Pacific Journal of Mathematics

A FORMULA FOR THE NORMAL PART OF THE LAPLACE-BELTRAMI OPERATOR ON THE FOLIATED MANIFOLD

HARUO KITAHARA AND SHINSUKE YOROZU

Vol. 69, No. 2

June 1977

A FORMULA FOR THE NORMAL PART OF THE LAPLACE-BELTRAMI OPERATOR ON THE FOLIATED MANIFOLD

HARUO KITAHARA AND SHINSUKE YOROZU

In this paper, we give a formula for the normal part of the Laplace-Beltrami operator with respect to the second connection on a foliated manifold with a bundle-like metric. This formula is analogous to the formula obtained by S. Helgason.

1. Itroduction. We shall be in C^{∞} -category and manifolds are supposed^f to be paracompact, connected Hausdorff spaces.

Let M be a complete (p+q)-dimensional Riemannian manifold and H a compact subgroup of the Lie group of all isometries of M. We suppose that all orbits of H have the same dimension p. Then H defines a p-dimensional foliation F whose leaves are orbits of H, and the Riemannian metric is a bundle-like metric with respect to the foliation F. A quotient space B = M/F is a Riemannian V-manifold [5]. Let L_D be the Laplace-Beltrami operator on Mwith respect to the second connection D[8], and let $\Delta(L_D)$ denote the operator defined by (*) in § 4. Our goal in this paper is the following theorem:

THEOREM. Let L_D be the Laplace-Beltrami operator on M with respect to the second connection D and L_B the Laplace-Beltrami operator on B with respect to the Levi-Civita connection associated with the Riemannian metric defined by the normal component of the metric on M. Then

$$\Delta(L_{D}) = \delta^{-1/2} L_{B} \circ \delta^{1/2} - \delta^{-1/2} L_{B} (\delta^{1/2})$$

where δ is the function given by (**) below.

This theorem is analogous to the following result obtained by S. Helgason [2]: Suppose V is a Riemannian manifold, H a closed unimodular subgroup of the Lie group of all isometries of V (with the compact open topology). Let $W \subset V$ be a submanifold satisfying the condition: For each $w \in W$,

$$(H\!\cdot w)\cap W=\{w\}$$
 , $V_w=(H\!\cdot w)_w \oplus W_w$,

where \oplus denotes orthogonal direct sum. Let L_v and L_w denote the Laplace-Beltrami operators on V and W, respectively. Then

$$\varDelta(L_v) = \delta^{-1/2} L_w \circ \delta^{1/2} - \delta^{-1/2} L_w (\delta^{1/2})$$

where $\Delta(L_v)$ denotes the operator called the radial part of L_v and δ is the function given by $d\sigma_w = \delta(w)dh$ ($d\sigma_w$ is the Riemannian volume element on the orbit $H \cdot w$ and $d\dot{h}$ is an H-invariant measure on each orbit $H \cdot w = H/\{$ the isotropy subgroup of H at $w\}$).

2. Definition of V-manifold [1, 6, 7]. The concept of V-manifold is defined by I. Satake. Let M be a Hausdorff space. A C^{∞} -local uniformizing system $\{\tilde{U}, G, \varphi\}$ for an open set U in M is a collection of the following objects:

- \widetilde{U} : a connected open set in the *m*-dimensional Euclidean space (or C^{∞} -manifold).
- G: a finite group of C^{∞} -transformations of \widetilde{U} .
- φ : a continuous map from \tilde{U} onto U such that $\varphi \circ \sigma = \varphi$ for all $\sigma \in G$, inducing a homeomorphism from the quotient space \tilde{U}/G onto U.

Let $\{\tilde{U}, G, \varphi\}$, $\{\tilde{U}', G', \varphi'\}$ be local uniformizing systems for U, U' respectively, and let $U \subset U'$. By a C^{∞} -injection λ : $\{\tilde{U}, G, \varphi\} \rightarrow \{\tilde{U}', G', \varphi'\}$ we mean a C^{∞} -isomorphism from \tilde{U} onto an open subset of \tilde{U}' such that for any $\sigma \in G$ there exists $\sigma' \in G'$ satisfying relations $\varphi = \varphi' \circ \lambda$ and $\lambda \circ \sigma = \sigma' \circ \lambda$.

