

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

## **A GEOMETRIC CHARACTERIZATION OF INDETERMINATE MOMENT SEQUENCES**

EDWARD PETER MERKES AND MARION WETZEL

# A GEOMETRIC CHARACTERIZATION OF INDETERMINATE MOMENT SEQUENCES

E. P. MERKES AND MARION WETZEL

**Hamburger and Stieltjes moment sequences are studied from the standpoint of the geometry of their moment spaces. Necessary and sufficient conditions are obtained that each of these sequences be indeterminate. The elements in the associated Jacobi and Stieltjes type continued fractions are characterized in terms of ratios of distances in the moment spaces.**

1. Introduction. A sequence of real numbers  $\{c_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is an  $H$  (Hamburger moment) sequence if there exists a bounded nondecreasing function  $\gamma$  on  $(-\infty, \infty)$  such that

$$(1) \quad c_n = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^n d\gamma(t) \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

The function  $\gamma$ , called a mass function for the sequence  $\{c_n\}$ , is normalized to be left continuous and such that  $\gamma(0) = 0$ . The sequence  $\{c_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is an  $S$  (Stieltjes moment) sequence if it is an  $H$  sequence and there is a mass function  $\gamma$  for the sequence that is constant on  $(-\infty, 0)$ . An  $H$  sequence or an  $S$  sequence is determinate if the mass function  $\gamma$  for the sequence is unique. Otherwise the moment sequence is indeterminate.

The geometric approach of Carathéodory [2] for the classical moment problems has been extended and generalized by a number of authors (see [5]). In particular, Krein [6] initiated a geometric study of general Tchebycheff systems and Karlin and Shapley [4] rekindled interest in the geometry of moment sequences by their definitive memoir on the finite (Hausdorff) moment problem. The primary purpose of this paper is to provide, in the spirit of the works of Krein and of Karlin and Shapley, geometric characterizations for indeterminate  $H$  sequences and for indeterminate  $S$  sequences.

More specifically, let  $\mathfrak{M}_{2m+1}$  denote the set of vectors  $c = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{2m})$  in Euclidean  $E^{2m+1}$  space such that there is a mass function  $\gamma$  on  $(-\infty, \infty)$  for which (1) holds when  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 2m$ . For real  $\lambda > 0$  and for  $c, c^*$  in  $\mathfrak{M}_{2m+1}$ , the vectors  $\lambda c$  and  $c + c^*$  are also in  $\mathfrak{M}_{2m+1}$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{M}_{2m+1}$  is a convex cone in  $E^{2m+1}$ . For a given  $c = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{2m}) \in \mathfrak{M}_{2m+1}$ , we consider the two dimensional

section of this cone defined by

$$D_m = \{(x, y): (x, y, c_2, c_3, \dots, c_{2m}) \in \mathfrak{M}_{2m+1}\}.$$

In §3 we prove that  $D_m$  is a closed region bounded by a parabola (possibly degenerate) and that  $D_m \subset D_{m-1}$ . The intersection  $\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} D_m$ , called the *limit parabolic region*, is either a closed region containing  $(c_0, c_1)$  bounded by a proper parabola or a ray  $\{(x, y): x \geq c_0, y = c_1\}$  where  $c_0 \leq c_0$ . An  $H$  sequence is proved to be indeterminate if and only if the point  $(c_0, c_1)$  is an interior point of the limit parabolic region. For an  $S$  sequence there is a convex cone  $\mathfrak{N}_{2m+1}$  corresponding to  $\mathfrak{M}_{2m+1}$  and two dimensional sections  $E'_m$  of this cone are introduced in the same fashion as the sections  $D_m$  were defined from  $\mathfrak{M}_{2m+1}$ . An  $S$  sequence is proved to be indeterminate if and only if  $(c_0, c_1)$  is an interior point of  $\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} E'_m$  in §4. The final section of this paper provides a geometric interpretation of the coefficients of the  $J$ -fraction or  $S$ -fraction corresponding to  $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_m/z^{m+1}$  when  $\{c_m\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$  is an  $H$  or an  $S$  sequence respectively.

