

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

COMMUTATIVE CANCELLATIVE SEMIGROUPS WITHOUT IDEMPOTENTS

H. B. HAMILTON, T. E. NORDAHL AND TAKAYUKI TAMURA

COMMUTATIVE CANCELLATIVE SEMIGROUPS WITHOUT IDEMPOTENTS

H. B. HAMILTON, T. E. NORDAHL AND T. TAMURA

A commutative cancellative idempotent-free semigroup (CCIF-) S can be described in terms of a commutative cancellative semigroup C with identity, an ideal of C , and a function of $C \times C$ into integers. If C is an abelian group, S has an archimedean component as an ideal; S is called an \mathfrak{N} -semigroup. A CCIF-semigroup of finite rank has nontrivial homomorphism into nonnegative real numbers.

1. Introduction. In this paper, a commutative cancellative semigroup without idempotent is called a CCIF-semigroup (in which, by "IF" we mean "idempotent-free") and a commutative cancellative semigroup with identity is called a CCI-semigroup. In particular, an \mathfrak{N} -semigroup is an archimedean CCIF-semigroup. The structure of \mathfrak{N} -semigroups has been much studied [1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8] and also it is well known that every CCIF-semigroup is a semilattice of \mathfrak{N} -semigroups. In this paper CCIF-semigroups will be studied by means of the representation by the generalized \mathcal{J} - and φ -functions and also through homomorphisms into the nonnegative real numbers.

Throughout this paper, \mathbf{R} denotes the set of real numbers; \mathbf{R} the set of rational numbers; \mathbf{R}_+ the set of positive real numbers; \mathbf{R}_+^0 the set of nonnegative real numbers; \mathbf{Z}_+ the set of positive integers and \mathbf{Z}_+^0 the set of nonnegative integers. Each of these is a semigroup under the usual addition. If S is a semigroup and if X is a sub-semigroup of the group \mathbf{R} , then the notation $\text{Hom}(S, X)$ denotes the semigroup of homomorphisms of S into X under the usual operation.

At the end of §1 we show that if S is a CCIF-semigroup, $\text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R}) \neq \{0\}$, and the homomorphism group is transitive in some sense. In Section 2 we shall try to generalize the representation of \mathfrak{N} -semigroups to CCIF-semigroups. It will be understood as the so-called Schreier's extension to build up complicated CCIF-semigroups from simpler CCIF-semigroups. Most of the results in [7] will be extended to CCIF-semigroups. In §3 we shall treat the important case, i.e., the case where the structure semigroup is a group. Such a CCIF-semigroup will be called an $\bar{\mathfrak{N}}$ -semigroup. In §4 we shall show that every CCIF-semigroup of finite rank has a nontrivial homomorphism into \mathbf{R}_+^0 . In particular we will characterize CCIF-semigroups S having the property $\text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R}_+) \neq \emptyset$.

(1.1) *Let S be a CCIF-semigroup. Then $x \neq xy$ for all $x, y \in S$.*

Proof. Suppose, for some $x, y \in S$, we have $x = xy$. Then $xy = xy^2$ which implies $y = y^2$ by cancellation. This is a contradiction.

PROPOSITION 1.2. *Let S be a CCIF-semigroup.*

(1.2.1) *$\text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R})$ is a nontrivial vector space over the field \mathbf{R} .*

(1.2.2) *For each $a \in S$ and each $r \in \mathbf{R}, r \neq 0$, there is an $h \in \text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R})$ such that $h(a) = r$.*

Proof of (1.2.1). Let S be a CCIF-semigroup. Let $Q(S)$ be the quotient group of S (i.e., the group of quotients of S), and $D(S)$ be the divisible hull of $Q(S)$

$$(1.2.3) \quad D(S) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_\alpha \bigoplus \bigoplus_{p \in J} C(p^\infty).$$

$D(S)$ is a direct sum of copies R_α of the group of rational numbers under addition and quasi-cyclic groups $C(p^\infty)$ with respect to prime number p . We view S as a subsemigroup of $D(S)$. Let π_α be the projection of $D(S)$ upon R_α for each $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Let x be an element of S . Suppose $\pi_\alpha(x) = 0$ for each $\alpha \in \Gamma$. It follows that $x \in \bigoplus_{p \in J} C(p^\infty)$, a torsion group. This is a contradiction as x has infinite order. Thus, for some $\alpha_0 \in \Gamma$, $\pi_{\alpha_0}(x) \neq 0$. Note that $\pi_{\alpha_0} \in \text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R})$ and is not the trivial homomorphism. It is obvious that $\text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R})$ is a vector space over \mathbf{R} in the usual way.

Proof of (1.2.2). Let $a \in S$ and $r \in \mathbf{R}$ be given. In establishing (1.2.1), we have shown that there exists $h_1 \in \text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R})$ with $h_1(a) \neq 0$. Let $s = h_1(a)$. Now define h by $h = (r/s)h_1$. Then $h(a) = r$, and $h \in \text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R})$.

2. Schreier Extension. We consider the following problem. Let C be a CCI-semigroup and ε be its identity. Given C , find all CCIF-semigroups S such that there is a homomorphism \mathcal{S} of S onto C satisfying the condition.

$$\{x \in S \mid \mathcal{S}(x) = \varepsilon\} \cong Z_+.$$

In this section we shall show that S always exists for every C and shall describe S in terms of elements of C , integers and a certain function of $C \times C$ into the integers. The extension S is called a Schreier extension (of Z_+) by C . (The terminology is due to [5].) Schreier extension by C is significant because we shall see that every CCIF-semigroup is isomorphic to a Schreier extension by some CCI-semigroup C .

THEOREM 2.1. *Let C be a CCI-semigroup and C_1 a proper ideal*

of C . (C_1 can be empty.) Let $I: C \times C \rightarrow Z$ be a function which satisfies

$$(2.1.1) \quad I(\alpha, \beta) \in Z_+^0 \text{ if } \alpha\beta \notin C_1$$

$$(2.1.2) \quad I(\alpha, \beta) = I(\beta, \alpha) \quad \text{for all } \alpha, \beta \in C$$

$$(2.1.3) \quad I(\alpha, \beta) + I(\alpha\beta, \gamma) = I(\alpha, \beta\gamma) + I(\beta, \gamma) \quad \text{for all } \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in C$$

$$(2.1.4) \quad I(\varepsilon, \alpha) = 1 \quad (\varepsilon \text{ the identity element of } C) \text{ for all } \alpha \in C.$$

Given C, C_1, I , the set $(C, C_1; I)$ with its operation is defined by

$$(C, C_1; I) = \{(x, \alpha) \in Z \times C; x \in Z_+^0 \text{ if } \alpha \notin C_1\}$$

$$(2.1.5) \quad (x, \alpha)(y, \beta) = (x + y + I(\alpha, \beta), \alpha\beta).$$

Then $(C, C_1; I)$ is a CCIF-semigroup.

