

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

SOME RESULTS ON NORMALITY OF A GRADED RING

WEI-EIHN KUAN

SOME RESULTS ON NORMALITY OF A GRADED RING

WEI-EIHN KUAN

Let $R = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_i$ be a graded domain and let \mathfrak{p} be a homogeneous prime ideal in R . Let $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the localization of R at \mathfrak{p} and $R_{(\mathfrak{p})} = \{r_i/s_i \mid r_i s_i \in R_i \text{ and } s_i \notin \mathfrak{p}\}$. If $R_I \cap (R - \mathfrak{p}) \neq \emptyset$, then $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a localization of a transcendental extension of $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$. Thus $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is normal (regular) if and only if $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ is normal (regular). Let $\text{Proj}(R) = \{\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{p} \text{ is a homogeneous prime ideal and } \mathfrak{p} \not\subseteq \bigoplus_{i > 0} R_i\}$. Under certain conditions a Noetherian graded domain R is normal if $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ is normal for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Proj}(R)$. If $R = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_i$ is reduced and $F_0 = \{r_i/u_i \mid r_i, u_i \in R_i \text{ and } u_i \in U\}$ where U is the set of all nonzero divisors is Noetherian, then the integral closure of R in the total quotient ring of R is also graded.

1. Introduction. Let $R = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_i$ be a graded integral domain. Let $\text{Spec}(R)$ be the set of all prime ideals in R . Let $R_+ = \bigoplus_{i > 0} R_i$. R_+ is an ideal in R . An ideal \mathfrak{A} in R is said to be irrelevant if $R_+ \subset \sqrt{\mathfrak{A}}$, the radical of \mathfrak{A} . Let $\text{Proj}(R) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid \mathfrak{p} \subset R_+ \text{ is homogeneous and nonirrelevant}\}$. For each $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$, let $R_{\mathfrak{p}} = \{r/s \mid s \in R \text{ and } s \notin \mathfrak{p}\}$, and for each homogeneous prime ideal \mathfrak{p} , let $R_{(\mathfrak{p})} = \{r_i/s_i \mid r_i, s_i \in R_i \text{ and } s_i \notin \mathfrak{p}\}$. (Note: $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ in [1] is defined for $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Proj}(R)$ only.) According to the terminology of Seidenberg [9], $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is called the arithmetical local ring of R at \mathfrak{p} and $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ the geometrical local ring of R at \mathfrak{p} . I prove that if $R_I \cap (R - \mathfrak{p}) \neq \emptyset$ then $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the ring of quotients of a transcendental extension of $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ relative to a multiplicative set, $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is normal (regular) if and only if $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ is normal (regular); see Theorem 2. In the case of an irreducible projective variety V over a field k in a projective n -space P^n_k , V/k is normal if the geometrical local ring of V at each $\mathfrak{p} \in V$, $\mathcal{O}_k^v(\mathfrak{p})$ is integrally closed. V is arithmetically normal if the ring of strictly homogeneous coordinates $k[V]$ is integrally closed. The latter implies the former. For the converse, various cohomological criteria are developed; see [3], [8], [9]. I attempt to study the normality of a graded domain R if $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ is normal for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Proj}(R)$. In this paper, I also obtain the following theorem: Let R be a Noetherian graded domain, say $R = R_0[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and x_1, \dots, x_n are of homogeneous degree 1. Assume that R_0 contains a field k over which R_0 and $k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ are linearly disjoint and separable. Let \mathfrak{B} be the kernel of the canonical map from the polynomial ring $R_0[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. Then R is normal if R_0 is normal, $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ is normal for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Proj}(R)$ and $\text{coh.d.} \mathfrak{B} \cdot K[X_1, \dots, X_n] < n - 1$, where K is the quotient field of R_0 .

In the §4, we prove that under certain conditions on a graded ring R (not necessarily integral domain) the integral closure \bar{R} of R in the total quotient ring of R is also graded; see Theorem 6.

Our references on the elementary well known facts about graded rings can be found in [1] and [10].

I would like to thank Professor A. Seidenberg for many valuable discussions and suggestions during preparation of the research, while I was on sabbatical leave visiting Berkeley.

I would like also to thank the referee for his comments.

2. Normality and regularity of local domains. Let R be a commutative ring with identity 1. Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal in R . By height of \mathfrak{p} , we mean the supremum of the length of chains of prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}_0 \not\supseteq \mathfrak{p}_1 \not\supseteq \mathfrak{p}_2 \not\supseteq \cdots \not\supseteq \mathfrak{p}_n$ with $\mathfrak{p}_0 = \mathfrak{p}$ and denote it by $ht(\mathfrak{p})$. Let $R = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_i$ be a graded integral domain. Let K be the quotient field of R . We say that R is integrally closed if R is integrally closed in K . Let $K_q = \{f_i/g_j \mid i - j = q; f_i \in R_i, g_j \in R_j\}$. K_0 is a field, $\Sigma_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} K_q$ is a subring of K and the sum is direct, where \mathbb{Z} stands for the set of integers. Elements in K_q are known as homogeneous elements of K of degree q . The following theorem was originally proved in [9] for projective varieties. We observe that the same holds true for non-Noetherian graded domain also.

