

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

THE SOLUTION OF A STIELTJES-VOLTERRA INTEGRAL EQUATION FOR RINGS

BURRELL WASHINGTON HELTON

THE SOLUTION OF A STIELTJES-VOLTERRA INTEGRAL EQUATION FOR RINGS

BURRELL W. HELTON

For a triple (h, K, g) of functions and an interval $[a, x]$, the author defines a subdivision-refinement-type limit $V(a, x; h, K, dg)$ of the set $\{V(D, h, K, \Delta g)\}$ of determinants, where each subdivision $D = \{x_i\}_0^n$ of $[a, x]$ defines an $n \times n$ determinant of the set and each determinant has the form

$$\begin{vmatrix} h_1 + h_0 K_{10} \Delta g_1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ h_2 + h_0 K_{20} \Delta g_1 & K_{21} \Delta g_2 & -1 & 0 \\ h_3 + h_0 K_{30} \Delta g_1 & K_{31} \Delta g_2 & K_{32} \Delta g_3 & -1 \\ h_4 + h_0 K_{40} \Delta g_1 & K_{41} \Delta g_2 & K_{42} \Delta g_3 & K_{43} \Delta g_4 \end{vmatrix}$$

The following theorem is proved. If f, g, h and K are functions to a ring and g has bounded variation on $[a, b]$, then $(f, K, g) \in OA^*$ and $f(x) = h(x) + (L) \int_a^x f(t)K(x, t)dg(t)$ on $[a, b]$ iff $(h, K, g) \in OM^*$ and $f(x) = V(a, x; h, K, dg)$ on $[a, b]$. The OA^* and OM^* sets are defined and sufficient conditions are proved for $(f, K, g) \in OA^*$ and $(h, K, g) \in OM^*$, and for the existence of the limit $V(a, x; h, K, dg)$, and for $V(a, x; h, K, dg) = h(x) - (L) \int_a^x h(t)dV(t, x; 1, K, dg)$.

Although the Volterra equation $f(x) = h(x) + \int_a^x f(t)K(x, t)dt$ has been studied in depth by many persons, it seems that only Hinton [3], Reneke [4] [5] and Bitzer [1] [2] have published papers on the Volterra integral equation in which the integral is a subdivision-refinement-type Stieltjes integral. In this paper the solution of the Volterra equation and the development of the related properties do not depend on a Picard expansion or on the above quoted references. So far as the author has been able to determine, this subdivision-refinement definition of the solution $V(a, x; h, K, dg)$ of the Volterra equation has not been published previously.

Definitions and notations. The symbol R denotes the set of real numbers and N is a ring which has a multiplicative identity element 1 and a norm $|\cdot|$ with respect to which N is complete and $|1| = 1$; f, g and h are functions from R to N and K is a function from $R \times R$ to N . Also, $dg \in OB^0$ on $[a, b]$ means g has bounded variation on $[a, b]$. All

integrals are of the subdivision-refinement-type limits; the approximating sum for $(L) \int E(t)dg(t)$ is $\Sigma E(t_{i-1})[g(t_i) - g(t_{i-1})]$ and for $(R) \int E(t)dg(t)$ is $\Sigma E(t_i)[g(t_i) - g(t_{i-1})]$. If no misunderstanding is likely, the symbols K_{ij} , f_i and Δg_i will be used for $K(x_i, x_j)$, $f(x_i)$ and $g(x_i) - g(x_{i-1})$, respectively.

If $\{a_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^n$ is a sequence of elements of N and p and q are integers such that $1 \leq p \leq q \leq n$, then the symbol $|a_{ij}|_p^q$ denotes the determinant

$$\begin{vmatrix} a_{pp} & \cdots & a_{pq} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ a_{qp} & \cdots & a_{qq} \end{vmatrix}$$

and is defined by the sum of the $(q - p + 1)!$ products obtained as follows: (1) each term of the sum is a product, or the negative of a product, which contains one and only one element from each row and each column of $|a_{ij}|_p^q$; (2) the factors of each term are ordered so that the second subscripts appear in the order $p, p + 1, \cdots, q$; and (3) the product or the negative of a product is used as a term according as the number of inversions of the first subscripts is even or odd. Note that the usual theorems pertaining to determinants will hold, except where multiplicative commutativity is needed in the proofs. Also, if $A = |a_{ij}|_1^n$, then $|A|$ denotes the norm of A and, if $1 \leq p \leq n$, A_p , *A_p and ${}^*A_{pk}$ denote the determinants defined as follows: $A_p = |a_{ij}|_1^p$, ${}^*A_p = |a_{ij}|_p^n$, $A_0 = 1$, ${}^*A_{n+1} = 1$, and if $1 \leq k \leq p$, then ${}^*A_{pk}$ is the determinant obtained by replacing the first column of $|a_{ij}|_p^n$ with the column $\{a_{ik}\}_{i=p}^n$ of elements of $\{a_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^n$.

$A = |a_{ij}|_1^n$ is a Volterra determinant means $\{a_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^n$ is a sequence such that $a_{ij} = -1$ for $j = i + 1$ and $a_{ij} = 0$ for $j > i + 1$. $A = |a_{ij}|_1^n$ is a delta determinant defined by the sequences $\{c_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^n$ and $\{d_j\}_{j=0}^n$ means A is a Volterra determinant and $a_{ij} = c_{ij}(d_j - d_{j-1})$ for $1 \leq j \leq i \leq n$.

If $D = \{x_i\}_0^n$ is a subdivision of a number interval $[a, b]$, then $V(D, h, K, \Delta g)$ denotes the $n \times n$ Volterra determinant $|a_{ij}|_1^n$ such that $a_{i1} = h(x_i) + h(x_0)K(x_i, x_0)[g(x_1) - g(x_0)]$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$ and $a_{ij} = K(x_i, x_{j-1})[g(x_j) - g(x_{j-1})]$ for $1 < j \leq i \leq n$. If no misunderstanding is likely, $V(D)$ will be used to denote $V(D, h, K, \Delta g)$.

The limit $V(a, b; h, K, dg)$ exists means there is an element J of N such that if $\epsilon > 0$ then there is a subdivision D of $[a, b]$ such that if D' is a refinement of D then $|J - V(D', h, K, \Delta g)| < \epsilon$. The symbol $V(a, b; h, K, dg)$ will be used to denote this limit J .

If $m > 1$, the number M is an m -bound for $V(\quad, \quad, \Delta g)$ on $[a, b]$ means $M \geq m$ and, if $|h| < m$ on $[a, b]$ and $|K| < m$ on $[a, b] \times [a, b]$ and D is a subdivision of a subinterval of $[a, b]$ and $A = |a_{ij}|_1^n = V(D, h, K, \Delta g)$, then $|A| < M$ and each of $|A_p|$, $|{}^*A_p|$ and $|{}^*A_{pj}|$ is less than M for $1 \leq p \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq p$.

The triple $(f, K, g) \in OA^*$ on $[a, b]$ means that $(L) \int_a^x f(t)K(x, t)dg(t)$ exists for $x \in [a, b]$ and if $\epsilon > 0$ then there is a subdivision D of $[a, b]$ such that, if $\{t_i\}_0^n$ is a refinement of D and $0 < p \leq n$ and $x = t_p$, then

$$\left| (L) \int_a^x f(t)K(x, t)dg(t) - \sum_1^p f(t_{i-1})K(x, t_{i-1})[g(t_i) - g(t_{i-1})] \right| < \epsilon.$$

The triple $(h, K, g) \in OM^*$ means $V(a, x; h, K, dg)$ exists for $x \in [a, b]$ and if $\epsilon > 0$ then there is a subdivision D of $[a, b]$ such that, if $\{x_i\}_0^n$ is a refinement of D and $0 < p \leq n$ and $H = \{x_i\}_0^p$, then

$$|V(a, x_p; h, K, dg) - V(H, h, K, \Delta g)| < \epsilon.$$

The triple $(1, K, g) \in OM^{**}$ on $[a, b]$ means $V(x, b; 1, K, dg)$ exists for $x \in [a, b]$ and if $\epsilon > 0$ then there is a subdivision D of $[a, b]$ such that, if $\{x_i\}_0^n$ is a refinement of D and $0 \leq p < n$ and $H = \{x_i\}_0^p$, then

$$|V(x_p, b; 1, K, dg) - V(H, 1, K, \Delta g)| < \epsilon,$$

where 1 denotes the identity function.

