PRODUCTS OF COMPACT SPACES WITH BI-k AND RELATED SPACES

Andrew J. Berner

PRODUCTS OF COMPACT SPACES WITH $bi - k$ AND RELATED SPACES

Andrew J. Berner

The main theorem of this paper characterizes $bi - k$ spaces as those spaces whose product with every compact spaces is sequentially k.

1. **Introduction.** The classes of $bi - k$ spaces, countably $bi - k$ spaces and singly $bi - k$ spaces were studied in [5], and the class of sequentially k spaces was introduced in [3]. The following implications hold among these spaces, without the assumption of any separation axioms: $bi - k \rightarrow$ countably $bi - k \rightarrow$ singly $bi - k \rightarrow$ sequentially k. Also, all k spaces are sequentially k, and all Hausdorff sequentially k spaces are k spaces. (These classes will be defined at the end of this introduction.)

THEOREM 1.1. *The following are equivalent*:
(a) X *is a* $bi - k$ *space.*
(b) $X \times Y$ *is a singly* $bi - k$ *space for every compact Hausdorff space* Y.
(c) $X \times Y$ *is sequentially* k *for every compact space* Y.

This theorem is proved in § 2.

REMARK 1.2. Cohen [4] proved that the product of a k space with a (locally) compact Hausdorff space is a k space. Noble [6] showed this is false without the Hausdorff assumption, but in Noble's example, the product was a $bi - k$ space. Theorem 1.1 $(c \leftrightarrow a)$ shows that the product of a k space with a compact space need not even be sequentially k.

REMARK 1.3. Michael [5] has asked whether the product of two countably $bi - k$ spaces must be countably $bi - k$. Examples have been given showing it is consistent with Zermelo-Fraenkel set theory that this is false. Theorem 1.1 can be used to give an absolute counterexample. All we need is a countably $bi - k$ space that is not $bi - k$. Let X be the subspace of the product of uncountably many copies of $\{0, 1\}$ consisting of points that are 1 on only countably many coordinates (i.e., a Σ-product centered at the point all of whose coordinates are 0). Arhangel'skii proved that this space is countably $bi - k$ (in fact, countably bi-sequential) but not $bi - k$ [2]. Thus, by Theorem 1.1, there is a compact Hausdorff space Y_1 and a

compact T_1 space Y_2 such that $X \times Y_1$ is not singly $bi - k$, thus not countably $bi - k$, and $X \times Y_2$ is not even sequentially k.

DEFINITION 1.4. [3] If S is a subset of a topological space X and (S_i) is a nested sequence of subsets of X, then (S_i) is an S-sequence if whenever (s_i) is a sequence of points with $s_i \in S_i$ for each i, then (s_i) has an accumulation point in S.

DEFINITION 1.5. A space X is a $bi - k$ space if whenever $\mathscr{F}$ is a filter base containing the open sets around a point $p \in X$, there is a compact set $S \subset X$ and a nested sequence of sets (S_i) such that (S_i) meshes with $\mathscr{F}$ and (S_i) is an S-sequence.

DEFINITION 1.6. A space X is a countably $bi - k$ space if whenever (F_i) is a nested sequence of sets accumulating at a point p (i.e., $p \in \mathrm{cl}\,(F_i)$ for each i) there is a nested sequence of sets (S_i) accumulating at p and a compact set S such that $S_i \subset F_i$ for each i and (S_i) is an S-sequence.

DEFINITION 1.7. A space X is a singly $bi - k$ space if whenever $p \in \mathrm{cl}\,(F)$, there is a compact set S and a nested sequence of sets (S_i) accumulating at p such that $S_i \subset F$ for each i and (S_i) is an S-sequence.

DEFINITION 1.8. A space X is sequentially k if whenever a set F is not closed there is a point $p \in \mathrm{cl}\,(F) - F$, a compact set S and a nested sequence of sets (S_i) accumulating at p such that $S_i \subset F$ for each i and (S_i) is an S-sequence.

2. **Proof of Theorem 1.1.** In [2], Michael proved that a space X is countably $bi - k$ if and only if $X \times I$ is singly $bi - k$ (where I is the unit interval). The heart of the proof (in one direction) involves coding a bad nested sequence (S_i) of subsets of X (i.e., a witnessing sequence to the statement 'X is not countably $bi - k$') as a single bad subset of $X \times I$. This idea of coding is hinted at in the following proof of Theorem 1.1.

$$a \longrightarrow b \quad \text{and} \quad a \longrightarrow c:$$

The product of two (or even countably many) $bi - k$ spaces is $bi - k$ [5]. Since compact spaces are $bi - k$, the product of a $bi - k$ space and a compact space is $bi - k$, and thus singly $bi - k$ and sequentially k.

$$\text{not } a \longrightarrow \text{not } b \quad \text{and} \quad \text{not } a \longrightarrow \text{not } c:$$

Both implications make use of the following construction.

Suppose X is not $bi-k$. Then there is a point $p \in X$ and a filter base $\mathscr{F}$ of subsets of X such that $\mathscr{F}$ contains the open sets around p, but there is no compact $S \subset X$ and nested sequence of sets (S_i) such that (S_i) meshes with $\mathscr{F}$ and is an S-sequence. Thus, in particular, there is an $F \in \mathscr{F}$ such that $p \notin F$ and therefore if $F_1 \in \mathscr{F}$ and $F_2 \in \mathscr{F}$, then $F_1 \cap F_2 - \{p\} \neq \varnothing$.

