

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

## **CLOSED ORIENTED 3-MANIFOLDS AS 3-FOLD BRANCHED COVERINGS OF $S^3$ OF SPECIAL TYPE**

HUGH M. HILDEN, JOSÉ M. MONTESINOS AND THOMAS LUSK THICKSTUN

# CLOSED ORIENTED 3-MANIFOLDS AS 3-FOLD BRANCHED COVERINGS OF $S^3$ OF SPECIAL TYPE

HUGH M. HILDEN, JOSE M. MONTESINOS  
AND THOMAS THICKSTUN

**It has been shown by Hilden and Montesinos independently that any closed oriented 3-manifold  $M$  is a 3-fold irregular branched covering of  $S^3$ ,  $p: M \rightarrow S^3$ . The purpose of this paper is to show that the branch covering space map can be chosen in such a way that the set of points at which  $p$  fails to be a local homeomorphism is the boundary of a disc in  $M$ . One application of this result is a new proof that a closed oriented 3-manifold is parallelizable.**

1. Introduction. Let  $p: X \rightarrow Y$  be a nondegenerate simplicial map between compact triangulated combinatorial  $n$ -manifolds such that  $p(\partial X) \subset \partial Y$ . If the restriction of  $p$  to a map of the complements of the  $n - 2$  skeletons is a covering space map, then  $p: X \rightarrow Y$  is called a *branched covering space*. A point  $x \in X$  is called an ordinary point if  $p$  maps some neighborhood of  $x$  homeomorphically into  $Y$ . The complement of the set of ordinary points is called the *branch cover*. The image of the branch cover is called the *branch set*.

A *represented link* is a polygonal link  $L$  in  $S^3$  together with a transitive representation  $\omega$  of the link group  $\pi(S^3 - L)$  into  $\Sigma_d$ , the symmetric group on  $d$ -symbols. The representation is called *simple* if each meridian of  $L$  is represented by a transposition.

Given a represented link  $(L, \omega)$ , there is a unique closed orientable 3-manifold,  $M(L, \omega)$ , determined by the representation. The manifold  $M(L, \omega)$  is a  $d$ -fold branched covering space of  $S^3$  branched over  $L$ . A detailed description of how to construct  $M(L, \omega)$  is given in [9].

If  $M$  is a compact orientable 3-manifold and  $p: M \rightarrow S^3$  is a branched covering space such that the branch set is a link  $L$ , then  $M = M(L, \omega)$  where  $\omega(\gamma)$  is the permutation  $\gamma$  induces on the left cosets of  $p_*\pi_1(M - p^{-1}(L))$  by left multiplication.

Alexander's theorem ([1]) states that any closed orientable 3-manifold is an  $M(L, \omega)$  for some link  $L$  and some presentation  $\omega$ . Two of the co-authors of this paper showed, in [6], [7], [4] and [5], using different methods, that any closed orientable 3-manifold is an  $M(L, \omega)$ , where  $\omega$  is a *simple* representation of  $\pi(S^3 - L)$  onto  $\Sigma_3$  and  $L$  is a knot. Thus any closed orientable 3-manifold is an irregular

3-fold branched covering space of  $S^3$  branched over a knot.

The purpose of this paper is to prove the following strengthened version of the preceding theorem:

**THEOREM.** *If  $M$  is a closed orientable 3-manifold then there is a simple 3-fold branched covering space  $p: M \rightarrow S^3$  such that the branch cover is the boundary of a disc in  $M$ .*

An application of this theorem and some remarks relating this result to the Poincaré conjecture and the homeomorphism problem are given in the final sections.

**2. Notation and conventions.** Throughout the paper we shall work in the  $PL$  category. Thus all curves, surfaces, 3-manifolds, and maps will be assumed piecewise linear without it being explicitly stated. Given a branched covering space  $p: M \rightarrow N$ , the branch cover will be denoted  $B(p)$ , or just by  $B$  if it is clear from the context which map we mean. The set  $p^{-1}(p(B)) - B$  will be denoted by  $B'(p)$  or  $B'$  and will be called the *pseudo branch cover*. The homology class of a closed curve, or union of closed curves,  $L$ , in  $H_1(X; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  will be denoted by  $[L]$ .

