

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**ALGEBRAICALLY IRREDUCIBLE REPRESENTATIONS OF
 $L_1(G)$.**

ROBERT A. BEKES

ALGEBRAICALLY IRREDUCIBLE REPRESENTATIONS OF $L_1(G)$

ROBERT A. BEKES

Let G be a locally compact, noncompact group and π a weakly continuous, uniformly bounded representation of G on a Hilbert space H . Suppose there exists a non-zero ξ in H such that the function $x \rightarrow \langle \pi(x)\xi, \xi \rangle$ vanishes at infinity. Then π is not algebraically irreducible when lifted to a representation of $L_1(G)$. This implies that the left regular representation of $L_1(G)$, for G noncompact, contains no algebraically irreducible subrepresentations.

We investigate irreducible representations of locally compact, noncompact groups which lift to algebraically irreducible representations of $L_1(G)$. Algebraically irreducible representations lie somewhere between the irreducible finite dimensional ones and the topologically irreducible ones, not necessarily coinciding with either. A theorem of R. Kadison [6] shows that the topologically irreducible $*$ -representations of a C^* -algebra are all algebraically irreducible. Although (by a result of L. T. Gardner [4]) $L_1(G)$ is never a C^* -algebra unless G is finite, algebraically irreducible representations occur quite naturally in several classes of Banach $*$ -algebras. Also given their nice properties (see the paper by B. Barnes [1]) it would be interesting to know if $L_1(G)$ has any non-finite dimensional ones, and where in the representation theory of G they are located.

A. Weil [10, pp. 69–70] has shown that noncompact groups have no finite dimensional square integrable representations and a result of M. Rieffel [9, Corollary 5.12] shows that an infinite discrete group has no irreducible square integrable representations. Our main result (Theorem 5) is that for locally compact, non-compact groups, representations of $L_1(G)$ which belong to a class containing the square integrable ones are never algebraically irreducible.

Notation and Preliminaries. Let G be a locally compact topological group with left Haar measure μ . Let $L_1(G)$ denote the equivalence classes of integrable functions on G with respect to μ , $L_2(G)$ the equivalence classes of square-integrable functions on G with respect to μ and $L_\infty(G)$ the equivalence classes of essentially bounded functions on G with respect to μ . Let $C_0(G)$ denote the set of continuous functions on G which vanish at infinity and $C_{00}(G)$ the set of continuous functions on G with compact support.

The $L_1(G)$ norm is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_1$, the $L_2(G)$ norm is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_2$ and the uniform norm on $C_0(G)$ is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_\infty$.

If f is a function on G and x is in G then the function xf is defined by $xf(y) = f(xy)$ for all y in G .

Let H be a Hilbert space and let $B(H)$ denote the set of bounded operators on H . If T is a bounded operator on H then T^* denotes its adjoint. By a representation of G on H we mean a homomorphism of G into the group of invertible operators in $B(H)$. We call π weakly continuous if π is continuous into $B(H)$ with the weak-operator topology. We say that π is uniformly bounded if

$$\sup \{\|\pi(x)\| : x \in G\} < \infty$$

and denote this number by $\|\pi\|$.

Let π be a weakly continuous uniformly bounded representation of G on a Hilbert space H . Then π may be lifted to a continuous representation of $L_1(G)$ by the following formula

$$\langle \pi(f)\xi, \eta \rangle = \int_G f(x) \langle \pi(x)\xi, \eta \rangle d\mu(x)$$

for all f in $L_1(G)$ and ξ, η in H . If in addition π is a unitary representation of G then π lifts to a $*$ -representation of $L_1(G)$. If K is a subset of H , the closure of K is denoted by $\text{cl } K$ and the linear span of K is denoted by $\text{sp } K$. Let ξ be in H , M a subset of G and S a subset of $L_1(G)$. Then

$$\pi(M)\xi = \{\pi(x)\xi : x \in M\}$$

and

$$\pi(S)\xi = \{\pi(f)\xi : f \in S\}.$$

We call π topologically irreducible if

$$\text{clsp } \pi(G)\xi = H$$

for all nonzero ξ in H . This is equivalent to

$$\text{cl } \pi(L_1(G))\xi = H$$

for all nonzero ξ in H . We call π an algebraically irreducible representation of $L_1(G)$ if

$$\pi(L_1(G))\xi = H$$

for all nonzero ξ in H .

The Main Result. Throughout this section, unless otherwise specified, G denotes a locally compact, noncompact group and π denotes a weakly continuous, uniformly bounded representation of G on a Hilbert space H .