A C^{∞} -V-manifold consists of a connected Hausdorff space M and a family \mathscr{F} of C^{∞} -local uniformizing systems for open subsets in M satisfying the following conditions:

(I) If $\{\tilde{U}, G, \varphi\}$, $\{\tilde{U}', G', \varphi'\} \in \mathscr{F}$ and $U \subset U'$, then there exists a C^{∞} -injection λ : $\{\tilde{U}, G, \varphi\} \rightarrow \{\tilde{U}', G', \varphi'\}$.

(II) The open sets U, for which there exists a local uniformizing system $\{\tilde{U}, G, \varphi\} \in \mathscr{F}$, form a basis of open sets in M.

The set R of all real numbers is regarded as a V-manifold defined by a single local uniformizing system $\{R, \{1\}, 1\}$, then a C^{∞} -function on a V-manifold (M, \mathscr{F}) is defined as a C^{∞} -map $M \to R$ defined by a C^{∞} -V-manifold map $(M, \mathscr{F}) \to (R, \{R, \{1\}, 1\})$.

A C^{∞} -V-bundle over C^{∞} -V-manifold is also defined, and in particular the tangent bundle (TM, \mathscr{F}^*) of a C^{∞} -V-manifold (M, \mathscr{F}) is defined. Let (M, \mathscr{F}) be a C^{∞} -V-manifold, then an *h*-form ω on (M, \mathscr{F}) is a collection of *h*-forms $\{\omega_{\widetilde{U}}\}$, where $\omega_{\widetilde{U}}$ is a *G*-invariant *h*-form on \widetilde{U} such that $\omega_{\widetilde{U}} = \omega_{\widetilde{U}} \circ \lambda$ for any injection $\lambda: \{\widetilde{U}, G, \varphi\} \rightarrow$ $\{\widetilde{U}', G', \varphi'\}(\{\widetilde{U}, G, \varphi\}, \{\widetilde{U}', G', \varphi'\} \in \mathscr{F})$, and if the support of ω is contained in $U = \varphi(\widetilde{U})$,

where N_g denotes the order of G. A Riemannian metric g on (M, \mathscr{F}) is a collection of Riemannian metrices $\{g_{\tilde{U}}\}$, where $g_{\tilde{U}}$ is a G-invariant Riemannian metric on \tilde{U} satisfying some condition with

any injection $\lambda: \{ \widetilde{U}, G, \varphi \} \rightarrow \{ \widetilde{U}', G', \varphi' \}.$

3. Review of the results from [4, 5]. Let M be a complete (p+q)-dimensional manifold with a "bundle-like matric" with respect to a p-dimensional foliation F. We suppose that each leaf of the foliation F is closed.

The quotient space B = M/F is the space formed from M by identifying each leaf to a point, and let $\pi: M \to B$ denote the identification map. H(S) denotes the holonomy group of a leaf S. Since M has the bundle-like metric with respect to F and all leaves are closed, H(S) is a finite group for any S and B is a metric space defining the distance between two points of B to be the minimum distance between them considered as leaves is M. B is a connected Hausdorff space, since it is metric space and is the continuous image of M under π . Given any point $b \in B$, let $S = \pi^{-1}(b)$. Let U be a flat coordinate neighborhood of some point of S. Since H(S)may be considered as a group of isometries of the sphere of unit vectors orthogonal to the leaf S at some arbitrary point of S, H(S)operates the q-ball orthogonal to S. Thus we may consider that H(S) operates on U such a manner that $\{U, H(S), \pi\}$ is a local uniformizing system for the neighborhood $\pi(U)$ in B. The natural injection map of two such local uniformizing systems are of C^{∞} . Thus B is a C^{∞} -V-manifold. Since H(S) is an isometry on the normal vectors at a point of S, the normal component of the metric of M defines a Riemannian structure on B. Thus B is a Riemannian V-manifold.

4. Laplace-Beltrami operator with respect to the second connection. Let M be a (p+q)-dimensional manifold with a Riemannian metric \langle , \rangle and a p-dimensional foliation F. Let $(U, (x^1, \dots, x^p, y^1, \dots, y^p))$ be a flat coordinate neighborhood system, that is, in U, the foliation F is defined by $dy^{\alpha} = 0$ for $1 \leq \alpha \leq q$. Hereafter we will agree on the following ranges of indices: $1 \leq i, j$, $k \leq p, 1 \leq \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \leq q$.