We shall be particularly concerned with three-fold branched covering space maps  $p: M \rightarrow S^3(p: E \rightarrow D^3)$  such that the branch set is a link (resp. union of proper arcs) and such that the associated representation  $\omega: \pi(S^3\text{-branch set}) \rightarrow \Sigma_3$  (resp.  $\omega: \pi(D^3\text{-branch set}) \rightarrow \Sigma_3$ ) is *simple*. Such maps occur so frequently we shall simply call them *3-maps*. If the branch set is a link in  $S^3$  (union of proper arcs in  $D^3$ ), it follows from the method for constructing  $M(L, \omega)$  given in [9] that necessary and sufficient conditions for the branch covering space map  $p: M \rightarrow S^3$  (resp.  $p: E \rightarrow D^3$ ) to be simple is for the inverse image of every point in the branch set to consist of one point in the branch cover and one point in the pseudo branch cover.

**3. Various useful lemmas.** In this section we prove several lemmas that will be useful in the sequel.

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $M$  be a closed orientable 3-manifold. There is a 3-map  $p: M \rightarrow S^3$  such that the branch cover bounds a surface in  $M$ .*

*Proof.* From Theorem 4 of [7], it follows that  $M$  can be represented as  $M(L, \omega)$  for a special, simple, represented link  $(L, \omega)$  onto  $\Sigma_3$ . A typical example of  $(L, \omega)$  is illustrated in Figure 1. In Figure 1, each overpass  $x'$  of  $L$  has been labeled with the transposition  $\omega(x)$ , where  $x$  is the Wirtinger generator associated to the over-




Figure 1

pass  $x'$ . The link  $L$ , as it is constructed in [7], always bounds a surface  $S$  with two components  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ , where  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are “disks with twisted ribbons attached” (see Fig. 1). The ribbons from the upper disc intertwine with the ribbons from the lower disc. Next we construct  $M(L, \omega)$  by the procedure given in [9], Chapter III. First, we choose a splitting complex containing  $S$  as is illustrated in Figure 2. The representation  $\omega$  gives rise to an




Figure 2

assignment of permutations to the 2-cells of  $K$  with ordered co-boundary. In order to construct  $M(L, \omega)$ , it suffices to consider the part of  $K$  endowed with permutations different from the identity. Since this part is just  $S$ , we obtain  $M(L, \omega)$  by taking three disjoint copies of  $S^3$  split along  $S$ ,  $X_0$ ,  $X_1$ , and  $X_2$ , and pasting their boundaries together along  $S$  according to the assignment of permutations determined by  $\omega$ . The permutation assigned to each 2-cell of  $S_i$  is  $(0i)$  (for  $i = 1, 2$ ). The branch cover  $B$ , is thus contained in the copy  $X_0$  and it is the boundary of the union of any one of the two copies of  $S_1$  in  $X_0$  and any one of the two copies of  $S_2$  in  $X_0$ . Hence, since  $X_0 \subset M(L, \omega)$ ,  $B$  bounds a surface in  $M$ .

LEMMA 2. *Let  $M$  be a closed 3-manifold and let  $p: M \rightarrow S^3$  be a 3-map. Let  $l$  be a simple arc in  $M$  intersecting  $B(p)$  exactly in its endpoints  $P_0$  and  $P_1$ . There is a homeomorphism  $\psi$  of  $M$  such that  $\psi$  is the identity on  $B(p)$  and  $p^{-1}(p(\psi(l)))$  is an arc whose endpoints lie in  $B'(p)$ .*

*Proof.* The reader should refer to Figure 3 in the following proof. By a general position argument there is an isotopy  $\psi_1$ , fixed on  $B$ , such that  $p$  embeds  $\psi_1(l)$ . Thus we may assume that  $p$  embedded  $l$  in the first place. There are two lifts of the curve  $p(l)$  that begin at  $P_0$ . Call the other lift  $\hat{l}$ . If the other endpoint of  $\hat{l}$




Figure 3

lies on  $B'(p)$ , then  $p^{-1}(l)$  consists of three arcs,  $l$ ,  $\hat{l}$ , and say  $m$ , such that  $\hat{l} \cap m = \emptyset$ ,  $l \cap \hat{l} = \{P_0\}$ ,  $l \cap m = \{P_1\}$  and we are done. Suppose the other endpoint of  $\hat{l}$  is  $P_1$ .