LEMMA 1. Suppose π is irreducible and the function $p(x) = \langle \pi(x)\xi, \gamma \rangle$ belongs to $C_0(G)$ for some nonzero ξ and γ in H . Then the functions

$$x \rightarrow \langle \pi(x)\eta, \psi \rangle$$

belong to $C_0(G)$ for all η and ψ in H .

Proof. We first show that

$$\text{clsp } \pi(G) * \xi = H.$$

Suppose for some ζ in H we have $\langle \zeta, \pi(x) * \xi \rangle = 0$ for all x in G . Then $\langle \pi(x)\zeta, \xi \rangle = 0$ for all x in G and since π is irreducible we must have $\zeta = 0$.

Let $g(x) = \langle \pi(x)\eta, \psi \rangle$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Choose x_1, \dots, x_n in G and scalars $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ such that

$$\left\| \eta - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \pi(x_i) \xi \right\| < [2\{\|\psi\| \|\pi\| + 1\}]^{-1} \epsilon$$

Now choose y_1, \dots, y_m in G and scalars β_1, \dots, β_m such that

$$\left\| \psi - \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j \pi(y_j) * \gamma \right\| < \left[2 \left\{ \|\pi\| \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \pi(x_i) \right\| + 1 \right\} \right]^{-1} \epsilon.$$

Let $r(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_i \bar{\beta}_j p(y_j x x_i)$. Then $r(x)$ belongs to $C_0(G)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} |g(x) - r(x)| &= \left| \langle \pi(x)\eta, \psi \rangle - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_i \bar{\beta}_j \langle \pi(y_j x x_i) \xi, \gamma \rangle \right| \\ &= \left| \langle \pi(x)\eta, \psi \rangle - \left\langle \pi(x) \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \pi(x_i) \xi, \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j \pi(y_j) * \gamma \right\rangle \right| \\ &\leq \left| \left\langle \pi(x)\eta - \pi(x) \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \pi(x_i) \xi, \psi \right\rangle \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \left\langle \pi(x) \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \pi(x_i) \xi, \psi - \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j \pi(y_j) * \gamma \right\rangle \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \|\pi\| \left\| \eta - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \pi(x_i) \xi \right\| \|\psi\| \\
&\quad + \|\pi\| \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \pi(x_i) \xi \right\| \left\| \psi - \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j \pi(y_j) * \gamma \right\| \\
&< \epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.

LEMMA 2. *Let π be an irreducible representation of G on an infinite dimensional Hilbert space H and let ξ be a nonzero vector in H . Then given any compact subset M of G and elements x_1, \dots, x_n in M there exists x_{n+1} in $G \setminus M$ such that $\pi(x_{n+1}) * \xi$ is linearly independent from the set $\{\pi(x_1) * \xi, \dots, \pi(x_n) * \xi\}$.*

Proof. By the first part of the proof of Lemma 1 we have that

$$\text{clsp } \pi(G) * \xi = H.$$

If $\text{clsp } \pi(M) * \xi \neq H$ we are done.

Suppose $\text{clsp } \pi(M) * \xi = H$. We claim that $\text{clsp } \pi(G \setminus M) * \xi = H$. To see this suppose there is a η in H such that

$$\langle \eta, \pi(x) * \xi \rangle = 0$$

for all x in $G \setminus M$. Since G is not compact there exists an x_0 in $B \setminus M^{-1}M$. Then Mx_0 is disjoint from M . So we have

$$\langle \pi(x_0)\eta, \pi(x) * \xi \rangle = \langle \eta, \pi(xx_0) * \xi \rangle = 0$$

for all x in M . But then it follows that $\pi(x_0)\eta = 0$ and so $\eta = \pi(x_0)^{-1}\pi(x_0)\eta = 0$. Therefore we may assume that $\text{clsp } (G \setminus M) * \xi = H$. But now we are done since

$$\text{clsp } \{\pi(x_i) * \xi : i = 1, \dots, n\}$$

is finite dimensional.

LEMMA 3. *Let $h \in C_0(G)$ and let Y be the closed subspace of $C_0(G)$ generated by the left translates of h by elements of G . Suppose there exists an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on Y such that the norm $\|\cdot\|$ determined by it is equivalent to the uniform norm on Y and the functions*

$$x \rightarrow \langle xf, g \rangle$$

belong to $C_0(G)$ for all f and g in Y . Then there exists a compact subset M_0 of G and elements x_1, \dots, x_n in M_0 such that

$$xh \in \text{sp}\{x_1h, \dots, x_nh\}$$

for all x in $G \setminus M_0$.

Proof. Suppose the contrary. Then given any compact subset M of G and elements x_1, \dots, x_n in M , there exists x_{n+1} in $G \setminus M$ such that $x_{n+1}h$ is linearly independent from $\{x_1h, \dots, x_nh\}$. In particular Y is infinite dimensional.