In the following three definitions, $G(x, y) = \int_x^y |dg|$.

$\int_a^b \int_a^b |dK| |dg| |dg| = 0$ means if $\epsilon > 0$ then there is a subdivision D of $[a, b]$ such that, if $\{x_i\}_0^n$ is a refinement of D , then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n M_{ij} G(x_{i-1}, x_i) G(x_{j-1}, x_j) < \epsilon,$$

where, for each i and j , M_{ij} is the lub of $|K(x_{i-1}, x_{j-1}) - K(x, y)|$ for $x_{i-1} \leq x < x_i$ and $x_{j-1} \leq y < x_j$.

If $a \leq p \leq b$, $\int_a^b |dK(p, x)| |dg(x)| = 0$ means if $\epsilon > 0$ then there is a subdivision D of $[a, b]$ such that, if $\{x_i\}_0^n$ is a refinement of D , then $\sum_1^n M_i G(x_{i-1}, x_i) < \epsilon$, where, for each i , M_i is the lub of $|K(p, x_{i-1}) - K(p, x)|$ for $x_{i-1} \leq x < x_i$.

$\int_a^b |dK(\cdot, x)| |dg(x)| = 0$ uniformly on $[a, b]$ means if $\epsilon > 0$ then there is a subdivision D of $[a, b]$ such that, if $\{x_i\}_0^n$ is a refinement of D and $a \leq p \leq b$, then $\sum_1^n M_i G(x_{i-1}, x_i) < \epsilon$, where, for each i , M_i is the lub of $|K(p, x_{i-1}) - K(p, x)|$ for $x_{i-1} \leq x < x_i$.

The set S of functions is bounded uniformly on $[a, b]$ means there is a number M such that, if $f \in S$ and $x \in [a, b]$, then $|f(x)| < M$. The set S

of functions is quasicontinuous uniformly on $[a, b]$ means S is bounded uniformly on $[a, b]$ and if $\epsilon > 0$ then there is a subdivision $D = \{x_i\}_0^n$ of $[a, b]$ such that, if $f \in S$ and $0 < i \leq n$ and $x_{i-1} < r < t < x_i$, then $|f(r) - f(t)| < \epsilon$.

THEOREMS. In Theorems 1–5 we develop properties of the Volterra determinant. Theorem 6 gives the solution to the Stieltjes-Volterra integral equation.

THEOREM 1. *If $A = |a_{ij}|_1^n$ is a Volterra determinant and $0 < p \leq n$, then*

- (a) $A = a_{11} * A_2 + * A_{21}$;
- (b) $A = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{i1} * A_{i+1} = \sum_{j=1}^n A_{j-1} a_{nj}$;
- (c) *if $0 < j \leq p$, then $* A_{pj} = a_{pj} * A_{p+1} + * A_{p+1, j} = \sum_{i=p}^n a_{ij} * A_{i+1}$;*
- (d) $A = \sum_{j=1}^p A_{j-1} * A_{pj} = \sum_{j=1}^p A_{j-1} (\sum_{i=p}^n a_{ij} * A_{i+1})$;
- (e) *if $p < n$ and $B = |b_{ij}|_1^n$ and $b_{p, p+1} = 0$ and $b_{ij} = a_{ij}$ otherwise, then $B = \sum_{j=1}^p A_{j-1} a_{pj} * A_{p+1}$; and*
- (f) *if $a_{ij} = 0$ whenever $1 < j \leq p$ and $j \leq i \leq n$, then $A = * A_{p1}$.*

Each item in Theorem 1 can be proved using the definition of a determinant or by mathematical induction. Note that $A_0 = 1$ and $* A_{n+1} = 1$.

THEOREM 2. *If A is a delta determinant defined by the sequences $\{c_{ij}\}_1^n$ and $\{g_i\}_0^n$ and $|c_{ij}| \leq m$ for $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then*

$$|A| \leq m |g_1 - g_0| II_2^n (1 + m |g_i - g_{i-1}|).$$

Proof. (by induction) If A is a 2×2 delta determinant defined by $\{c_{ij}\}_1^2$ and $\{g_i\}_0^2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |A| &= |c_{11} \Delta g_1 c_{22} \Delta g_2 + c_{21} \Delta g_1| \\ &\leq |\Delta g_1| (|c_{11}| |c_{22}| |\Delta g_2| + |c_{21}|) \leq m |\Delta g_1| (1 + m |\Delta g_2|). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that the theorem is true for $n = p$ and A is a $(p+1) \times (p+1)$ delta determinant defined by $\{c_{ij}\}_1^{p+1}$ and $\{g_i\}_0^{p+1}$; then $A = c_{11} \Delta g_1 * A_2 + * A_{21}$ (Th. 1a). Since $* A_2$ and $* A_{21}$ are $p \times p$ delta determinants which have Δg_2 and Δg_1 as factors of each element of the first columns, respectively, then

$$\begin{aligned} |A| &\leq |c_{11}| |\Delta g_1| |* A_2| + |* A_{21}| \\ &\leq |c_{11}| |\Delta g_1| [m |\Delta g_2| II_3^{p+1} (1 + m |\Delta g_i|)] \\ &\quad + m |\Delta g_1| II_3^{p+1} (1 + m |\Delta g_i|) \\ &\leq m |\Delta g_1| II_2^{p+1} (1 + m |\Delta g_i|). \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 3. *If $m > 1$ and $dg \in OB^0$ on $[a, b]$, then there is a number M such that M is an m -bound for $V(, , , \Delta g)$ on $[a, b]$.*

Proof. Suppose that $m > 1$ and k is a bound for $|g|$ on $[a, b]$. Let $M = P + Q$, where $P = m^2(1 + 2k)$ and $Q = Pm \int_a^b |dg| \exp \int_a^b m |dg|$. Let $D = \{x_i\}_{i=0}^n$ be a subdivision of a subinterval of $[a, b]$ and let K and h be functions such that m bounds $|K|$ on $[a, b] \times [a, b]$ and $|h|$ on $[a, b]$. Let $A = |a_{ij}|_1^n = V(D, h, K, \Delta g)$; then ${}^*A_{i+1}$ is a delta determinant for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. Hence, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $1 < j \leq i$, $P = m^2 + 2m^2k > |K_{i,j-1}| |\Delta g_j| \geq |a_{ij}|$ and $P = m^2 + 2m^2k > |h_i| + |h_0| |K_{i0}| |\Delta g_1| \geq |a_{i1}|$; hence, if $0 < i \leq n$ and $0 < j \leq n$, then $|a_{ij}| < P$. Therefore,

$$|A| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |a_{i1}| |{}^*A_{i+1}| + |a_{n1}| \quad (\text{Th. 1b})$$

$$< \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} P |{}^*A_{i+1}| + P$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} Pm |\Delta g_{i+1}| II_{j=i+2}^n (1 + m |\Delta g_j|) + P \quad (\text{Th. 2})$$

$$\leq Pm \int_a^b |dg| \exp \int_a^b m |dg| + P = Q + P = M.$$

Similarly, $|A_p| < M$, $|{}^*A_p| < M$ and $|{}^*A_{pj}| < M$ for $1 \leq p \leq n$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$.

THEOREM 4. *If $\{f_i\}_1^n$ and $\{a_{ij}\}_1^n$ are sequences of elements of N and $A = |a_{ij}|_1^n$ is an $n \times n$ Volterra determinant, then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) $f_1 = a_{11}$ and $f_i = a_{i1} + \sum_{j=2}^i f_{j-1} a_{ij}$ for $1 < i \leq n$; and
- (2) $f_i = A_i$ for $0 < i \leq n$.

