# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

SOME *n*-ARC THEOREMS

Edward D. Tymchatyn

Vol. 66, No. 1

November 1976

## SOME *n*-ARC THEOREMS

### E. D. TYMCHATYN

G. T. Whyburn gave an inductive proof of the *n*-arc theorem for complete, locally connected, metric spaces. In this note Whyburn's proof is modified to generalize this theorem to the class of regular,  $T_1$ , locally connected spaces. This result is then used to obtain an affirmative solution to a conjecture of J. H. V. Hunt.

Our notation will follow that of Whyburn [3] and Hunt [1].

Let X be a topological space and let P and Q be disjoint closed sets in X. A set C is said to separate P and Q in the broad sense in X if  $X \setminus C = A \cup B$  where A is separated from B,  $P \setminus C \subset A$  and  $Q \setminus C \subset$ B. The space X is said to be *n*-point strongly connected between P and Q if no subset of X with fewer than *n* points separates P and Q in the broad sense in X. A subset of X is said to join P and Q if some component of the set meets both P and Q.

### 1. The second *n*-arc theorem.

THEOREM 1. The locally connected, regular,  $T_1$  space X is n-point strongly connected between two disjoint closed sets P and Q if and only if there exist n disjoint open sets in X which join P and Q.

*Proof.* The sufficiency is obvious. We shall prove necessity by induction on n. The case n = 1 follows from the fact that the components of X are open (as X is locally connected) and hence some component of X meets both P and Q. Suppose the theorem holds for all positive integers less than n.

Suppose X is *n*-point strongly connected between the disjoint closed sets P and Q. Let S denote the set of all  $x \in X$  such that there exists a set  $S_x$  which is the union of *n* disjoint open connected sets n-1 of which join P and Q and the *n*th one joins P and x. Then S is clearly open in X. If  $y \in X$  then  $X \setminus \{y\}$  is (n-1)-point strongly connected between  $P \setminus \{y\}$  and  $Q \setminus \{y\}$ . By induction  $X \setminus \{y\}$  contains a set  $U_1, \dots, U_{n-1}$  of disjoint open connected sets joining P and Q. Since X is regular and locally connected there exist by the chaining lemma open connected sets  $V_1, \dots, V_{n-1}$  such that for each  $i \ \overline{V}_i \subset U_i$  and  $V_i$  joins P and Q. The sets  $V_1, \dots, V_{n-1}$  have closures which are disjoint from y and from each other. If  $y \in P$  then y is clearly in S so  $P \subset S$ . The set S is also closed in X. For let  $y \in \overline{S}$ . We may suppose  $y \notin P$ . Let A be the union of (n-1) connected open sets  $V_1, \dots, V_{n-1}$  with disjoint closures joining P and Q such that  $y \notin \overline{A}$ . Let R be a connected region containing y such that  $\overline{R} \cap (P \cup \overline{A}) = \emptyset$ . Let  $x \in R \cap S$ . Let  $S_x$  be the union of n open connected sets  $U_1, \dots, U_n$  with pairwise disjoint closures such that  $U_1, \dots, U_{n-1}$  join P and Q and  $U_n$  joins P and x. For each  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$  let  $\alpha_i = \alpha_{i,1} \cup \dots \cup \alpha_{i,n_i}$  be an irreducible chain of open connected sets in  $V_i$  such that  $\alpha_{i,1} \cap Q \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\alpha_{i,n_i} \cap P \neq \emptyset$  and each  $\alpha_{i,j}$  meets at most one of  $\overline{U}_1, \dots, \overline{U}_n$ . For each  $i = 1, \dots, n$  let  $\beta_i = \beta_{i,1} \cup \dots \cup \beta_{i,m_i}$  be an irreducible chain of open connected sets in  $V_i$  such that  $\alpha_{i,1} \cap Q \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\alpha_{i,n_i} \cap P \neq \emptyset$  and each  $\beta_{i,j} \cap P \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\beta_{i,m_i} \cap (Q \cup R) \neq \emptyset$  and each  $\beta_{i,j}$  meets at most one of the disjoint closed sets  $\overline{V}_1, \dots, \overline{V}_n$ ,  $\overline{R}$ . Let  $B = \beta_1 \cup \dots \cup \beta_n$ .

