Pacific Journal of Mathematics

COMPLEX VECTOR FIELDS AND DIVISIBLE CHERN CLASSES

ROBERT D. LITTLE

Vol. 62, No. 2

February 1976

COMPLEX VECTOR FIELDS AND DIVISIBLE CHERN CLASSES

ROBERT D. LITTLE

This paper contains two theorems which relate the maximal number of independent sections of a complex bundle over a manifold to the Chern classes of the bundle and certain functional cohomology operations. The main theoretical result of the paper is a formula which relates the obstruction to a lifting in a fibration and a functional cohomology operation.

1. **Introduction.** Let M be a connected, closed, orientable, smooth manifold of dimension 2n. If ω is a complex *n*-plane bundle over M, the complex span of ω is the maximal number of cross-sections of ω which are linearly independent over the complex numbers. In this paper, we consider the following question: when is complex span $\omega \ge q$? Hopf's theorem says that complex span $\omega > 0$ if and only if ω has vanishing Euler class and the theorems of Thomas ([10] and [11]) give an effective answer in the case q = 2. We study this problem in the cases q = 3, 4 and establish theorems which give necessary and sufficient conditions for complex span $\omega \ge q$ in terms of the Chern classes of ω and certain functional cohomology operations. The Chern class of ω in $H^{2i}(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is denoted by $c_i(\omega)$. If $\delta_p P^1$ denotes the Steenrod *p*-power P^1 followed by the Bockstein associated with reduction mod p, $\delta_a P^1_{\omega}(c(i - p^2))$ (p + 1)) denotes a subset of a functional operation defined on the universal Chern class c(i - p + 1) and contained in $H^{2i}(M; \mathbb{Z})$. This subset will be described in the second section of this paper. If p is a prime, M is *j*-connected mod p if $H_i(M; \mathbb{Z}_p) = 0, 1 \le i \le j$. In both theorems below, M is 1-connected mod 2 and 3.

THEOREM 1. If n is even, $n \ge 6$, then complex span $\omega \ge 3$ if and only if $c_i(\omega) = 0$, $n - 2 \le i \le n$, and $0 \in \delta Sq_{\omega}^2(c(n-2))$.

THEOREM 2. If n is odd, $n \neq 1 \pmod{3}$, $n \geq 9$, and M is 3-connected mod 2, then complex span $\omega \geq 4$ if and only if $c_i(\omega) = 0$, $n - 3 \leq i \leq n$, $0 \in \delta Sq_{\omega}^2(c(n-3))$, and $0 \in \delta_3 P_{\omega}^1(c(n-3))$.

In Theorem 1, if $n \neq 0 \pmod{3}$, and $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, the connectedness hypothesis can be dropped. Thomas and Gilmore [11] show that if M is 3-connected, then for every n, complex span $\omega \ge 3$ if and only if $c_{n-2}(\omega) = 0$ and $c_n(\omega) = 0$. Gilmore [2] proves theorems similar to Theorems 1 and 2 in which he assumes that $H_2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ and $H_4(M; \mathbb{Z})$, respectively, have no elements of order 2. For the specified values of n, our theorems contain the results of Thomas and Gilmore, because the operation $\delta_p P^1_{\omega}(c(i-p+1))$ is a set of elements of order p and hence must vanish if $H^{2i}(M; \mathbb{Z})$ has no p-torsion. If M is an almost-complex manifold with almost-complex structure ω , our theorems relate the complex span of M to the Chern classes of M and the functional operations.

2. Obstruction formulas. Let the map $f: M \to BU(n)$ classify ω . It is clear that complex span $\omega \ge q$ if and only if f lifts to the total space of the fibration $W_{n,q} \to BU(n-q) \to BU(n)$ where $W_{n,q}$ is the Stiefel variety of complex q-frames in complex n-space. We will apply two obstruction formulas to this lifting problem. The first formula is due to Olum [8]. If $\pi: E \to B$ is a fibration with fiber F, c in $H^{n-1}(F; G)$ a class transgressing to d in $H^n(B; G)$, X a CW complex and $f: X \to B$ a map such that the lifting obstruction $O^n(f)$ is nonvoid, then

(2.1)
$$-c_*O^n(f) = f^*d,$$

where $c_*: H^n(X; \pi_{n-1}(F)) \to H^n(X; G)$ is induced by $c_*: \pi_{n-1}(F) \to G$, the composite of the Hurewicz homomorphism and evaluation.