Proof. If $0 < i \leq n$, it follows from Theorem 1b that $A_i = a_{i1} + \sum_{j=2}^i A_{j-1} a_{ij}$; therefore, $1 \rightarrow 2$ by induction and $2 \rightarrow 1$ by induction.

THEOREM 5. *If g is a function and m is a number such that $m > 1$ and $dg \in OB^0$ on $[a, b]$, then there is a number Q such that, if m bounds the functions H and K on $[a, b] \times [a, b]$ and h and k on $[a, b]$ and $D = \{x_i\}_0^n$ is a subdivision of a subinterval of $[a, b]$, then*

$$|A - B| \leq Q \sum_{p=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^p |a_{pj} - b_{pj}| |g(x_{p+1}) - g(x_p)|,$$

where $A = |a_{ij}|_1^n = V(D, h, H, \Delta g)$, $B = |b_{ij}|_1^n = V(D, k, K, \Delta g)$ and $|\Delta g_{n+1}| = 1$.

Proof. Let g be a function and m be a number such that $dg \in OB^0$ on $[a, b]$ and $m > 1$. It follows from Theorem 3 that there is a number M

which is an m -bound for $V(\cdot, \cdot, \Delta g)$ on $[a, b]$. Let $Q = Mm \exp\left(m \int_a^b |dg|\right)$. Let H, K, h and k be functions which are bounded by m on $[a, b]$.

First we will consider a special case. Suppose that $D = \{x_i\}_0^n$ is a subdivision of a subinterval of $[a, b]$, $A = |a_{ij}|_1^n = V(D, h, H, \Delta g)$, $B = |b_{ij}|_1^n = V(D, k, K, \Delta g)$, $1 \leq p \leq n$, and $a_{ij} = b_{ij}$ for $i \neq p$; then $A - B = |a_{ij}|_1^n - |b_{ij}|_1^n$ is an $n \times n$ determinant $C = |c_{ij}|_1^n$ such that $c_{ij} = a_{ij}$ for $i \neq p$, $c_{pj} = a_{pj} - b_{pj}$ for $1 \leq j \leq p$ and $c_{p, p+1} = 0$ for $p < n$.

If $p = n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |A - B| &= |C| = |\sum_{j=1}^n C_{j-1} c_{nj}| & (\text{Th. 1b}) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n M |a_{nj} - b_{nj}| \leq Q \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{nj} - b_{nj}| |\Delta g_{n+1}|. \end{aligned}$$

If $1 \leq p < n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |A - B| &= |C| = |\sum_{j=1}^p C_{j-1} c_{pj}^* C_{p+1}| & (\text{Th. 1e}) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^p M |a_{pj} - b_{pj}| |^* C_{p+1}| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^p M |a_{pj} - b_{pj}| m |\Delta g_{p+1}| \exp \int_a^b m |dg| & (\text{Th. 2}) \\ &\leq Q \sum_{j=1}^p |a_{pj} - b_{pj}| |\Delta g_{p+1}|. \end{aligned}$$

We will now prove the general case. Suppose that $D = \{x_i\}_0^n$ is a subdivision of $[a, b]$ and that A and B are the determinants $A = |a_{ij}|_1^n = V(D, h, H, \Delta g)$ and $B = |b_{ij}|_1^n = V(D, k, K, \Delta g)$. There exists a sequence $\{R_p\}_0^n$ of $n \times n$ determinants such that $A = R_0$, $B = R_n$, and $A - B = \sum_{p=1}^n (R_{p-1} - R_p)$ and such that, if $a < p \leq n$ and $R_{p-1} = |u_{ij}|_1^n$ and $R_p = |v_{ij}|_1^n$, then $u_{ij} = v_{ij}$ for $i \neq p$. For each integer p , $0 < p \leq n$, $R_{p-1} - R_p$ is the difference of two determinants as defined in the special case above; therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |A - B| &= |\sum_{p=1}^n (R_{p-1} - R_p)| \leq \sum_{p=1}^n |R_{p-1} - R_p| \\ &\leq \sum_{p=1}^n Q \sum_{j=1}^p |a_{pj} - b_{pj}| |\Delta g_{p+1}|. \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 6. *Given. K is a bounded function from $R \times R$ to N and f, h and g are functions from R to N and $dg \in OB^0$ on $[a, b]$. Conclusion. The following statements are equivalent:*

(1) $(f, K, g) \in OA^*$ on $[a, b]$ and, if $x \in [a, b]$, then

$$f(x) = h(x) + (L) \int_a^x f(t) K(x, t) dg(t);$$

(2) $(h, K, g) \in OM^*$ on $[a, b]$ and, if $x \in [a, b]$, then $f(x) = V(a, x; h, K, dg)$.

Proof of $1 \rightarrow 2$. Suppose that m is a bound for K and $\epsilon > 0$. Since $(f, K, g) \in OA^*$ on $[a, b]$, there exists a subdivision H of $[a, b]$ such that, if $H' = \{x_i\}_0^n$ is a refinement of H and $0 < i \leq n$ and $x = x_i$, then

$$\left| (L) \int_a^x f(t)K(x, t)dg(t) - \sum_{j=1}^i f(x_{j-1})K(x, x_{j-1})\Delta g_j \right| < \epsilon/M,$$

where $M = 4 \left[m \int_a^b |dg| \exp \int_a^b m |dg| + 1 \right]$. Let $x \in (a, b]$ and let $H' = \{x_i\}_0^n$ be any refinement of H such that $x \in H'$; let $x = x_p$ and $D = \{x_i\}_0^p$, where $0 < p \leq n$. For each integer i such that $0 < i \leq p$ there exists an element $\epsilon_i \in N$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_i) &= h(x_i) + (L) \int_a^{x_i} f(t)K(x_i, t)dg \\ &= h(x_i) + \sum_{j=1}^i f(x_{j-1})K(x_i, x_{j-1})[g(x_i) - g(x_{j-1})] + \epsilon_i \\ &= (h_i + \epsilon_i + f_0 K_{i,0} \Delta g_1) + \sum_{j=2}^i f_{j-1} K_{i,j-1} \Delta g_j, \end{aligned}$$

where $\sum_{j=2}^1 (\) = 0$. Let ϵ be a function such that $\epsilon(a) = 0$ and $\epsilon(x_i) = \epsilon_i$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$. Let $V(D, h + \epsilon, K, \Delta g) = |v_{ij}|_1^p$; then $|v_{ij}|_1^p$ is a Volterra determinant such that $v_{i1} = h_i + \epsilon_i + h_0 K_{i,0} \Delta g_1$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$, and $v_{ij} = K_{i,j-1} \Delta g_j$ for $1 < j \leq i \leq p$. Hence, $f_1 = v_{11}$ and $f_i = v_{i1} + \sum_{j=2}^i f_{j-1} v_{ij}$ for $1 < i \leq p$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= f(x_p) = V(D, h + \epsilon, K, \Delta g) \quad (\text{Th. 4}) \\ &= V(D, h, K, \Delta g) + V(D, \epsilon, K, \Delta g). \end{aligned}$$

Let $A = |a_{ij}|_1^p = V(D, \epsilon, K, \Delta g)$, then ${}^*A_{i+1}$ is a delta determinant for $i = 1, 2, \dots, p-1$, and

$$|A| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^p \epsilon_i {}^*A_{i+1} \right| \quad (\text{Th. 1b})$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} |\epsilon_i| m |\Delta g_{i+1}| \exp \int_a^b m |dg| + |\epsilon_p| < \epsilon, \quad (\text{Th. 2}).$$

Therefore, $|f(x) - V(D, h, K, \Delta g)| = |A| < \epsilon$. Since x is an arbitrary element of $(a, b]$ and H' is an arbitrary refinement of H containing x , it follows that $V(a, x; h, K, dg) = f(x)$ for $x \in [a, b]$ and that $(h, K, g) \in OM^*$ on $[a, b]$.