There exists a constant $K > 1$ such that

$$K^{-1} \|f\| \leq \|f\|_u \leq K \|f\|$$

for all f in Y .

Let $\gamma_1 = h$. Having chosen x_1, \dots, x_n in G and $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ in $\text{sp}\{x_1h, \dots, x_nh\}$ such that

(1) the set $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n\}$ is orthogonal

(2) $\|\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_n\|_u \leq (1 + 2^{-1} + \dots + 2^{-n+1}) \|h\|_u$

and

(3) $\|\gamma_k\| \geq (K^{-2} - 2^{-k}) \|h\|$, for $k = 1, \dots, n$ we choose γ_{n+1} .

Let $\phi_k(x) = \langle x\gamma_1, \gamma_k \rangle$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$. Then ϕ_k belongs to $C_0(G)$. Let

$$M_1 = \left\{ x \in G : \sum_{k=1}^n \|\gamma_k\|^{-2} \|\gamma_k\|_u |\phi_k(x)| \geq 2^{-n-1} \|h\|_u \right\}$$

$$M_2 = \left\{ x \in G : \sum_{k=1}^n |\gamma_k(x)| \geq 2^{-n-1} \|h\|_u \right\}$$

$$M_3 = \{x \in G : |h(x)| \geq 2^{-n-1} \|h\|_u\}$$

and

$$M_4 = \left\{ x \in G : \sum_{k=1}^n \|\gamma_k\|^{-1} |\phi_k(x)| \geq 2^{-n-1} \|h\| \right\}.$$

Then since all functions concerned are in $C_0(G)$, the M_i are compact. Let $M_0 = \bigcup_{i=1}^4 M_i$ and $M = M_0 \cup M_0^{-1}$. Then M and hence M^2 are compact. So there exists x_{n+1} in $G \setminus (M^2 \cup M)$ such that $x_{n+1}h$ is linearly independent from $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n\}$. Note that $x_{n+1}^{-1}M$ is disjoint from M . Let

$$\gamma_{n+1} = x_{n+1}h - \sum_{k=1}^n \phi_k(x_{n+1}) \|\gamma_k\|^{-2} \gamma_k.$$

Since $\phi_k(x) = \langle xh, \gamma_k \rangle$, the $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{n+1}$ are orthogonal by the Gram-Schmidt process.

Next we verify (2) of the inductive hypothesis. We claim that

$$\left\| x_{n+1}h + \sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_k \right\|_u \leq (1 + 2^{-1} + \dots + 2^{-n+1} + 2^{-n-1}) \|h\|_u.$$

To see this suppose that for some x in G

$$\left| h(x_{n+1}x) + \sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_k(x) \right| > (1 + 2^{-1} + \dots + 2^{-n+1} + 2^{-n-1}) \|h\|_u.$$

Then either

$$(i) \quad |h(x_{n+1}x)| > 2^{-1} \|h\|_u$$

or

$$(ii) \quad \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_k(x) \right| > 2^{-1} \|h\|_u.$$

Suppose (i) holds. Then $x_{n+1}x \in M_3$ and so $x \in x_{n+1}^{-1}M$. Therefore $x \notin M_2$ and so

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_k(x) \right| < 2^{-n-1} \|h\|_u.$$

But then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| h(x_{n+1}x) + \sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_k(x) \right| &< \|h\|_u + 2^{-n-1} \|h\|_u \\ &\leq (1 + 2^{-1} + \dots + 2^{-n+1} + 2^{-n-1}) \|h\|_u. \end{aligned}$$

Next suppose (ii) holds. Then $x \in M_2$ and so $x \notin x_{n+1}^{-1}M$. Therefore $x_{n+1}x \notin M_3$ and so

$$|h(x_{n+1}x)| < 2^{-n-1} \|h\|_u.$$

But then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| h(x_{n+1}x) + \sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_k(x) \right| &< 2^{-n-1} \|h\|_u + \|\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_n\|_u \\ &\leq 2^{-n-1} \|h\|_u + (1 + 2^{-1} + \dots + 2^{-n+1}) \|h\|_u \\ &= (1 + 2^{-1} + \dots + 2^{-n+1} + 2^{-n-1}) \|h\|_u. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\gamma_1 + \cdots + \gamma_{n+1}\|_u &= \left\| x_{n+1}h - \sum_{k=1}^n \phi_k(x_{n+1}) \|\gamma_k\|^{-2} \gamma_k + \sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_k \right\|_u \\
 &\leq \left\| x_{n+1}h + \sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_k \right\|_u + \sum_{k=1}^n \|\phi_k(x_{n+1})\| \|\gamma_k\|^{-2} \|\gamma_k\|_u \\
 (x_{n+1} \notin M_1) &\leq (1 + 2^{-1} + \cdots + 2^{-n+1} + 2^{-n-1}) \|h\|_u + 2^{-n-1} \|h\|_u \\
 &= (1 + 2^{-1} + \cdots + 2^{-n}) \|h\|_u.
 \end{aligned}$$

which verifies (2).