2. Preliminaries. For a real sequence  $\{c_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  let

$$\Delta_{n,p} = \begin{vmatrix} c_p & c_{p+1} & \cdots & c_{p+n} \\ c_{p+1} & c_{p+2} & \cdots & c_{p+n+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ c_{p+n} & c_{p+n+1} & \cdots & c_{p+2n} \end{vmatrix} \quad (n, p = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

For brevity set  $\Delta_n = \Delta_{n,0}$ . A classical necessary and sufficient condition for  $\{c_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  to be an  $H$  sequence is that either (a)  $\Delta_n > 0$  for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  or (b)  $\Delta_n > 0$  for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1$  and  $\Delta_n = 0$  for  $n = m, m+1, \dots$  ([3], [7, p. 5]). An  $H$  sequence is called *positive definite* or *positive semidefinite* according as (a) or (b) holds. A positive semidefinite  $H$  sequence is always determinate. A positive definite  $H$  sequence is determinate if and only if at least one of the sequences

$$(2) \quad \{\Delta_n/\Delta_{n-1,2}\}, \quad \{\Delta_{n,2}/\Delta_{n-1,4}\}$$

has limit zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  ([3] [7, p. 72]). Let

$$(3) \quad \frac{a_0^2}{z+b_1} - \frac{a_1^2}{z+b_2} - \cdots - \frac{a_n^2}{z+b_{n+1}} - \cdots$$

be the  $J$ -fraction expansion of the formal power series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n/z^{n+1}$ . If  $a_j \neq 0$  ( $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$ ), let

$$(4) \quad P_n^*(z) = \frac{B_n(z)}{a_0 a_1 \cdots a_n}, \quad Q_n^*(z) = \frac{A_n(z)}{a_0 a_1 \cdots a_n},$$

where  $B_n(z)$  and  $A_n(z)$  respectively denote the  $n$ th denominator and  $n$ th numerator of the continued fraction (3). Since  $\Delta_n = a_0^2 a_1^2 \cdots a_n^2 \Delta_{n-1}$  [8, p. 197], the polynomials  $P_n^*$ ,  $Q_n^*$  are defined for  $n \leq m$  if  $\Delta_n \neq 0$ ,  $n \leq m$ . When  $\Delta_n \neq 0$ ,  $n \leq m$ , we have furthermore that

$$(5) \quad F_m^* = \sum_{j=0}^m [P_j^*(0)]^2 = -\frac{1}{\Delta_m} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & c_0 & c_1 & \cdots & c_m \\ 0 & c_1 & c_2 & \cdots & c_{m+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & c_m & c_{m+1} & \cdots & c_{2m} \end{vmatrix},$$

$$(6) \quad G_m^* = \sum_{j=0}^m P_j^*(0)Q_j^*(0) = -\frac{1}{\Delta_m} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 0 & c_0 & \cdots & c_{m-1} \\ 1 & c_0 & c_1 & \cdots & c_m \\ 0 & c_1 & c_2 & \cdots & c_{m+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & c_m & c_{m+1} & \cdots & c_{2m} \end{vmatrix},$$

and

$$(7) \quad H_m^* = \sum_{j=0}^m [Q_j^*(0)]^2 = -\frac{1}{\Delta_m} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 0 & c_0 & \cdots & c_{m-1} \\ 0 & c_0 & c_1 & \cdots & c_m \\ c_0 & c_1 & c_2 & \cdots & c_{m+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ c_{m-1} & c_m & c_{m+1} & \cdots & c_{2m} \end{vmatrix}.$$

(See, for example, [9].)

Set  $\Delta_{-1,1} = 1$  and assume  $c_0 > 0$ . Then a necessary and sufficient condition for  $\{c_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  to be an  $S$  sequence is that either (c)  $\Delta_n > 0$  and  $\Delta_{n,1} > 0$  for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  or (d)  $\Delta_n > 0$  and  $\Delta_{n-1,1} > 0$  for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m$ ;  $\Delta_{m,1} \geq 0$ ; and  $\Delta_n = \Delta_{n,1} = 0$  for  $n = m+1, m+2, \dots$  [7, p. 6]. The polynomials  $P_n^*$ ,  $Q_n^*$  can be defined for an  $S$  sequence by (4) where the continued fraction (3) is the even part of the  $S$ -fraction

$$(8) \quad \frac{d_0}{z} - \frac{d_1}{1} - \frac{d_2}{z} - \frac{d_3}{1} - \cdots - \frac{d_{2n}}{z} - \frac{d_{2n+1}}{1} - \cdots$$

corresponding to the formal power series  $\sum_{j=0}^\infty c_j/z^{j+1}$  [8, p. 73].