Proof of $2 \rightarrow 1$. Suppose that $\epsilon > 0$. Since $(h, K, g) \in OM^*$ on

$[a, b]$, there exists a subdivision H of $[a, b]$ such that if $H' = \{x_i\}_0^n$ is a refinement of H and $0 < i \leq n$, then

$$|f(x_i) - V(D_i, h, K, \Delta g)| < \epsilon/2 \left(1 + m \int_a^b |dg|\right),$$

where m is a bound for K and $D_i = \{x_j\}_0^i$. Let $x \in (a, b]$ and let $H' = \{x_i\}_0^n$ be a refinement of H such that $x \in H'$. Let $x = x_p$ and $D = \{x_i\}_0^p$, where $0 < p \leq n$. Then there is a sequence $\{\epsilon_i\}_1^p$ such that $f(x_i) - \epsilon_i = V(D_i, h, K, \Delta g)$ for $0 < i \leq p$, where $D_i = \{x_j\}_0^i$. Let $A = |a_{ij}|_1^p = V(D, h, K, \Delta g)$; then $A_j = V(D_j, h, K, \Delta g)$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$, and

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= f(x_p) = V(a, x; h, K, dg) = V(D, h, K, \Delta g) + \epsilon_p \\ &= a_{p1} + \sum_{j=2}^p A_{j-1} a_{pj} + \epsilon_p \quad (\text{Th. 1b}) \\ &= (h_p + h_0 K_{p,0} \Delta g_1) + \sum_{j=2}^p V(D_{j-1}, h, K, \Delta g) K_{p,j-1} \Delta g_j + \epsilon_p \\ &= (h_p + h_0 K_{p,0} \Delta g_1) + \sum_{j=2}^p (f_{j-1} - \epsilon_{j-1}) K_{p,j-1} \Delta g_j + \epsilon_p. \end{aligned}$$

Since $h_p = h(x)$ and $h_0 = f_0 = f(a)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - h(x) - \sum_{j=1}^p f_{j-1} K_{n,j-1} \Delta g_j| &\leq |\epsilon_p| + \sum_{j=2}^p |\epsilon_{j-1} K_{n,j-1} \Delta g_j| \\ &\leq |\epsilon_p| + \left[\epsilon/2 \left/ \left(1 + m \int_a^b |dg|\right) \right. \right] < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since x is an arbitrary element of $(a, b]$ and H' is an arbitrary refinement of H containing x , then $f(x) - h(x) = (L) \int_a^x f(t) K(x, t) dg(t)$ for $x \in [a, b]$ and $(f, K, g) \in OA^*$ on $[a, b]$.

In the next three theorems, we prove a set of sufficient conditions for a function triple (h, K, g) to belong to each of OA^* , OM^* and OM^{**} and show that, with appropriate restrictions,

$$V(a, b; h, K, dg) = h(b) - (L) \int_a^b h(t) dV(t, b; 1, K, dg).$$

The following lemma is used in the proofs of these theorems.

LEMMA. *Given. f is a function from R to N and if $\epsilon > 0$ then there is a subdivision $D = \{x_i\}_0^n$ of $[a, b]$ such that, if $0 < i \leq n$ and $x_{i-1} < x < y < x_i$, then $|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$. Conclusion. The function f is quasicontinuous on $[a, b]$.*

THEOREM 7. *Given. (1) The functions f and K are bounded and*

$dg \in OB^0$ on $[a, b]$ and $F(x) = (L) \int_a^x f(t)K(x, t)dg(t)$ exists for $a \leq x \leq b$; and (2) if $\epsilon > 0$ then there is a subdivision $D = \{x_i\}_0^n$ of $[a, b]$ such that, if $0 < i \leq n$ and $x_{i-1} < x < y < x_i$ and $\{t_i\}_0^m$ is a refinement of D such that $t_s \in \{t_i\}_0^m$ and $y = t_s$, then

$$|\sum_1^s f(t_{i-1})[K(x, t_{i-1}) - K(y, t_{i-1})][g(t_i) - g(t_{i-1})]| < \epsilon.$$

Conclusion. (1) The function F is quasicontinuous on $[a, b]$; and (2) $(f, K, g) \in OA^*$ on $[a, b]$.

Proof of Conclusion 1. Suppose that $\epsilon > 0$ and M is a bound for $|f||K|$. There is a subdivision $D = \{x_i\}_0^n$ of $[a, b]$ such that, if $0 < p \leq n$ and x and $y \in (x_{p-1}, x_p)$, then $\int_x^y |dg| < \epsilon/4M$ and, if $\{t_i\}_0^m$ is any refinement of D and $y = t_s$, then

$$|\sum_1^s f(t_{i-1})[K(x, t_{i-1}) - K(y, t_{i-1})][g(t_i) - g(t_{i-1})]| < \epsilon/4.$$

Let p be an integer and x and y be numbers such that $0 < p \leq n$ and x and $y \in (x_{p-1}, x_p)$. There is a refinement $D' = \{t_i\}_0^m$ of D and integers r and s such that $x = t_r$, $y = t_s$, and such that $|A| < \epsilon/4$ and $|B| < \epsilon/4$, where

$$A = F(x) - \sum_1^r f(t_{i-1})K(x, t_{i-1})[g(t_i) - g(t_{i-1})], \quad \text{and}$$

$$B = F(y) - \sum_1^s f(t_{i-1})K(y, t_{i-1})[g(t_i) - g(t_{i-1})].$$

Hence,

$$|F(y) - F(x)| \leq |A| + |B| + |C| + |E| < \epsilon,$$

where

$$C = \sum_1^r f(t_{i-1})[K(y, t_{i-1}) - K(x, t_{i-1})][g(t_i) - g(t_{i-1})], \quad \text{and}$$

$$E = \sum_{r+1}^s f(t_{i-1})K(y, t_{i-1})[g(t_i) - g(t_{i-1})],$$

and $|C| < \epsilon/4$ and $|E| < \epsilon/4$. Therefore, F is quasicontinuous on $[a, b]$.

Proof of Conclusion 2. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and M be a bound for $|f||K|$. Since F is quasicontinuous on $[a, b]$, then there is a subdivision $H_1 = \{z_i\}_0^m$ of $[a, b]$ which is a refinement of the subdivision D defined above and such that, if $0 < p \leq m$ and $z_{p-1} < x < y < z_p$, then $|F(x) - F(y)| < \epsilon/4$. Let H_2 be an interpolating sequence for H_1 and let H be a refinement of $H_1 \cup H_2$ such that, if $H' = \{y_i\}_0^n$ is a refinement of H and $y_q \in H_1 \cup H_2$, then

$$|F(y_q) - \sum_1^q f(y_{i-1})K(y_q, y_{i-1})[g(y_i) - g(y_{i-1})]| < \epsilon/4.$$

We now show that this subdivision H satisfies the definition for OA^* . Let $H' = \{t_i\}_0^p$ be a refinement of H and let $x = t_r \in H'$. If $x \in H_1 \cup H_2$, then the OA^* inequality $|\cdot| < \epsilon$ is satisfied. Suppose that $x \notin H_1 \cup H_2$; then there exist $y = t_s \in H_2$ and $z_{j-1}, z_j \in H_1$ such that x and $y \in (z_{j-1}, z_j)$. For convenience we will assume that $x < y$. Hence,

$$|F(x) - \sum_1^r f(t_{i-1})K(x, t_{i-1})[g(t_i) - g(t_{i-1})]| \leq |A| + |B| + |C| + |E| < \epsilon,$$

where $A = F(x) - F(y)$ and B, C and E are defined as in Conclusion 1 of this proof. If $x > y$, the steps would be similar. Therefore, $(f, K, g) \in OA^*$ on $[a, b]$.