The second formula is the main theoretical result of this paper. We assume that there is a class a in $H^{n-2p+1}(F; \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\delta P^1 a = 0$ and a is the only class transgressing to b, where $f^*b = 0$ and $P^1b \equiv 0$ (mod integral classes in kernel $\pi^* \cap \text{kernel } f^*$). Under these hypotheses, there are liftings $f_a: F \to K(\mathbb{Z}, n-2p+1; \mathbb{Z}, n-1, \delta P^1)$ and $f_b: B$ $\to K(\mathbb{Z}, n-2p+2; \mathbb{Z}, n, \delta P^1)$ of a and b, where the range spaces are two-stage Postnikov systems induced by δP^1 . In [3], we show that the set $\{f_{a\#}: \pi_{n-1}(F) \to \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a congruence class modulo the image of the Hurewicz homomorphism and for every [g] in $\pi_{n-1}(F), f_{a\#}[g] \in \delta P_g^1(a)$, where δP_g^1 is the standard functional operation. (See [6], p. 157.) Therefore the induced homomorphism $f_a : H^n(X; \pi_{n-1}(F)) \to H^n(X; \mathbb{Z})$ can be effectively computed in some cases. In the proposition below, ι denotes the fundamental class of $K(\mathbb{Z}, n-2p+2; \mathbb{Z}, n, \delta P^1)$ and we assume that $H^n(E; \mathbb{Z})$ and $H^n(B; \mathbb{Z})$ are torsion free.

PROPOSITION 2.2. If $\pi: E \to B$ is a fibration satisfying the above hypotheses and p is a prime such that $n \ge 2(2p-1)$, then we have containments

(2.3)
$$f_{a*}O^{n}(f) \equiv \delta P_{f_{a}}^{1}(\iota) \equiv \delta P_{f}^{1}(b).$$

Note that (2.3) shows that the obstruction is contained in the

operation $\delta P_f^1(b)$. A general result of this kind was obtained by Meyer. (See [5], §13.) It will be clear in the proof of (2.2) that the indeterminacy of $\delta P_{f,f}^1$ is image δP^1 , and so (2.3) expesses the obstruction as an operation with smaller indeterminacy than the indeterminacy of δP_f^1 , image ($\delta P^1 + f^*$). Proposition 2.2 will follow from the next lemma. In the proof of the lemma, the reduction mod p of an integral class θ will be denoted by $\overline{\theta}$.

LEMMA 2.4. The composite $f_b \pi$ is homotopically trivial.

Proof. Let $K = K(\mathbf{Z}, n - 2p + 2; \mathbf{Z}, n, \delta P^1)$. If $n \ge 2(2p - 1)$, $H^n(K; \mathbf{Z})$ is isomorphic to \mathbf{Z} modulo finite groups with a free generator θ such that $\theta_*: \pi_n(K) \to \mathbf{Z}$ is multiplication by p and $\overline{\theta} = P^1 \iota$. These facts follow immediately from the long exact homotopy and Serre cohomology sequences for the fibration $K \to K(\mathbf{Z}, n - 2p + 2)$ and the Hurewicz theorem modulo finite groups. Since $(f_b\pi)^*\iota = \pi^*b = 0$, because b is in the image of the transgression, $O^n(f_b\pi, *)$ is nonvoid. It follows from the hypotheses that $f_b^*\overline{\theta} \equiv 0$ (mod integral classes in kernel $\pi^* \cap$ kernel f^*) and from the properties of θ and (4.4) in [8] that $f_b^*\theta - f_b'^*\theta = pO^n(f_b, f_b')$ for any two liftings of b. Therefore, after alteration by an n-cocycle, we may assume $f_b^*\theta \in \text{kernel } \pi^* \cap \text{kernel } f^*$ and so $\pi^*f_b^*\theta = 0 = pO^n(f_b\pi, *)$, and this implies $f_b\pi$ is homotopically trivial since $H^n(E; \mathbf{Z})$ has no torsion.