THEOREM 1. *Let $R = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_i$ be a graded domain. Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ be nonhomogeneous. If $ht(\mathfrak{p}) = 1$ then $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is integrally closed.*

Proof. Let \mathfrak{p}^* be the ideal generated by all the homogeneous elements of \mathfrak{p} . By [10, Lemma 3, p. 153] \mathfrak{p}^* is a prime ideal and $\mathfrak{p} \not\supseteq \mathfrak{p}^* \geq 0$. Since $ht(\mathfrak{p}) = 1$, $\mathfrak{p}^* = 0$. Therefore \mathfrak{p} contains no homogeneous element. Thus every nonzero homogeneous element u is in $R - \mathfrak{p}$. It follows therefore $\bigoplus_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} K_q \subset R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Let $f \in K$ be integral over $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Then there exists $h \in R - \mathfrak{p}$ such that fh is integral over R . It follows from [10, Theorem 11, p. 157] that each of the homogeneous components is integral over R . By the preceding, each homogeneous component of $f \cdot h$ is in $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Therefore $f \cdot h \in R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $f \in R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Thus $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is integrally closed.

Let $y \in K_1$ be any nonzero element. If $\xi \in K_q$, then $\xi/y^q \in K_0$. Moreover $R \subset K_0[y]$, $K = K_0(y)$, y is transcendental over K_0 , $K_q = K_0 y^q$ and $\bigoplus_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} K_q = K_0[y, 1/y]$. We have the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.[†] *Let $R = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_i$ with that $R_1 \neq 0$. Let \mathfrak{p} be a homogeneous prime ideal such that there exists an element $r_1 \in R_1 - \mathfrak{p}$. Then*

[†] Professor A. Seidenberg remarks that the present Theorem 2 strengthens Lemma 2 of [9; p. 618] and corrects its proof.

- (a) K_0 is the quotient field of $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ and $K_0 \cap R_{\mathfrak{p}} = R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$.
 (b) $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ is integrally closed in K_0 implies that $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ is integrally closed in K .
 (c) $R_{\mathfrak{p}} = (R_{(\mathfrak{p})}[r_1])_S$, where $S = R - \mathfrak{p}$; r_1 is transcendental over $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$.
 (d) $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is integrally closed in K if and only if $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ is integrally closed in K_0 .
 (e) $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ is regular if and only if $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is regular.

Proof. By definition $R_{(\mathfrak{p})} \subset K_0$. Let $x \in K_0$, $x = f_i/g_i$ for some $f_i, g_i \in R_i$ and $g_i \neq 0$. Then $x = f_i/g_i = (f_i/r_i^i)/(g_i/r_i^i)$, since f_i/r_i^i and g_i/r_i^i are both in $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$. Therefore x is in the quotient field of $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$. Thus K_0 is the quotient field of $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$. For the second part of (a) we need only to prove that $K_0 \cap R_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$. Let $x \in K_0 \cap R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Then $x = f_i/g_i$ for some $f_i, g_i \in R_i$ with $g_i \neq 0$. On the other hand $x = (r_j + r_{j+1} + \cdots + r_{j+m})/(s_l + s_{l+1} + \cdots + s_{l+m})$ with $s_l + s_{l+1} + \cdots + s_{l+m} \notin \mathfrak{p}$. Then there exists an index $l+t$ such that $s_{l+t} \notin \mathfrak{p}$. $f_i \cdot (s_l + s_{l+1} + \cdots + s_{l+m}) = g_i(r_j + r_{j+1} + \cdots + r_{j+k})$ implies that $l = j$, $m = k$ and $f_i \cdot s_{l+t} = g_i \cdot r_{l+t}$. Thus $x = f_i/g_i = r_{l+t}/s_{l+t}$ i.e. $x \in R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$. Therefore $K_0 \cap R_{\mathfrak{p}} = R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$.

(b) If $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ is integrally closed in K_0 , then, since $K = K_0(r_1)$ and r_1 is transcendental over K_0 as noted in the preceeding, K_0 is algebraically closed in K and $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ is thus integrally closed in K .

(c) As noted in (b), r_1 is transcendental over $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$. Let $f \in R$ be an element. Then $f = f_r + f_{r+1} + \cdots + f_n$ where $f_i \in R_i$ for some nonnegative integers r and n . But $f = (f_r/r^r)r_1^r + (f_{r+1}/r^{r+1})r_1^{r+1} + \cdots + (f_n/r^n)r_1^n \in R_{(\mathfrak{p})}[r_1]$. Therefore $R \subset R_{(\mathfrak{p})}[r_1]$. Thus $S = R - \mathfrak{p}$ is a multiplicative set in $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}[r_1]$. Now let $f/g \in R_{\mathfrak{p}}$, $g \in R - \mathfrak{p}$. Then for some nonnegative integer t and m ,

$$\frac{f}{g} = \frac{f_t}{g} + \cdots + \frac{f_m}{g} = \frac{1}{g} \left(\left(\frac{f_t}{r^t} \right) r_1^t + \left(\frac{f_{t+1}}{r^{t+1}} \right) r_1^{t+1} + \cdots + \left(\frac{f_m}{r_1^m} \right) r_1^m \right).$$

Therefore $f/g \in (R_{(\mathfrak{p})}[r_1])_S$ i.e. $R_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset (R_{(\mathfrak{p})}[r_1])_S$. The other inclusion is obvious. Thus $R_{\mathfrak{p}} = (R_{(\mathfrak{p})}[r_1])_S$.