Let  $Q$  be any point in the interior of  $l$  and let  $k$  be an arc from  $Q$  to a point  $R$  on  $B'$  such that  $p$  embeds  $k$  and  $k$  intersects  $p^{-1}(p(l))$  only in  $Q$ . Let  $D$  be a 2-disc containing  $k$  such that  $p$  embeds  $D$ ,  $D$  intersects  $B'$  exactly once, transversally at  $R$ ,  $D \cap B = \emptyset$ , and  $D$  is bounded by two arcs  $r$  and  $s$  where  $s = D \cap l$  is a subarc of the interior of  $l$  and  $r = \partial D - s$ . (See Fig. 3.) Since  $D \cap (B \cup B') = \{R\}$ ,  $p(D)$  intersects the branch set once and  $p^{-1}(p(D))$  consists of two components  $D$  and  $D_1$ . The disk  $D$  is mapped homeomorphically onto  $p(D)$  by  $p$ . The map  $p$ , restricted to  $D_1$  defines a two-fold branched covering of the disc  $p(D)$  with single branch point  $p(R)$ . Thus  $D_1$  is also a disc. The boundary of  $D_1$  is composed of four arcs  $s'$ ,  $s''$  and  $r'$ ,  $r''$  where  $s' \cup s'' = p^{-1}(p(s)) - s$ ,  $s'$  lies on  $\hat{l}$ , and  $r' \cup r'' = p^{-1}(p(r)) - r$ . Consider the arc  $(l - s) \cup r$ . The set  $p^{-1}(p((l - s) \cup r))$  consists of an arc from  $P'_1$  to  $P_0$ , an arc from  $P_0$  to  $P_1$  and an arc from  $P_1$  to  $P'_0$  where  $\{P'_0\} = p^{-1}(p(P_0)) - \{P_0\}$  and  $\{P'_1\} = p^{-1}(p(P_1)) - \{P_1\}$ . Now let  $\psi$  be a homeomorphism of  $M$  supported on a ball containing  $D$  and not intersecting  $B$  such that  $\psi(s) = r$  and  $\psi(l - s) = l - s$ . Since  $p^{-1}(p(\psi(l)))$  is an arc whose endpoints lie on  $B'$  we are done.

LEMMA 3. *Let  $p: M \rightarrow S^3$  be a 3-map. Let  $D$  be a disc in  $M$  such that  $D \cap B(p)$  consists of two disjoint subarcs,  $a_1$  and  $a_2$ , of  $\partial D$ , such that  $p$  embeds  $D$ , and such that  $p^{-1}(p(D))$  is also a disc.*




Figure 4

Then there is a 3-map  $q: M \rightarrow S^3$  such that  $B(q) = [B(p) - (a_1 \cup a_2)] \cup [b_1 \cup b_2]$  where  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  are proper disjoint subarcs of  $D$  such that  $b_1 \cup b_2 \cup a_1 \cup a_2$  is the boundary of a subdisc of  $D$  (see Fig. 4).

*Proof.* In the proof of this lemma we shall refer to Figures 4, 5, 6, and 7. Let  $N$  be a ball in  $S^3$  containing  $p(D)$  such that  $N \cap p(B) = p(a_1 \cup a_2)$ , such that  $p(\partial D - a_1 \cup a_2)$  lies in  $\partial N$  and such that  $p(a_1)$  and  $p(a_2)$  are unknotted and unlinked in  $N$ . (Intuitively  $N$  is just the disc  $p(D)$  thickened a little, but in such a way that the top and bottom of  $p(D)$  still lie in  $\partial N$ .) Let  $E = p^{-1}(N)$ . Then  $E$  is a 3-fold irregular covering of the ball  $N$  branched over two unknotted, unlinked arcs. The fundamental group of a ball minus two unknotted, unlinked arcs is free on two generators. There are two ways to map this group homeomorphically into  $\Sigma_3$ , up to equivalence, such that meridians are mapped into transpositions. Either the two meridians are mapped onto the same transposition, in which case the associated branched covering space is disconnected and consists of the union of a ball and a solid torus, or they are mapped into different transpositions, in which case the associated branched covering space is a ball. Thus the 3-manifold  $E$  could be either a ball or the disjoint union of a ball and a solid torus. The latter is impossible since each component of  $E$  contains points of the disc  $p^{-1}(D)$ . Thus  $E$  is a ball and  $E \cap B(p) = a_1 \cup a_2$ . Since  $p(a_1)$  and  $p(a_2)$  are unknotted and unlinked in  $N$ , it follows that  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  are unknotted and unlinked in  $E$ .