We may choose in each flat coordinate neighborhood system $(U, (x^1, \dots, x^p, y^1, \dots, y^q))$ 1-forms w^1, \dots, w^p such that $\{w^1, \dots, w^p, dy^1, \dots, dy^q\}$ is a basis for the cotangent space, and vectors v_1, \dots, v_q such that $\{\partial/\partial x^1, \dots, \partial/\partial x^p, v_1, \dots, v_q\}$ is the dual base for the tangent space. Then we may get

$$w^i {:} = dx^i + A^i_{lpha} dy^{lpha}$$
 , $\ \ v_{lpha} {:} = rac{\partial}{\partial y^{lpha}} - A^i_{lpha} rac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$.

We may choose A^i_{α} such that $\langle \partial/\partial x^i, v_{\alpha} \rangle = 0$, then the metric has the local expression

$$ds^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}=g_{\scriptscriptstyle ij}(x,y)w^{\scriptscriptstyle i}w^{\scriptscriptstyle j}+g_{\scriptscriptstyle lphaeta}(x,y)dy^{\scriptscriptstyle lpha}dy^{\scriptscriptstyle eta}$$

where

$$g_{\imath j} := \left\langle rac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, rac{\partial}{\partial x^j}
ight
angle, \;\; g_{lpha eta} := \left\langle v_{lpha}, \, v_{eta}
ight
angle$$

and $x := (x^1, \dots, x^p), y := (y^1, \dots, y^q).$

We may uniquely define the "second connection" D on M as follows (cf. [8]);

(a)
$$D_{\partial/\partial x^{i}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}} = \Gamma^{k}_{ji}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{k}}, \quad D_{v_{\alpha}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}} = \Gamma^{k}_{\alpha j}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{k}},$$

 $D_{\partial/\partial x^{i}}v_{\beta} = \Gamma^{\gamma}_{i\beta}v_{\gamma}, \quad D_{v_{\alpha}}v_{\beta} = \Gamma^{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta}v_{\gamma},$

$$egin{aligned} (\,\mathrm{b}\,) & & rac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big\langle rac{\partial}{\partial x^j}, \, rac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \Big
angle = \Big\langle D_{\partial/\partial x^i} rac{\partial}{\partial x^j}, \, rac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \Big
angle + \Big\langle rac{\partial}{\partial x^{\gamma}}, \, D_{\partial/\partial x^i} rac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \Big
angle \,, \ v_lpha & \langle v_eta, \, v_\gamma
angle = \langle D_{v_lpha} v_eta, \, v_\gamma
angle + \langle v_eta, \, D_{v_lpha} v_\gamma
angle \,, \end{aligned}$$

$$egin{aligned} (\,{
m c}\,) & Tigg(rac{\partial}{\partial x^i},rac{\partial}{\partial x^j}igg) = T_{\,\,ij}^{\,\,\gamma}v_\gamma\,\,, \quad Tigg(rac{\partial}{\partial x^i},\,v_etaigg) = 0\,\,, \ Tigg(v_lpha,rac{\partial}{\partial x^j}igg) = 0\,\,, \quad T(v_lpha,\,v_eta) = T_{lphaeta}^krac{\partial}{\partial x^k}\,, \end{aligned}$$

where T denotes the torsion of D, that is, for any vector fields X, Y on M, $T(X, Y) := D_X Y - D_Y X - [X, Y]$ ([,] denotes the usual bracket operator). Note that, in general, the torsion of D doesn't vanish. If the metric has the local expression

$$ds^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}=\,g_{\scriptscriptstyle ij}(x,\,y)w^{\scriptscriptstyle i}w^{\scriptscriptstyle j}+\,g_{\scriptscriptstyle lphaeta}(y)dy^{\scriptscriptstyle lpha}dy^{\scriptscriptstyle eta}$$
 ,

the metric is called a "bundle-like metric" with respect to the foliation F. Hereafter we suppose that M has a bundle-like metric with respect to F. Then we get

$$rac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \langle v_lpha,\,v_eta
angle = \langle D_{\partial/\partial x^i}v_lpha,\,v_eta
angle + \langle v_lpha,\,D_{\partial/\partial x^i}v_eta
angle \,.$$