In  $\alpha_i$  let  $n_{1,i}$  be the smallest integer such that  $\alpha_{i,n_1}$  meets  $B \cup P$ . Let  $_1\alpha_i = \alpha_{i,1} \cup \cdots \cup \alpha_{i,n_1}$ . Let  $A_1 = _1\alpha_1 \cup \cdots \cup _1\alpha_{n-1}$ . In  $\beta_i$  let  $m_{1,i}$  be the smallest integer such that  $\beta_{i,m_{1,i}}$  meets  $Q \cup R \cup A_1$ . For each *i* let  $_1\beta_i = \beta_{i,1} \cup \cdots \cup \beta_{i,m_{1,i}}$  and let  $B_1 = _1\beta_1 \cup \cdots \cup _1\beta_n$ . In  $\alpha_i$  let  $n_{2,i}$  be the smallest integer such that  $\alpha_{i,n_{2,i}}$  meets  $B_1 \cup P$ . Let  $_2\alpha_i = \alpha_{i,1} \cup \cdots \cup \alpha_{i,n_{2,i}}$  and let  $A_2 = _2\alpha_1 \cup \cdots \cup _2\alpha_{n-1}$ . In  $\beta_i$  let  $m_{2,i}$  be the smallest integer such that  $\alpha_{i,n_{2,i}}$  meets  $Q \cup R \cup A_2$ . Let  $_2\beta_i = \beta_{i,1} \cup \cdots \cup \beta_{i,m_{2,i}}$  and let  $B_2 = _2\beta_i \cup \cdots \cup _2\beta_n$ . We can continue this process indefinitely. For each *i*  $1 \leq m_{r+1,i} \leq m_{r,i}$  and  $n_r \leq n_{r+1,i} \leq n_i$ . It follows that there exists a positive integer *s* such that  $A_i = A_s$  and  $B_i = B_s$  for all  $j \geq s$ .

Now  $A_s$  and  $B_s$  are unions of n-1 and n respectively disjoint chains of open connected sets. For each  $j = 1, \dots, n_{s}\beta_{i}$  meets at most one  $_{s}\alpha_{i}$ and  $_{s}\beta_{i} \cap _{s}\alpha_{i} \subset \alpha_{i,n_{s}}$ . Also, for each  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$   $_{s}\alpha_{i}$  meets at most one  $_{s}\beta_{i}$ . For each  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$  let  $e_{i} = {}_{s}\alpha_{i}$  if  ${}_{s}\alpha_{i}$  meets P and let  $e_{i} = {}_{s}\alpha_{i} \cup {}_{s}\beta_{i}$ where *i* is the unique integer such that  ${}_{s}\beta_{i}$  meets  ${}_{s}\alpha_{i}$  if  ${}_{s}\alpha_{i}$  does not meet P. The sets  $e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}$  are disjoint chains of connected open sets such that  $e_i$  joins P to Q. Note that each  $e_i$  is disjoint from R. Since each  ${}_{s}\alpha_i$ for  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$  meets at most one  ${}_{s}\beta_{i}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$  there exists an  ${}_{s}\beta_{i}$  which is disjoint from each of  $e_{1}, \dots, e_{n-1}$ . If  ${}_{s}\beta_{i}$  meets Q then  $e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}, {}_{s}\beta_{l}$  are *n* disjoint open sets which join *P* and *Q* and the theorem is true for X. If  $_{s}\beta_{i}$  is disjoint from Q then  $_{s}\beta_{i}$  meets R and so  $e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}, s_{\beta_1} \cup R$  are *n* disjoint open connected sets such that  $e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}$  join P and Q and  ${}_{s}\beta_i \cup R$  joins P and y. Hence  $y \in S$  and S is closed. It follows that S is a union of components of X. Since  $P \subset S$ and X is n-point strongly connected between P and Q some component of X meets both P and Q. Hence  $Q \cap S \neq \emptyset$ . If  $x \in Q \cap S$  then  $S_x$ satisfies the theorem.