Conversely if S is a CCIF-semigroup, then $(S \cong C, C_1; I)$ for some C, C_1, I .

Proof. It is routine to prove that $(C, C_1; I)$ is a commutative cancellative semigroup. To show idempotent-freeness, assume $(x, \alpha)^2 = (x, \alpha)$, that is, $\alpha^2 = \alpha$ and $2x + I(\alpha, \alpha) = x$. It follows that $\alpha = \varepsilon$ and $x + 1 = 0$. Since C_1 is a proper ideal of C , $\varepsilon \notin C_1$, hence $x \geq 0$ and we arrive at a contradiction.

Conversely assume that S is a CCIF-semigroup. Let $a \in S$, and define a relation ρ_a on S by

$$(2.1.6) \quad x\rho_a y \text{ iff } a^m x = a^n y \text{ for some } m, n \in Z_+.$$

It is easy to see that ρ_a is a congruence relation. To show that S/ρ_a is cancellative, assume $xz\rho_a yz$. Then $a^m xz = a^n yz$ for some $m, n \in Z_+$. Since S is cancellative, we get $a^m x = a^n y$, i.e., $x\rho_a y$. Obviously $ax\rho_a x$ for all $x \in S$, that is, the ρ_a -class containing a is the identity of S/ρ_a . Let $C = S/\rho_a$. C is a CCI-semigroup. In each ρ_a -class define $x \leq_a y$ by $x = a^m y$ for some $m \in Z_+$ where $a^0 y = y$. Because of cancellation, each ρ_a -class forms a chain with respect to \leq_a . Let $T = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} a^n S$ and let C_1 be the image of T under the natural homomorphism $S \rightarrow C$. If $T \neq \emptyset$, it is a proper ideal of S (since $a \notin T$) and thus C_1 is a proper ideal of C . Under the homomorphism $S \rightarrow C$ we have a partition of S : $S = \bigcup_{\xi \in C} S_\xi$. If $\xi \in C \setminus C_1$, S_ξ contains a maximal element with respect to \leq_a ; but if $\xi \in C_1$, S_ξ contains no maximal element. For each $\xi \in C$, define p_ξ to be $a \leq_a$ -maximal element in S_ξ if $\xi \in C \setminus C_1$, and p_ξ to be arbitrarily chosen from S_ξ if $\xi \in C_1$. Since C_1 is a proper ideal, $\varepsilon \notin C_1$, hence $p_\varepsilon = a$ because of (1.1). Then every element of S has a unique expression

$$x = a^m p_\xi \text{ where } m \in Z \text{ if } \xi \in C_1; m \in Z_+^0 \text{ if } \xi \in C \setminus C_1.$$

Define $I: C \times C \rightarrow Z$ as follows:

$$p_\alpha p_\beta = a^{I(\alpha, \beta)} p_{\alpha\beta}.$$

It is easy to see that I satisfies (2.1.1), (2.1.2), (2.1.3) and (2.1.4). S is isomorphic to $(C, C_1; I)$ under the map $a^m p_\varepsilon \mapsto (m, \xi)$.

The representation $(C, C_1; I)$ of S depends on the choice of a . The element a is called the standard element of the representation $(C, C_1; I)$ of S . S/ρ_a is called the structure CCI-semigroup of S with respect to a ; C is the structure CCI-semigroup of $(C, C_1; I)$, and $(0, \varepsilon)$ is the standard element. A function $I: C \times C \rightarrow Z$ satisfying (2.1.1), (2.1.2), (2.1.3), (2.1.4) is called an \mathcal{I} -function on (C, C_1) .

THEOREM 2.2. *Let C be a CCI-semigroup, and C_1 be a proper ideal of C . (C_1 can be empty.) Assume that $\varphi: C \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ satisfies*

$$(2.2.1) \quad \varphi(\alpha) + \varphi(\beta) - \varphi(\alpha\beta) \in \begin{cases} Z & \text{if } \alpha\beta \in C_1 \\ Z_+^0 & \text{if } \alpha\beta \notin C_1. \end{cases}$$

$$(2.2.2) \quad \varphi(\varepsilon) = 1.$$

Given C, φ , and C_1 , define $((C, C_1; \varphi))$ by

$$(2.2.3) \quad ((C, C_1; \varphi)) = \{((x + \varphi(\alpha), \alpha)): \alpha \in C, x \in Z, x \in Z_+^0 \text{ if } \alpha \notin C_1\}$$

and

$$(2.2.4) \quad ((x + \varphi(\alpha), \alpha))((y + \varphi(\beta), \beta)) = ((x + y + \varphi(\alpha) + \varphi(\beta), \alpha\beta)).$$

Then $((C, C_1; \varphi))$ is a CCIF-semigroup.

Conversely every CCIF-semigroup is isomorphic to $((C, C_1; \varphi))$ for some C, φ and C_1 , that is, $(C, C_1; I) \cong ((C, C_1; \varphi))$ under $(x, \alpha) \mapsto ((x + \varphi(\alpha), \alpha))$, $I(\alpha, \beta) = \varphi(\alpha) + \varphi(\beta) - \varphi(\alpha\beta)$.

Proof. Assume S is a CCIF-semigroup. By Theorem 2.1, we let $S = (C, C_1; I)$ for some C, I, C_1 . By (1.2.2), there is an $h \in \text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R})$ such $h(0, \varepsilon) \neq 0$. Define $\varphi: C \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by

$$(2.2.5) \quad \varphi(\alpha) = \frac{h(0, \alpha)}{h(0, \varepsilon)}.$$

If $I(\alpha, \beta) \geq 0$, then $(0, \alpha)(0, \beta) = (0, \varepsilon)^{I(\alpha, \beta)}(0, \alpha\beta)$ implies

$$h(0, \alpha) + h(0, \beta) = I(\alpha, \beta) \cdot h(0, \varepsilon) + h(0, \alpha\beta).$$

If $I(\alpha, \beta) < 0$, then $(0, \alpha)(0, \beta)(0, \varepsilon)^{-I(\alpha, \beta)} = (0, \alpha\beta)$ implies

$$h(0, \alpha) + h(0, \beta) - I(\alpha, \beta) \cdot h(0, \varepsilon) = h(0, \alpha\beta).$$

In both cases, using (2.2.5), we have

(2.2.6) $I(\alpha, \beta) = \varphi(\alpha) + \varphi(\beta) - \varphi(\alpha\beta)$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in C$. It is easy to see that φ satisfies (2.2.1) and (2.2.2); and $S = (C, C_1; I) \cong ((C, C_1; \varphi))$ under $(x, \alpha) \mapsto ((x + \varphi(\alpha), \alpha))$.