For a vector field X on M, $\operatorname{div}_D X$ is defined by

$$\operatorname{div}_{\scriptscriptstyle D} X$$
: = Trace $(Y \longrightarrow D_Y X)$,

for any vector field Y on M. For a function f on M, $\operatorname{grad}_{D} f$ is defined by

$$egin{aligned} \mathbf{grad}_{\scriptscriptstyle D} f \colon = (\widetilde{g}^{\, i j} D_{\mathfrak{d} / \partial x^j} f) rac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + (\widetilde{g}^{\, lpha eta} D_{oldsymbol{v}_eta} f) v_lpha \ &= \Big(\widetilde{g}^{\, i j} rac{\partial}{\partial x^j} (f) \Big) rac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + (\widetilde{g}^{\, lpha eta} v_eta (f)) v_lpha \end{aligned}$$

where (\tilde{g}^{ij}) and $(\tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta})$ are inverse matrices of (g_{ij}) and $(g_{\alpha\beta})$ respectively. We define the Laplace-Beltrami operator L_D with respect to the second connection D by

$$L_D(f)$$
: = div_D grad_D f ,

that is,

$$L_{\scriptscriptstyle D}(f) = \widetilde{g}^{\,ij} rac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Bigl(rac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(f) \, \Bigr) - \widetilde{g}^{\,ij} \Gamma^k_{\,ij} rac{\partial}{\partial x^k}(f) \ + \, \widetilde{g}^{\,lpha eta} v_{lpha}(g_{eta}(f)) - \, \widetilde{g}^{\,lpha eta} \Gamma^{\, au}_{\,lpha eta} v_{eta}(f) \; .$$

Let B be the C^{∞} -V-manifold M/F. Let $\mathscr{C}(B)$ (resp. $\mathscr{D}(B)$ be the space of C^{∞} -functions (resp. C^{∞} -functions of compact support) on B, and let $\mathscr{C}_{s}(M)$ be the space of C^{∞} -functions on M which are constants on leaves. We may define a map $\Phi: \mathscr{C}_{s}(M) \to \mathscr{C}(B)$ by $\Phi(f)(\pi(m)): = f(m)$ where $f \in \mathscr{C}_{s}(M)$, $m \in M$ and $\pi: M \to B$, then Φ is of one-to-one. Let $\mathscr{C}_{s}^{\circ}(M): = \Phi^{-1}(\mathscr{D}(B))$.

It is clear that $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{S}}(M)$ if and only if $\partial/\partial x^{i}(f) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$.

LEMMA. If $f \in \mathscr{C}_{s}(M)$, then $L_{D}(f) \in \mathscr{C}_{s}(M)$.

Proof. For $f \in \mathcal{C}_{s}(M)$, we get

$$L_{\scriptscriptstyle D}(f) = \widetilde{g}^{\,lphaeta} v_{lpha}(v_{eta}(f)) - \widetilde{g}^{\,lphaeta} \Gamma^{\scriptscriptstyle\gamma}_{\,lphaeta} v_{\scriptscriptstyle\gamma}(f) \;.$$

Since $g_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\alpha\beta}(y)$ and $\Gamma^{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta} = (1/2)\tilde{g}^{\gamma\delta}\{v_{\alpha}(g_{\delta\beta}) + v_{\beta}(g_{\alpha\delta}) - v_{\delta}(g_{\alpha\beta})\}$, we get $\tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta} = \tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta}(y)$ and so $\partial/\partial x^{i}(L_{D}(f)) = 0$. Thus we get $L_{D}(f) \in \mathscr{C}_{S}(M)$.

REMARK. Let L be the Laplace-Beltrami operator with respect to the Levi-Civita connection associated with the bundle-like metric. In general $L(f) \notin \mathcal{C}_s(M)$ for $f \in \mathcal{C}_s(M)$.

For L_D and $f \in \mathcal{C}(B)$, we define $\Delta(L_D)$ by

$$(*)$$
 $\Delta(L_D)(f)(b): = L_D(\Phi^{-1}(f))(\pi^{-}(b)), \quad b \in B.$

This is well-defined by lemma. Roughly speaking, $\Delta(L_D)$ seems to be an operator projected on B of the normal part of L_D .