The following result is called the second n-arc theorem by Menger [2]. It was first proved in the form given below by Whyburn [3].

COROLLARY 2. If X is a complete, locally connected, metric space

that is n-point strongly connected between the two disjoint closed sets P and Q, then X contains n disjoint arcs joining P and Q.

*Proof.* The corollary follows immediately from Theorem 1 since an open connected set in a complete, locally connected, metric space is arcwise connected.

2. *n*-large point connectedness. Let  $\mathscr{C}$  be a family of disjoint closed subsets of a topological space X. Following Hunt [1], we call a subset S of X a large point of X with respect to  $\mathscr{C}$  if S is a point or S is a member of  $\mathscr{C}$ . We shall say that X is *n*-large point strongly connected between two disjoint closed sets A and B with respect to  $\mathscr{C}$  provided no set of fewer than n large points with respect to  $\mathscr{C}$  separates A and B in the broad sense in X.

If  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  and B are disjoint closed subsets of a topological space X we say that a set of n disjoint sets  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  in X joins  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  and B if each  $\alpha_i$  joins  $A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$  and B, each  $\alpha_i$  meets exactly one  $A_j$  and each  $A_j$  meets exactly one  $\alpha_i$ .

The following theorem was proved by Hunt [1] for the case X a locally compact, locally connected, metric space. It is obtained here as an easy corollary of our Theorem 1.

COROLLARY (Hunt) 3. Let X be a normal,  $T_1$ , locally connected space and let  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  and B be disjoint closed sets in X. Let  $\mathscr{C} = \{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$ . A necessary and sufficient condition that there be n disjoint open sets in X joining  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  and B is that X be n-large point strongly connected between  $A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$  and B with respect to  $\mathscr{C}$ .

**Proof.** Define an equivalence relation  $\sim$  on X by setting  $x \sim y$  if and only if x = y or  $x, y \in A_i$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Then  $\sim$  is a closed equivalence relation on X. Let  $\pi: X \to X/\sim$  be the natural projection of X onto the quotient space  $X/\sim$ . Then  $X/\sim$  is  $T_1$ . Since X is normal and  $\sim$  has only a finite number of nondegenerate equivalence classes it follows that  $X/\sim$  is regular. It is well-known (and easy to prove) that the quotient space of a locally connected space is locally connected. It is easy to check that  $X/\sim$  is *n*-point strongly connected between  $A = \pi(A_1 \cup \cdots \cup A_n)$  and B. By Theorem 1 there exist *n*-disjoint open connected sets  $U_1, \dots, U_n$  joining A and B. If  $U_i \cap A = \pi(A_i)$  then it is easy to see that  $\pi^{-1}(U_i)$  joins  $A_i$  to B.

If  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  and  $B_1, \dots, B_n$  are disjoint closed sets in a topological space X, a family of n disjoint open connected sets  $U_1, \dots, U_n$  in X is said to join  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  and  $B_1, \dots, B_n$  if each  $U_i$  joins  $A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$  and

 $B_1 \cup \cdots \cup B_n$ , each  $U_i$  meets exactly one  $A_j$  and exactly one  $B_k$ , each  $B_i$  meets exactly one  $U_j$  and each  $A_i$  meets exactly one  $U_j$ .

The following corollary gives an affirmative solution to a conjecture posed by Hunt in [1].

COROLLARY 4. Let  $A_1, \dots, A_n, B_1, \dots, B_n$  be disjoint closed subsets of a normal,  $T_1$ , locally connected space X. Let  $\mathscr{C} = \{A_1, \dots, A_n, B_1, \dots, B_n\}$ . A necessary and sufficient condition that there be n disjoint open connected sets in X joining  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  and  $B_1, \dots, B_n$  is that X be n large point strongly connected between  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  and  $B_1, \dots, B_n$  with respect to  $\mathscr{C}$ .