Conversely assume φ satisfies (2.2.1) and (2.2.2), define $((C, C_1; \varphi))$ by (2.2.3) and (2.2.4), and define I by (2.2.6). Then we can see that I satisfies (2.1.1), (2.1.2), (2.1.3) and (2.1.4), and $((x, \alpha)) \mapsto (x - \varphi(\alpha), \alpha)$ gives an isomorphism of $((C, C_1; \varphi))$ to $(C, C_1; I)$.

A function $\varphi: C \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is called a defining function on (C, C_1) if it satisfies (2.2.1) and (2.2.2); let $\text{Dfn}(C, C_1, \mathbf{R})$ denote the set of all defining functions on (C, C_1) . If φ satisfies (2.2.6) for a fixed I , φ is called a defining function belonging to I , and the set of all φ belonging to I is denoted by $\text{Dfn}_I(C, C_1, \mathbf{R})$.

COROLLARY 2.3. *S is a CCIF-semigroup if and only if S is isomorphic to the subdirect product of a CCI-semigroup C and a subsemigroup of \mathbf{R} by means of φ on C (i.e., by means of φ with (2.2.1) and (2.2.2) in the sense of (2.2.4)).*

COROLLARY 2.4. *Let S be a CCIF-semigroup. S is a subdirect product of a subsemigroup P of \mathbf{R}_+^0 and a CCI-semigroup C if and only if there exists $h \in \text{Hom}((S, \mathbf{R}_+^0))$ with $h \neq 0$.*

The problem posed at the beginning of the section is solved, that is,

$$\mathcal{S}: ((x + \varphi(\alpha), \alpha)) \longrightarrow \alpha$$

has kernel $K = \{((x + 1, \varepsilon)): x \in \mathbf{Z}_+^0\}$ and $K \cong \mathbf{Z}_+$ under $((x + 1, \varepsilon)) \rightarrow x + 1$.

Let $S = (C, C_1; I)$.

PROPOSITION 2.5. *Let $\varphi_0 \in \text{Dfn}_I(C, C_1, \mathbf{R})$ be fixed. If $f \in \text{Hom}(C, \mathbf{R})$ then $\varphi = \varphi_0 + f \in \text{Dfn}_I(C, C_1, \mathbf{R})$. Every element φ of $\text{Dfn}_I(C, C_1, \mathbf{R})$ can be obtained in this manner.*

PROPOSITION 2.6 (2.6.1). *Let $\varphi_0 \in \text{Dfn}_I(C, C_1, \mathbf{R})$ be fixed and $f \in \text{Hom}(C, \mathbf{R})$. Define $h: S \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by*

$$h(x, \alpha) = s(x + \varphi_0(\alpha) + f(\alpha)), \quad s \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Then $h \in \text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R})$. Every element h of $\text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R})$ satisfying $h(0, \varepsilon) \neq 0$ can be obtained in this manner.

(2.6.2) *Let $p: S \rightarrow C$ be the natural homomorphism. Then every h of $\text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R})$ satisfying $h(0, \varepsilon) = 0$ is obtained by $h = fp$ where $f \in \text{Hom}(C, \mathbf{R})$.*

Proof (2.6.1). As the former half is easily proved, we prove the latter half. By (1.2.1) $\text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R}) \neq \{0\}$, so there is h such that $h(0, \varepsilon) \neq 0$. If $x \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} h(x, \alpha) &= h((0, \varepsilon)^*(0, \alpha)) = x \cdot h(0, \varepsilon) + h(0, \alpha) \\ &= h(0, \varepsilon)(x + \varphi(\alpha)) = s(x + \varphi(\alpha)) \end{aligned}$$

where $s = h(0, \varepsilon)$; $\varphi(\alpha) = h(0, \alpha)/h(0, \varepsilon)$, $\varphi \in \text{Dfn}_I(C, C_1, \mathbf{R})$. If $x = 0$, $(0, \varepsilon)^x$ is regarded as void. If $x < 0$, $-x - 1 \geq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} h(0, \alpha) &= h((-x - 1, \varepsilon)(x, \alpha)) = h((0, \varepsilon)^{-x}(x, \alpha)) \\ &= (-x) \cdot h(0, \varepsilon) + h(x, \alpha) \end{aligned}$$

hence $h(x, \alpha) = h(0, \varepsilon)(x + \varphi(\alpha))$. By Proposition 2.5, φ is expressed as $\varphi_0 + f$. Thus we have the conclusion.

Proof. (2.6.2) Let $h \in \text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R})$ with $h(0, \varepsilon) = 0$. If $x \geq 0$, $h(x, \alpha) = x \cdot h(0, \varepsilon) + h(0, \alpha) = h(0, \alpha)$. If $x < 0$, $h(0, \alpha) = (-x) \cdot h(0, \varepsilon) + h(x, \alpha) = h(x, \alpha)$. Hence $h(x, \alpha) = h(0, \alpha)$ for all $(x, \alpha) \in S$. Define $f: C \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by $f(\alpha) = h(x, \alpha)$ where $(x, \alpha) \in S$. By the above result, f is well defined. Now

$$fp(x, \alpha) = f(\alpha) = h(x, \alpha), \quad \text{hence } h = fp.$$

It is easy to see that $fp \in \text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R})$ with $fp(0, \varepsilon) = 0$.

By the notation $S = (C, C_1; I) = ((C, C_1; \varphi))$ we mean that S has representation $(C, C_1; I)$ and $((C, C_1; \varphi))$ identifying (x, α) of $(C, C_1; I)$ with $((x + \varphi(\alpha), \alpha))$ of $((C, C_1; \varphi))$.

PROPOSITION 2.7. *Let S be a CCIF-semigroup. If $a \in S$ and if there is an $h \in \text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R}_+^0)$ such that $h(a) \neq 0$, then $C_1 = \emptyset$ using a as the standard element.*

Proof. Let $S = (C, C_1; I) = ((C, C_1; \varphi))$ and let a denote $(0, \varepsilon)$ in $(C, C_1; I)$ and at the same time $((1, \varepsilon))$ in $((C, C_1; \varphi))$. Let $\alpha \in C_1$. Then $(x, \alpha) \in (C, C_1; I)$ for all $x \in Z$. By Proposition 2.6

$$h(x, \alpha) = h(0, \varepsilon)(x + \varphi(\alpha)).$$

Since $h(0, \varepsilon) > 0$ and x is arbitrary, $h(x, \alpha) < 0$ if, $x < -\varphi(\alpha)$; a contradiction to the assumption. Hence $C_1 = \emptyset$.