THEOREM 8. *Given. The function K is bounded on $[a, b] \times [a, b]$ and on $[a, b]$ the functions h and g have bounded variation, the set*

$$\left\{ F_q \mid q \in [a, b], F_q = \int_a^x |dK(\cdot, q)| \right\}$$

of functions is quasicontinuous uniformly and $F(x) = V(a, x; h, K, dg)$ exists. Conclusion. (1) F is quasicontinuous on $[a, b]$; and (2) $(h, K, g) \in OM^$ on $[a, b]$.*

Proof of Conclusion 1. Suppose that $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and $m > 1$ is a bound for h and K ; then there is a number M which is an m -bound for $V(\cdot, \cdot, \Delta g)$ on $[a, b]$ and a number $Q > 1$ which has the properties stated in Theorem 5. There is a subdivision $D = \{x_i\}_0^n$ of $[a, b]$ such that, if $0 < i \leq n$ and $x_{i-1} < x < y < x_i$, then

$$\int_x^y |dK(t, q)| < \epsilon/8mM \left(\int_a^b |dg| + 1 \right) \quad \text{for } q \in [a, b],$$

$$\int_x^y |dg| < \epsilon/8QM, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_x^y |dh| < \epsilon/8M.$$

Suppose that $0 < i \leq n$ and that $x_{i-1} < x < y < x_i$; then there is a refinement $\{z_i\}_0^l$ of D such that $x = z_p$ and $y = z_q$ and such that $|F(x) - V(P, h, K, \Delta g)| < \epsilon/8$ and $|F(y) - V(R, h, K, \Delta g)| < \epsilon/8$, where $P = \{z_i\}_0^p$ and $R = \{z_i\}_0^q$. Let $A = |a_{ij}|_1^q = V(R, h, K, \Delta g)$; then $V(P, h, K, \Delta g) = |a_{ij}|_1^p = A_p$.

Let $B = |b_{ij}|_1^q$ be the $q \times q$ determinant such that $b_{ij} = 0$ for $p < j \leq i \leq q$ and $b_{ij} = a_{ij}$ otherwise. It follows from Theorem 5 that

$$\begin{aligned}
|A - B| &\leq Q \sum_{i=1}^q \sum_{j=1}^i |a_{ij} - b_{ij}| |g(z_{i+1}) - g(z_i)| \\
&= Q [\sum_{i=p+1}^{q-1} \sum_{j=p+1}^i |a_{ij}| |\Delta g_{i+1}| + \sum_{j=p+1}^q |a_{qj}|] \\
&= Q [\sum_{i=p+1}^{q-1} \sum_{j=p+1}^i |K_{i,j-1} \Delta g_j| |\Delta g_{i+1}| \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=p+1}^q |K_{q,j-1} \Delta g_j|] \\
&\leq Q \left[M \left(\int_{x_p}^{x_q} |dg| \right)^2 + M \int_{x_p}^{x_q} |dg| \right] < \epsilon/4.
\end{aligned}$$

Also, $B_{j-1} = A_{j-1}$ and ${}^*B_{pj} = a_{qj}$ (Th. 1f) for $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$; therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
|B - A_p| &= |\sum_{j=1}^p B_{j-1} {}^*B_{pj} - \sum_{j=1}^p A_{j-1} a_{pj}| \quad (\text{Th. 1d, b}) \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^p |A_{j-1}| |{}^*B_{pj} - a_{pj}| \leq M \sum_{j=1}^p |a_{qj} - a_{pj}| \\
&\leq M [|h_q - h_p| + |h_0| |K_{q0} - K_{p0}| |\Delta g_1| + \sum_{j=2}^p |K_{q,j-1} - K_{p,j-1}| |\Delta g_j|] \\
&\leq M \left[\epsilon/8M + m \sum_{j=1}^p \left(\epsilon/8mM \left(\int_a^b |dg| + 1 \right) \right) |\Delta g_j| \right] < \epsilon/4.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
|F(y) - F(x)| &\leq |F(y) - A| + |A - B| + |B - A_p| + |A_p - F(x)| \\
&< \epsilon/8 + \epsilon/4 + \epsilon/4 + \epsilon/8 < \epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof of Conclusion 2 is similar to the proof of Conclusion 2 of Theorem 7.

THEOREM 9. *Given. The function K is bounded on $[a, b] \times [a, b]$ and on $[a, b]$ g has bounded variation and $F(x) = V(a, b; 1, K, dg)$ exists, where 1 denotes the identity function. Conclusion. (1) F is quasicontinuous on $[a, b]$; (2) $(1, K, g) \in OM^{**}$ on $[a, b]$; and (3) if $dh \in OB^0$ on $[a, b]$, then $V(a, b; h, K, dg)$ exists and is $h(b) - (L) \int_a^b h(t) dF(t)$.*

Proof of Conclusion 1. Let $\epsilon > 0$, let M be a bound for $|K|$ and let Q be a number having the properties defined in Theorem 5. Since $dg \in OB^0$, there is a subdivision $D = \{x_i\}_0^m$ of $[a, b]$ such that, if $0 < i \leq m$ and $x_{i-1} < x < y < x_i$, then $\int_x^y |dg| < \epsilon/6QM \left(1 + \int_a^b |dg| \right)$. Suppose that $0 < r \leq m$ and $x_{r-1} < x < y < x_r$. Since $F(x) = V(x, b; 1, K, dg)$ and $F(y) = V(y, b; 1, K, dg)$ exist, then there exists a subdivision $R = \{z_i\}_0^n$ of $[x, b]$ and an integer p such that $0 < p < n$ and a subdivision $P = \{z_i\}_{p-1}^n$ of $[y, b]$ such that $x = z_0$, $y = z_{p-1}$, $|F(x) - V(R, 1, K, \Delta g)| < \epsilon/6$, and $|F(y) - V(P, 1, K, \Delta g)| < \epsilon/6$.

Let $A = V(R, 1, K, \Delta g) = |a_{ij}|_1^n$ and $C = V(P, 1, K, \Delta g) = |a_{ij}|_p^n = {}^*A_p$; let $B = |b_{ij}|_1^n$ be the $n \times n$ determinant such that $b_{i1} = a_{ip}$ for $p \leq i \leq n$, $b_{ij} = 0$ for $1 < j \leq i \leq p$, $b_{ij} = 0$ for $p < i \leq n$ and $2 \leq j \leq p$, and $b_{ij} = a_{ij}$ otherwise. In the following manipulations, $|\Delta g_{n+1}| = 1$ and $|a_{ij}|$ denotes the norm of the element a_{ij} ; hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |A - B| &\leq Q \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^i |a_{ij} - b_{ij}| |\Delta g_{i+1}| & (\text{Th. 5}) \\
 &= Q[|a_{n1} - b_{n1}| + \sum_{j=2}^p |a_{nj}|] + Q \sum_{i=p}^{n-1} |a_{i1} - b_{i1}| |\Delta g_{i+1}| \\
 &\quad + Q[\sum_{i=2}^p \sum_{j=2}^i |a_{ij}| |\Delta g_{i+1}| + \sum_{i=p+1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=2}^p |a_{ij}| |\Delta g_{i+1}|] \\
 &= Q[(1 + K_{n0} \Delta g_1) - (1 + K_{n,p-1} \Delta g_p)] + \sum_{j=2}^p |K_{n,j-1} \Delta g_j| \\
 &\quad + Q[\sum_{i=p}^{n-1} |(1 + K_{i0} \Delta g_1) - (1 + K_{i,p-1} \Delta g_p)| |\Delta g_{i+1}|] \\
 &\quad + Q[\sum_{i=2}^p \sum_{j=2}^i |K_{i,j-1} \Delta g_j| + \sum_{i=p+1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=2}^p |K_{i,j-1} \Delta g_j| |\Delta g_{i+1}|] \\
 &\leq 2QM \int_x^y |dg| + QM(|\Delta g_1| + |\Delta g_p|) \int_a^b |dg| \\
 &\quad + QM \int_x^y |dg| \int_a^b |dg| \\
 &< \epsilon/3 + \epsilon/6 + \epsilon/6 = 2\epsilon/3.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Theorem 1f that $B = {}^*A_p = C$; hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |F(x) - F(y)| &\leq |F(x) - A| + |A - B| + |B - C| + |C - F(y)| \\
 &< \epsilon/6 + 2\epsilon/3 + 0 + \epsilon/6 = \epsilon.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, F is quasicontinuous on $[a, b]$.