3.  $H$  sequences. Let  $(c_0, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{2m}) \in \mathfrak{M}_{2m+1}$ . For real  $x$  and  $y$ , the vector  $(x, y, c_2, c_3, \dots, c_{2m}) \in \mathfrak{M}_{2m+1}$  if and only if

$$(9) \quad D_n(x, y) = \begin{vmatrix} x & y & c_2 & \cdots & c_n \\ y & c_2 & c_3 & \cdots & c_{n+1} \\ c_2 & c_3 & c_4 & \cdots & c_{n+2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ c_n & c_{n+1} & c_{n+2} & \cdots & c_{2n} \end{vmatrix} \geq 0 \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots, m).$$

Suppose  $\Delta_n > 0$  for  $n < m$ . Then by (5), (6), and (7) with each  $c_j$  replaced by  $c_{j+2}$  we have

$$(10) \quad D_n(x, y) = \Delta_{n-1,2} \left\{ x - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} [Q_j(0) + yP_j(0)]^2 \right\},$$

where  $P_j(z)$ ,  $Q_j(z)$  are the polynomials (4) for the sequence  $c_2, c_3, \dots, c_{2m}$ . Since  $\Delta_n > 0$  implies  $\Delta_{n-1,2} > 0$  for  $0 < n < m$ , the set  $D_n$  of points  $(x, y)$  such that  $D_n(x, y) \geq 0$  is a closed convex region bounded by a parabola. By (10)  $D_n \subset D_{n-1}$ ,  $1 < n < m$ , and the boundaries of  $D_n$  and of  $D_{n-1}$  have exactly one point (possibly infinity) in common.

LEMMA 1. Let  $\{c_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  be a positive definite  $H$  sequence. For each positive integer  $n$ , the two dimensional section

$$(11) \quad D_n = \{(x, y): (x, y, c_2, c_3, \dots, c_{2m}) \in \mathfrak{M}_{2m+1}\}$$

is a closed convex region bounded by the parabola

$$(12) \quad x - x_n = F_n(y - y_n)^2,$$

where  $x_n = H_n - G_n^2/F_n$ ,  $y_n = -G_n/F_n$  and

$$(13) \quad F_n = \sum_{j=0}^n [P_j(0)]^2, G_n = \sum_{j=0}^n P_j(0)Q_j(0), H_n = \sum_{j=0}^n [Q_j(0)]^2.$$

Furthermore,  $D_n \subset D_{n-1}$ ,  $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty D_n \neq \emptyset$ , and the sequences  $\{x_n\}$ ,  $\{y_n\}$  converge to finite limits.

*Proof.* The boundary (12) is obtained from (5), (6), and (7) when the indices are augmented by 2 by a straight-forward calculation (see also [9]). The fact that the parabolic regions  $D_n$  are nested follows immediately from (9) and (10). One consequence of these observations is that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is nondecreasing. Since  $(c_0, c_1) \in D_n$  for all  $n$ , we have  $x_n \leq c_0$  and, hence,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n \leq c_0$ . To prove  $\{y_n\}$  also converges, first note that  $\{F_n\}$  is a nondecreasing sequence of positive numbers. Hence  $F_n$  tends to a positive limit or  $\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . From the nesting of the regions, we have  $(x_m, y_m) \in D_n$  whenever  $m \geq n$ . By (12) this implies

$$(x_m - x_n)/F_n \geq (y_m - y_n)^2.$$

It follows that  $\{y_n\}$  tends to a finite limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Let  $x^0 = \lim x_n$ ,  $y^0 = \lim y_n (n \rightarrow \infty)$  under the hypothesis of Lemma 1. If  $F_n \rightarrow F < \infty$ , then  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n$  is a closed region bounded by the parabola  $x - x^0 = F(y - y^0)^2$ . If  $F_n \rightarrow \infty$ , then the length of the latus rectum  $1/F_n$  of the  $n$ th parabola (12) tends to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . In this case  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n$  is a ray:  $x \geq x^0$ ,  $y = y^0$ .

When  $\Delta_n > 0$  for  $n < m$ , we have  $\Delta_{n-1,2} > 0$  and we define  $\underline{c}_{0,n}$  by

$$(14) \quad \begin{vmatrix} \underline{c}_{0,n} & c_1 & \cdots & c_n \\ c_1 & c_2 & \cdots & c_{n+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ c_n & c_{n+1} & \cdots & c_{2n} \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$

Then  $c_0 - \underline{c}_{0,n} = \Delta_n / \Delta_{n-1,2}$  so  $c_0 > \underline{c}_{0,n}$ ,  $n < m$ . The projection  $(\underline{c}_{0,n}, c_1, \dots, c_{2n})$  is a boundary point of  $\mathfrak{M}_{2n+1}$ .