5. Proof of theorem. Using the same notations as above sections, we give a proof of our theorem.

The isotropy subgroup H_m at each point $m \in M$ is compact and the orbit $H \cdot m$ is compact. We fix a Haar measure on H and a Haar measure on H_m , we get an H-invariant measure $d\dot{h}$ on each orbit $H \cdot m = H/H_m$. Since M has the bundle-like metric, $ds^2 =$ $g_{ij}(x, y)w^iw^j + g_{\alpha\beta}(y)dy^{\alpha}dy^{\beta}$, the volume element dM of M is given by

$$dM = G(x, y) dx^{\scriptscriptstyle 1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{\scriptscriptstyle p} \wedge dy^{\scriptscriptstyle 1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dy^{\scriptscriptstyle q} \ (= G(x, y) w^{\scriptscriptstyle 1} \wedge \cdots \wedge w^{\scriptscriptstyle p} \wedge dy^{\scriptscriptstyle 1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dy^{\scriptscriptstyle q})$$

where

$$G(x, y) := \sqrt{\det \begin{pmatrix} g_{\iota} & j 0 \\ 0 & g_{lphaeta} \end{pmatrix}}$$

For a flat coordinate system $(U, (x^1, \dots, x^p, y^1, \dots, y^q))$ and the projection $\pi: M \to B$,

$$d\sigma = G'(y) dy^{\scriptscriptstyle 1} \wedge \dots \wedge dy^{\scriptscriptstyle q}$$
 ,

where $G'(y): = \sqrt{|\det(g_{\alpha\beta})|}$, is regarded as the volume element dB of B, since $\{U, H(S), \pi\}$ is a local uniformizing system for $\pi(U)$ in B. Also we get

$$G(x, y) = \sqrt{|\det (g_{ij}(x, y))|} \cdot G'(y)$$
.

However

$$\sqrt{|\det{(g_{ij}(x,\,y))}|} \; w^{\scriptscriptstyle 1} \wedge \cdots \wedge w^{\scriptscriptstyle p}$$

is the volume element dS_m on the leaf S_m through a point m = (x, y) (that is, on the orbit $H \cdot m$). Thus, if $f \in \mathscr{C}^{\circ}_{S}(M)$ we get from the Fubini's theorem that

$$\int_{M} f dM = \int_{B} \left[\underbrace{\int_{H \cdot m} f dS_{m}} \right] dB(\pi(m))$$

where "__" denotes the image under Φ . dS_m is invariant under H, so it must be a scalar multiple of $d\dot{h}$,

$$dS_m = ar{\delta}(m) d\dot{h}$$
 .

Then the function $\overline{\delta}$ belongs to $\mathscr{C}_{s}(M)$. We put

(**)
$$\delta := \varPhi(\bar{\delta})$$
 .

Thus we get

$$\int_{K} f dM = \int_{B} \left[\int_{H \cdot m} f(h \cdot m) d\dot{h} \right] \delta(\pi(m)) dB(\pi(m)) \, .$$

The normal component of the bundle-like metric $ds^2 = g_{ij}(x, y)w^i w^j + g_{\alpha\beta}(y)dy^{\alpha}dy^{\beta}$ is $ds_N^2 = g_{\alpha\beta}(y)dy^{\alpha}dy^{\beta}$, thus L_B is defined by the Levi-Civita connection associated with the metric defined from dS_N^2 . Thus we observe that

$$\varDelta(L_{\scriptscriptstyle D}) = L_{\scriptscriptstyle B} + {
m lower} \,\, {
m order} \,\, {
m terms}$$
 .

The operator L_D restricted to $\mathscr{C}^{\circ}_{\mathcal{S}}(M)$ is symmetric with respect to dM(cf. [8]), that is,

$$(***) \qquad \qquad \int_{M} L_{D}(f_{1}) f_{2} dM = \int_{M} f_{1} L_{D}(f_{2}) dM$$

for $f_1, f_2 \in \mathscr{C}^{\circ}_{\mathcal{S}}(M)$.