(d) Now, if $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ is integrally closed in K , then clearly $R_{\mathfrak{p}} = (R_{(\mathfrak{p})}[r_1])_S$, being a localization of transcendental extension of an integrally closed domain, is integrally closed. Conversely if $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is integrally closed in K , let $f \in K_0$ be an integral element over $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$. Then $f \in R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Thus $f \in R_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap K_0 = R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$, and $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ is integrally closed.

(e) Recall that a ring A is said to be regular if A_m is a regular local ring for each maximal ideal m in A . It follows from Serre's theorem [5; p. 139] that A is regular if and only if $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is regular for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$.

If $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ is a regular local ring, then by [5; Theorem 40, p. 126] the polynomial ring $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}[r_1]$ is regular. Since localization of a regular ring is regular therefore $R_{\mathfrak{p}} = (R_{(\mathfrak{p})}[r_1])_S$ is a regular local ring.

Conversely assume that $R_p = (R_{(p)}[r_i])_s$ is a regular local ring. Since $R_{(p)}[r_i]$ is a polynomial ring over $R_{(p)}$ therefore $R_{(p)}[r_i]$ is $R_{(p)}$ -flat. $(R_{(p)}[r_i])_s$ is $R_{(p)}[r_i]$ -flat therefore R_p is $R_{(p)}$ -flat. Thus $R_{(p)}$ is Noetherian. The inclusion map $R_{(p)} \rightarrow R_p$ is obviously a local homomorphism. Therefore it follows from [1; IV, 17.3.3 (i), p. 48] that $R_{(p)}$ is a regular local ring.

There are graded rings in which there are homogeneous prime ideals \mathfrak{p} such that $\mathfrak{p} \cap R_i \neq R_i$. For example: (1) graded rings which are homogeneous coordinate rings of projective varieties. In this case $\mathfrak{p} \cap R_i \neq R_i$ for $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Proj}(R)$. (2) $R = R_0[R_1]$, a graded ring generated over R_0 by R_1 ; (3) Let $k[X, Y]$ be a polynomial ring in two indeterminates over a field k . Let $R = k[Y] + (X \cdot Y) \cdot k[X, Y]$. R has a graded structure $R = R_0 \oplus R_1 \oplus R_2 \oplus \cdots$ with $R_0 = k$, $R_1 = k \cdot Y$; $R_2 = kY^2 + k(X \cdot Y)$, $R_3 = kY^3 + kX^2Y + kXY^2$, etc. It follows from the observation that $(X^i \cdot Y^j)^2 \in R_y$ if $j \geq 1$ that $\mathfrak{p} \cap R_i = 0$ for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Proj}(R)$.

3. Normality of a graded domain. In this section, a graded domain R is normal if it is integrally closed in its field of fractions.

Recall [6; Theorem 8, p. 400]: Let \mathfrak{D} and \mathfrak{D}' be two normal rings which contain a field k . If \mathfrak{D} and \mathfrak{D}' are separably generated over k and if $\mathfrak{D} \otimes_k \mathfrak{D}'$ is an integral domain, then $\mathfrak{D} \otimes_k \mathfrak{D}'$ is a normal ring.

THEOREM 3. *Let R_0 be a normal integral domain containing a field k such that R_0 is separable over k . Let $R = R_0[x] = R_0[x_1, \cdots, x_n]$ be an integral domain finitely generated over R_0 as an R_0 -algebra such that the quotient field K of R_0 and the quotient field $k(x)$ of $k[x_1, \cdots, x_n]$ are linearly disjoint over k , and $k(x)$ separable over k . Then $k[x]$ is normal if and only if R is normal.*