LEMMA 2. Let  $\{c_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  be a positive semidefinite  $H$  sequence for which  $\Delta_n > 0$  when  $n < m$  and  $\Delta_n = 0$  when  $n \geq m$ . If  $\Delta_{m-1,2} > 0$ , then the  $m$ th region (11) is bounded by a proper parabola that contains the point  $(c_0, c_1)$ . The  $m + 1$ st region (11) degenerates in this case to a ray  $x \geq c_0$ ,  $y = c_1$ . If  $\Delta_{m-1,2} = 0$ , the  $m$ th region (11) is a ray  $x \geq \underline{c}_{0,m-1}$ ,  $y = c_1$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $\Delta_{m-1,2} > 0$ . Then  $\Delta_{m-2,4} > 0$  and by (9) the length of the latus rectum  $\Delta_{m-1,2} / \Delta_{m-2,4}$  of the  $m$ th parabola  $D_m(x, y) = 0$  is not zero. Furthermore  $D_m(c_0, c_1) = \Delta_m = 0$  so  $(c_0, c_1)$  is on the  $m$ th parabola. We can define  $\underline{c}_{0,m}$  by (14) in this case and  $\underline{c}_{0,m} = c_0$ . We show next that the  $m + 1$ st parabolic region  $D_n(x, y) \geq 0 (n = 1, 2, \dots, m + 1)$  is a ray  $x \geq c_0$ ,  $y = c_1$  when  $\Delta_{m-1,2} > 0$ . Since  $\Delta_n > 0$ ,  $n < m$  and  $\Delta_n = 0$ ,  $n \geq m$ , the moment problem for the sequence  $\{c_n\}$  is determinate. This implies there is a unique representation

$$(15) \quad c_n = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j t_j^n \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots),$$

where  $\lambda_j > 0 (j = 1, 2, \dots, m)$  and  $t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_m$ . Since  $\underline{c}_{0,m} = c_0$ , we have  $t_j \neq 0 (j = 1, 2, \dots, m)$ . By replacing each  $c_n$  in  $\Delta_{m,2}$  with its representation (15), we can express the determinant  $\Delta_{m,2}$  as a linear combination of determinants of the form

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ t_{k_1} & t_{k_2} & \cdots & t_{k_{m+1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ t_{k_1}^m & t_{k_2}^m & \cdots & t_{k_{m+1}}^m \end{vmatrix},$$

where the indices  $k_j$  are between 1 and  $m$ . Since each of these last determinants is of order  $m + 1$ , each contains at least two identical columns. We conclude that  $\Delta_{m,2} = 0$  and, therefore,  $D_{m+1}(x, y)$  is independent of  $x$ . Now  $D_{m+1}(x, y) \not\equiv 0$  since the coefficient of  $y^2$  is  $\Delta_{m-1,4} \neq 0$ . To prove this last assertion, assume  $\Delta_{m-1,4} = 0$ . This implies there is a representation

$$(16) \quad c_{n+4} = \sum_{j=1}^p \mu_j s_j^n \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots),$$

where  $s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_p$ ,  $\mu_j > 0$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$ ),  $p \leq m - 1$ . Since  $t_j \neq 0$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ) in (15), there are two distinct representations, (15) and (16), for the semidefinite  $H$  sequence  $\{c_n\}_{n=4}^\infty$ . This is contrary to the fact that this sequence is determinate and, thus,  $\Delta_{m-1,4} \neq 0$ . Finally  $D_{m+1}(x, y) = 0$  has a unique double root at  $y = c_1$  by known results on symmetric determinants [1, p. 139].

Next assume  $\Delta_{m-1,2} = 0$ . This implies  $D_m(x, y)$  is independent of  $x$  and, as in the previous case, we conclude  $D_m(x, y) = -\Delta_{m-2,4}(y - c_1)^2$ . Since  $D_{m-1}(x, y) \geq 0$  forces  $x$  to be not smaller than  $c_{0,m-1}$  when  $y = c_1$ , we have  $D_m = \{(x, y): x \geq c_{0,m-1}, y = c_1\}$ . This completes the proof of the Lemma.

Using the representation (15) we can easily prove  $D_{m+j}(x, y) = 0$  for all choices of  $(x, y)$  when  $j \geq 2$  and  $\{c_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is a positive semidefinite  $H$  sequence with  $\Delta_{m-1} > 0$ ,  $\Delta_m = 0$ . This means that the conditions  $D_n(x, y) \geq 0$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) add no new restrictions on  $(x, y)$  once  $n > m + 1$ , that is, once the parabolic regions degenerate to a ray. It is meaningful, therefore, to speak of this ray as the limit region  $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty D_n$  for a positive semidefinite  $H$  sequence.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\{c_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  be an  $H$  sequence. This sequence is indeterminate if and only if  $(c_0, c_1)$  is an interior point of the limit parabolic region.*

*Proof.* If  $\{c_n\}$  is positive semidefinite, then the limit region is a ray by Lemma 2. The limit region has no interior points in this case and the sequence is determinate.