The proof of Conclusion 2 is similar to the proof of Conclusion 2 of Theorem 7.

Proof of Conclusion 3. Suppose that $\epsilon > 0$. Since $dh \in OB^0$ and F is quasicontinuous, then $(R) \int_a^b dhF$ exists. Since $(R) \int_a^b dhF$ and $V(a, b; 1, K, dg)$ exist and $(h, K, g) \in OM^{**}$, there exists a subdivision D of $[a, b]$ such that if $D' = \{x_i\}_0^n$ is a refinement of D and $0 < i \leq n$, then

$$\left| (R) \int_a^b dhF - \sum_1^n \Delta h_i F_i \right| < \epsilon/3$$

and

$$|F(x_i) - V(D_i, 1, K, \Delta g)| < \epsilon/3 \left(\int_a^b |dh| + 1 \right)$$

for $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$, where $D_i = \{x_p\}_{p=i}^n$. Let $D' = \{x_i\}_0^n$ be a refinement of D and let $D_i = \{x_p\}_{p=i}^n$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Also, let $V(D, h, K, \Delta g) = A = |a_{ij}|_1^n$ and let $V^*(D, h, K, \Delta g) = B = |b_{ij}|_1^n$ be the $n \times n$ Volterra determinant such that (1) $b_{11} = a_{11}$, and (2) if $1 < i \leq n$, then $b_{ij} = a_{ij} - a_{i-1,j}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Note that $A = |a_{ij}|_1^n$ can be transformed into $B = |b_{ij}|_1^n$ by adding the negative of the elements of the $n-1$ st row of A to the n th row of A , the negative of the elements of the $n-2$ nd row to the $n-1$ st row, etc. Hence, $A = B$, and for $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$, the determinant ${}^*B_{i+1}$ can be transformed into $V(D_i, 1, K, \Delta g)$ by adding the elements of the first row of ${}^*B_{i+1}$ to the 2nd row, the elements of the new 2nd row to the 3rd row, etc. Hence, there exists an element α of N such that $|\alpha| < \epsilon$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
 V(D, h, K, \Delta g) &= |b_{ij}|_1^n = \sum_{i=1}^n b_{ij} {}^*B_{i+1} & (\text{Th. 1b}) \\
 &= (h_1 + h_0 K_{10} \Delta g_1) {}^*B_2 + \sum_{i=2}^n [\Delta h_i + h_0 (K_{i0} - K_{i-1,0}) \Delta g_1] {}^*B_{i+1} \\
 &= h_0 [(1 + K_{10} \Delta g_1) {}^*B_2 + \sum_{i=2}^n (K_{i0} - K_{i-1,0}) \Delta g_1 {}^*B_{i+1}] + \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta h_i {}^*B_{i+1} \\
 &= h_0 V(D, 1, K, \Delta g) + \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta h_i F(x_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta h_i [{}^*B_{i+1} - F(x_i)] \\
 &= h_0 V(a, b; 1, K, dg) + (R) \int_a^b dhF + \alpha \\
 &= h(a)F(a) + h(x)F(x)|_a^b - (L) \int_a^b h dF + \alpha \\
 &= h(b) - (L) \int_a^b h dF + \alpha.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $V(a, b; h, K, dg)$ exists and

$$\begin{aligned}
 V(a, b; h, K, dg) &= h(a)V(a, b; 1, K, dg) + (R) \int_a^b dhF \\
 &= h(b) - (L) \int_a^b h dV(t, b; 1, K, dg).
 \end{aligned}$$

In Theorem 11 we prove a set of sufficient conditions for the existence of the limit $V(a, b; h, K, dg)$. Theorem 10 is a lemma which is used in the proof of Theorem 11.

THEOREM 10. *Given. The symbols n , r and p represent positive integers, $p < n$, and $A = |a_{ij}|_1^n$ is an $n \times n$ Volterra determinant and $B = |b_{ij}|_1^{n+r}$ is an $(n+r) \times (n+r)$ Volterra determinant such that*

- (1) if $0 < j \leq i \leq p$, then $b_{ij} = a_{ij}$;
- (2) if $p < i \leq p+r$ and $0 < j \leq p$, then $b_{ij} = a_{pj}$;
- (3) if $p+r < i \leq n+r$ and $0 < j \leq p$, then $b_{ij} = a_{i-r,j}$;
- (4) if $p+r < i \leq n+r$, then $\sum_{j=p+1}^{p+r+1} b_{ij} = a_{i-r,p+1}$;

- (5) if $p + r + 1 < j \leq i \leq n + r$, then $b_{ij} = a_{i-r, j-r}$; and
 (6) if $p < j \leq i \leq p + r$, then $b_{ij} = 0$.

Conclusion. $A = B$, where A and B represent elements of N .

Proof. Note that $A_i = B_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ and ${}^*A_i = {}^*B_{i+r}$ for $i > p + 1$. It follows from (6) and (4) above that ${}^*B_{i+1} = {}^*B_{p+r+1, i+1}$ for $i = p, p + 1, \dots, p + r$ and $\sum_{i=p}^{p+r} {}^*B_{i+1} = \sum_{i=p}^{p+r} {}^*B_{p+r+1, i+1} = {}^*A_{p+1}$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
 B &= \sum_{j=1}^p B_{j-1} (\sum_{i=p}^{n+r} b_{ij} {}^*B_{i+1}) & (\text{Th. 1d}) \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^p B_{j-1} (\sum_{i=p}^{p+r} b_{ij} {}^*B_{i+1} + \sum_{i=p+r+1}^{n+r} b_{ij} {}^*B_{i+1}) \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^p A_{j-1} (\sum_{i=p}^{p+r} a_{pj} {}^*B_{i+1} + \sum_{i=p+1}^n a_{ij} {}^*A_{i+1}) & (2,3,5) \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^p A_{j-1} (a_{pj} {}^*A_{p+1} + \sum_{i=p+1}^n a_{ij} {}^*A_{i+1}) \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^p A_{j-1} \sum_{i=p}^n a_{ij} {}^*A_{i+1} = A, & (\text{Th. 1d}).
 \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 11. *Given. $[a, b]$ is a number interval, K is a bounded function from $R \times R$ to N and h and g are functions from R to N such that dg and $dh \in OB^0$ on $[a, b]$, $\int_a^b \int_a^b |dK| |dg| |dg| = 0$ and $\int_a^b |dK(b, t)| |dg(t)| = 0$. Conclusion. (1) $V(a, b; h, K, dg)$ exists, and (2) if $\int_a^b |dK(\cdot, t)| |dg(t)| = 0$ uniformly on $[a, b]$, then on $[a, b]$ the function $f(x) = V(a, x; h, K, dg)$ exists, $(h, K, g) \in OM^*$ and f is the solution of the equation*

$$f(x) = h(x) + (L) \int_a^x f(t) K(x, t) dg(t).$$

Proof. We will show that the limit $V(a, b; h, K, dg)$ exists by showing that the following Cauchy criterion condition is satisfied: if $\epsilon > 0$ then there is a subdivision D of $[a, b]$ such that, if D' is a refinement of D , then $|V(D, h, K, \Delta g) - V(D', h, K, \Delta g)| < \epsilon$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and let M be a bound for $(1 + |h|)(1 + |K|)(1 + \int_a^b |dg|)$ on $[a, b]$. It follows from Theorem 5 that there is a number Q such that, if U, W, u and w are functions bounded by M on $[a, b]$ and $D = \{x_i\}_0^n$ is a subdivision of $[a, b]$, then

$$|A - B| \leq Q \sum_{p=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^p |a_{pj} - b_{pj}| |g(x_{p+1}) - g(x_p)|,$$

where $A = |a_{ij}|_1^n = V(D, u, U, \Delta g)$, $B = |b_{ij}|_1^n = V(D, w, W, \Delta g)$ and $|\Delta g_{n+1}| = 1$.