Now we check (3). First note that for any $x \in G$ we have

$$\|h\| \leq K \|h\|_u = K \|xh\|_u \leq K^2 \|xh\|.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\gamma_{n+1}\| &= \left\| x_{n+1}h - \sum_{k=1}^n \phi_k(x_{n+1}) \|\gamma_k\|^{-2} \gamma_k \right\| \\
 &\geq \|x_{n+1}h\| - \sum_{k=1}^n \|\phi_k(x_{n+1})\| \|\gamma_k\|^{-1} \\
 (x_{n+1} \notin M_4) &\geq K^{-2} \|h\| - 2^{-n-1} \|h\| \\
 &= (K^{-2} - 2^{-n-1}) \|h\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

This verifies (3).

Choose N such that $2^{-N-1} < K^{-2}$. Then for $n > N$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\gamma_{n+1}\|^2 &\geq (K^{-2} - 2^{-n-1})^2 \|h\|^2 \\
 &\geq (K^{-2} - 2^{-n}) K^{-2} \|h\|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $\Psi_n = \sum_{k=N+1}^n \gamma_k$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\Psi_n\| &= \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_k - \sum_{k=1}^N \gamma_k \right\|_u \\
 &\leq \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_k \right\|_u + \left\| \sum_{k=1}^N \gamma_k \right\|_u \\
 &\leq 4 \|h\|_u \quad \text{by (2).}
 \end{aligned}$$

But since the γ_k are orthogonal,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Psi_n\|^2 &= \sum_{k=N+1}^n \|\gamma_k\|^2 \\
&\geq \sum_{k=N+1}^n (K^{-2} - 2^{-k}) K^{-2} \|h\|^2 \\
&\geq [K^{-2}(n - N) - 1] K^{-2} \|h\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore for $n > K^2 + N$,

$$\|\Psi_n\| \geq (K^{-2}(n - N) - 1)^{1/2} K^{-1} \|h\|$$

which is impossible if $\|\cdot\|_u$ and $\|\cdot\|$ are equivalent on Y . This contradiction proves the lemma.

LEMMA 4. *Suppose π is irreducible and there exists a nonzero ξ in H such that the function $p(x) = \langle \pi(x)\xi, \xi \rangle$ belongs to $C_0(G)$. Then H is infinite dimensional.*

Proof. Suppose H is finite dimensional. Let Γ be the closure of $\pi(G)$ in $B(H)$. We show that Γ is a compact group. Since π is uniformly bounded, Γ is compact.

Now let S and T be in Γ . Choose sequences $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in G such that

$$\pi(x_n) \rightarrow S \text{ and } \pi(y_n) \rightarrow T.$$

Since $\|\pi(x_n)^{-1}\| = \|\pi(x_n^{-1})\| \leq \|\pi\|$ for all n , by Dunford and Schwartz [3, VII 8.1 and VII 6.1] S is invertible and $\pi(x_n^{-1}) = \pi(x_n)^{-1} \rightarrow S^{-1}$. So $\pi(x_n^{-1}y_n) \rightarrow S^{-1}T$ and therefore $S^{-1}T \in \Gamma$.

It follows from Dixmier [2, 16.1.1 and 16.2.1] that we must have $\{xp: x \in G\}$ relatively compact in the set of bounded continuous functions on G . But this is impossible for $p \neq 0$ and $p \in C_0(G)$. Because we can choose $p_0 \in C_{00}(G)$ such that $\|p - p_0\|_u < 4^{-1}\|p\|_u$. Let K be the support of p_0 and $x_1 = e$. Having chosen x_1, \dots, x_n in G such that x_1K, \dots, x_nK are pairwise disjoint, choose x_{n+1} in $G \setminus (\bigcup_{j=1}^n x_jKK^{-1})$. This can be done since G is not compact. It follows by the choice of x_{n+1} that the sets $x_1K, \dots, x_{n+1}K$ are pairwise disjoint. Now let x be in K such that $|p_0(x)| = \|p_0\|_u$. Then for $i \neq j$ we have $x_i^{-1}x_jx \notin K$ and so

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_j^{-1}p_0 - x_i^{-1}p_0\|_u &\geq |x_j^{-1}p_0(x_jx) - x_i^{-1}p_0(x_jx)| \\
&= |p_0(x)| \\
&= \|p_0\|_u.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_j^{-1}p - x_i^{-1}p\|_u &\geq \|x_j^{-1}p_0 - x_i^{-1}p_0\|_u - \|x_j^{-1}p - x_j^{-1}p_0\|_u - \|x_i^{-1}p - x_i^{-1}p_0\|_u \\ &\geq 4^{-1}\|p\|. \end{aligned}$$

This contradiction proves the lemma.