Suppose  $\{c_n\}$  is positive definite. By (5) and (12) the length of the latus rectum of the  $n$ th parabola is  $1/F_n = \Delta_{n-1,2}/\Delta_{n-2,4}$ . If  $\lim \Delta_{n-1,2}/\Delta_{n-2,4} = 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then the limit parabolic region is a ray and by (2) the sequence is determinate. Suppose therefore  $\Delta_{n-1,2}/\Delta_{n-2,4}$  has a nonzero limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . By (14) we have  $(c_{0,n}, c_1)$  is on the  $n$ th parabola and  $c_0 - c_{0,n} = \Delta_n/\Delta_{n-1,2} > 0$ . Since the parabolas are nested by Lemma 1, the sequence  $\{c_{0,n}\}$  is nondecreasing. Let  $c_{0,n} \rightarrow c_0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then  $c_0 \leq c_0$  and equality holds if and only if  $\Delta_n/\Delta_{n-1,2} \rightarrow 0$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . The last condition implies  $\{c_n\}$  is determinate by (2). Furthermore,  $c_0 = c_0$  implies  $(c_0, c_1)$  is on the boundary of the limit parabolic region. Now if  $c_0 < c_0$ , then  $(c_0, c_1)$  is interior to the limit parabolic region and by (2) the sequence  $\{c_n\}$  is indeterminate.

4. *S* sequences. Every *S* sequence is an *H* sequence so the existence of the limit parabolic region is assured by Lemma 1 and Lemma 2. Furthermore a sequence  $\{c_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is an indeterminate *S* sequence if and only if  $\{p_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ , where  $p_{2n} = c_n$ ,  $p_{2n+1} = 0$  ( $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ), is an indeterminate *H* sequence. Replacing  $\{c_n\}$  by  $\{p_n\}$  in (2), we obtain the following criteria for an indeterminate *S* sequence.

LEMMA 3. *An S sequence is indeterminate if and only if the sequences  $\{\Delta_n/\Delta_{n-1,2}\}$  and  $\{\Delta_{n,1}/\Delta_{n-1,3}\}$  each have nonzero limits as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

The following determinant identity is needed.

LEMMA 4.  $\Delta_{n-1,2}\Delta_{n-1} - \Delta_{n-2,2}\Delta_n = \Delta_{n-1,1}^2$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , ( $\Delta_{-1,2} = 1$ ).

*Proof.* For a sequence  $\{c_j\}_{j=0}^{2n+1}$  set

$$\Delta_n(t) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & t & \cdots & t^n \\ c_1 & c_2 & \cdots & c_{n+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ c_n & c_{n+1} & \cdots & c_{2n} \end{vmatrix} = (-1)^n \Delta_{n-1,1} t^n + \cdots + \Delta_{n-1,2}.$$

Define the linear functional  $M$  on the linear space of polynomials of degree not exceeding  $2n+1$  by the condition  $M[t^j] = c_j$  ( $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 2n+1$ ). Using elementary properties of determinants, we have

$$M[t^j \Delta_n(t)] = \begin{cases} \Delta_n & \text{if } j = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } 0 < j \leq n, \\ (-1)^n \Delta_{n,1} & \text{if } j = n+1. \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} M[\Delta_{n-1}(t)\Delta_n(t)] &= M[(-1)^{n-1}\Delta_{n-2,1}t^{n-1} + \cdots + \Delta_{n-2,2}\Delta_n(t)] \\ &= \Delta_{n-2,2}\Delta_n \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} M[\Delta_{n-1}(t)\Delta_n(t)] &= M[\Delta_{n-1}(t)\{(-1)^n\Delta_{n-1,1}t^n + \cdots + \Delta_{n-1,2}\}] \\ &= (-1)^n \Delta_{n-1,1} M[t^n \Delta_{n-1}(t)] + \Delta_{n-1,2} M[\Delta_{n-1}(t)] \\ &= -\Delta_{n-1,1}^2 + \Delta_{n-1,2}\Delta_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$



The identity follows from these equalities.

Let  $\{c_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  be an  $S$  sequence such that  $\Delta_{n,1} > 0$  for  $n \leq m$ . We define  $\underline{c}_{1,m}$  by

$$\begin{vmatrix} \underline{c}_{1,m} & c_2 & \cdots & c_{m+1} \\ c_2 & c_3 & \cdots & c_{m+2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ c_{m+1} & c_{m+2} & \cdots & c_{2m+1} \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$

Since  $\Delta_{m-1,3} > 0$  in this case, we have  $c_1 - \underline{c}_{1,m} = \Delta_{m,1}/\Delta_{m-1,3}$  and  $c_1 > \underline{c}_{1,m}$ . If  $\Delta_{m+1,1} = 0$ , define  $\underline{c}_{1,m+1}$  to be  $c_1$ .