A subsemigroup T of a commutative semigroup S is called *confinal* if, for every $x \in S$, there is a $y \in S$ such that $xy \in T$. Let $S = (C_1, C; I)$. The following are easily obtained.

LEMMA 2.8.

(2.8.1) *If $C \setminus C_1$ contains a cofinal subsemigroup of C , then $C_1 = \emptyset$.*

(2.8.2) *If C is an abelian group, then $C_1 = \emptyset$.*

We will now make a further investigation into defining functions and C_1 .

Let U denote the group of units of C . Let φ be a function

$C \rightarrow R$. Define a set $D_c(\varphi)$ by

$$D_c(\varphi) = \{\alpha \in C: \varphi(\xi) + \varphi(\eta) - \varphi(\alpha) < 0 \\ \text{for some } \xi, \eta \in C \text{ with } \alpha = \xi\eta\}.$$

We define defining functions from the point of C .

DEFINITION 2.9.

(2.9.1) A function $\varphi: C \rightarrow R$ is called a *defining function* on C if it satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \varphi(\varepsilon) = 1. \\ \varphi(\alpha) + \varphi(\beta) - \varphi(\alpha\beta) \in Z \text{ for all } \alpha, \beta \in C. \\ D_c(\varphi) \subseteq C \setminus U. \end{cases}$$

The set of defining functions on C is denoted by $\text{Dfn}(C, R)$.

(2.9.2) A defining function on C is called a *normal defining function* on C if $D_c(\varphi) = \emptyset$, and a *nonnormal defining function* on C if $D_c(\varphi) \neq \emptyset$. $D_c(\varphi)$ is called the *nonnormal domain* of φ . The set of normal defining functions on C is denoted by $\text{NDfn}(C, R)$.

PROPOSITION 2.10. Let $\varphi: C \rightarrow R$ be a defining function on C . Let C_1 be a proper ideal of C such that $D_c(\varphi) \subseteq C_1$. Then $\varphi \in \text{Dfn}(C, C_1, R)$. Conversely every defining function on (C, C_1) is a defining function on C .

The following three cases are possible:

- (i) φ is normal and $C_1 = \emptyset$
- (ii) φ is normal and $C_1 \neq \emptyset$
- (iii) φ is not normal and $C_1 \neq \emptyset$.

DEFINITION. In each case we consider the CCIF-semigroup $((C, C_1; \varphi))$. $((C, C_1; \varphi))$ is called a *normal representation* in case (i); *seminormal representation* in case (ii); *nonnormal representation* in case (iii). In case (i), $((C, C_1; \varphi))$ is denoted by $((C; \varphi))$. When φ is normal (nonnormal), the \mathcal{J} -function I defined by $I(\alpha, \beta) = \varphi(\alpha) + \varphi(\beta) - \varphi(\alpha\beta)$ is called *normal* (*nonnormal*); the corresponding semigroup is denoted by $(C, C_1; I)$, in particular $(C; I)$ in case (i).

PROPOSITION 2.11. Let $S = ((C, C_1; \varphi))$ with standard element a . Then $((C, C_1; \varphi))$ is a normal representation if and only if $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} a^n S = \emptyset$.

PROPOSITION 2.12. For every CCI-semigroup C there exist normal defining functions on C . If C is a CCI-semigroup and C_1 is a non-

empty proper ideal of C , there exist nonnormal defining functions φ such that the nonnormal domain of φ is contained in C_1 .

EXAMPLES 2.13. Let C be a CCI-semigroup.

(2.13.1) Define φ by

$$\varphi(\alpha) = 1 \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in C.$$

Then $\varphi \in \text{NDfn}(C, \mathbf{R})$, and $((C; \varphi)) \cong Z_+ \times C$.

(2.13.2) Let U be the group of units of C . Let φ_0 be a non-negative integer valued normal defining function on U . Define $\varphi: C \rightarrow Z_+^0$ by

$$\varphi(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \varphi_0(\alpha) & \text{if } \alpha \in U \\ c & \text{if } \alpha \notin U \end{cases}$$

where c is a constant nonnegative integer. Then φ is a normal defining function on C .

(2.13.3) Let C_1 be a nonempty proper ideal of C . Define φ by

$$\varphi(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 1 & \alpha \notin C_1 \\ -1 & \alpha \in C_1. \end{cases}$$

The φ is a nonnormal defining function on C such that $D_c(\varphi) \subseteq C_1$.

(2.13.4) Assume that ε is the only unit of C . Suppose $\varphi_0: C \setminus \{\varepsilon\} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ satisfies, for all $\alpha, \beta \in C \setminus \{\varepsilon\}$.

$$\varphi_0(\alpha) + \varphi_0(\beta) - \varphi_0(\alpha\beta) \in Z.$$

Define $\varphi: C \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by

$$\varphi(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 1 & \alpha = \varepsilon \\ \varphi_0(\alpha) & \alpha \neq \varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

Then φ is a defining function on C .

As another example, consider the case $C = Z_+^0$.

(2.14) Let $C = Z_+^0$. Let $\delta: Z_+ \rightarrow Z$ be a function with $\delta(1) = 0$ and let r be a real number. Define $\varphi: Z_+^0 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by

$$\varphi(m) = \begin{cases} 1 & m = 0 \\ mr - \delta(m) & m > 0. \end{cases}$$

If $D_{Z_+^0}(\varphi) \neq \emptyset$, take a proper ideal C_1 with $C_1 \supseteq D_{Z_+^0}(\varphi)$. Then $\varphi \in \text{Dfn}(C, C_1; \mathbf{R})$. Every defining function on C is obtained in this manner. In particular if δ satisfies

$$\delta(m) + \delta(n) \leq \delta(m+n) \quad \text{for all } m, n \in Z_+,$$

then φ is a normal defining function on C .

We are interested in the important case, i.e., case where C is a group. In the next section we discuss the structure of $((C, \varphi))$ where C is a group. Then we will see that Example (2.14) is isomorphic to a Schreier extension by a group.

3. $\bar{\mathfrak{N}}$ -Semigroups.

DEFINITION 3.1. If S is a commutative semigroup and $v \in S$ such that for all $x \in S$ there exist $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $y \in S$ with $v^m = xy$, then S is called a *subarchimedean* semigroup and the element v is called a *pivot element* of S .

DEFINITION 3.2. An $\bar{\mathfrak{N}}$ -semigroup is a subarchimedean CCIF-semigroup.