Lemma 2.4 implies the existence of a map of fibrations from the fibration π into the path space fibration over K with fiber $\Omega K = K(\mathbf{Z}, n-2p+1; \mathbf{Z}, n-1, \delta P^1)$. The map of fibers is a lifting of a, $f_a: F \to K(\mathbf{Z}, n-2p+1; \mathbf{Z}, n-1, \delta P^1)$, because we are assuming that a is the only class transgressing to b. The map $f_b f$ lifts to the path space if and only if it is homotopic to the constant map. We have taken care that $f_b^* \theta \in \ker f^*$ and so $(f_b f)^*$ has image zero in dimension n. Therefore the obstruction to a homotopy of $f_b f$ to point is precisely $\delta P_{f_b f}^1(\iota)$ (10.8 [7]) and the indeterminacy of $\delta P_{f_b f}^1$ is image δP^1 . Formula (2.3) now follows immediately from standard naturality properties of obstructions and functional operations ([6], p. 157).

3. The proofs of Theorems 1 and 2. We begin with some general remarks. The group $H^*(W_{n,q}; \mathbb{Z})$ is an exterior algebra with generators a_k in $H^{2k-1}(W_{n,q}; \mathbb{Z})$, $n-q+1 \le k \le n$ ([1], p. 444). The space $W_{n,q}$ is 2(n-q)-connected and $\pi_i(W_{n,q})$ is \mathbb{Z} if *i* is odd and a finite group if *i* is even as long as *n* is large, n-q is odd, $2 \le q \le 4$, and $2(n-q)+1 \le i \le 2n-1$. The necessary size of *n* is indicated in the theorems. The group $\pi_{2(n-q)+2}(W_{n,q})$ is zero and the other finite groups are 2 or 3 torsion groups in this range of dimensions, [2]. Since the

Hurewicz homomorphism $h: \pi_i(W_{n,q}) \to H_i(W_{n,q}; \mathbb{Z})$ is a isomorphism modulo finite abelian groups if $i \leq 4(n-q)$, it sends a generator in $\pi_{2k-1}(W_{n,q})$ into an integer $m_k \neq 0$, $n-q+1 \leq k \leq n$. These integers can be computed using an inductive argument based on the fibration $W_{n-1,q-1} \to W_{n,q} \to S^{2n-1}$. In particular, $m_{n-q+1} = 1$, $m_{n-q+2} = 2$ and the prime divisors of the others are either 2 or 3, [4]. Since a_k transgresses to c(k), it follows from (2.1) that $-m_k O^{2k}(f) = c_k(\omega)$. Thomas' theorem [10] follows from this formula and the first two values of m_k : if M is arbitrary and n is odd, complex span $\omega \geq 2$ if and only if $c_i(\omega) = 0$, $n-1 \leq i \leq n$.

Consider the lifting obstruction $O^{2k}(f)$, where $n - q + p \le k \le n$. In this range of dimensions, c(k - p + 1) is the image of a unique class a_{k-p+1} under transgression and if $c_k(\omega) = 0$, $P^1c(k - p + 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{1}$ (mod integral classes in kernel $\pi^* \cap \ker ernel f^*$), ([1], p. 429). Let $f': BU(n) \to K(\mathbb{Z}, 2(k - p + 1); \mathbb{Z}, 2k, \delta P^1)$ be a lifting of c(k - p + 1), $f_{k-p+1}: W_{n,q} \to K(\mathbb{Z}, 2k - 2p + 1; \mathbb{Z}, 2k - 1, \delta P^1)$ a lifting of a_{k-p+1} , and set $\delta P_{\omega}^1(c(k - p + 1)) = \delta P_{1'f}^1(\iota)$. If [g] in $\pi_{2k-1}(W_{n,q})$ is a generator, then $f_{k-p+1\#}[g] \in \delta P_g^1(a_{k-p+1})$, [3]. Since $P^1a_{k-p+1} = \mu_p(k)a_k$, where $\mu_p(k) \equiv k \pmod{p}$, ([1], p. 429), a direct computation of the operation $\delta P_g^1(a_{k-p+1})$ yields the equation $f_{k-p+1\#}[g] \equiv \mu_p(k)p^{-1}m_k \pmod{m_k}$. If $c_k(\omega) = 0$, then $m_k O^{2k}(f) = 0$ and the action of the homomorphisms f_{k-p+1*} on the obstruction is independent of the lifting. The next proposition follows immediately from the above remarks and Proposition 2.2. The assumption $p \leq q$ forces the inequality of the proposition because we have $2q \leq n$ in our theorems.