**LEMMA 5.** *Let  $\{c_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  be an  $S$  sequence. The sequence  $\{\underline{c}_{1,n}\}$  is nondecreasing and  $\underline{c}_1 = \lim \underline{c}_{1,n} \leq c_1$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\underline{c}_{1,n}$  equals  $c_1$  from some index  $n$  onward when  $\{c_n\}$  is positive semidefinite, we assume  $\Delta_n > 0$ ,  $\Delta_{n,1} > 0$  for  $n \leq m$ . Then

$$\underline{c}_{1,m} - \underline{c}_{1,m-1} = \frac{\Delta_{m-1,1}}{\Delta_{m-2,3}} - \frac{\Delta_{m,1}}{\Delta_{m-1,3}} = \frac{\Delta_{m-1,2}^2}{\Delta_{m-2,3}\Delta_{m-1,3}},$$

where the last equality is obtained by the identity of Lemma 4 applied to the sequence  $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ . It follows that  $\{\underline{c}_{1,n}\}$  is nondecreasing and bounded by  $c_1$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{N}_{2m+1}$  denote the subset of  $\mathfrak{M}_{2m+1}$  consisting of  $S$  sequences. If  $\{c_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is an  $S$  sequence and  $\Delta_n > 0$ ,  $\Delta_{n,1} > 0$  for  $n \leq m$ , then the vector  $(x, y, c_2, \dots, c_{2m}) \in \mathfrak{N}_{2m+1}$  if and only if  $(x, y) \in D_m$  and  $y \geq \underline{c}_{1,m}$ . Since  $\underline{c}_{1,m} \rightarrow \underline{c}_1$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , the limit region corresponding to an  $S$  sequence is either a ray  $x \geq c_0, y = c_1 = \underline{c}_1$  or the intersection of a proper limit parabolic region and the half plane  $y \geq \underline{c}_1$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\{c_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  be an  $S$  sequence. Then  $\{c_n\}$  is indeterminate if and only if  $(c_0, c_1)$  is interior to the limit parabolic region and  $c_1 > \underline{c}_1$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\{c_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is positive semidefinite, the sequence is determinate and the limit parabolic region has no interior points. Suppose, therefore,  $\{c_n\}$  is positive definite. If the limit parabolic region is a ray  $x \geq c_0, y = c_1$ , then  $c_1 = \underline{c}_1$  and, hence,  $\Delta_{n,1}/\Delta_{n-1,3} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . The sequence  $\{c_n\}$  is determinate in this case by Lemma 3. If the limit parabolic region has interior points, then  $(c_0, c_1)$  is on its boundary if and only if  $c_0 - \underline{c}_{0,n} = \Delta_n/\Delta_{n-1,2} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Again by Lemma 3, the sequence  $\{c_n\}$  is determinate when the last condition holds. Finally let  $(c_0, c_1)$  be an interior point of the limit parabolic region.

Then  $\{\Delta_n/\Delta_{n-1,2}\}$  does not tend to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . In this case,  $\{c_n\}$  is determinate if and only if  $c_1 - \underline{c}_{1,n} = \Delta_{n,1}/\Delta_{n-1,3} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  by Lemma 3. The last condition is equivalent to the condition  $c_1 = \underline{c}_1$  and the proof is complete.

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $\{c_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  be a positive definite  $S$  sequence. This sequence is a determinate  $S$  sequence and an indeterminate  $H$  sequence if and only if  $(c_0, c_1)$  is an interior point of the limit parabolic region and  $c_1 = \underline{c}_1 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \underline{c}_{1,n}$ .*

**5. A geometric interpretation of the continued fraction coefficients.** For  $n \geq 1$ , let  $\Delta_{n-1}^*$  denote the minor of the element  $c_{2n-1}$  in the determinant  $\Delta_n$ . From the algorithm for expanding a power series into a  $J$ -fraction [8, p. 196] it is easily proved that the coefficients of (3) are determined by

$$(17) \quad a_n = \frac{\sqrt{\Delta_{n-2}\Delta_n}}{\Delta_{n-1}}, \quad b_{n+1} = \frac{\Delta_{n-1}^*\Delta_n - \Delta_{n-1}\Delta_n^*}{\Delta_{n-1}\Delta_n} \quad (0 \leq n \leq m),$$

where  $\Delta_{-1}^* = 0$ ,  $\Delta_{-1} = \Delta_{-2} = 1$ , and  $\{c_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is an  $H$  sequence such that  $\Delta_n > 0$  when  $n \leq m$ . To obtain a geometric interpretation of these coefficients, we introduce the two dimensional sections of the cone  $\mathfrak{M}_{2n+1}$  defined by

$$E_n = \{(x, y): (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{2n-2}, x, y) \in \mathfrak{M}_{2n+1}\} \quad (n \leq m).$$