We may represent  $M$  as  $\overline{M - E} \cup_i E$ ,  $S^3$  as  $\overline{S^3 - N} \cup_j N$  and  $p$  as  $p_1 \cup p_2$  where  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are the restrictions of  $p$  to  $\overline{M - E}$  and  $E$  respectively, and  $i$  and  $j$  are the natural identifications  $i: \partial(\overline{M - E}) \rightarrow \partial E$  and  $j: \partial(\overline{S^3 - N}) \rightarrow \partial N$ . Thus  $p_1 \cup p_2: \overline{M - E} \cup_i E \rightarrow \overline{S^3 - N} \cup_j N$ .

Now suppose that  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are homeomorphisms of  $\partial E$  and  $\partial N$  respectively such that  $p_2 \varphi i = \psi j p_1$ . The condition  $p_2 \varphi i = \psi j p_1$  forces  $\psi$  to carry the branch set to the branch set and  $\varphi$  to carry the branch cover to the branch cover and it causes the map  $p_1 \cup p_2: \overline{M - E} \cup_{\varphi_i} E \rightarrow \overline{S^3 - N} \cup_{\psi_j} N$  to be well defined. Moreover the map  $p_1 \cup p_2$  is a branched covering space map and it follows from the remarks at the end of §2 that it is a 3-map. The new branch cover is  $(\overline{M - E} \cap B(p)) \cup_{\varphi_i} (a_1 \cup a_2)$ . Suppose further that  $\bar{\varphi}$  is an extension of  $\varphi$  to a homeomorphism of  $E$ . We next define a homeomorphism  $h = \text{id} \cup \bar{\varphi}: \overline{M - E} \cup_i E \rightarrow \overline{M - E} \cup_{\varphi_i} E$ , and a 3-map  $q = ph$ . The branch cover of  $q$ ,  $B(q) = h^{-1}(B(p)) = [\text{id} \cup \bar{\varphi}]^{-1}(\overline{M - E} \cap B(p) \cup_{\varphi_i} (a_1 \cup a_2)) = \overline{M - E} \cap B(p) \cup_i \bar{\varphi}^{-1}(a_1 \cup a_2)$ . All that remains to be done to finish the proof is to show that we can find homeomorphisms  $\varphi$ ,  $\psi$ , and  $\bar{\varphi}$  of  $\partial E$ ,  $\partial N$ , and  $E$  respectively such that  $p_2 \varphi i = \psi j p_1$ ,  $\bar{\varphi}$  extends  $\varphi$ , and such that  $\bar{\varphi}^{-1}(a_1 \cup a_2)$  lies




Figure 5

in the disc  $D$  and  $a_1 \cup a_2 \cup \bar{\varphi}^{-1}(a_1 \cup a_2)$  is the boundary of a subdisc of  $D$ . To do this we shall explicitly define a 3-map of a ball  $\hat{E}$  onto a ball  $\hat{N}$ . In what follows we shall denote a subset  $X$  of  $E^3$  by  $X = \{x, y, z \text{ satisfying property } Q\}$  rather than  $X = \{(x, y, z) \mid (x, y, z) \text{ satisfies property } Q\}$ .