Since dg and $dh \in OB^0$ and $\int_a^b \int_a^b |dK| |dg| |dg| = 0$ and $\int_a^b |dK(b, t)| |dg(t)| = 0$, there is a subdivision $D = \{x_i\}_0^n$ of $[a, b]$ such that

$$(1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i^-} |dg| \int_{x_{i-1}^+}^{x_i} |dg| < \epsilon/9MQ,$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i^-} |dh| \int_{x_{i-1}^+}^{x_i} |dg| < \epsilon/9Q, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{a^+}^{x_2} |dg| < \epsilon/18MQ;$$

$$(2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^i M_{ij} \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} |dg| \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} |dg| < \epsilon/9MQ,$$

where for each i and j , M_{ij} is the lub of $|K(x_{i-1}, x_{j-1}) - K(x, y)|$ for $x_{i-1} \leq x < x_i$ and $x_{j-1} \leq y < x_j$; and

$$(3) \quad \sum_1^n M_i \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} |dg| < \epsilon/9Q,$$

where for each i , M_i is the lub of $|K(b, x_{i-1}) - K(b, x)|$ for $x_{i-1} \leq x < x_i$.

Let $D' = \{z_i\}_0^m$ be a refinement of D , $A = |a_{ij}|_1^n = V(D, h, K, \Delta g)$ and $B = |b_{ij}|_1^m = V(D', h, K, \Delta g)$. Let $\{n_i\}_0^n$ be the sequence of integers such that $x_i = z_{n_i}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. We now define an $m \times m$ determinant $C = |c_{ij}|_1^m$ such that $C = A$ and $|B - C| < \epsilon$ and, hence, $|A - B| \leq |A - C| + |C - B| < \epsilon$. In the following paragraphs, the symbols h_i , K_{ij} and Δg_i represent $h(z_i)$, $K(z_i, z_j)$ and $g(z_i) - g(z_{i-1})$, respectively.

Let P_1 be the set of integer pairs such that $i, j \in P_1$ iff $j = 1$ and $1 \leq i < n_2$. Let $c_{ij} = a_{i1}$ for $i, j \in P_1$; then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j \in P_1} |b_{ij} - c_{ij}| |\Delta g_{i+1}| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n_2-1} 2M |\Delta g_{i+1}| \leq 2M \int_{a^+}^{x_2} |dg| \\ &< 2M(\epsilon/18MQ) = \epsilon/9Q. \end{aligned}$$

Let P_2 be the set of integer pairs such that $i, j \in P_2$ iff $j = 1$ and $n_2 \leq i < m$. If $i, j \in P_2$ and $2 < p \leq n$ and $n_{p-1} \leq i < n_p$, then $c_{ij} = a_{p-1,1}$. Let $N_p = \{n_{p-1}, n_p\}$. Since $x_0 = z_0 = a$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j \in P_2} |b_{ij} - c_{ij}| |\Delta g_{i+1}| &= \sum_{p=3}^n \sum_{i \in N_p} |h(z_i) + h(z_0)K(z_i, z_0)(\Delta g_i) \\ &\quad - h(x_{p-1}) - h(x_0)K(x_{p-1}, x_0)[g(x_1) - g(x_0)]| |\Delta g_{i+1}| \\ &= \sum_{p=3}^n \sum_{i \in N_p} |[h(z_i) - h(x_{p-1})] + h(x_0)\{K(z_i, z_0)[g(z_i) - g(z_0)] \\ &\quad - K(x_{p-1}, x_0)[g(x_1) - g(x_0)]\}| |\Delta g_{i+1}| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \leq \sum_{p=3}^n \sum_{i \in N_p} |h(z_i) - h(x_{p-1})| |\Delta g_{i+1}| \\
& \quad + \sum_{p=3}^n \sum_{i \in N_p} |h(x_0)| |K(z_i, x_0) - K(x_{p-1}, x_0)| |g(x_1) - g(x_0)| |\Delta g_{i+1}| \\
& \quad + |g(z_1) - g(x_1)| \sum_{p=3}^n \sum_{i \in N_p} |h(x_0)| |K(z_i, z_0)| |\Delta g_{i+1}| \\
& \leq \sum_{p=3}^n \int_{x_{p-1}}^{\bar{x}_p} |dh| \int_{x_{p-1}^+}^{x_p} |dg| \\
& \quad + |h(x_0)| \sum_{p=3}^n \sum_{i \in N_p} M_{p,0} |g(x_1) - g(x_0)| |\Delta g_{i+1}| \\
& \quad + (\epsilon/18MQ) |h(x_0)| |K(z_i, z_0)| \left(\int_a^b |dg| + 1 \right) \\
& < \epsilon/9Q + M(\epsilon/9MQ) + \epsilon/9Q = \epsilon/3Q,
\end{aligned}$$

where M_{p0} is the lub of $|K(x_{p-1}, x_0) - K(x, y)|$ for $x_{i-1} \leq x < x_i$ and $x_{j-1} \leq y < x_j$.

Let P_3 be the set of integer pairs such that $i, j \in P_3$ iff $1 < j \leq n_1$ and $j \leq i \leq m$. Let $c_{ij} = 0$ for $i, j \in P_3$; then

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i,j \in P_3} |b_{ij} - c_{ij}| |\Delta g_{i+1}| &= \sum_{j=2}^{n_1} \sum_{i=j}^m |K(z_i, z_{j-1}) \Delta g_j| |\Delta g_{i+1}| \\
&\leq \sum_{j=2}^{n_1} M |g(z_j) - g(z_{j-1})| \leq M \int_{a^+}^{x_1} |dg| \\
&< M(\epsilon/9MQ) = \epsilon/9Q.
\end{aligned}$$

Let P_4 be the set of integer pairs such that the pair $i, j \in P_4$ iff there is an integer p such that $1 < p \leq n$ and $n_{p-1} < j \leq i < n_p$. Let $c_{ij} = 0$ for $i, j \in P_4$; then

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i,j \in P_4} |b_{ij} - c_{ij}| |\Delta g_{i+1}| &= \sum_{i,j \in P_4} |K(z_i, z_{j-1}) \Delta g_j| |\Delta g_{i+1}| \\
&\leq M \sum_{p=2}^n \sum_{i=n_{p-1}+1}^{n_p-1} \sum_{j=n_{p-1}+1}^i |g(z_j) - g(z_{j-1})| |g(z_{i+1}) - g(z_i)| \\
&\leq M \sum_{p=2}^n \int_{x_{p-1}}^{\bar{x}_p} |dg| \int_{x_{p-1}^+}^{x_p} |dg| < M(\epsilon/9MQ).
\end{aligned}$$

Let P_5 be the set of integer pairs such that $i, j \in P_5$ iff $i = m$ and also $j = 1$ or $n_1 < j \leq m$. Let $c_{m1} = a_{n1} = h(x_n) + h(x_0)K(x_n, x_0)[g(x_1) - g(x_0)]$ and, if $1 < p \leq n$ and $n_{p-1} < j \leq n_p$, let $c_{mj} = K(x_n, x_{p-1})[g(z_j) - g(z_{j-1})]$. Since $z_m = x_n$ and $z_0 = x_0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i,j \in P_5} |b_{ij} - c_{ij}| &= |b_{m1} - c_{m1}| + \sum_{p=2}^n \sum_{j=n_{p-1}+1}^{n_p} |b_{mj} - c_{mj}| \\
&= |h(z_m) + h(z_0)K(z_m, z_0)[g(z_1) - g(z_0)] \\
&\quad - h(x_n) - h(x_0)K(x_n, x_0)[g(x_1) - g(x_0)]| \\
&\quad + \sum_{p=2}^n \sum_{j=n_{p-1}+1}^{n_p} |K(z_m, z_{j-1})[g(z_j) - g(z_{j-1})] \\
&\quad - K(x_n, x_{p-1})[g(z_j) - g(z_{j-1})]|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq |h(z_0)| |K(z_m, z_0)| |g(x_1) - g(z_1)| \\
&\quad + \sum_{p=2}^n \sum_{j \leq n_{p-1}+1} |K(x_n, z_{j-1}) - K(x_n, x_{p-1})| |g(z_j) - g(z_{j-1})| \\
&\leq M |g(x_1) - g(z_1)| + \sum_{p=2}^n \sum_{j \leq n_{p-1}+1} M_p |g(z_j) - g(z_{j-1})| \\
&\leq M(\epsilon/18MQ) + \sum_{p=2}^n M_p \int_{x_{p-1}}^{x_p} |dg| < \epsilon/3Q,
\end{aligned}$$

where M_p is the lub of $|K(b, x_{p-1}) - K(b, z)|$ for $x_{p-1} \leq z < x_p$.