Note that  $E_n$  is a closed region bounded by the parabola

$$\begin{vmatrix} c_0 & c_1 & \cdots & c_{n-1} & c_n \\ c_1 & c_2 & \cdots & c_n & c_{n+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ c_{n-1} & c_n & \cdots & c_{2n-2} & x \\ c_n & c_{n+1} & \cdots & x & y \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$

Let  $\underline{c}_{2n}$  denote the  $y$ -coordinate of the point on the parabola for which  $x = c_{2n-1}$ . A simple computation proves that the axis of this parabola is  $x = c_{2n-1}^*$ , where  $\Delta_{2n-1}^*$  is obtained by replacing  $c_{2n-1}$  in  $\Delta_{n-1}^*$  with  $c_{2n-1}^*$  and setting the resulting determinant equal to zero.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $\{c_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  be an  $H$  sequence and let  $\Delta_n > 0$  for  $n \leq m$ . Let  $e_n$  and  $e_n^*$  respectively denote the distance in the vertical direction from  $(c_{2n-1}, c_{2n})$  to the boundary of  $E_n$  and the distance in the horizontal direction from  $(c_{2n-1}, c_{2n})$  to the axis of the parabolic boundary of  $E_n$ . Then the coefficients in (3) are*

$$(18) \quad a_n^2 = \frac{e_n}{e_{n-1}}, \quad b_{n+1} = \frac{e_n^*}{e_{n-1}} - \frac{e_{n+1}^*}{e_n}$$

$$(e_0^* = 0, e_{-1} = 1, e_0 = c_0, 0 \leq n \leq m).$$

Furthermore  $e_n = c_{2n} - c_{2n}$ ,  $e_{n+1}^* = c_{2n+1} - c_{2n+1}^*$  for  $0 \leq n \leq m$ .

*Proof.* From the definitions of  $c_{2n}$  and  $c_{2n+1}^*$ , we have for  $0 \leq n \leq m$ ,  $e_n = c_{2n} - c_{2n} = \Delta_n / \Delta_{n-1}$ ,  $e_{n+1}^* = c_{2n+1} - c_{2n+1}^* = \Delta_n^* / \Delta_{n-1}$ . The results in (18) are a consequence of these identities and (17).

The two dimensional sections  $\mathfrak{N}_{2n+1}$  for an  $S$  sequence  $\{c_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  corresponding to  $E_n$  are given by

$$\{(x, y): (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{2n-2}, x, y) \in \mathfrak{N}_{2n+1}\}.$$

If  $\Delta_n > 0$ ,  $\Delta_{n,1} > 0$  for  $n \leq m$ , these sections are the common part of the parabolic regions  $E_n$  and the half plane  $x \geq c_{2n-1}$ , where  $c_{2n-1}$  is obtained from  $\Delta_{n-1,1}$  by replacing  $c_{2n-1}$  with  $c_{2n-1}$  and setting the resulting determinant equal to zero. The coefficients in the  $S$ -fraction (8) are determined by

$$(19) \quad d_{2n} = \frac{\Delta_{n-2,1} \Delta_n}{\Delta_{n-1} \Delta_{n-1,1}}, \quad d_{2n+1} = \frac{\Delta_{n-1} \Delta_{n,1}}{\Delta_{n-1,1} \Delta_n} (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m),$$

where  $\Delta_{-2,1} = \Delta_{-1,1} = \Delta_{-1} = 1$  and  $\{c_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is an  $S$  sequence for which  $\Delta_n > 0$ ,  $\Delta_{n-1,1} > 0$  when  $n \leq m$ .

**THEOREM 4.** Let  $\{c_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  be an  $S$  sequence such that  $\Delta_n > 0$ ,  $\Delta_{n-1,1} > 0$  for  $n \leq m$ . Then the coefficients in the  $S$ -fraction (8) corresponding to this sequence are determined by

$$d_{2n} = \frac{e_n}{\varepsilon_n}, \quad d_{2n+1} = \frac{\varepsilon_{n+1}}{e_n}$$

where  $e_n = c_{2n} - c_{2n}$ ,  $\varepsilon_n = c_{2n-1} - c_{2n-1}$ ,  $0 \leq n \leq m$ .

The result is a consequence of (19) and the identities  $e_n = \Delta_n / \Delta_{n-1}$ ,  $\varepsilon_n = \Delta_{n-1,1} / \Delta_{n-2,1}$ .