For $f \in \mathscr{C}_{s}(M)$ and $m \in M$, we get

$$\int_{H \cdot m} f d\dot{h} = \underline{f}(\pi(m))c$$

where c denotes a nonzero constant $\int_{H \cdot m} d\dot{h}$. Putting $\underline{f}_1 = \Phi(f_1), \underline{f}_2 = \Phi(f_2)$ for $f_1, f_2 \in \mathscr{C}^{\circ}_{S}(M)$, we get

$$egin{aligned} &\int_{M} L_D(f_1) f_2 dM = \int_{B} iggl[\int_{H\cdot m} L_D(f_1) f_2 d\dot{h} \ iggr] \delta dB \ &= \int_{B} iggl[\underbrace{\int_{H\cdot m} L_D(f_1) d\dot{h}} iggr] c \delta f_2 dB \ &= c^2 \int_{B} iggrL_D(f_1) f_2 \delta dB \ . \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get from (***)

$$\int_{B} \underline{L_{D}(f_{1})f_{2}} \delta dB = \int_{B} \underline{f_{1}L_{D}(f_{2})} \delta dB$$

for f_1 , $f_2 \in \mathscr{C}^{\circ}_{s}(M)$. By the definition of $\Delta(L_D)$ we get $\underline{L_D(f)} = \Delta(L_D)(f)$ for $f \in \mathscr{C}_{s}(M)$, so

$$\int_B {\it \Delta}(L_{\scriptscriptstyle D})(\underline{f}_1)\underline{f}_2\delta dB = \int_B \underline{f}_1 {\it \Delta}(L_{\scriptscriptstyle D})(\underline{f}_2)\delta dB \; .$$

This expression implies that $\Delta(L_D)$ is symmetric with respect to δdB . Since L_B is symmetric with respect to dB, $\delta^{-1/2}L_B \circ \delta^{1/2}$ is symmetric with respect to δdB and it clearly agrees with L_B up to lower order terms. The symmetric operators $\Delta(L_D)$ and $\delta^{-1/2}L_B \circ \delta^{1/2}$ agree up to an operator of order ≤ 1 , thus this operator, being symmetric, must be a function. By applying the operators to the constant function 1, we get

$$\Delta(L_{\scriptscriptstyle D})(1) - \delta^{\scriptscriptstyle -1/2} L_{\scriptscriptstyle B} \circ \delta^{\scriptscriptstyle 1/2}(1) = - \delta^{\scriptscriptstyle -1/2} L_{\scriptscriptstyle B}(\delta^{\scriptscriptstyle 1/2}) \; .$$

Thus

$$arDelta(L_{\scriptscriptstyle D})=\delta^{\scriptscriptstyle -1/2}L_{\scriptscriptstyle B}{\circ}\delta^{\scriptscriptstyle 1/2}-\,\delta^{\scriptscriptstyle -1/2}L_{\scriptscriptstyle B}(\delta^{\scriptscriptstyle 1/2})\;,$$

This completes the proof of our theorem.

REMARK. The example of "RS-manifold of almost fibered type"

given by S. Kashiwabara (Apendix 5 in [3]) is a foliated manifold with a 1-dimensional foliation and bundle-like metric. Each leaf of the foliation is a "S-geodesic." This example is constructed from the space D which consists of all points $x_1e_1 + x_2e_2 + x_3e_3 + te_4$ such that $|x_i| \leq 1 (i = 1, 2, 3), 0 \leq t \leq 1$, where (e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4) denotes an orthonormal frame with origin o in Euclidean 4-space. If S-geodesics are of direction of e_4 , a leaf through the origin o has nontrivial holonomy group. Then $\delta = 1$.

REMARK. The semi-reducible Riemannian space are a special class of foliated manifolds with bundle-like metrices. The metric of such a space has the local expression

$$d \hspace{0.1in} s^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} = \sigma(y) q_{\scriptscriptstyle ij}(x) dx^{\scriptscriptstyle i} dx^{\scriptscriptstyle j} + \hspace{0.1in} g_{\scriptscriptstyle lphaeta}(y) dy^{\scriptscriptstyle lpha} dy^{\scriptscriptstyle eta}$$

(cf. [4]). Then δ is defined from σ .

References

1. W. J. Baily, Jr., The decomposition theorem for V-manifolds, Amer. J. Math., 78 (1956), 862-888.

2. S. Helgason, A formula for the radial part of the Laplace-Beltrami operator, J. Differential Geometry, 6 (1972), 411-419.