We are now ready to prove the main result.

THEOREM 5. *Let π be a weakly continuous uniformly bounded representation of a locally compact, noncompact group G on a Hilbert space H . Suppose there exists a nonzero vector ξ in H such that the function $p(x) = \langle \pi(x)\xi, \xi \rangle$ belongs to $C_0(G)$. Then π is not algebraically irreducible when lifted to a representation of $L_1(G)$.*

Proof. Suppose π is algebraically irreducible on $L_1(G)$. For any η in H and f in $L_1(G)$ we have

$$\pi(f)\eta = \int_G f(x)\pi(x)\eta d\mu(x).$$

Let $\mathcal{J} = \{f \in L_1(G) : \pi(f)\xi = 0\}$. Then \mathcal{J} is a closed left ideal of $L_1(G)$. Since $\pi(L_1(G))\xi = H$ the map

$$\theta : L_1(G)/\mathcal{J} \rightarrow H$$

defined by

$$\theta(f + \mathcal{J}) = \pi(f)\xi$$

is one-to-one and onto. We claim that θ is also continuous. To see this let f be in $L_1(G)$ and g in \mathcal{J} . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi(f)\xi\| &= \|\pi(f - g)\xi\| \\ &= \left\| \int_G (f(x) - g(x))\pi(x)d\mu(x) \right\| \\ &\leq \|\pi\| \int_G |f(x) - g(x)| d\mu(x) \\ &= \|\pi\| \|f - g\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\|\pi(f)\xi\| \leq \|\pi\| \inf_{g \in \mathcal{J}} \|f - g\|_1 = \|\pi\| \|f + \mathcal{J}\|_1.$$

By the open mapping theorem there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that

$$K^{-1} \|\pi(f)\xi\| \leq \|f + \mathcal{J}\|_1 \leq K \|\pi(f)\xi\|$$

for all f in $L_1(G)$.

By the above inequality it follows that the adjoint map

$$'0: H^* \rightarrow (L_1(G)/\mathcal{J})^*$$

is a bicontinuous isomorphism. Now $(L_1(G)/\mathcal{J})^*$ may be naturally identified with \mathcal{J}^\perp , the annihilator of \mathcal{J} in $L_\infty(G)$, see Dunford and Schwartz [3, II4.18b].

$$\left(\text{i.e. } \mathcal{J}^\perp = \left\{ h \in L_\infty(G): \int_G f(x) \overline{h(x)} d\mu(x) = 0 \text{ for all } f \text{ in } \mathcal{J} \right\} \right).$$

Therefore \mathcal{J}^\perp is equivalent to a Hilbert space in the norm induced from the inner product.

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \langle ' \theta^{-1}(f), ' \theta^{-1}(g) \rangle$$

for f and g in \mathcal{J}^\perp .

For η in H^* we determine $' \theta(\eta)$ explicitly: Let f be in $L_1(G)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G f(x) \overline{' \theta(\eta)(x)} d\mu(x) &= \langle \theta(f + \mathcal{J}), \eta \rangle \\ &= \langle \pi(f)\xi, \eta \rangle \\ &= \int_G f(x) \langle \pi(x)\xi, \eta \rangle d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_G f(x) \overline{\langle \eta, \pi(x)\xi \rangle} d\mu(x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$' \theta(\eta)(x) = \langle \eta, \pi(x)\xi \rangle \quad \text{a.e.}$$

In particular $' \theta(\xi) = \bar{\rho}$. It follows from Lemma 1 that

$$\mathcal{J}^\perp \subset C_0(G).$$

Also if y is in G , then

$$\begin{aligned} y {}'\theta(\eta)(x) &= {}'\theta(\eta)(yx) \\ &= \langle \eta, \pi(yx)\xi \rangle \\ &= \langle \pi(y) * \eta, \pi(x)\xi \rangle \\ &= {}'\theta(\pi(y) * \eta)(x). \end{aligned}$$

So \mathcal{J}^\perp is closed under left translates.