Let  $\hat{E}$  be the cube  $\{-1 \leq x \leq 2, -1 \leq y \leq 1, 0 \leq z \leq 1\}$  with identifications  $(-1, y, z) = (1, -y, z)$  and  $(2, y, z) = (2, -y, z)$  (see Figure 5) and let  $\hat{N}$  be the cube  $\{-1 \leq x \leq 0, -1 \leq y \leq 1, 0 \leq z \leq 1\}$  with identifications  $(-1, y, z) = (-1, -y, z)$  and  $(0, y, z) = (0, -y, z)$ . Let  $\pi: \hat{E} \rightarrow \hat{N}$  be defined as follows:  $\pi(x, y, z) = (x, y, z)$  if  $-1 \leq x \leq 0$ ,  $\pi(x, y, z) = (-x, -y, z)$  if  $0 \leq x \leq 1$  and  $\pi(x, y, z) = (x - 2, y, z)$  if  $1 \leq x \leq 2$ . The map  $\pi$  is a 3-map such that  $B(\pi) = \hat{a}_1 \cup \hat{a}_2$  where  $\hat{a}_1 = \{x = 0, y = 0\}$  and  $\hat{a}_2 = \{x = 1, y = 0\}$ . Let  $\hat{D}$  be the disc  $\{0 \leq x \leq 1, y = 0, 0 \leq z \leq 1\}$ .

Now let  $\sigma$  be a homeomorphism of  $N$  onto  $\hat{N}$  such that  $\sigma(p(a_1)) = \pi(\hat{a}_1)$ ,  $\sigma(p(a_2)) = \pi(\hat{a}_2)$ , and  $\sigma(p(D))$  is the disk  $\{-1 \leq x \leq 0, y = 0\}$ . The map  $\sigma p$  is a 3-map of  $\hat{E}$  onto  $N$ . The 3-fold irregular branched coverings of a 3-manifold  $X$  with branch set the 1-complex with no free ends  $K$  are classified by equivalence classes of transitive representations  $\rho: \pi_1(X - K) \rightarrow \Sigma_3$  (the permutation group on three letters), such that meridians are mapped into transpositions (see [2]). Since  $\pi_1(\hat{N} - p(\hat{a}_1 \cup \hat{a}_2))$  is free on two generators, both meridians,






Figure 6

there is only one equivalence class. Hence there is a homeomorphism  $\tau: E \rightarrow \hat{E}$  such that  $\pi\tau = \sigma p$ . Thus  $\sigma(D) = \hat{D}$ .

We shall define two homeomorphisms,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , of  $\partial\hat{E}$  that preserve the fibres of  $\pi$ . Let  $\alpha$  be the identity on  $\{z=0\} \cap \partial\hat{E}$ , be  $180^\circ$  rotation about  $\{x=1/2, y=0\}$  on  $\{z=1\} \cap \partial\hat{E}$ , map the circle  $\{z=t\} \cap \partial\hat{E}$  into itself in such a way that arclength is preserved, and map the line  $\{x=-1, y=1\}$  onto the arc  $[(y=1, x=3z-1)]$ . One can check directly that  $\alpha$  preserves fibres and so projects to a homeomorphism  $\alpha_1$  of  $\partial\hat{N}$ . Intuitively, if we think of  $\hat{E}$  as a cylinder with axis parallel to the  $z$ -axis, the homeomorphism  $\alpha$  rotates the discs perpendicular to the axis by an amount increasing from 0 to  $180$  degrees as we go from bottom to top. We may extend  $\alpha$  to a homeomorphism  $\bar{\alpha}$  of  $\hat{E}$  that doesn't preserve the fibres of  $\pi$ . The two arcs  $\bar{\alpha}(\hat{a}_1 \cup \hat{a}_2)$  form a "half spiral" like that shown in Figure 6.