LEMMA 3.3. *The pivot elements of a subarchimedean semigroup form an archimedean component and ideal of the semigroup.*

Proof. Let A be the set of pivot elements of a subarchimedean semigroup S . Let $v \in A$ and $x \in S$. There exist $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $y \in S$ such that $v^m = xy$. Then $(vz)^m = x(yz^m)$ for every $z \in S$; hence $vz \in A$. Thus A is an ideal of S . To see that A is archimedean, let $u, v \in A$. Then there exist $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $y \in S$ such that $v^m = uy$, therefore $v^{m+1} = u(yv)$ and $yv \in A$. Therefore A is archimedean. Let A_0 be the archimedean component containing $v \in A$. Obviously $A \subseteq A_0$. Let $u \in A_0$, so $u^n = vy$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, some $y \in S$. Let $z \in S$. As $v \in A$, $v^k = zt$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, some $t \in S$. Then $u^{nk} = v^k y^k = z(ty^k)$, hence $u \in A$, $A_0 \subseteq A$. Thus we have proved $A = A_0$.

LEMMA 3.4. *A homomorphic image of a subarchimedean semigroup is a subarchimedean semigroup.*

Proof. Let S be a subarchimedean semigroup, and f a surjective homomorphism of S onto a semigroup T . Let v be a pivot element of S . Then for all $x \in S$ there exist $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $y \in S$ such that $v^m = xy$. Hence $(f(v))^m = f(x)f(y)$, and we see that $f(v)$ is a pivot element of T .

LEMMA 3.5. *Let S be a CCIF-semigroup. S is subarchimedean if and only if S/ρ_a is subarchimedean for (some) all $a \in S$.*

Proof. If S is subarchimedean then S/ρ_a being a homomorphic image of S is subarchimedean for all $a \in S$ by Lemma 3.4. Conversely,

if $a \in S$ and S/ρ_a is subarchimedean let \bar{x} denote the ρ_a -class of $x \in S$. Let \bar{v} be a pivot element of S/ρ_a . Then for all $\bar{x} \in S/\rho_a$ there exists $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $\bar{y} \in S/\rho_a$ such that $\bar{v}^m = \bar{x}\bar{y}$. Hence, by the definition of ρ_a we have $v^m a^k = xya^l$ for some $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Therefore, $(va)^{m+k} = x(ya^{l+m}v^k)$ and we see that va is a pivot element of S .

LEMMA 3.6. *If S is an $\bar{\mathfrak{N}}$ -semigroup then $\text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R}_+^0) \neq \{0\}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.3, S contains an \mathfrak{N} -semigroup A which is an ideal of S . By [2, 7, 8] $\text{Hom}(A, \mathbf{R}_+) \neq \{\emptyset\}$. Let $h \in \text{Hom}(A, \mathbf{R}_+)$. Then $h \neq 0$. Define $\bar{h}: S \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by $\bar{h}(x) = h(ax) - h(a)$ for $a \in A$ and $x \in S$. Let $a, b \in A$, and $x \in S$. Then $h(ax) + h(b) = h((ax)b) = h((bx)a) = h(bx) + h(a)$, so $h(ax) - h(a) = h(bx) - h(b)$. Thus \bar{h} is well defined. Also, $\bar{h}(xy) = h(a^2xy) - h(a^2) = h(ax) - h(a) + h(ay) - h(a) = \bar{h}(x) + \bar{h}(y)$, hence \bar{h} is a homomorphism. If $\bar{h}(x) < 0$ for some $x \in S$, choose $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $h(a) + n\bar{h}(x) < 0$. Since $ax^n \in A$, $h(ax^n) > 0$, but $h(ax^n) = h(a) + n\bar{h}(x) < 0$, a contradiction. Hence $\bar{h} \in \text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R}_+^0)$. As $\bar{h}|_A = h \neq 0$, $\text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R}_+^0) \neq \{0\}$.

LEMMA 3.7. *Let S be an $\bar{\mathfrak{N}}$ -semigroup. Then $a \in S$ is a pivot element if and only if S/ρ_a is an abelian group.*

Proof. Let A be the archimedean ideal of pivot elements of S , and let $a \in A$. Then $A/(\rho_a|_A)$ is an abelian group, and for all $x \in S$ we have $(x, xa) \in \rho_a$ where $xa \in A$. Hence $S/\rho_a \cong A/(\rho_a|_A)$ and S/ρ_a is an abelian group. Conversely if S/ρ_a is an abelian group then for all $x \in S$ there exists $y \in S$ such that $\bar{a} = \bar{x}\bar{y}$ in S/ρ_a . (See the notation in the proof of Lemma 3.5.) Thus $a^m = xya^l$ for some $m, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Hence $a \in A$.

THEOREM 3.8. *Let S be a CCIF-semigroup, and for $a \in S$ let ρ_a be defined by (2.1.6). The following are equivalent:*

- (3.8.1) S is an $\bar{\mathfrak{N}}$ -semigroup.
- (3.8.2) S/ρ_a is subarchimedean for all $a \in S$.
- (3.8.3) S/ρ_a is subarchimedean for some $a \in S$.
- (3.8.4) Some archimedean component of S is an ideal of S .
- (3.8.5) S/ρ_a is an abelian group for some $a \in S$.
- (3.8.6) $S \cong (G; I)$ where G is an abelian group and I is an \mathcal{I} -function on G .

(3.8.7) S is isomorphic to a subdirect product of an abelian group G and a subsemigroup of \mathbf{R}_+^0 by means of a defining function φ on G .

Proof. By Lemma 3.5, the first three conditions are equivalent.

By Lemma 3.7, (3.8.1) implies (3.8.5); obviously (3.8.5) implies (3.8.3). By Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.7, (3.8.5) implies (3.8.4). Assume (3.8.4). Let I be the ideal and archimedean component, and let $a \in I$, $x \in S$. Since $ax \in I$, $a^m = axy$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and some $y \in I$, hence $a^m = x(ay)$, that is, a is a pivot element of S . By Lemma 3.7, (3.8.5) holds. By Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.8, (3.8.5) implies (3.8.6). Conversely if $S \cong (G; I)$, then $G \cong S/\rho_{(0, \varepsilon)}$. Thus the first six conditions are equivalent. To see that (3.8.1) and (3.8.6) imply (3.8.7), let S be an \mathfrak{N} -semigroup. By Lemma 3.6, there exists a nontrivial homomorphism h of S into \mathbf{R}_+^0 , and by (3.8.6), $S \cong (G; I)$ for some abelian group G and an \mathcal{I} -function I . Let $\varphi(\alpha) = h(0, \alpha)/h(0, \varepsilon)$ for all $\alpha \in G$. (Clearly we can assume $h(0, \varepsilon) \neq 0$.) Then by the proof of Theorem 2.2 we have (3.8.7). Finally if we assume (3.8.7), $S \cong ((G; \varphi))$ for some $\varphi: G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+^0$, then when we define $I(\alpha, \beta) = \varphi(\alpha) + \varphi(\beta) - \varphi(\alpha, \beta)$, we have $S \cong (G; I)$ as before. Hence (3.8.7) implies (3.8.6). The proof has been completed.