Let P_6 be the set of integer pairs such that $i, j \in P_6$ iff there are integers p and q such that $2 \leq q < p \leq n$ and such that $n_{p-1} \leq i < n_p$ and $n_{q-1} < j \leq n_q$. If $i, j \in P_6$ and $n_p \leq i < n_{p+1}$ and $n_{q-1} < j \leq n_q$, let $c_{ij} = K(x_p, x_{q-1})[g(z_j) - g(z_{j-1})]$; then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i, j \in P_6} |b_{ij} - c_{ij}| |\Delta g_{i+1}| \\
&= \sum_{p \in P_6} |K(z_i, z_{j-1}) \Delta g_j - K(x_{p-1}, x_{q-1}) \Delta g_j| |\Delta g_{i+1}| \\
&\leq \sum_{p=3}^n \sum_{q=2}^{p-1} \sum_{i \leq n_{p-1}} \sum_{j \leq n_{q-1}} |K_{i, j-1} - K(x_{p-1}, x_{q-1})| |\Delta g_j| |\Delta g_{i+1}| \\
&\leq \sum_{p \in P_6} M_{pq} |\Delta g_j| |\Delta g_{i+1}| \\
&\leq \sum_{p=3}^n \sum_{q=2}^{p-1} M_{pq} \int_{x_{p-1}}^{x_p} |dg| \int_{x_{q-1}}^{x_q} |dg| < \epsilon/9Q,
\end{aligned}$$

where M_{pq} is the lub of $|K(x_{p-1}, x_{q-1}) - K(x, y)|$ for $x_{p-1} \leq x < x_p$ and $x_{q-1} \leq y < x_q$.

The determinant $|c_{ij}|_1^m$ can be reduced to the determinant $|a_{ij}|_1^n$ by the following steps.

(1) If $n_1 > 1$, use Theorem 1f and obtain a determinant of lower order.

(2) For each integer p such that $2 < p \leq n$ and $n_p > n_{p-1} + 1$, use Theorem 10 and the definition of the determinant $|c_{ij}|_1^m$ to obtain a determinant of lower order. Note that, if $1 < n_p \leq i < n_{p+1}$, then

$$\sum_{j \leq n_{q-1}+1} c_{ij} = K(x_p, x_{q-1})[g(x_q) - g(x_{q-1})] = a_{pq}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
|B - A| &\leq |B - C| + |C - A| = |B - C| \\
&\leq Q \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^i |b_{ij} - c_{ij}| |\Delta g_{i+1}| \quad (\text{Th. 5}) \\
&= Q (\sum_{r=1}^6 \sum_{i, j \in P_r} |b_{ij} - c_{ij}| |\Delta g_{i+1}|) < Q(\epsilon/Q) = \epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if $\epsilon > 0$ then there is a subdivision D of $[a, b]$ such that if D' is a refinement of D , then $|V(D, h, K, \Delta g) - V(D', h, K, \Delta g)| < \epsilon$; hence, the limit $V(a, b; h, K, dg)$ exists.

Suppose that $\int_a^b |dK(\cdot, t)| |dg(t)| = 0$ uniformly on $[a, b]$. If $a < x \leq b$, it follows from Conclusion 1 that $V(a, x; h, K, dg)$ exists. We now prove that $(h, K, g) \in OM^*$ on $[a, b]$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and define a subdivision D of $[a, b]$ in the same manner as in Conclusion 1 except that $\int_a^b |dK(\cdot, t)| |dg(t)| = 0$ uniformly is used in defining D in place of $\int_a^b |dK(b, t)| |dg(t)| = 0$.

If $\{x_i\}_0^n$ is a refinement of D and $0 < p \leq n$, then a repetition of the steps in the proof of Conclusion 1 shows that, if Q' is a refinement of $Q = \{x_i\}_0^p$, then

$$|V(Q', h, K, \Delta g) - V(Q, h, K, \Delta g)| < \epsilon.$$

Since $V(a, x_p; h, K, dg)$ exists, there is a refinement Q' of Q such that $|V(Q', h, K, \Delta g) - V(a, x_p; h, K, dg)| < \epsilon$; hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & |V(a, x_p; h, K, dg) - V(Q, h, K, \Delta g)| \\ & \leq |V(a, x_p; h, K, dg) - V(Q')| + |V(Q') - V(Q)| < 2\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $(h, K, g) \in OM^*$ on $[a, b]$. It follows from Theorem 3 that f is bounded on $[a, b]$ and from Theorem 6 that f is the solution on $[a, b]$ of the equation $f(x) = h(x) + (L) \int_a^x f(t)K(x, t)dg(t)$.

REFERENCES

1. C. W. Bitzer, *Stieltjes-Volterra integral equations*, Illinois J. Math., **14** (1970), 434-451.
2. ———, *Convolution, fixed point, and approximation in Stieltjes-Volterra integral equations*, J. Austral. Math. Soc., **14** (1972), 182-199.
3. D. B. Hinton, *A Stieltjes-Volterra integral equation theory*, Canad. J. Math., **18** (1966), 314-331.
4. J. A. Reneke, *A product integral solution of a Stieltjes-Volterra integral equation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **24** (1970), 621-626.
5. ———, *Continuity for Stieltjes-Volterra integral equations*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl., **17** (1972), 389-401.

Received October 15, 1974 and in revised form February 11, 1976.

SOUTHWEST TEXAS STATE UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT

University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM

Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION
Printed at Jerusalem Academic Press, POB 2390, Jerusalem, Israel.

Copyright © 1976 Pacific Journal of Mathematics
All Rights Reserved

Richard Fairbanks Arnold and A. P. Morse, <i>Plus and times</i>	297
Edwin Ogilvie Buchman and F. A. Valentine, <i>External visibility</i>	333
R. A. Czerwinski, <i>Bonded quadratic division algebras</i>	341
William Richard Emerson, <i>Averaging strongly subadditive set functions in unimodular amenable groups. II</i>	353
Lynn Harry Erbe, <i>Existence of oscillatory solutions and asymptotic behavior for a class of third order linear differential equations</i>	369
Kenneth R. Goodearl, <i>Power-cancellation of groups and modules</i>	387
J. C. Hankins and Roy Martin Rakestraw, <i>The extremal structure of locally compact convex sets</i>	413
Burrell Washington Helton, <i>The solution of a Stieltjes-Volterra integral equation for rings</i>	419
Frank Kwang-Ming Hwang and Shen Lin, <i>Construction of 2-balanced (n, k, λ) arrays</i>	437
Wei-Eihn Kuan, <i>Some results on normality of a graded ring</i>	455
Dieter Landers and Lothar Rogge, <i>Relations between convergence of series and convergence of sequences</i>	465
Lawrence Louis Larmore and Robert David Rigdon, <i>Enumerating immersions and embeddings of projective spaces</i>	471
Douglas C. McMahon, <i>On the role of an abelian phase group in relativized problems in topological dynamics</i>	493
Robert Wilmer Miller, <i>Finitely generated projective modules and TTF classes</i>	505
Yashaswini Deval Mittal, <i>A class of isotropic covariance functions</i>	517
Anthony G. Mucci, <i>Another martingale convergence theorem</i>	539
Joan Kathryn Plastiras, <i>Quasitriangular operator algebras</i>	543
John Robert Quine, Jr., <i>The geometry of $p(S^1)$</i>	551
Tsuan Wu Ting, <i>The unloading problem for severely twisted bars</i>	559