Now we shall define the homeomorphism  $\beta$ . Let  $\gamma(t) = (t, y(t), z(t))$  be a curve from  $(0, 1, 0)$  to  $(1, -1, 1)$  such that the projection of  $\gamma$  on the  $y-z$  plane,  $P_{yz}\gamma(t)$  injective and travels from  $(1, 0)$  to  $(-1, 1)$  around the point  $(0, 1/2)$  in a direction that would appear counter clockwise to an observer  $\{x > 0\}$ . Now, if  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ , let the homeomorphism  $\beta^*(x, y, z)$  be defined in such a way that  $\{\beta^*(x, 1, 0), 0 \leq x \leq 1\} = \{\gamma(t), 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ , the circles  $\partial\hat{E} \cap \{x=c\}$  are left invariant, and arclength in these circles is preserved. If  $P = (x, y, z)$  belongs to  $\partial\hat{E}$  and if  $-1 \leq x \leq 0$  define  $\beta(P) = R_1\beta^*R_1(P)$  where  $R_1$  is  $180^\circ$  rotation about  $\{x=0, y=0\}$ ; if  $0 \leq x \leq 1$  let  $\beta(P) = \beta^*(P)$ , and if  $1 \leq x \leq 2$  let  $\beta(P) = R_2\beta^*R_2(P)$  where  $R_2$  is  $180^\circ$  rotation about  $\{x=1, y=0\}$ . Again one can check directly that  $\beta$  preserves the fibres of  $\pi$  and projects to a homeomorphism  $\beta_1$  of  $\partial\hat{N}$ . Intuitively, if we think of  $\hat{E}$  as a "cylinder" with axis parallel




Figure 7

to the  $x$ -axis, then  $\beta$  rotates each disc perpendicular to the axis by an amount that increases from 0 to 360 degrees as  $x$  travels from 0 to 2, and decreases from 0 to  $-180$  degrees as  $x$  travels from 0 to  $-1$ . We can easily extend  $\beta$  to a homeomorphism  $\bar{\beta}$  of  $\hat{E}$  such that  $\bar{\beta}$  leaves the discs  $\hat{E} \cap \{x = \text{constant}\}$  invariant and such that  $\bar{\beta}(\bar{\alpha}(\hat{a}_1 \cup \hat{a}_2)) \subset \hat{D}$ . The effect of  $\bar{\beta}$  on  $\bar{\alpha}(\hat{a}_1 \cup \hat{a}_2)$  is depicted in Figure 7. If we define  $\varphi = \sigma^{-1}(\beta\alpha)^{-1}\sigma$ ,  $\varphi = \tau^{-1}(\beta_1\alpha_1)^{-1}\tau$  and  $\bar{\varphi} = \sigma^{-1}(\bar{\beta}\bar{\alpha})^{-1}\sigma$ , then  $p_2\varphi i = \nu j p_1$ ,  $\bar{\varphi}$  extends  $\varphi$ , and  $a_1 \cup a_2 \cup \varphi^{-1}(a_1 \cup a_2)$  is the boundary of a subdisc of  $D$ . The proof of Lemma 3 is complete.

**4. The main theorem.** This section is devoted to stating and proving the main theorem and giving an application.

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $M$  be a closed oriented 3-manifold. There is a simple, 3-fold irregular branched covering  $p: M \rightarrow S^3$  such that the branch cover  $B(p)$  is the boundary of a disc in  $M$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 1 we may assume  $B(p)$  bounds a surface  $F$  in  $M$ . By running tubes between various components of  $F$ , if necessary, we may assume  $F$  is connected. If  $F$  is any bounded surface other than a disc, there is a proper arc  $l$  in  $F$  such that  $F - l$  is connected. By Lemma 2 we may assume that  $p^{-1}(p(l))$  is an arc with endpoints in  $B'(p)$ . We can find a closed disc neighborhood  $D$  of  $l$  in  $F$  (see Figure 8) such that  $\partial D$  is the union of two disjoint arcs,  $a_1$  and  $a_2$ , in  $\partial F$ , and two disjoint proper arcs,  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ , such that  $p$  embeds  $D$ . The inverse image,  $p^{-1}(D)$  consists of three discs, glued along two pairs of arcs. Thus  $p^{-1}(p(D))$  is either a disc or it is disconnected. The latter is impossible since any component of  $p^{-1}(p(D))$  contains points in the connected arc  $p^{-1}(p(l))$ . By Lemma 3 there is a 3-map  $q_1: M \rightarrow S^3$  such that