PROPOSITION 3.1. Let p be a prime such that $p \leq q$. If $c_k(\omega) = 0$ and $m_k \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then

$$(3.2) \quad \mu_p(k)p^{-1}m_kO^{2k}(f) \equiv \delta P^1_{\omega}(c(k-p+1)) \equiv \delta P^1_f(c(k-p+1)),$$

We now turn to the proof of Theorem 2. The proof of Theorem 1 will be discussed later. In addition to the properties of $W_{n,4}$ mentioned above, we will need the fact that the 3-component of $\pi_{2n-4}(W_{n,4})$ is zero if $n \neq 1 \pmod{3}$ [2] and some more precise information on the image of the Hurewicz homomorphism $h: \pi_{2n-3}(W_{n,4}) \rightarrow H_{2n-3}(W_{n,4}; \mathbb{Z})$, *n* odd and $n \geq 9$: $m_{n-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $m_{n-1} \neq 0 \pmod{9}$ if $n \neq 1 \pmod{3}$, [4].

The conditions in Theorem 2 are clearly necessary. The hypothesis $c_{n-3}(\omega) = 0$ implies that $O^{2n-4}(f)$ is nonvoid and because *n* is odd and $m_{n-2} = 2$, Proposition 3.1 implies that $O^{2n-4}(f) = \delta Sq_{\omega}^2(c(n-3))$. This equation is an actual equality because the indeterminacy of $O^{2n-4}(f)$ is image δSq^2 ([10], p. 191) which is the indeterminacy of $\delta Sq_{\omega}^2(c(n-3))$. Therefore the hypothesis $0 \in \delta Sq_{\omega}^2(c(n-3))$ is enough to imply that $O^{2n-2}(f)$ is nonvoid since $n \neq 1 \pmod{3}$ means that $\pi_{2n-4}(W_{n,4})$ has no

3-component and M is 3-connected mod 2. Since $c_{n-1}(\omega) = 0$ and $m_{n-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, we have $\mu_3(n-1)3^{-1}m_{n-1}O^{2n-2}(f) \equiv \delta P^1_{\omega}(c(n-3))$, where $\mu_3(n-1) \neq 0$ because $n \neq 1 \pmod{3}$. The proof of Theorem 2 will be complete when we have shown that this equation is an actual equality, because the other obstructions vanish by connectivity, Poincaré duality, and $c_n(\omega) = 0$.

To establish equality, we work with the equation in the form $f_{n-3*} O^{2n-2}(f) \equiv O^{2n-2}(f'f)$, where $f_{n-3}: W_{n,4} \to K(\mathbb{Z}, 2n-7; \mathbb{Z}, 2n-3, \delta P^1)$ and $f': BU(n) \to K(\mathbb{Z}, 2n-6; \mathbb{Z}, 2n-2, \delta P^1)$ are liftings of a_{n-3} and c(n-3), respectively. Let h and \bar{h} be 2n-3-liftings of f'f and f, respectively, and let $\{c^{2n-2}(h)\}$ and $\{c^{2n-2}(\bar{h})\}$ be the obstruction cohomology classes determined by these liftings. We assert that h and \bar{h} can be altered in such a way that the obstruction class of h is unchanged and $f_{n-3*}\{c^{2n-2}(\bar{h})\} = \{c^{2n-2}(h)\}$. The argument begins by altering h by a 2n-7-cocycle ν such that $\{\nu\} = -3O^{2n-7}(f'\bar{h}, h)$. The altered map h_{ν} extends to a 2n-3 lifting of f'f because of the homotopy of $K(\mathbb{Z}, 2n-7; \mathbb{Z}, 2n-3, \delta P^1)$ and $\{c^{2n-2}(h)\} - \{c^{2n-2}(h_{\nu})\} = \delta P^1 O^{2n-7}(h, h_{\nu}) = \delta P^1 \{\nu\}$, [9], so $\{c^{2n-2}(h)\} = \{c^{2n-2}(h_{\nu})\}$ by our choice of ν . Note that