*Proof.* The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3 and is omitted.

**3.** A question. It seems natural to ask if the preceding results have analogues for non locally connected spaces.

Question. If X is a regular,  $T_1$  space and P and Q are disjoint closed sets in X such that X is *n*-point strongly connected between P and Q, do there exist disjoint open sets  $U_1, \dots, U_n$  such that  $U_i$  cannot be separated between P and Q?

### References

1. J. H. V. Hunt, An n-arc theorem for Peano spaces, Pacific J. Math., 36 (1971), 351-356.

2. K. Menger, Kurventheorie, Teubner, Berlin-Leipzig, 1932.

3. G. T. Whyburn, On n-arc connectedness, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 63 (1948), 452-456.

Received April 2, 1976 and in revised form May 31, 1976. This work was supported in part by a grant from the National Research Council of Canada.

UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 66, No. 1 November, 1976

Helen Elizabeth. Adams, <i>Factorization-prime ideals in integral domains</i>	1
<i>kernel</i> Daniel D. Anderson, Jacob R. Matijevic and Warren Douglas Nichols, <i>The Krull</i>	9
Efraim Pacillas Armendariz, On semiprime P.Ialgebras over commutative regular rings	23
Robert H. Bird and Charles John Parry, <i>Integral bases for bicyclic biquadratic fields</i> over quadratic subfields	29
Tae Ho Choe and Young Hee Hong, <i>Extensions of completely regular ordered</i>	37
John Dauns Generalized monoform and quasi injective modules	10
F S De Blasi. On the differentiability of multifunctions	67
Paul M. Eakin, Jr. and Avinash Madhav Sathaye, <i>R-endomorphisms of R</i> [[X]] are	83
Larry Quin Eifler. Open mapping theorems for probability measures on metric	05
spaces	89
Garret J. Etgen and James Pawlowski, Oscillation criteria for second order self adjoint differential systems	99
Ronald Fintushel, Local S <sup>1</sup> actions on 3-manifolds	111
Kenneth R. Goodearl. <i>Choquet simplexes and</i> $\sigma$ <i>-convex faces</i>	119
John R. Graef, Some nonoscillation criteria for higher order nonlinear differential	125
Charles Henry Heiberg Norms of nowers of absolutely convergent Fourier series: an	125
example	131
Les Andrew Karlovitz, <i>Existence of fixed points of nonexpansive mappings in a space</i>	
without normal structure	153
Gangaram S. Ladde, Systems of functional differential inequalities and functional differential systems	161
Joseph Michael Lambert, Conditions for simultaneous approximation and interpolation	
with norm preservation in $C[a, b]$	173
Ernest Paul Lane, Insertion of a continuous function	181
Robert F. Lax, Weierstrass points of products of Riemann surfaces	191
Dan McCord, An estimate of the Nielsen number and an example concerning the	
Lefschetz fixed point theorem	195
Paul Milnes and John Sydney Pym, <i>Counterexample in the theory of continuous</i>	
functions on topological groups	205
Peter Johanna I. M. De Paepe, <i>Homomorphism spaces of algebras of holomorphic functions</i>	211
Judith Ann Palagallo, A representation of additive functionals on $L^p$ -spaces, $0$	221
S. M. Patel, On generalized numerical ranges	235
Thomas Thornton Read. A limit-point criterion for expressions with oscillatory	
coefficients	243
Elemer E. Rosinger, <i>Division of distributions</i>	257
Peter S. Shoenfeld, <i>Highly proximal and generalized almost finite</i> extensions of	
minimal sets	265
R. Sirois-Dumais and Stephen Willard, <i>Quotient-universal sequential spaces</i>	281
Robert Charles Thompson, <i>Convex and concave functions of singular values of matrix</i>	285
Edward D. Tymchatyn, <i>Some n-arc, theorems</i>	291
Jang-Mei Gloria Wu, Variation of Green's potential	295