Figure 8

$B(q_1) = [B(p) - (a_1 \cup a_2)] \cup (b_1 \cup b_2)$  where the arcs  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  are proper subarcs of  $D$  and  $a_1 \cup a_2 \cup b_1 \cup b_2$  bounds subdisc  $D'$  of  $D$ . Thus  $B(q_1)$  bounds the surface  $\overline{F - D'}$ . The Euler characteristic of  $F$ ,  $\chi(F)$ , equals the number of vertices plus faces of  $F$  minus the number of edges of  $F$  for any given triangulation. An easy count of vertices, edges and faces shows that  $\chi(\overline{F - D'}) = \chi(F) + 1$ . The Euler characteristic is  $+1$  for the disc and nonpositive for every other bounded surface. Thus, if we iterate this process  $|\chi(F)| + 1$  times we obtain a 3-map  $q: M \rightarrow S^3$  such that  $B(q)$  is the boundary of a disc in  $M$ .

Next, as an application of this theorem we show that every oriented 3-manifold has trivial tangent bundle. This can be shown using the Hirsch-Smale immersion theory, or by an obstruction theory argument using cohomology operations; but the proof that follows is more elementary than these.

**THEOREM 5.** *A closed orientable smooth 3-manifold is parallelizable.*

*Proof.* We may smooth the map  $p: M \rightarrow S^3$ , whose existence is guaranteed by Theorem 4, so that it is a regular immersion except

at points of the branch cover. Let  $P$  be a point of the branch set and let  $l$  be an arc connecting the two points in the inverse image of  $P$  such that  $l$  intersects the disc  $D$  that the branch cover bounds only in one endpoint. Let the 3-ball  $B$  in  $M$  be some regular neighborhood of  $D \cup l$ . Restricting  $p$  to  $M$ -interior  $B$  we have a regular immersion of  $M$ -interior  $B$  in  $E^3$  that is covered by a bundle map of the tangent bundles. Since  $\tau(E^3)$ , the tangent bundle of  $E^3$  is trivial; it follows that  $\tau(M)|_{(M\text{-interior } B)}$  is trivial.  $\tau(M)|_B$  is also trivial.  $\tau(M)$  is obtained by gluing these two bundles together along  $S^2 = \partial B$  via a map of  $S^2 \rightarrow GL(3, R)$ . Since  $\pi_2 GL(3, R) = 0$  this map is homotopic to a constant and  $\tau(M)$  is trivial.

5. **Concluding remarks.** It is instructive to compare the method of proof of Lemma 3 (which is crucial for Theorems 4 and 5) with the operations  $D_1$ ,  $D_2$ , and  $D_3$  of p. 183 of [8]. The methods are quite similar although there the emphasis is on changes made in the range of the branched covering space projection while in the proof of Lemma 3 the emphasis is on changes made in the domain of the projection.

One possible approach to the Poincaré conjecture is to begin with a simply connected closed 3-manifold  $M$ , remove and open ball  $U$  from  $M$  and immerse  $M - U$  in  $S^3$ . Then perform "geometrical operations" in order to simplify the immersion. If the immersion can be simplified to the point where it is an embedding then  $M - U$  must be a ball and  $M = S^3$ . Theorem 4 implies (by removing a small neighborhood of the disc) that we can start with an immersion that is at most three to one and that has very special behavior on  $\partial(M - U)$ .

The homeomorphism problem is this: Find an algorithm to determine if a "given" 3-manifold is  $S^3$ . The methods used in this paper to prove Theorem 4 are constructive so that if we are "given" a 3-manifold (say, as a Heegaard splitting) there is an algorithm which finds a represented link  $(L, \omega)$  and a simple 3-fold branched covering space  $p: M = M(L, \omega) \rightarrow S^3$  such that the branch cover bounds a disc.

The authors would like to acknowledge their gratitude to Dick Pierce for several helpful conversations.

## REFERENCES

1. J. W. Alexander, *Note on Riemann spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **26** (1920), 370-372.
2. R. H. Fox, *Covering spaces with singularities*, Algebraic Geometry and Topology, A symposium in honor of S. Lefschetz, Princeton, 1957.
3. ———, *A quick trip through knot theory*, Topology of 3-manifolds and related

topics, Englewood Cliffs, N. J. (1962), 120-167.