$$O^{2n-7}(f'\bar{h},h_{\nu}) = O^{2n-7}(f'\bar{h},h) + O^{2n-7}(h,h_{\nu}) = -2O^{2n-7}(f'\bar{h},h).$$

The homomorphism $f_{n-3\#}$: $\pi_{2n-7}(W_{n,4}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is the identity and so we may alter \bar{h} by a 2n - 7-cocycle α such that $f_{n-3*}\{\alpha\} = \{\alpha\} = O^{2n-7}(f'\bar{h}, h_{\nu})$. If \bar{h}_{α} is the altered map, $O^{2n-7}(\bar{h}, \bar{h}_{\alpha}) = -2O^{2n-7}(f'\bar{h}, h)$, and so $\{c^{2n-4}(\bar{h})\} - \{c^{2n-4}(\bar{h}_{\alpha})\} = \delta Sq^2 O^{2n-7}(\bar{h}, \bar{h}_{\alpha}) = 0$. Therefore, \bar{h}_{α} extends to a 2n - 3 lifting of f because $\{c^{2n-4}(\bar{h})\} = 0$ and M is 3-connected mod 2. We have

$$O^{2n-7}(f'\bar{h}_{\alpha},h_{\nu}) = O^{2n-7}(f'\bar{h}_{\alpha},f'\bar{h}) + O^{2n-7}(f'\bar{h},h_{\nu}) = 0$$

because $O^{2n-7}(\bar{h}_{\alpha}, \bar{h}) = -\{\alpha\}$, and so $f'\bar{h}_{\alpha}$ is homotopic to h_{ν} in dimensions less than 2n-3 and the difference formula for cocycles yields $f_{n-3*}\{c^{2n-2}(\bar{h}_{\alpha})\} = \{c^{2n-2}(h_{\nu})\}$. This completes the proof of equality and of Theorem 2. The proof of Theorem 1 is exactly the same except that there is no obstruction of order 3. The remark about the special case $n \neq 0 \pmod{3}$, $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ follows from [2].

The problem of computing the operations δP_{ω}^{1} seems difficult. The following example shows that they are nontrivial invariants of the sectioning problem on the level of complexes¹. Let *n* be even, $n \ge 6$, and let $p: E \to BU(n)$ be the first stage in the Postnikov system for the fibration $BU(n-3) \to BU(n)$ and let $p_1: E_1 \to BU(n-2)$ be the first

¹ I am grateful to the referee for this example.

stage in the system of the fibration $BU(n-3) \rightarrow BU(n-2)$. Let X be the 2n-1-skeleton of E_1 and ω the bundle classified by the inclusion $BU(n-2) \rightarrow BU(n)$ composed with p_1 . If k in $H^{2n-2}(E; \mathbb{Z})$ is the k-invariant, then $2k = p^*c(n-1)$ [10] and if $s: E_1 \rightarrow E$ is the natural map, then s^*k is in the image of the Bockstein mod 2. With these observations, it is easy to see that ω has the following properties: $c_i(\omega) = 0, n-2 \leq i \leq n$, complex span $\omega \geq 2$, but $0 \notin \delta Sq_{\omega}^2(c(n-2))$ because X does not lift to BU(n-3).