COROLLARY 3.9. *Let S be a CCIF-semigroup. S is an \mathfrak{N} -semigroup if and only if S/ρ_a is an abelian group for all $a \in S$.*

Proof. Let A be the set of pivot elements of S . If S is an \mathfrak{N} -semigroup then $S = A$ and so S/ρ_a is an abelian group for all $a \in S$. Conversely if S/ρ_a is an abelian group for all $a \in S$ then $S = A$ by Lemma 3.7. Hence S is archimedean, hence an \mathfrak{N} -semigroup.

4. Homomorphisms into \mathbf{R}_+^0 . As seen in §3 every \mathfrak{N} -semigroup has a nontrivial homomorphism into \mathbf{R}_+^0 . The following question is raised.

Is a CCIF-semigroup nontrivially homomorphic into \mathbf{R}_+^0 ? We cannot answer this question in general, but in some special case it is affirmative.

Let S be a CCIF-semigroup. As defined in §1, $Q(S)$ denotes the quotient group and $D(S)$ the divisible hull of $Q(S)$.

$$D(S) \cong \bigoplus_{p \in I} C(p^\infty) \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_\alpha$$

where R_α is a copy of the additive group of rationals and $C(p^\infty)$ is a quasicyclic group. The cardinality $|\Gamma|$ of Γ is called the *rank* of S . In the present case the rank of S is not zero since $\bigoplus_{p \in I} C(p^\infty)$ is torsion while S is torsion-free.

In particular, assume that S is of finite rank. Let T be the torsion subgroup of $D(S)$, then $D(S) = T \oplus R_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus R_n$ where n is

the rank of S . We can assume $R_i \neq \{0\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let $P_i = R_1 \oplus \dots \oplus R_i$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then $P_n = P_{n-1} \oplus R_n$ if $n > 1$; and $D(S) = T \oplus P_n$ if $n \geq 1$. Let $\alpha, \bar{\sigma}, \sigma, \pi_n, \tau_n$ be the respective projection homomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha: D(S) &\longrightarrow T, & \bar{\sigma}: D(S) &\longrightarrow P_n, & \sigma &= \bar{\sigma}|_S, \\ \pi_n: P_n &\longrightarrow P_{n-1}, & \tau: P_n &\longrightarrow R_n & (n \geq 1) \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 4.1. *If S is a CCIF-semigroup of finite rank, then $\text{Hom}(S, R_+^0) \neq \{0\}$. (R_+^0 is the additive semigroup of nonnegative rationals.)*

Proof. S is viewed as a subsemigroup of $D(S)$. We will prove the theorem by induction on n . Let $V_n = \pi_n \sigma(S)$, $W_n = \tau_n \sigma(S)$, $V = \sigma(S)$, $T' = \alpha(S)$. As $D(S) = T \oplus P_n$, we have

$$S = T' \oplus_s V, \text{ and if } n > 1, V = V_n \oplus_s W_n,$$

where \oplus_s denotes a subdirect sum, $V \subseteq P_n$, $V_n \subseteq P_{n-1}$, $W_n \subseteq R_n$, and $T' \subseteq T$, hence T' is a torsion group. First we prove

$$(4.1.1) \quad V \text{ does not contain } 0.$$

Suppose V contains 0. There is $x \in T'$ such that $(x, 0) \in S$. Since T' is a torsion group, $mx = 0$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Then $(0, 0) = (x, 0)^m \in S$. This is a contradiction as S has no idempotent.

In case $n = 1$, $S = T' \oplus_s W_1$ where $W_1 = V \subset R_1$. By (4.1.1), W_1 must be isomorphic to a positive rational semigroup R'_1 , say, under f , i.e., $f(W_1) = R'_1$, hence $f\tau_1\sigma \in \text{Hom}(S, R_+^0) \setminus \{0\}$.

Assume $n > 1$ and that the theorem holds for all semigroups of rank i such that $i \leq n - 1$. As denoted above,

$$S = T' \oplus_s V, \quad V = V_n \oplus_s W_n$$

where $V_n \subseteq P_{n-1}$, $W_n \subseteq R_n$. We can assume $V_n \neq \{0\}$, otherwise it is reduced to the case $n = 1$.

If V_n is a CCIF-semigroup, V_n has a nontrivial homomorphism f from V_n into R_+^0 by the induction assumption, hence $f\pi_n\sigma \in \text{Hom}(S, R_+^0) \setminus \{0\}$.

If V_n is a CCI-semigroup which is not a group, then $V_n = V'_n \cup H$ where $V'_n \neq \emptyset$, $H \neq \emptyset$, V'_n is an ideal of V_n and it is a CCIF-semigroup, and H is a group. Define S' by $S' = ((\pi_n\sigma)^{-1}(V'_n)) \cap S$ and $W'_n = \tau_n\sigma(S')$. Then S' is an ideal of S and

$$S' = V'_n \oplus_s W'_n.$$

By the preceding paragraph, $\text{Hom}(S', R_+^0)$ contains a nontrivial

element f . However, since S' is an ideal of S , f can be extended to $\bar{f} \in \text{Hom}(S, R_+^0)$. In fact \bar{f} is obtained by defining $\bar{f}(x) = f(ax) - f(a)$ where $x \in S, a \in S'$. It is easy to show that \bar{f} is well defined and a homomorphism. Suppose $\bar{f}(x_1) < 0$ for some $x_1 \in S$. There exists $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $m\bar{f}(x_1) + f(a) < 0$. However