 S. Kashiwabara, The structure of a Riemannian manifold admitting a parallel field of one-dimensional tangent vector subspaces, Tohoku Math. J., 11 (1959) 327-350.
 B. L. Reinhart, Foliated manifolds with bundle-like metrices, Ann. of Math., 66 (1959), 119-132.

5. ____, Closed metric foliations, Michigan Math. J., 8 (1961), 7-9.

6. I. Satake, On generalization of the notion of manifolds, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., **42** (1956), 359-363.

7. ____, The Gauss-Bonnet theorem for V-manifolds, J. Math. Soc. of Japan, 9 (1957), 464-492.

8. I. Vaisman, Cohomology and Differential Forms, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1973.

Received July 6, 1976 and in revised form October, 25, 1976.

KANAZAWA UNIVERSITY Marunouchi, Kanazawa 920, Japan

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)

University of California Los Angeles, CA 90024

R. A. BEAUMONT University of Washington Seattle, WA 98105

C. C. MOORE University of California Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, CA 90007

K. YOSHIDA

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. Wolf

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON	UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY	AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Jaurnal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of your manuscript. You may however, use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics expects the author's institution to pay page charges, and reserves the right to delay publication for nonpayment of charges in case of financial emergency

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$7200 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.).

8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyrit © 1975 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 69, No. 2 June, 1977

Carol Alf and Thomas Alfonso O'Connor, Unimodality of the Lévy spectral	
function	285
S. J. Bernau and Howard E. Lacey, <i>Bicontractive projections and reordering of L</i> _p <i>-spaces</i>	291
Andrew J. Berner, <i>Products of compact spaces with bi-k and related spaces</i>	303
Stephen Richard Bernfeld, <i>The extendability and uniqueness of solutions of</i>	505
ordinary differential equations	307
Marilyn Breen, <i>Decompositions for nonclosed planar m-convex sets</i>	317
Robert F. Brown, <i>Cohomology of homomorphisms of Lie algebras and Lie</i>	517
groups	325
Jack Douglas Bryant and Thomas Francis McCabe, <i>A note on Edelstein's</i>	525
iterative test and spaces of continuous functions	333
Victor P. Camillo, <i>Modules whose quotients have finite Goldie dimension</i>	337
David Downing and William A. Kirk, <i>A generalization of Caristi's theorem with</i>	227
applications to nonlinear mapping theory	339
Daniel Reuven Farkas and Robert L. Snider, <i>Noetherian fixed rings</i>	347
Alessandro Figà-Talamanca, <i>Positive definite functions which vanish at</i>	
<i>infinity</i>	355
Josip Globevnik, The range of analytic extensions	365
André Goldman, Mesures cylindriques, mesures vectorielles et questions de	
concentration cylindrique	385
Richard Grassl, <i>Multisectioned partitions of integers</i>	415
Haruo Kitahara and Shinsuke Yorozu, A formula for the normal part of the	
Laplace-Beltrami operator on the foliated manifold	425
Marvin J. Kohn, <i>Summability R_r for double series</i>	433
Charles Philip Lanski, <i>Lie ideals and derivations in rings with involution</i>	449
Solomon Leader, A topological characterization of Banach contractions	461
Daniel Francis Xavier O'Reilly, <i>Cobordism classes of fiber bundles</i>	467
James William Pendergrass, <i>The Schur subgroup of the Braue</i> r group	477
Howard Lewis Penn, Inner-outer factorization of functions whose Fourier series	
vanish off a semigroup	501
William T. Reid, Some results on the Floquet theory for disconjugate definite	
Hamiltonian systems	505
Caroll Vernon Riecke, <i>Complementation in the lattice of convergence</i>	
structures	517
Louis Halle Rowen, <i>Classes of rings torsion-free over their centers</i>	527
Manda Butchi Suryanarayana, A Sobolev space and a Darboux problem	535
Charles Thomas Tucker, II, <i>Riesz homomorphisms and positive linear maps</i>	551
William W. Williams, <i>Semigroups with identity on Peano continua</i>	557
Yukinobu Yajima, On spaces which have a closure-preserving cover by finite	
sets	571