REFERENCES

1. A. Borel and J.-P. Serre, Groupes de Lie et puissances réduites de Steenrod, Amer. J. Math., 75 (1953), 409-448.

2. M. Gilmore, Complex Stiefel manifolds, some homotopy groups and vector fields, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 73 (1967), 630-633.

3. R. D. Little, A relation between obstructions and functional cohomology operations, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **49** (1975), 259–264.

4. R. D. Little, Obstruction formulas and almost-complex manifolds, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 50 (1975), 459-462.

5. J.-P. Meyer, Functional cohomology operations and relations, Amer. J. Math., 97 (1965), 649-683.

6. R. E. Mosher and M. C. Tangora, *Cohomology Operations and Applications in Homotopy Theory*, Harper and Row, 1968.

7. P. Olum, Invariants for effective homotopy classification and extension of mappings, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., **37** (1961).

8. ____, Factorizations and induced homomorphisms, Advances in Math., 3 (1969), 72-100.

9. ____, Seminar in obstruction theory, Cornell Univ. Notes, 1968.

10. E. Thomas, Postnikov invariants and higher order cohomology operations, Ann. of Math., 85 (1967), 184-217.

11. _____, Real and complex vector fields on manifolds, J. Math. and Mech., 16 (1967), 1183-1206.

Received June 12, 1974.

UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII-MANOA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

R. A. BEAUMONT

University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 J. DUGUNDJI

F. WOLF

Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA UNIVERSITY OF UTAH NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION Printed at Jerusalem Academic Press, POB 2390, Jerusalem, Israel.

> Copyright © 1976 Pacific Journal of Mathematics All Rights Reserved

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 62, No. 2 February, 1976

Allan Russell Adler and Catarina Isabel Kiefe, <i>Pseudofinite fields, procyclic</i> fields and model-completion	305
Christopher Alldey The stratification of compact connected Lie group	505
actions by subtori	311
Martin Bartelt Commutants of multipliers and translation operators	329
Herbert Stanley Bear Ir Ordered Gleason parts	337
James Robert Boone <i>On irreducible spaces II</i>	351
James Robert Boone, On the cardinality relationships between discrete	551
collections and open covers	359
L. S. Dube. On finite Hankel transformation of generalized functions	365
Michael Freedman. Uniqueness theorems for taut submanifolds	379
Shmuel Friedland and Raphael Loewy. Subspaces of symmetric matrices	0.15
containing matrices with a multiple first eigenvalue	389
Theodore William Gamelin, Uniform algebras spanned by Hartogs	
series	401
James Guyker, On partial isometries with no isometric part	419
Shigeru Hasegawa and Ryōtarō Satō, A general ratio ergodic theorem for	
semigroups	435
Nigel Kalton and G. V. Wood, <i>Homomorphisms of group algebras with norm</i>	
less than $\sqrt{2}$	439
Thomas Laffey, On the structure of algebraic algebras	461
Will Y. K. Lee, On a correctness class of the Bessel type differential	
operator S_{μ}	473
Robert D. Little, <i>Complex vector fields and divisible Chern classes</i>	483
Kenneth Louden, Maximal quotient rings of ring extensions	489
Dieter Lutz, Scalar spectral operators, ordered l ^p -direct sums, and the	
counterexample of Kakutani-McCarthy	497
Ralph Tyrrell Rockafellar and Roger Jean-Baptiste Robert Wets, Stochastic	
convex programming: singular multipliers and extended duality	
singular multipliers and duality	507
Edward Barry Saff and Richard Steven Varga, <i>Geometric overconvergence of</i>	
rational functions in unbounded domains	523
Joel Linn Schiff, <i>Isomorphisms between harmonic and P-harmonic Hardy</i>	
spaces on Riemann surfaces	551
Virinda Mohan Sehgal and S. P. Singh, <i>On a fixed point theorem of</i>	
Krasnoselsku for locally convex spaces	561
Lewis Shilane, Filtered spaces admitting spectral sequence operations	569
Michel Smith, Generating large indecomposable continua	587
John Yuan On the convolution algebras of H-invariant measures	595