$$m\bar{f}(x_1) + f(a) = f(ax_1^m) \geq 0$$

since $ax_1^m \in S'$. This contradicts the assumption. Therefore $\bar{f}(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in S$. Hence $\text{Hom}(S, R_+^0) \neq \{0\}$. Assume V_n is a group. Let $\bar{W}_n = \{(0, z) : z \in W_n\} \cap V$. It is obvious that \bar{W}_n is a subsemigroup if $\bar{W}_n \neq \emptyset$. If $x \in V$, x has the form $x = (x_1, x_2) \in V_n \oplus_s W_n, x_1 \in V_n, x_2 \in W_n$. Since V_n is a group, there exists $y_2 \in W_n$ such that $y = (-x_1, y_2) \in V$. Then $xy = (0, x_2 + y_2) \in \bar{W}_n$. This proves that $\bar{W}_n \neq \emptyset$ and it is cofinal in V . Suppose $x \in V$ and $a, xa \in \bar{W}_n$. We write $x = (x_1, x_2), a = (0, a_2)$ viewing them as in $V_n \oplus_s W_n$. Then $xa = (x_1, x_2 + a_2) \in \bar{W}_n$ implies $x_1 = 0$, hence $x \in \bar{W}_n$. Thus \bar{W}_n is unitary in V . Since \bar{W}_n does not contain $(0, 0)$ by (4.1.1), \bar{W}_n is isomorphic to a positive rational semigroup R'_n under f : $\bar{W}_n \rightarrow R'_n$. By (4.1.2) below, f extends to $\bar{f} \in \text{Hom}(V, R_+^0)$. Therefore $\bar{f}\sigma \in \text{Hom}(S, R_+^0) \setminus \{0\}$.

(4.1.2) *Let S be a CCIF-semigroup and let U be a unitary cofinal subsemigroup of S . Then every homomorphism of U into R_+^0 extends to a homomorphism of S into R_+^0 .*

This is immediately obtained from [4].

The proof of Theorem 4.1 has been completed.

REMARK 4.2. Let $S = R_+ \oplus (\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_\alpha)$ where $|\Gamma| = \infty, R_\alpha$ is the group of rationals. We note that $\text{Hom}(S, R_+^0) \neq \{0\}$, yet S is not of finite rank. Thus the converse of Theorem 4.1 does not hold.

Next we consider the relation between nontriviality of $\text{Hom}(S, R_+^0)$ and the property

$$(4.3) \quad \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} a^n S = \emptyset \quad \text{for some } a \in S.$$

PROPOSITION 4.4. *If $\text{Hom}(S, R_+^0) \neq \{0\}$, then there is an element $a \in S$ satisfying (4.3).*

Proof. Let $h \in \text{Hom}(S, R_+^0), h \neq 0$. There is $a \in S$ such that $h(a) \neq 0$. Choose a as a standard element. We have $C_1 = \emptyset$ by Proposition 2.7 and then have (4.3) by Proposition 2.11.

The converse of Proposition 4.4 is still open.

Problem 4.5. Let S be a CCIF-semigroup. If $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} a^n S = \emptyset$ for some $a \in S$, then is the following true

$$\text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R}_+^0) \neq \{0\}?$$

However, we give a few examples with respect to the related problems.

EXAMPLE 4.6. Let $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} a^n S = \emptyset$. There does not necessarily exist $h \in \text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R}_+^0)$ such that $h(a) \neq 0$.

Let $S = ((Z_+^0; \varphi))$ where $\varphi: Z_+^0 \rightarrow Z$ is defined by

$$\varphi(m) = 1 - m^2.$$

It can be easily shown that φ is a normal defining function on Z_+^0 , and that if $a = ((1, 0))$, $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} a^n S = \emptyset$. Every element f_i of $\text{Hom}(Z_+^0, \mathbf{R})$ has the form

$$f_i(m) = tm \quad t \in \mathbf{R},$$

but there is no t satisfying

$$\varphi(m) + f_i(m) = 1 - m^2 + tm \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } m \in Z_+^0.$$

By Proposition 2.6, (2.6.1), there is no $h \in \text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R}_+^0)$ with $h(a) \neq 0$. However the projection $h_0: S \rightarrow Z_+^0$ is a nontrivial element of $\text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R}_+^0)$ such that $h_0(a) = 0$. Thus $\text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R}_+^0) \neq \{0\}$ and so Example 4.6 is not a counterexample to the converse of Proposition 4.4. In fact the semigroup S is an \mathfrak{N} -semigroup.

EXAMPLE 4.7. We exhibit an example of a CCIF-semigroup S which satisfies

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} a^n S \neq \emptyset \quad \text{for all } a \in S,$$

and hence $\text{Hom}(S, \mathbf{R}_+^0) = \{0\}$.

Let $S = \{(a_1, \dots, a_m): m, a_m \in Z_+, a_i \in Z, 1 \leq i < m\}$

and define a binary operation on S as follows: if $m \leq n$,

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1, \dots, a_m)(b_1, \dots, b_n) &= (b_1, \dots, b_n)(a_1, \dots, a_m) \\ &= (a_1 + b_1, \dots, a_m + b_m, b_{m+1}, \dots, b_n). \end{aligned}$$

Then, with this product, S is a CCIF-semigroup. Let $S_1 = Z_+$ and $S_i = Z^{i-1} \times Z_+$ for $i > 1$. Then S is the union of the infinite chain of S_i 's, $S = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i$ and $S_i S_j \subseteq S_j$ if $i \leq j$. If $a \in S_m$ then

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} a^n S = \bigcup_{i>m} S_i.$$

DEFINITION 4.8. A semigroup S is called an \mathfrak{N}' -semigroup if S is isomorphic to a subsemigroup of an \mathfrak{N} -semigroup.

THEOREM 4.9. *Let S be a CCIF-semigroup. S is an \mathfrak{N}' -semigroup if and only if*

$$\text{Hom}(S, R_+) \neq \emptyset.$$

Proof. Assume that S is a subsemigroup of an \mathfrak{N} -semigroup T . By [6, 7] there is an $h \in \text{Hom}(T, R_+)$. Let h_1 be the restriction of h to S . Then $h_1 \in \text{Hom}(S, R_+)$.

Conversely let $\text{Hom}(S, R_+) \neq \emptyset$. By Proposition 2.7, $C_1 = \emptyset$. By Theorem 2.2 and its Corollaries, $S \cong (C; \varphi)$ where C is a CCI-semigroup and $\varphi \in \text{DNfn}(C, R)$; and S is isomorphic to a subdirect product of a subsemigroup P of R_+ and C , $S \cong P \times_s C$. Let Q be the group of quotients of C . Then $P \times_s C$ is a subsemigroup of the direct product $R_+ \times Q$, but the last direct product is an \mathfrak{N} -semigroup. Consequently S is an \mathfrak{N}' -semigroup.

The two concepts, \mathfrak{N} -semigroup and \mathfrak{N}' -semigroup, are independent of each other.