Define a base for a new topology on X as follows. If $x \in X - \{p\}$, then $\{x\}$ is open, and if $F \in \mathscr{F}$, then $F \cup \{p\}$ is a neighborhood of p. This refines the original topology on X. Let X' be X with this new topology. Note that X' is completely regular.

Let $Y_1 = \beta(X')$, the Stone-Čech compactification of X' (actually, any Hausdorff compactification will do), and let Y_2 be the one point compactification of X'. Note that Y_2 is a T_1 space, but is definitely not Hausdorff.

Claim 1. $X \times Y_1$ is not singly $bi-k$.

Proof. Let $C = \{(x, x) : x \in X - \{p\}\}$. C is a subset of $X \times X' \subset X \times Y_1$. If $U \times V$ is a basic open set around (p, p), then U and $V \cap X'$ are both in $\mathscr{F}$, so $U \cap V \cap X' - \{p\} \neq \varnothing$. Thus $(U \times V) \cap C \neq \varnothing$, i.e., $(p, p) \in \mathrm{cl}\,(C) - C$. Suppose $X \times Y_1$ is singly $bi-k$. Then there is a compact set $K \subset X \times Y_1$, and a nested sequence (K_i) of subsets of C such that $(p, p) \in \mathrm{cl}\,(K_i)$ for each i, and (K_i) is a K-sequence. Then, if $\pi_X : X \times Y_1 \to X$ is the projection map, the sequence $(\pi_X(K_i))$ is a $\pi_X(K)$-sequence and $\pi_X(K)$ is compact in X. Suppose $F \in \mathscr{F}$. Then there is an open set $V \subset Y_1$ such that $V \cap X' = F \cup \{p\}$. But then for each i, there is an x_i such that $(x_i, x_i) \in K_i \cap (X \times V)$. Then $x_i \in F$, so $\pi_x(K_i) \cap F \neq \varnothing$. Thus $(\pi_x(K_i))$ is a $\pi_X(K)$-sequence meshing with $\mathscr{F}$. This violates the choice of $\mathscr{F}$, so $X \times Y_1$ is not singly $bi-k$.

Claim 2. $X \times Y_2$ is not sequentially k.

Proof. Let $Y_2 = X' \cup \{\alpha\}$.

Let $C = (X \times \{\alpha\}) \cup \{(y, x) : y \in \mathrm{cl}_x\,(\{x\})$ and $x \in X' - \{p\}\}$. (Nobody said X was a T_1 space!) As in the proof of Claim 1, $(p, p) \in \mathrm{cl}\,(C) - C$. Suppose $(x, y) \in \mathrm{cl}\,(C) - C$. Then $y \neq \alpha$. Suppose $y \neq p$. Then since $(x, y) \notin C$, it follows that $x \notin \mathrm{cl}_x\,(\{y\})$ so there is an open (in X) set U such that $x \in U$ but $y \notin U$. But then $U \times \{y\}$ is open (in $X \times Y_2$) and $(U \times \{y\}) \cap C = \varnothing$. Thus $y = p$.

Could $X \times Y_2$ be sequentially k? If so, then there is a point $(z, p) \in \mathrm{cl}\,(C) - C$, a compact set $K \subset K \times Y_2$ and a sequence (K_i)

such that $(z, p) \in \operatorname{cl}(K_i)$ and $K_i \subset C$ for each i, and (K_i) is a K-sequence. Again, let $\pi_X: X \times Y_2 \to X$ be the projection map. Let $D_i = \{x$: there is a $y \in \pi_X(K_i)$ such that $y \in \operatorname{cl}_X(\{x\})\}$. Suppose $x_i \in D_i$ for each i. There is, for each i, a point $y_i \in \pi_X(K_i) \cap \operatorname{cl}_X(\{x_i\})$. Since $(\pi_X(K_i))$ is a $\pi_X(K)$-sequence, the sequence (y_i) has an accumulation point $k \in \pi_X(K)$. But, since any open set containing y_i also contains x_i, k is an accumulation point of (x_i). Thus (D_i) is a $\pi_X(K)$-sequence.

Suppose $F \in \mathscr{F}$. Since $F \cup \{p\}$ is open in Y_2, for each i $(X \times (F \cup \{p\})) \cap K_i \neq \varnothing$. But $\alpha \notin F \cup \{p\}$ so there is a point $(y, x) \in K_i$ with $x \in F$ and $y \in \operatorname{cl}_X(\{x\})$. Thus $x \in D_i \cap F$. Therefore D_i is a $\pi_X(K)$-sequence meshing with $\mathscr{F}$, and $\pi_X(K)$ is compact. As in the case of Claim 1, this contradicts the choice of $\mathscr{F}$, so $X \times Y_2$ is not sequentially-k.

REFERENCES

1. A. V. Arhangel'skii, *Bicompact sets and the topology of spaces*, Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obsc., **13** (1965), 3–55 (Trans, Moscow Math. Soc., (1965), 1–62).
2. ————, *The frequency spectrum of a topological space and the classification of spaces*, Soviet Math. Dokl., **13** (1972), 1185–1189.
3. A. J. Berner, *Spaces defined by sequences*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **55** (1976), 193–200.
4. D. E. Cohen, *Spaces with weak topology*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser., (2), **5** (1954), 77–80.
5. E. A. Michael, *A quintuple quotient quest*, General Topology Appl., **2** (1972), 91–138.
6. N. Noble, *Two examples on preimages of metric spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **36** (1972), 586–590.

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Vol. 69, No. 2   June, 1977