Proof. Let X_1, \cdots, X_n be n indeterminates over R_0 . Let \mathfrak{A} be the prime ideal in $k[X] = k[X_1, \cdots, X_n]$ such that $k[x_1, \cdots, x_n] \cong k[X_1, \cdots, X_n]/\mathfrak{A}$ and let \mathfrak{B} be the prime ideal in $R_0[X] = R_0[X_1, \cdots, X_n]$ such that $R = R_0[X]/\mathfrak{B}$. Then $\mathfrak{B} \cdot K[X] \cap R_0[X] = \mathfrak{B}$ and $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{B} \cap k[X]$. Since K and $k(x)$ are linearly disjoint over k , it is well known that $\mathfrak{A} \cdot K[X] = \mathfrak{B} \cdot K[X]$ and $\mathfrak{A} \cdot R_0[X] = \mathfrak{B}$, [4; Corollary 1, p. 67]. We shall use \mathfrak{B} in both $R_0[X]$ and $K[X]$ as the prime ideal determined by $(x) = (x_1, \cdots, x_n)$. Since $R_0 \otimes_k k[X] = R_0[X]$, it follows that $R_0 \otimes_k k[x] = R_0[x]$, i.e. $R_0 \otimes_k k[x]$ is an integral domain. It follows from [6; Theorem 8, p. 400] that $R_0[x]$ is normal. Conversely if $R_0[x]$ is normal, then $R_0[x]_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is normal for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R_0[x])$. Let $\mathfrak{p}^c = \mathfrak{p} \cap k[x]$ for $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R_0[x])$ and $\mathfrak{p} \cap R_0 = \{0\}$. Then $k[x]_{\mathfrak{p}^c}$ is also normal. Indeed let $\xi \in k(x)$ be integral over $k[x]_{\mathfrak{p}^c}$. Since $k[x]_{\mathfrak{p}^c} \subset R_0[x]_{\mathfrak{p}}$, therefore $\xi \in R_0[x]_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Thus $\xi \in R_0[x]_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap k(x)$. It is sufficient to show that $R_0[x]_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap k(x) \subset k[x]_{\mathfrak{p}^c}$. Let $S = R_0 - \{0\}$. $K[x] = S^{-1}R_0[x]$ and

$S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}$ is a prime ideal in $K[x]$. $S^{-1}\mathfrak{p} \cap k[x] = \mathfrak{p} \cap k[x]$. Since K and $k(x)$ are linearly disjoint over k , it follows from [4; Proposition 6, p. 92] that $K[x]_{S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}} \cap k(x) = k[x]_{\mathfrak{p}^e}$. Thus $k[x]_{\mathfrak{p}^e} \supset R_0[x]_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap k(x)$, and $k[x]_{\mathfrak{p}^e} = R_0[x]_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap k(x)$. So $\xi \in k[x]_{\mathfrak{p}^e}$ and $k[x]_{\mathfrak{p}^e}$ is therefore normal.

We shall finish the proof by showing that $\text{Spec}(k[x]) = \{\mathfrak{p} \cap k[x] \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R_0[x]) \text{ and } \mathfrak{p} \cap R_0 = 0\}$. Let \mathfrak{q}_x be a prime ideal. There exists a prime ideal Q_x in $K[X]$ such that $Q_x \cap k[X] = \mathfrak{q}_x$. Indeed, using Zariski's terminology [10; pp. 21–22 and pp. 161–176], we consider an algebraically closed field Ω containing K and Ω is of infinite transcendence degree over K . Let A_n^Ω be the n dimensional affine space, i.e. $A_n^\Omega = \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mid a_1, \dots, a_n \in \Omega\}$. Every prime ideal P in $K[X]$ defines an irreducible algebraic variety V over K in A_n^Ω . Every irreducible algebraic variety V over K carries a generic point $(\xi) = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in A_n^\Omega$ over K , and $P = \{g(X) \in K[X] \mid g(\xi) = 0\}$. Let $(\eta) = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n) \in A_n^\Omega$ be a generic point of \mathfrak{q}_x over k , i.e. $\mathfrak{q}_x = \{f(X) \in k[X] \mid f(\eta) = 0\}$. Let $Q_x = \{F(X) \in K[X] \mid F(\eta) = 0\}$. Then Q_x is a prime ideal and $Q_x \cap k[X] = \mathfrak{q}_x$. Let $Q'_x = Q_x \cap R_0[X]$, $Q'_x \cap R_0 = 0$ and $Q'_x \cap k[X] = \mathfrak{q}_x$. Since $\mathfrak{A} \subset \mathfrak{q}_x \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{B} \cdot K[X] \subset Q_x \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{B} \subset Q'_x$. Let $Q' = Q'_x / \mathfrak{B} \subset R_0[x]$. Then $Q' \cap k[x] = \mathfrak{q}$. Thus each prime ideal in $k[x]$ is the contraction of a prime ideal in $R_0[x]$ intersecting R_0 at 0.

As the assertion in the last part of the proof of the above theorem will be referred later, we would like to state it as a corollary.

COROLLARY. *Let R_0 be an integral domain containing a field k . Let $R = R_0[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be an integral domain finitely generated over R_0 as an algebra such that the quotient field K of R_0 and the quotient field $k(x)$ of $k[x] = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ are linearly disjoint over k . Then $\text{Spec}(k[x]) = \{\mathfrak{p} \cap k[x] \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R_0[x]) \text{ and } \mathfrak{p} \cap R_0 = 0\}$. Moreover if R is graded with R_0 as the component of homogeneous degree 0, then $\text{Proj}(k[x]) = \{\mathfrak{p} \cap k[x] \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Proj}(R_0[x])\} = \{\mathfrak{p} \cap k[x] \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Proj } K[x]\}$.*