Let f be in \mathcal{J}^\perp and x in G . Then

$$\begin{aligned} {}'\theta(\pi(x) * {}'\theta^{-1}(f)) &= x {}'\theta({}'\theta^{-1}(f)) \\ &= xf \\ &= {}'\theta({}'\theta^{-1}(xf)) \end{aligned}$$

and so

$${}'\theta^{-1}(xf) = \pi(x) * {}'\theta^{-1}(f).$$

Then for f and g in \mathcal{J}^\perp and x in G

$$\begin{aligned} \langle xf, g \rangle &= \langle {}'\theta^{-1}(xf), {}'\theta^{-1}(g) \rangle \\ &= \langle \pi(x) * {}'\theta^{-1}(f), {}'\theta^{-1}(g) \rangle \\ &= \langle {}'\theta^{-1}(f), \pi(x) {}'\theta^{-1}(g) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This implies by Lemma 1 that the functions

$$x \rightarrow \langle xf, g \rangle$$

belong to $C_0(G)$ for all f and g in \mathcal{J}^\perp .

Let Y be the closed subspace of \mathcal{J}^\perp generated by the left translates of \bar{p} . Then Y and \bar{p} satisfy the hypothesis of Lemma 3 with $h = \bar{p}$. We show that the conclusion of Lemma 3 is not satisfied. By Lemma 4, H is infinite dimensional. So the contradiction follows from Lemma 2 since

$${}'\theta(\pi(x) * \xi) = x\bar{p}$$

for all x in G and ${}'\theta$ is one to one. This proves the theorem.

COROLLARY 6. *The left regular representation of $L_1(G)$, for G noncompact, contains no nontrivial algebraically irreducible subrepresentations.*

Proof. Let $\lambda: G \rightarrow B(L_2(G))$ denote the left regular representation of G . By Hewitt and Ross [6, 32.43(e)] the functions

$$x \rightarrow \langle \lambda(x)f, f \rangle$$

belong to $C_0(G)$ for all f in $L_2(G)$. Therefore Theorem 5 applies.

The next lemma, when G is unimodular and π is a continuous unitary representation of G , is a special case of a result due to R. A. Kunze [8, Theorem 1]. His proof also works in the more general case below.

LEMMA 7. *Let G be a locally compact group and π a weakly continuous, uniformly bounded representation of G on a Hilbert space H . Suppose the functions*

$$x \rightarrow \langle \pi(x)\xi, \eta \rangle$$

belong to $L_2(G)$ for all ξ and η in H . Then there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that

$$\|\pi(f)\| \leq K \|f\|_2$$

for all f in $C_{00}(G)$.

Before proving the next corollary we will need the following elementary fact from measure theory:

LEMMA 8. *Let f be in $L_1(G) \cap L_2(G)$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exists g in $C_{00}(G)$ such that $\|f - g\|_1 < \epsilon$ and $\|f - g\|_2 < \epsilon$.*

Proof. By Hewitt and Ross [6, 32.30 and 32.33(b)] there exists h in $C_{00}(G)$ such that $\|f - f * h\|_1 < 3^{-1}\epsilon$ and $\|f - f * h\|_2 < 3^{-1}\epsilon$. Choose a compact subset K of G such that $\|(f * h)|_{G \setminus K}\|_1 < 3^{-1}\epsilon$ and $\|(f * h)|_{G \setminus K}\|_2 < 3^{-1}\epsilon$.

Let U be open such that $K \subset U$ and $[\mu(U \setminus K)]^{p-1} < [6\{\|f * h\|_u + 1\}]^{-1}\epsilon$ for $p = 1$ and 2 . Pick k in $C_{00}(G)$ such that $k \equiv 1 = \|k\|_u$ on K and $k \equiv 0$ on $G \setminus U$. Then for $p = 1$ and 2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f * h - (f * h)k\|_p &\leq \|(f * h)|_{G \setminus U}\|_p + \|(f * h - (f * h)k)|_{U \setminus K}\|_p \\ &< 3^{-1}\epsilon + 2\|f * h\|_u [\mu(U \setminus K)]^{p-1} \\ &< 3^{-1}\epsilon + 3^{-1}\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

So if $g = (f * h)k$ we have $g \in C_{00}(G)$ and $\|f - g\|_1 < \epsilon$ and $\|f - g\|_2 < \epsilon$.

COROLLARY 9. *Let G be a locally compact, noncompact group and π a weakly continuous uniformly bounded representation of G on a Hilbert space H . Suppose the functions*

$$x \rightarrow \langle \pi(x)\xi, \eta \rangle$$

belong to $L_2(G)$ for all ξ and η in H . If π lifts to an algebraically irreducible representation of $L_1(G)$, then $\pi = 0$.

Proof. Suppose π is algebraically irreducible on $L_1(G)$ and $H \neq \{0\}$. Let f be in $L_1(G)$ and g in $L_1(G) \cap L_2(G)$. Then since $\|f * g\|_2 \leq \|f\|_1 \|g\|_2$ we have that $f * g$ is in $L_1(G) \cap L_2(G)$.