EXAMPLE 4.10. Let $S = Z_+ \cup (Z \times Z_+)$. A binary operation is defined to be the same as Example 4.7, that is, S is a subsemigroup of the semigroup in Example 4.7. S is an $\bar{\mathfrak{N}}$ -semigroup, but we prove $\text{Hom}(S, R_+) = \emptyset$ as follows:

Let $x \in Z_+$ and $(a_1, a_2) \in Z \times Z_+$. There exists $(b_1, b_2) \in Z \times Z_+$ such that

$$x \cdot (b_1, b_2) = (a_1, a_2).$$

Suppose $h \in \text{Hom}(S, R_+) \neq \emptyset$. Then

$$h(x) < h(a_1, a_2) \quad \text{for all } x \in Z_+ \text{ and all } (a_1, a_2) \in Z \times Z_+.$$

In particular $h(1) < h(a_1, a_2)$, but there is $x \in Z_+$ such that $x \cdot h(1) > h(a_1, a_2)$. Accordingly $h(x) = x \cdot h(1) > h(a_1, a_2)$. This contradiction proves $\text{Hom}(S, R_+) = \emptyset$, hence S is not an \mathfrak{N}' -semigroup.

EXAMPLE 4.11. Let S be the free commutative semigroup generated by infinitely countable letters $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, \dots$. (The empty word is not considered.) S is obviously a CCIF-semigroup and $\text{Hom}(S, R_+) \neq \emptyset$ since

$$a_{i_1}^{m_1} \cdots a_{i_k}^{m_k} \longmapsto m_1 + \cdots + m_k$$

gives a homomorphism of S into Z_+ . However S is not an $\bar{\mathfrak{N}}$ -semi-

group, as the greatest semilattice homomorphic image of S does not have a zero.

REMARK. According to his recent personal letter to one of the authors, Professor Yuji Kobayashi, Tokushima University, has negatively answered Problem 4.5 by showing a counter example.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. The authors express their heart felt thanks to the referee of his kind advice to this paper.

REFERENCES

1. A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *Algebraic theory of semigroups*, vol. 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 1961.
2. Y. Kobayashi, *Homomorphisms on N -semigroups into R_+ and the structure of N -semigroups*, J. Math. Tokushima University, **7** (1973), 1-20.
3. M. Petrich, *Introduction to Semigroups*, C. E. Merrill Publ. Co., 1973.
4. M. S. Putcha and T. Tamura, *Homomorphisms of commutative cancellative semigroups into nonnegative real numbers*, to appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.
5. L. Rédei, *Die Verallgemeinerung der Schreierscher Erweiterungstheorie*, Acta Sci. Math., Szeged., **14** (1952), 252-273.
6. T. Tamura, *Commutative nonpotent archimedean semigroup with cancellation law*, I., J. Gakugei Tokushima Univ., **8** (1957), 5-11.
7. ———, *Basic study of \mathfrak{N} -semigroups and their homomorphisms*, Semigroup Forum, **8** (1974), 21-50.
8. ———, *Irreducible \mathfrak{N} semigroups*, Math. Nachrt., **63** (1974), 71-88.

Received March 19, 1975.

CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, SACRAMENTO
 CALIFORNIA STATE COLLEGE, STANISLAUS
 AND
 UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS, CALIFORNIA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of your manuscript. You may however, use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics expects the author's institution to pay page charges, and reserves the right to delay publication for nonpayment of charges in case of financial emergency.

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.),
8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1975 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Graham Donald Allen, Francis Joseph Narcowich and James Patrick Williams, <i>An operator version of a theorem of Kolmogorov</i>	305
Joel Hilary Anderson and Ciprian Foias, <i>Properties which normal operators share with normal derivations and related operators</i>	313
Constantin Gelu Apostol and Norberto Salinas, <i>Nilpotent approximations and quasiniipotent operators</i>	327
James M. Briggs, Jr., <i>Finitely generated ideals in regular F-algebras</i>	339
Frank Benjamin Cannonito and Ronald Wallace Gatterdam, <i>The word problem and power problem in 1-relator groups are primitive recursive</i>	351
Clifton Earle Corzatt, <i>Permutation polynomials over the rational numbers</i>	361
L. S. Dube, <i>An inversion of the S_2 transform for generalized functions</i>	383
William Richard Emerson, <i>Averaging strongly subadditive set functions in unimodular amenable groups. I</i>	391
Barry J. Gardner, <i>Semi-simple radical classes of algebras and attainability of identities</i>	401
Irving Leonard Glicksberg, <i>Removable discontinuities of A-holomorphic functions</i>	417
Fred Halpern, <i>Transfer theorems for topological structures</i>	427
H. B. Hamilton, T. E. Nordahl and Takayuki Tamura, <i>Commutative cancellative semigroups without idempotents</i>	441
Melvin Hochster, <i>An obstruction to lifting cyclic modules</i>	457
Alistair H. Lachlan, <i>Theories with a finite number of models in an uncountable power are categorical</i>	465
Kjeld Laursen, <i>Continuity of linear maps from C^*-algebras</i>	483
Tsai Sheng Liu, <i>Oscillation of even order differential equations with deviating arguments</i>	493
Jorge Martinez, <i>Doubling chains, singular elements and hyper-Z l-groups</i>	503
Mehdi Radjabalipour and Heydar Radjavi, <i>On the geometry of numerical ranges</i>	507
Thomas I. Seidman, <i>The solution of singular equations, I. Linear equations in Hilbert space</i>	513
R. James Tomkins, <i>Properties of martingale-like sequences</i>	521
Alfons Van Daele, <i>A Radon Nikodým theorem for weights on von Neumann algebras</i>	527
Kenneth S. Williams, <i>On Euler's criterion for quintic nonresidues</i>	543
Manfred Wischnewsky, <i>On linear representations of affine groups. I</i>	551
Scott Andrew Wolpert, <i>Noncompleteness of the Weil-Petersson metric for Teichmüller space</i>	573
Volker Wrobel, <i>Some generalizations of Schauder's theorem in locally convex spaces</i>	579
Birge Huisgen-Zimmermann, <i>Endomorphism rings of self-generators</i>	587
Kelly Denis McKennon, <i>Corrections to: "Multipliers of type (p, p)"; "Multipliers of type (p, p) and multipliers of the group L_p-algebras"; "Multipliers and the group L_p-algebras"</i>	603
Andrew M. W. Glass, W. Charles (Wilbur) Holland Jr. and Stephen H. McCleary, <i>Correction to: "a^*-closures to completely distributive lattice-ordered groups"</i>	606
Zvi Arad and George Isaac Glauberger, <i>Correction to: "A characteristic subgroup of a group of odd order"</i>	607
Roger W. Barnard and John Lawson Lewis, <i>Correction to: "Subordination theorems for some classes of starlike functions"</i>	607
David Westreich, <i>Corrections to: "Bifurcation of operator equations with unbounded linearized part"</i>	608