Proof (of the last part). Let $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{q}_x$, and Q_x be the same as those in the proof of Theorem 3. If R is a graded domain, then both \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} are homogeneous ideals. If \mathfrak{q} is a nonirrelevant and homogeneous prime ideal in $k[x]$, then so is \mathfrak{q}_x . Let Q_x^* be the ideal in $K[x]$ generated by the homogeneous elements belonging to Q_x . Then, by [10; Lemma 3, p. 153], Q_x^* is a prime ideal and clearly $Q_x^* \cap k[X] = \mathfrak{q}_x$. Since \mathfrak{q}_x is nonirrelevant, Q_x^* is also nonirrelevant, and $Q_x^* \supset \mathfrak{B}$. Let $Q^* = Q_x^* / \mathfrak{B}$. We have $Q^* \cap k[x] = \mathfrak{q}$. Therefore $\text{Proj}(k[x]) = \{\mathfrak{p} \cap k[x] \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Proj}(R) \text{ and } \mathfrak{p} \cap R_0 = 0\}$.

Let us recall some definitions and facts: Let $R = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_i$ be a graded integral domain. R is Noetherian if and only if R_0 is Noetherian and R is an R_0 -algebra of finite type. Let \bar{R} be the integral closure of R in its field of quotients K . Let K_i be the homogeneous component of K of

degree i as defined in §2. Then \bar{R} is graded with $\bar{R}_i = \bar{R} \cap K_i$. Thus if R is normal then R_0 must be normal.

Corresponding to Krull's characterization of a Noetherian domain being normal [7; (12.9), p. 41], we have the following theorem for normality of a Noetherian graded domain.

THEOREM 4. *Let R be a graded Noetherian domain such that $R_1 - \mathfrak{p} \neq \emptyset$ for each homogeneous prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of ht 1 in R . If (1) $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ is normal for every homogeneous prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of height 1 and (2) the associated prime ideals of every nonzero homogeneous ideal are of height 1, then R is normal.*

Proof. We first note that it follows from condition (1), Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 that $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is normal for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ and $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = 1$. Let K, \bar{R} and \bar{R}_i be the same as defined in the preceeding. Let $\alpha \in \bar{R}$, $\alpha = \sum_{i=m}^n \alpha_i$ for some nonnegative integers m and n and $\alpha_i \in \bar{R}_i$. Let $\alpha_i = b_{ij}/a_{ii}$ where $j - l = i$, $b_{ij} \in R_j$ and $a_{ii} \in R_i$. If a_{ii} is a unit in R then $\alpha_i \in R$. If a_{ii} is a nonunit, then the nonzero homogeneous principal ideal $(a_{ii})R$ has a primary decomposition $\bigcap_{t=1}^u \mathfrak{q}_t$ with $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_u$ as the associated prime ideals. In view of [10; Theorem 9 and Corollary; pp. 153–154] we may assume that \mathfrak{q}_t 's and \mathfrak{p}_t 's are homogeneous, (2) implies that $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}_t) = 1$ for $t = 1, 2, \dots, u$. Thus $R_{\mathfrak{p}_t}$ is normal for $t = 1, 2, \dots, u$. α_i is integral over R implies that α_i is integral over $R_{\mathfrak{p}_t}$ for $t = 1, 2, \dots, u$. Hence $\alpha_i \in R_{\mathfrak{p}_t}$ for $t = 1, 2, \dots, u$. Therefore $b_{ij} \in \bigcap_{t=1}^u ((a_{ii})R_{\mathfrak{p}_t} \cap R) = \bigcap_{t=1}^u \mathfrak{q}_t = (a_{ii})R$. Thus $\alpha_i = b_{ij}/a_{ii} \in R$ and $\alpha = \sum_{i=m}^n \alpha_i \in R$. R is therefore normal.

Let $A = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ be a polynomial ring over a field K . The smallest integer d such that any chain of syzygies of the A -module M terminates at $(d + 1)$ th step is called the cohomological dimension of M and is denoted by $\text{coh.d.}(M)$. Let $\mathfrak{A} \subset A$ be a homogeneous ideal such that $\mathfrak{A} \neq (0)$, $\mathfrak{A} \neq (1)$. $\text{coh.d.}(\mathfrak{A}) \leq n$ and it is n if and only if $(X_1, \dots, X_n)A$ is an associated prime ideal of \mathfrak{A} . Let l be a form in A , and $l \notin K$. If $\mathfrak{A}: l = \mathfrak{A}$ then $\text{coh.d.}(\mathfrak{A}, l) = 1 + \text{coh.d.}(\mathfrak{A})$.

THEOREM 5. *Let $R = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_i$ be a Noetherian graded integral domain generated over R_0 by nonzero homogeneous elements x_1, \dots, x_n of degree 1. Assume that R_0 contains a subfield k over which R_0 and $k(x) = k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ are linearly disjoint and R_0 is normal. Assume $\text{tr.deg}_k k(x) > 0$. Let $R_0[X] = R_0[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ be the polynomial ring over R_0 in indeterminates X_1, \dots, X_n and let \mathfrak{B} be the ideal such that $R_0[x] \cong R_0[X]/\mathfrak{B}$. Let $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{B} \cap k[X]$, and let $S = R_0 - \{0\}$.*

(1) *If, for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Proj}(R_0[x])$, $R_0[x]_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ is normal and $\text{coh.d.} S^{-1}\mathfrak{B} < n - 1$, then $k[x]$ is normal.*

(2) *If R_0 and $k(x)$ are both separable over k , and if $R_0[x]_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ is normal*

for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Proj}(R_0[x])$, and $\text{coh.d. } S^{-1}\mathfrak{B} < n - 1$ then $R_0[x]$ is normal.

