of D . Since $A_{\bar{\beta}_A}^* = A_*$, the $\bar{\beta}_A$ topology is the Mackey topology of the dual pair $\langle A, A_* \rangle$. Consequently, it follows from [14, 1.9.1, p. 22] and [14, 1.8.10, p. 21] that \tilde{f} can be extended uniquely to a $\bar{\beta}_A$ continuous positive linear functional on A . Hence $\tilde{f} \in A_*$ and this implies $f \in B_*$. But, by 4.16 this can only happen when B is a type I factor and our proof is complete.

REFERENCES

1. C. A. Akemann, *The dual space of an operator algebra*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **126** (1967), 286–302.
2. R. C. Buck, *Bounded continuous functions on a locally compact space*, Michigan Math. J., **5** (1958), 95–104.
3. R. C. Busby, *Double centralizers and extensions of C^* -algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **132** (1968), 79–99.
4. J. Dazord and M. Jourlin, *La dualité entre les espaces L^1 and L^∞* (submitted).
5. J. Dixmier, *Les algèbres d'opérateurs dans l'espace Hilbertien*, 2nd edition, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.
6. ———, *Les C^* -algèbres et leurs représentations*, 2nd edition, Cahiers Scientifiques, fasc. 29, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.
7. ———, *Sur certains espaces considérés par M. H. Stone*, Summa Brasil. Math., **2** (1951), fasc. 11, 151–182.
8. R. A. Fontenot, *Approximate identities and strict topologies*, Ph.D. dissertation, Louisiana State University, 1972.
9. L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, Princeton, 1960.
10. E. Hewitt, *The ranges of certain convolution operators*, Math. Scand., **15** (1964), 147–155.
11. E. Hille and R. S. Phillips, *Functional analysis and semi-groups*, Amer. Math. Soc. Coll. Publ. **31**, Providence, 1957.
12. S. E. Mosiman and R. F. Wheeler, *The strict topology in a completely regular setting: relations to topological measure theory*, Canad. J. Math., **24** (1972), 873–890.
13. A. P. Robertson and W. J. Robertson, *Topological vector spaces*, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 1964.
14. S. Sakai, *C^* -algebras and W^* -algebras*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971.
15. H. H. Schaefer, *Topological vector spaces*, Macmillan, New York, 1964.
16. K. S. Scheinberg, *Topologies which generate a complete measure algebra*, Advances in Math. **7** (1971), 231–239.
17. J. T. Schwartz, *W^* -algebras*, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1967.
18. F. D. Sentiilles, *Bounded continuous functions on a completely regular space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **168** (1972), 311–336.
19. F. D. Sentiilles and D. C. Taylor, *Factorization in Banach algebras and the general strict topology*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **142** (1969), 141–152.
20. D. C. Taylor, *The strict topology for double centralizer algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **150** (1972), 633–643.

21. ———, *Interpolation in algebras of operator fields*, J. Functional Analysis, **10** (1972), 159–190.
22. ———, *A general Phillips theorem for C^* -algebras and some applications*, Pacific J. Math., **40** (1972), 477–488.
23. B. Tomiuk and P. K. Wong, *The Arens product and duality in B^* -algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **25** (1970), 529–535.
24. R. F. Wheeler, *The strict topology, separable measures and paracompactness*, Pacific J. Math., (to appear).
25. ———, *The strict topology for P -spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **41** (1973), 466–472.
26. W. Wils, *Two-sided ideals in W^* -algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **244** (1970), 55–68.

Received March 5, 1974. This research was supported in part by the National Science Foundation, under contract No. GP-38884.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY

| | |
|--|-----|
| D. E. Bennett, <i>Strongly unicoherent continua</i> | 1 |
| Walter R. Bloom, <i>Sets of p-spectral synthesis</i> | 7 |
| R. T. Bumby and D. E. Dobbs, <i>Amitsur cohomology of quadratic extensions: Formulas and number-theoretic examples</i> | 21 |
| W. W. Comfort, <i>Compactness-like properties for generalized weak topological sums</i> | 31 |
| D. R. Dunninger and J. Locker, <i>Monotone operators and nonlinear biharmonic boundary value problems</i> | 39 |
| T. S. Erickson, W. S. Martindale, 3rd and J. M. Osborn, <i>Prime nonassociative algebras</i> | 49 |
| P. Fischer, <i>On the inequality $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \frac{f(p_i)}{f(q_i)} \geq 1$</i> | 65 |
| G. Fox and P. Morales, <i>Compact subsets of a Tychonoff set</i> | 75 |
| R. Gilmer and J. F. Hoffmann, <i>A characterization of Prüfer domains in terms of polynomials</i> | 81 |
| L. C. Glaser, <i>On tame Cantor sets in spheres having the same projection in each direction</i> | 87 |
| Z. Goseki, <i>On semigroups in which $X = XYX = XZX$ if and only if $X = XYZX$</i> | 103 |
| E. Grosswald, <i>Rational valued series of exponentials and divisor functions</i> | 111 |
| D. Handelman, <i>Strongly semiprime rings</i> | 115 |
| J. N. Henry and D. C. Taylor, <i>The $\bar{\beta}$ topology for w^*-algebras</i> | 123 |
| M. J. Hodel, <i>Enumeration of weighted p-line arrays</i> | 141 |
| S. K. Jain and S. Singh, <i>Rings with quasiprojective left ideals</i> | 169 |
| S. Jeyaratnam, <i>The diophantine equation $Y(Y+m)(Y+2m) \times (Y+3m) = 2X(X+m)(X+2m)(X+3m)$</i> | 183 |
| R. Kane, <i>On loop spaces without p torsion</i> | 189 |
| Alvin J. Kay, <i>Nonlinear integral equations and product integrals</i> | 203 |
| A. S. Kechris, <i>Countable ordinals and the analytic hierarchy, I</i> | 223 |
| Ka-Sing Lau, <i>A representation theorem for isometries of $C(X, E)$</i> | 229 |
| I. Madsen, <i>On the action of the Dyer-Lashof algebra in $H_*(G)$</i> | 235 |
| R. C. Metzler, <i>Positive linear functions, integration, and Choquet's theorem</i> | 277 |
| A. Nobile, <i>Some properties of the Nash blowing-up</i> | 297 |
| G. E. Petersen and G. V. Welland, <i>Plessner's theorem for Riesz conjugates</i> | 307 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Donald Earl Bennett, <i>Strongly uncoherent continua</i> | 1 |
| Walter Russell Bloom, <i>Sets of p-spectral synthesis</i> | 7 |
| Richard Thomas Bumby and David Earl Dobbs, <i>Amitsur cohomology of quadratic extensions: formulas and number-theoretic examples</i> | 21 |
| W. Wistar (William) Comfort, <i>Compactness-like properties for generalized weak topological sums</i> | 31 |
| Dennis Robert Dunninger and John Stewart Locker, <i>Monotone operators and nonlinear biharmonic boundary value problems</i> | 39 |
| Theodore Erickson, Wallace Smith Martindale, III and J. Marshall Osborn, <i>Prime nonassociative algebras</i> | 49 |
| Pál Fischer, <i>On the inequality $\sum_{i=0}^n [f(p_i)/f(q_i)]p_i \geq i$</i> | 65 |
| Geoffrey Fox and Pedro Morales, <i>Compact subsets of a Tychonoff set</i> | 75 |
| Robert William Gilmer, Jr. and Joseph F. Hoffmann, <i>A characterization of Prüfer domains in terms of polynomials</i> | 81 |
| Leslie C. Glaser, <i>On tame Cantor sets in spheres having the same projection in each direction</i> | 87 |
| Zensiro Goseki, <i>On semigroups in which $x = xyx = xzx$ if and only if $x = xyzx$</i> | 103 |
| Emil Grosswald, <i>Rational valued series of exponentials and divisor functions</i> | 111 |
| David E. Handelman, <i>Strongly semiprime rings</i> | 115 |
| Jackson Neal Henry and Donald Curtis Taylor, <i>The $\tilde{\beta}$ topology for W^*-algebras</i> | 123 |
| Margaret Jones Hodel, <i>Enumeration of weighted p-line arrays</i> | 141 |
| Surender Kumar Jain and Surjeet Singh, <i>Rings with quasi-projective left ideals</i> | 169 |
| S. Jeyaratnam, <i>The Diophantine equation $Y(Y+m)(Y+2m)(Y+3m) = 2X(X+m)(X+2m)(X+3m)$</i> | 183 |
| Richard Michael Kane, <i>On loop spaces without p torsion</i> | 189 |
| Alvin John Kay, <i>Nonlinear integral equations and product integrals</i> | 203 |
| Alexander S. Kechris, <i>Countable ordinals and the analytical hierarchy. I</i> | 223 |
| Ka-Sing Lau, <i>A representation theorem for isometries of $C(X, E)$</i> | 229 |
| Ib Henning Madsen, <i>On the action of the Dyer-Lashof algebra in $H_*(G)$</i> ... | 235 |
| Richard C. Metzler, <i>Positive linear functions, integration, and Choquet's theorem</i> | 277 |
| Augusto Nobile, <i>Some properties of the Nash blowing-up</i> | 297 |
| Gerald E. Peterson and Grant Welland, <i>Plessner's theorem for Riesz conjugates</i> | 307 |