## REFERENCES

1. S. Barnard and J. M. Child, *Higher Algebra*, MacMillan, 1936.
2. C. Carathéodory, *Über den Variabilitätsbereich der Koeffizienten von Potenzreihen, die Gegebene Werte Nicht Annehmen*, Math. Ann., **64** (1907), 95-115.
3. H. Hamburger, *Über eine Erweiterung des Stieltjesschen Momentenproblems*, Math. Ann., **82** (1920), 168-187.
4. S. Karlin and L. S. Shapley, *Geometry of moment spaces*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.,

no 12, 1953.

5. S. Karlin and W. J. Studden, *Tchebycheff Systems: with Applications in Analysis and Statistics*, Interscience, 1966.
6. M. G. Krein, *The ideas of P. L. Čebyšev and A. A. Markov in the theory of limiting values of integrals and their further developments*, Amer. Math. Soc. Trans. Ser. 2, **12** (1951), 1-122.
7. J. A. Shohat and J. D. Tamarkin, *The problem of moments*, Mathematical Surveys, no 1, Amer. Math. Soc., 1951.
8. H. S. Wall, *Analytic Theory of Continued Fractions*, Van Nostrand, 1948.
9. F. M. Wright, *On the backward extension of positive definite Hamburger moment sequences*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **7** (1956), 413-422.

Received November 25, 1975.

UNIVERSITY OF CINCINNATI  
AND  
DENISON UNIVERSITY



# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)  
University of California  
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT  
University of Washington  
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM  
Stanford University  
Stanford, California 94305

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
\* \* \*  
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

---

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

---

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of your manuscript. You may however, use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics expects the author's institution to pay page charges, and reserves the right to delay publication for nonpayment of charges in case of financial emergency.

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

---

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.),  
8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1975 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics  
Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Andrew Adler, <i>Weak homomorphisms and invariants: an example</i> .....	293
Howard Anton and William J. Pervin, <i>Separation axioms and metric-like functions</i> .....	299
Ron C. Blei, <i>Sidon partitions and <math>p</math>-Sidon sets</i> .....	307
T. J. Cheatham and J. R. Smith, <i>Regular and semisimple modules</i> .....	315
Charles Edward Cleaver, <i>Packing spheres in Orlicz spaces</i> .....	325
Le Baron O. Ferguson and Michael D. Rusk, <i>Korovkin sets for an operator on a space of continuous functions</i> .....	337
Rudolf Fritsch, <i>An approximation theorem for maps into Kan fibrations</i> .....	347
David Sexton Gilliam, <i>Geometry and the Radon-Nikodym theorem in strict Mackey convergence spaces</i> .....	353
William Hery, <i>Maximal ideals in algebras of topological algebra valued functions</i> .....	365
Alan Hopenwasser, <i>The radical of a reflexive operator algebra</i> .....	375
Bruno Kramm, <i>A characterization of Riemann algebras</i> .....	393
Peter K. F. Kuhfittig, <i>Fixed points of locally contractive and nonexpansive set-valued mappings</i> .....	399
Stephen Allan McGrath, <i>On almost everywhere convergence of Abel means of contraction semigroups</i> .....	405
Edward Peter Merkes and Marion Wetzel, <i>A geometric characterization of indeterminate moment sequences</i> .....	409
John C. Morgan, II, <i>The absolute Baire property</i> .....	421
Eli Aaron Passow and John A. Roulier, <i>Negative theorems on generalized convex approximation</i> .....	437
Louis Jackson Ratliff, Jr., <i>A theorem on prime divisors of zero and characterizations of unmixed local domains</i> .....	449
Ellen Elizabeth Reed, <i>A class of <math>T_1</math>-compactifications</i> .....	471
Maxwell Alexander Rosenlicht, <i>On Liouville's theory of elementary functions</i> .....	485
Arthur Argyle Sagle, <i>Power-associative algebras and Riemannian connections</i> .....	493
Chester Cornelius Seabury, <i>On extending regular holomorphic maps from Stein manifolds</i> .....	499
Elias Sai Wan Shiu, <i>Commutators and numerical ranges of powers of operators</i> .....	517
Donald Mark Topkis, <i>The structure of sublattices of the product of <math>n</math> lattices</i> ....	525
John Bason Wagoner, <i>Delooping the continuous <math>K</math>-theory of a valuation ring</i> .....	533
Ronson Joseph Warne, <i>Standard regular semigroups</i> .....	539
Anthony William Wickstead, <i>The centraliser of <math>E \otimes_{\lambda} F</math></i> .....	563
R. Grant Woods, <i>Characterizations of some <math>C^*</math>-embedded subspaces of <math>\beta\mathbb{N}</math></i> .....	573