(3) If $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ is normal for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Proj}(R)$ and if $\text{coh.d. } \mathfrak{B} \cdot S^{-1}R_0[X] = n - 1$ then $R_0[x]$ is not normal.

Proof. (1) Both \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} are homogeneous ideals, $k[x]$ is graded. As projective scheme $\text{Proj}(R_0[x]) \cong \text{Proj}((S^{-1}R_0)[x])$ [1, Prop. (2.4.7), p. 30]. Therefore $(S^{-1}R_0)[x]$ is locally normal, i.e. $(S^{-1}R_0)[x]_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ is normal for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Proj}(S^{-1}R_0[x])$. Since $\text{tr.deg. } S^{-1}R_0[x] > 0$. If $\text{coh.d. } S^{-1}\mathfrak{B} < n - 1$, by [9, Theorem 3, p. 619], $S^{-1}R_0[x]$ is normal. Therefore $S^{-1}R_0[x]_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is normal for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(S^{-1}R_0[x])$. Since $(S^{-1}R_0)[x]_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap k(x) = k[x]_{\mathfrak{p}^c}$ as shown in the preceeding, where $\mathfrak{p}^c = \mathfrak{p} \cap k[x]$. $k[x]_{\mathfrak{p}^c}$ is normal. By the Corollary to Theorem 3, $\text{Spec}(k[x]) = \{\mathfrak{p}^c \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(S^{-1}R_0)[x]\}$, we have that $k[x]_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is normal for every $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(k[x])$. Therefore $k[x]$ is normal.

(2) By (1), $k[x]$ is normal. R_0 is normal. It follows from Theorem 3, $R_0[x]$ is normal.

(3) If $\text{coh.d. } \mathfrak{B} \cdot S^{-1}R_0[X] = n - 1$, then it is well known that for a form l in $R_0[X]$ prime to \mathfrak{B} i.e. $\mathfrak{B} : l = \mathfrak{B}$, $\text{coh.d.}(\mathfrak{B}, l) \cdot S^{-1}R_0[X] = n$. Therefore $(\mathfrak{B}, l) \cdot S^{-1}R_0[X]$ has $(X) \cdot S^{-1}R_0[X]$ as an associated prime ideal. Since $\dim \mathfrak{B} \cdot S^{-1}R_0[X] > 0$, $(\mathfrak{B}, l)S^{-1}R_0[X]$ has an embedded associated prime. On the other hand, it is easy to see that $(X)S^{-1}R_0[X] \cap R_0[X] = (X)R_0[X]$. Therefore it follows from [5, Lemma 7c, p. 50] that $(\mathfrak{B}, l)R_0[X]$ has $(X)R_0[X]$ as an embedded associated prime ideal. Let $(\bar{l})R_0[X] = (\mathfrak{B}, l)R_0[X]/\mathfrak{B}$. Therefore $(\bar{l})R_0[x]$ is a principal homogeneous ideal having $(x) \cdot R_0[x]$ as an embedded associated prime ideal. It follows from Theorem 4 that R is not normal.

4. Integral closure of a graded ring. In this section, we study a general graded ring, $R = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_i$. Let F be the total quotient ring of R , and let \bar{R} be the integral closure of R in F . In case of a graded domain, the integral closure \bar{R} of R in its quotient field K is again graded and $\bar{R}_i = \bar{R} \cap K_i$ for $i \geq 0$. We investigate \bar{R} when R is not an integral domain. A ring R is normal if $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is an integral domain and integrally closed in its quotient field for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$.

Let $R = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_i$. Let U be the set of all nonzero divisors of R . Let F be the total quotient ring and let $F_i = \{r_i/u_i \mid r_i \in R_i, u_i \in R_j \cap U, l - j = i\}$. These are the notations going to be used in the sequel.

THEOREM 6. Assume $U \cap R_i \neq \emptyset$ and let $u_i \in U \cap R_i$. Then (1) the ring $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} F_i$ is a direct sum, and $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} F_i = F_0[u_1, 1/u_1]$, $F = F_0[u_1]_{(u_1)}$, u_1 is algebraically independent over F_0 , and $F_i = F_0 \cdot u_1^i$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. If F_0 is Noetherian then so is F . (2) F_0 is reduced, i.e. F_0 has no nonzero nilpotent element, if and only if R is reduced. (3) If R is reduced and F_0 is

Noetherian, then $F_0[u_i]$ is integrally closed in F . (4) If R is reduced and F_0 is Noetherian, then R is a graded subring of $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} F_i$.