4. H. M. Hilden, *Every closed orientable 3-manifold is a 3-fold branched covering space of  $S^3$* , Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **80** (1974), 1243-44.

5. ———, *Three-fold branched coverings of  $S^3$* , to appear in Amer. J. math.

6. J. M. Montesinos, *A representation of closed orientable 3-manifolds as 3-fold branched coverings of  $S^3$* , Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **80** (1964), 845-846.

7. ———, *Three manifolds as 3-fold branched covers of  $S^3$* , to appear.

8. ———, *Una nota a un teorema de Alexander*, Revista Mat. Hisp.-Amer. 4<sup>o</sup> series **32** (1972), 167-187.

9. L. P. Neuwirth, *Knot groups*, Annals of Math. Studies, No. 56, Princeton University Press.

Received April 7, 1975 and in revised form November 4, 1975. Research was supported in part by NSF Grant GP-34059 A.

UNIVERSIDAD COMPLUTENSE DE MADRID

AND

UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)  
University of California  
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT  
University of Washington  
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM  
Stanford University  
Stanford, California 94305

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
\* \* \*  
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY  
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

David Lee Armacost, <i>Compactly cogenerated LCA groups</i> .....	1
Sun Man Chang, <i>On continuous image averaging of probability measures</i> .....	13
J. Chidambaraswamy, <i>Generalized Dedekind <math>\psi</math>-functions with respect to a polynomial. II</i> .....	19
Freddy Delbaen, <i>The Dunford-Pettis property for certain uniform algebras</i> .....	29
Robert Benjamin Feinberg, <i>Faithful distributive modules over incidence algebras</i> .....	35
Paul Froeschl, <i>Chained rings</i> .....	47
John Brady Garnett and Anthony G. O'Farrell, <i>Sobolev approximation by a sum of subalgebras on the circle</i> .....	55
Hugh M. Hilden, José M. Montesinos and Thomas Lusk Thickstun, <i>Closed oriented 3-manifolds as 3-fold branched coverings of <math>S^3</math> of special type</i> .....	65
Atsushi Inoue, <i>On a class of unbounded operator algebras</i> .....	77
Peter Kleinschmidt, <i>On facets with non-arbitrary shapes</i> .....	97
Narendrakumar Ramanlal Ladhawala, <i>Absolute summability of Walsh-Fourier series</i> .....	103
Howard Wilson Lambert, <i>Links which are unknottable by maps</i> .....	109
Kyung Bai Lee, <i>On certain g-first countable spaces</i> .....	113
Richard Ira Loebl, <i>A Hahn decomposition for linear maps</i> .....	119
Moshe Marcus and Victor Julius Mizel, <i>A characterization of non-linear functionals on <math>W_1^p</math> possessing autonomous kernels. I</i> .....	135
James Miller, <i>Subordinating factor sequences and convex functions of several variables</i> .....	159
Keith Pierce, <i>Amalgamated sums of abelian l-groups</i> .....	167
Jonathan Rosenberg, <i>The <math>C^*</math>-algebras of some real and p-adic solvable groups</i> .....	175
Hugo Rossi and Michele Vergne, <i>Group representations on Hilbert spaces defined in terms of <math>\partial_b</math>-cohomology on the Silov boundary of a Siegel domain</i> .....	193
Mary Elizabeth Schaps, <i>Nonsingular deformations of a determinantal scheme</i> .....	209
S. R. Singh, <i>Some convergence properties of the Bubnov-Galerkin method</i> .....	217
Peggy Strait, <i>Level crossing probabilities for a multi-parameter Brownian process</i> .....	223
Robert M. Tardiff, <i>Topologies for probabilistic metric spaces</i> .....	233
Benjamin Baxter Wells, Jr., <i>Rearrangements of functions on the ring of integers of a p-series field</i> .....	253
Robert Francis Wheeler, <i>Well-behaved and totally bounded approximate identities for <math>C_0(X)</math></i> .....	261
Delores Arletta Williams, <i>Gauss sums and integral quadratic forms over local fields of characteristic 2</i> .....	271
John Yuan, <i>On the construction of one-parameter semigroups in topological semigroups</i> .....	285