Let ξ be in H with $\|\xi\| = 1$. Then $\pi(L_1(G) \cap L_2(G))\xi$ is an invariant subspace for $\pi(L_1(G))$. Since $L_1(G) \cap L_2(G)$ is dense in $L_1(G)$ and π is algebraically irreducible we must have that

$$(1) \quad \pi(L_1(G) \cap L_2(G))\xi = H.$$

Let K be the constant in Lemma 7. So $\|\pi(f)\| \leq K \|f\|_2$ for all f in $C_{00}(G)$. By the density of $C_{00}(G)$ in $L_2(G)$ we may extend π to a continuous map $\tilde{\pi}$ of $L_2(G)$ into $B(H)$. Let $f \in L_1(G) \cap L_2(G)$. We show that $\tilde{\pi}(f) = \pi(f)$. By Lemma 8 there exists a sequence $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq C_{00}(G)$ such that $\|f - g_n\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ and $\|f - g_n\|_2 \rightarrow 0$. So $\|\pi(f) - \pi(g_n)\| \rightarrow 0$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{\pi}(f) - \pi(f)\| &= \lim_n \|\tilde{\pi}(f) - \pi(g_n)\| \\ &= \lim_n \|\tilde{\pi}(f) - \tilde{\pi}(g_n)\| \\ &\leq \lim_n K \|f - g_n\|_2 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

By (1) we must have that

$$\tilde{\pi}(L_2(G))\xi = H.$$

Let $M = \{f \in L_2(G) : \tilde{\pi}(f)\xi = 0\}$. Then $\tilde{\pi}(M^\perp)\xi = H$. Since the subspace M is closed in $L_2(G)$, the continuous map

$$f \rightarrow \tilde{\pi}(f)\xi$$

of M^\perp onto H is one to one. So by the open mapping theorem there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$C^{-1} \|f\|_2 \leq \|\tilde{\pi}(f)\xi\| \leq C \|f\|_2$$

for all f in M .

Let $\lambda: L_1^+(G) \rightarrow B(L_2(G))$ denote the left regular representation of $L_1(G)$.

Let f be in $L_1(G)$ and g in M^\perp . Choose $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq C_{00}(G)$ such that $\|g - g_n\|_2 \rightarrow 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi(f)\tilde{\pi}(g) - \tilde{\pi}(f * g)\| &= \lim_n \|\pi(f)\pi(g_n) - \tilde{\pi}(f * g)\| \\ &= \lim_n \|\pi(f * g_n) - \tilde{\pi}(f * g)\| \\ &= \lim_n \|\tilde{\pi}(f * g_n) - \tilde{\pi}(f * g)\| \\ &\leq \lim_n K \|f * g_n - f * g\|_2 \\ &\leq \lim_n K \|f\|_1 \|g_n - g\|_2 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

And so we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi(f)\tilde{\pi}(g)\xi\| &= \|\tilde{\pi}(f * g)\xi\| \\ &\leq \|\tilde{\pi}(f * g)\| \\ &\leq K \|f * g\|_2 \\ &\leq K \|\lambda(f)\| \|g\|_2 \\ &\leq KC \|\lambda(f)\| \|\tilde{\pi}(g)\xi\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|\pi(f)\| \leq CB \|\lambda(f)\|$$

for all f in $L_1(G)$.

Let $C_L^*(G)$ denote the C^* enveloping algebra of $\lambda(L_1(G))$ in $B(L_2(G))$. Then by the above inequality we may extend π from $L_1(G)$ to a representation of $C_L^*(G)$ on H . Moreover, π is algebraically irreducible on $C_L^*(G)$ since it is on $L_1(G)$. A result of Barnes [1, Theorem 4.1] implies that π is similar to a $*$ -representation of $C_L^*(G)$ on H . So there exists a positive invertible operator V in $B(H)$ such that the map

$$a \rightarrow V^{-1}\pi(a)V$$

is a $*$ -representation of $C_L^*(G)$ on H . Therefore the map

$$x \rightarrow V^{-1}\pi(x)V$$

is a continuous unitary representation of G on H . Let

$$p(x) = \langle V^{-1}\pi(x)V\xi, \xi \rangle = \langle \pi(x)V\xi, V^{-1}\xi \rangle.$$

Then p is a continuous positive definite function on G and p belongs to $L_2(G)$. So by Godement's Theorem [2, p. 269, 13.8.6], $p = q * q = q * \tilde{q}$ where $q \in L_2(G)$ and $\tilde{q}(x) = q(x^{-1})$. But then by [5, Theorem 20.16] p belongs to $C_0(G)$. This is a contradiction by Lemma 1 and Theorem 5.