Proof. (1) It follows from the definition of F_i 's that each F_i is an additive group and $F_i \cdot F_j \subset F_{i+j}$. $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} F_i$ is a ring. Let $f_k + \cdots + f_s \in \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} F_i$. Suppose $f_k + \cdots + f_s = 0$. Let $f_m = r_m/u_{j_m}$ where $l_m - j_m = m$ and $m = k, \cdots, s$. Let $u = \prod_{m=k}^s u_{j_m}$. Then $uf_k + \cdots + uf_s = 0$ in R , and uf_k, \cdots, uf_s are homogeneous elements of distinct degrees. Therefore $uf_k = \cdots = uf_s = 0$. Thus $f_k = \cdots = f_s = 0$, and the sum $\sum F_i$ is therefore a direct sum. Let $f_k \in F_k$. Then $f_k/u_i^k \in F_0$. Therefore $f_k \in F_0 \cdot u_i^k$ and $F_k = F_0 \cdot u_i^k$. Hence $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} F_i = F_0[u_i, 1/u_i]$. For any $f \in F$,

$$f = (f_k + \cdots + f_s)/u = \frac{1}{u} \left(\frac{f_k}{u_i^k} u_i^k + \cdots + \frac{f_s}{u_i^s} u_i^s \right).$$

Therefore $F = F_0[u_i, 1/u_i]_U = F_0[u_i]_U$. u_i is algebraically independent over F_0 . Indeed, let $a_0 u_i^n + a_1 u_i^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n = 0$, where $a_i \in F_0$ and $a_0 \neq 0$. Writing $a_i = r_i/u_{j_i}$ with $l_i - j_i = i$, we have $a_i u_i^{n-i} \in F_{n-i}$. Therefore $a_i u_i^{n-i} = 0$, and $a_i = 0$ for $i = 0, 1, \cdots, n$. Therefore u_i is algebraically independent over F_0 .

If F_0 is Noetherian, then so is $F_0[u_i]$. Now $F = F_0[u_i]_U$. Therefore F is also Noetherian.

(2) It is obvious that R is reduced implies that F_0 is reduced. Conversely, we note if $(x_m/u_i^n)^n = 0$, then $x_m = 0$. Also if $y_m \in R_m$ such that $y_m^n = 0$ then $(y_m/u_i^n) = 0$. Thus $y_m = 0$. Now let y be a nilpotent element in R . Write $y = y_k + \cdots + y_s$. For some positive integer b , $y^b = (y_k + \cdots + y_s)^b = 0$. Thus $y_k^b = 0$ and then $(y_{k+1} + \cdots + y_s)^b = 0$ and so on we get $y_m^b = y_{m+1}^b = \cdots = y_s^b = 0$, so $y_m = \cdots = y_s = 0$. Therefore $y = 0$ and R is reduced.

(3) F_0 is reduced. It follows from that $F = F_0[u_i]_U$ and that u_i is transcendental over F_0 , the nonzero divisors of F_0 are the same as the nonzero divisors of R in F_0 . Let U_0 be the set of all nonzero divisors of F_0 . Let $u_0 \in U_0$, then $u_0 = r_m/u_m$ where $u_m \in U$ and $r_m \in R_m$. Moreover $r_m \in U$ also. Thus u_0 is a unit i.e. U_0 is a multiplicative group in F_0 . Hence the total quotient ring $(F_0)_{U_0} = F_0$. Since F_0 is Noetherian and reduced, therefore, $F_0 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s G_i$ where G_i 's are fields. It follows from [2; Proposition (6.5.2), p. 146] that F_0 is normal.

It follows from [5; Proposition (1.7.8), p. 116] that $F_0[u_i]$ is normal. Since $F_0[u_i]$ is a polynomial ring in u_i , and F_0 is reduced, therefore $F_0[u_i]$ is also reduced. F_0 is Noetherian implies that F is Noetherian. Then $F = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n H_i$ where H_i 's are fields. Thus it follows from [2; Proposition (6.5.2), p. 146] that $F_0[u_i]$ is integrally closed.

Note: Let $A = \mathbb{Z}/(4)[X]$, the polynomial ring in X over $\mathbb{Z}/(4)$. $\mathbb{Z}/(4)$ is integrally closed, while A is not. Indeed, let $y = (x+1)/(x-1)$, $y^2 - 1 = 0$, $y \notin A$.

(4) Let $x \in \bar{R}$. Since $R \subset R_\theta[u_i]$, x is integral over $F_\theta[u_i]$. By (3), $\bar{R} \subset F_\theta[u_i]$. The rest of the proof is practically the same argument used in the proof of [10; Theorem 11, p. 157]. We summarize the proof: Let $x \in \bar{R}$, $x = x_k + \cdots + x_s$, $k \leq s$, $x_k \neq 0$ is called the initial homogeneous term. We want to show that each x_i , $i = k, \cdots, s$, is integral over R also. Since $x \in \bar{R} \subset \Sigma F_i$, there exists $u_m \in R_m \cap U$ for some positive integer m , such that $u_m x \in R$. Case (a), if R is Noetherian, then $R[x]$ is a finite R -module. There exists an integer $\lambda > 0$ such that $u_m^\lambda x^i \in R$ for all integer $i \geq 0$. Let $d = u_m^\lambda$. Then $dR[x] \subset R$. The initial homogeneous term dx^i is dx_k^i . $dx^i \in R$ implies $dx_k^i \in R$. Therefore $x_k^i \in (1/d)R$, a Noetherian R -module. Therefore $R[x_k] \subset R \cdot 1/d$ is a Noetherian R -submodule. Therefore x_k is integral over R . Repeating that argument to $x - x_k = x_{k+1} + \cdots + x_s$, we conclude that $x_i \in \bar{R}$ for $i = k, \cdots, s$. Therefore \bar{R} is graded in this case. Next we look at case (b): R is not Noetherian. Let $x \in \bar{R}$, and $x^n + a_1 x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n = 0$ where $a_1, \cdots, a_n \in R$. As in case (a), there is a homogeneous nonzero divisor $d \in R$ such that $dx_k^i \in R$. Let $\{y_1, \cdots, y_N\} = \{d, dx_k, \text{ and homogeneous components of } a_i\text{'s}\}$. Let $A = k[y_1, \cdots, y_N]$, where $k = \mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{Z}/(n)$ according to whether R is of characteristic 0 or $n > 0$. $A \subset R$. Let $A_q = A \cap R_q$. Then $A = \Sigma A_n$ is a graded subring of R . $U \cap A$ contains d . Therefore $A_{U \cap A}$, the total quotient ring of A , contains x_k , and hence contains x also. Thus the above integral relation takes place in $A_{U \cap A}$. Since A is Noetherian, therefore case (a) is applicable. Therefore x_k is integral over A . hence x_k is integral over R .

REFERENCES

1. A. Grothendieck, J. Dieudonné, *Eléments de Géométrie Algébrique II*, IV Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. 8 (1961), No. 20 (1964).
2. ———, *Eléments de Géométrie Algébrique I*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York (1971).
3. R. Hartshorne, *Introduction to Algebraic Geometry*, Chapter III, Lecture Notes, University of California, Berkeley (1973).
4. S. Lang, *Introduction to Algebraic Geometry*, Interscience, New York (1964).
5. H. Matsumura, *Commutative Algebra*, W. A. Benjamin Inc., New York (1970).
6. M. Nagata, *A general theory of algebraic geometry over Dedekind domains II*, Amer. J. Math., **80** (1958), pp. 382–420.
7. ———, *Local rings*, Interscience, New York, 1962.
8. Y. Nakai, *On the arithmetic normality of hyperplane sections of algebraic varieties*, *Memoirs of the College of Science, University of Kyoto, Series A*, **29** (1955), 159–163, *Corrections*, **30** (1957), 201.
9. A. Seidenberg, *The hyperplane sections of arithmetically normal varieties*, Amer. J. Math., **94** (1972), 609–630.
10. O. Zariski, P. Samuel, *Commutative Algebra II*, Van Nostrand, Princeton-Toronto-London-New York (1960).

Received November 10, 1975 and in revised form December 2, 1975.

MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT

University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM

Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION
Printed at Jerusalem Academic Press, POB 2390, Jerusalem, Israel.

Copyright © 1976 Pacific Journal of Mathematics
All Rights Reserved

Richard Fairbanks Arnold and A. P. Morse, <i>Plus and times</i>	297
Edwin Ogilvie Buchman and F. A. Valentine, <i>External visibility</i>	333
R. A. Czerwinski, <i>Bonded quadratic division algebras</i>	341
William Richard Emerson, <i>Averaging strongly subadditive set functions in unimodular amenable groups. II</i>	353
Lynn Harry Erbe, <i>Existence of oscillatory solutions and asymptotic behavior for a class of third order linear differential equations</i>	369
Kenneth R. Goodearl, <i>Power-cancellation of groups and modules</i>	387
J. C. Hankins and Roy Martin Rakestraw, <i>The extremal structure of locally compact convex sets</i>	413
Burrell Washington Helton, <i>The solution of a Stieltjes-Volterra integral equation for rings</i>	419
Frank Kwang-Ming Hwang and Shen Lin, <i>Construction of 2-balanced (n, k, λ) arrays</i>	437
Wei-Eihn Kuan, <i>Some results on normality of a graded ring</i>	455
Dieter Landers and Lothar Rogge, <i>Relations between convergence of series and convergence of sequences</i>	465
Lawrence Louis Larmore and Robert David Rigdon, <i>Enumerating immersions and embeddings of projective spaces</i>	471
Douglas C. McMahon, <i>On the role of an abelian phase group in relativized problems in topological dynamics</i>	493
Robert Wilmer Miller, <i>Finitely generated projective modules and TTF classes</i>	505
Yashaswini Deval Mittal, <i>A class of isotropic covariance functions</i>	517
Anthony G. Mucci, <i>Another martingale convergence theorem</i>	539
Joan Kathryn Plastiras, <i>Quasitriangular operator algebras</i>	543
John Robert Quine, Jr., <i>The geometry of $p(S^1)$</i>	551
Tsuan Wu Ting, <i>The unloading problem for severely twisted bars</i>	559