REFERENCES

1. B. A. Barnes, *Strictly irreducible representations of Banach $*$ -algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **170** (1972), 459–469.
2. J. Dixmier, *Les C^* -algèbres et leurs Représentations*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.
3. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear Operators, Part I*, Interscience, New York, 1958.
4. L. T. Gardner, *Uniformly closed Fourier algebras*, Acta. Sci. Math., **33** (1972), 211–216.
5. E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis I*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1963.
6. ———, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis II*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970.
7. R. V. Kadison, *Irreducible operator algebras*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., **43** (1957), 273–276.
8. R. A. Kunze, *A note on square-integrable representations*, Journ. Funct. Anal., **6** (1970), 454–459.
9. M. Rieffel, *Square-integrable representations of Hilbert algebras*, Journ. Funct. Anal., **3** (1969), 265–300.
10. A. Weil, *L^1 Integration dans les Groupes Topologiques et ses Applications*, Herman, Paris, 1953.

Received April 24, 1974.

ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY, TEMPE, ARIZONA

Present Address: Department of Mathematics
Dartmouth College
Hanover, New Hampshire

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT

University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM

Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

* * *

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION
Printed at Jerusalem Academic Press, POB 2390, Jerusalem, Israel.

Copyright © 1975 Pacific Journal of Mathematics
All Rights Reserved

| | |
|--|-----|
| Waleed A. Al-Salam and A. Verma, <i>A fractional Leibniz q-formula</i> | 1 |
| Robert A. Bekes, <i>Algebraically irreducible representations of $L_1(G)$</i> | 11 |
| Thomas Theodore Bowman, <i>Construction functors for topological semigroups</i> | 27 |
| Stephen LaVern Campbell, <i>Operator-valued inner functions analytic on the closed disc. II</i> | 37 |
| Leonard Eliezer Dor and Edward Wilfred Odell, Jr., <i>Monotone bases in L_p</i> | 51 |
| Yukiyoshi Ebihara, Mitsuhiro Nakao and Tokumori Nanbu, <i>On the existence of global classical solution of initial-boundary value problem for $cmu - u^3 = f$</i> | 63 |
| Y. Gordon, <i>Unconditional Schauder decompositions of normed ideals of operators between some l_p-spaces</i> | 71 |
| Gary Grefsrud, <i>Oscillatory properties of solutions of certain nth order functional differential equations</i> | 83 |
| Irvin Roy Hentzel, <i>Generalized right alternative rings</i> | 95 |
| Zensiro Goseki and Thomas Benny Rushing, <i>Embeddings of shape classes of compacta in the trivial range</i> | 103 |
| Emil Grosswald, <i>Brownian motion and sets of multiplicity</i> | 111 |
| Donald LaTorre, <i>A construction of the idempotent-separating congruences on a bisimple orthodox semigroup</i> | 115 |
| Pjek-Hwee Lee, <i>On subrings of rings with involution</i> | 131 |
| Marvin David Marcus and H. Minc, <i>On two theorems of Frobenius</i> | 149 |
| Michael Douglas Miller, <i>On the lattice of normal subgroups of a direct product</i> | 153 |
| Grattan Patrick Murphy, <i>A metric basis characterization of Euclidean space</i> | 159 |
| Roy Martin Rakestraw, <i>A representation theorem for real convex functions</i> | 165 |
| Louis Jackson Ratliff, Jr., <i>On Rees localities and H_i-local rings</i> | 169 |
| Simeon Reich, <i>Fixed point iterations of nonexpansive mappings</i> | 195 |
| Domenico Rosa, <i>B-complete and B_r-complete topological algebras</i> | 199 |
| Walter Roth, <i>Uniform approximation by elements of a cone of real-valued functions</i> | 209 |
| Helmut R. Salzmann, <i>Homogene kompakte projektive Ebenen</i> | 217 |
| Jerrold Norman Siegel, <i>On a space between BH and B_∞</i> | 235 |
| Robert C. Sine, <i>On local uniform mean convergence for Markov operators</i> | 247 |
| James D. Stafney, <i>Set approximation by lemniscates and the spectrum of an operator on an interpolation space</i> | 253 |
| Árpád Szász, <i>Convolution multipliers and distributions</i> | 267 |
| Kalathoor Varadarajan, <i>Span and stably trivial bundles</i> | 277 |
| Robert Breckenridge Warfield, Jr., <i>Countably generated modules over commutative Artinian rings</i> | 289 |
| John Yuan, <i>On the groups of units in semigroups of probability measures</i> | 303 |