

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**THE EXACT BERGMAN KERNEL AND THE KERNELS OF
SZEGŐ TYPE**

SABUROU SAITOH

THE EXACT BERGMAN KERNEL AND THE KERNELS OF SZEGÖ TYPE

SABUROU SAITOH

A relation between the magnitudes of the exact Bergman kernel and a product of two kernels of Szegö type is given. The method is turned to the establishment of a positive definiteness of a period matrix of a product of two kernels of Szegö type. The positive definiteness leads to some completeness theorems of such products.

1. Introduction. Let G denote an n -ply connected regular region with boundary components $\{C_\nu\}_{\nu=1}^n$. Let $K^E(z, \bar{z}_1)$ and $\hat{K}(z, \bar{z}_1)$ denote the exact Bergman kernel and the Szegö kernel of G , respectively. Let $\{Z_\nu(z)dz\}_{\nu=1}^{n-1}$ denote a basis of analytic differentials which are real along ∂G . Then the following identity is known:

$$4\pi\hat{K}(z, \bar{z}_1)^2 = K^E(z, \bar{z}_1) + \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\mu=1}^{n-1} C_{\nu\mu} \overline{Z_\nu(z_1)} Z_\mu(z),$$

for some uniquely determined constants $C_{\nu\mu}$. D. A. Hejhal [3] established the positive definiteness of the matrix $\|C_{\nu\mu}\|$ by means of the representations of $C_{\nu\mu}$ in terms of the theta function. In this paper, we shall establish a similar result in a very general situation by means of the pure theory of kernel functions in a sense. Our result leads to a variety of the completeness theorems of the kernels of Szegö type which are established in the paper [6].

In §2, we state notation and preliminary facts and in §3, the main theorem is given. In §4 and §5, completeness of the products of two kernels of Szegö type is discussed. These two sections are considered as a continuation of the paper [6]. In the final §6, we refer to the case of the Szegö kernel with characteristic of an arbitrary compact bordered Riemann surface which is established by J. D. Fay [1].

2. Notation and preliminary facts. Let S denote the interior of a compact bordered Riemann surface \bar{S} with boundary contours $\{C_\nu\}_{\nu=2n+1}^{2n+m}$ and genus n . Let $\{C_\nu\}_{\nu=1}^{2n+m-1}$ denote a canonical homology basis. Let M denote the Hilbert space of analytic differentials $f(z)dz$ which are regular in S and have finite norms:

$$\left(\iint_S |f(z)|^2 dx dy \right)^{1/2}$$

$< \infty$ ($z=x+yi$). Let $K(z, \bar{z}_1)dz$ and $K^E(z, \bar{z}_1)dz$ denote the Bergman

kernel of class M and the exact Bergman kernel of S , respectively. Let $L(z, z_1)dz$ and $L^E(z, z_1)dz$ denote the adjoint L -kernels of theirs, respectively. They are analytic on \bar{S} except for z_1 where they have a double pole:

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{(z - z_1)^2} + \text{regular terms} \right\} dz.$$

Further they satisfy the following relations:

$$(2.1) \quad -\overline{K(z, \bar{z}_1)}dz = L(z, z_1)dz \text{ and } -\overline{K^E(z, \bar{z}_1)}dz = L^E(z_1, z)dz \text{ along } \partial S.$$

The K -kernels $K(z, \bar{z}_1)$ and $K^E(z, \bar{z}_1)$ are Hermitian and $L(z, z_1)$ is symmetric, but $L^E(z, z_1)$ is not symmetric, in general (cf. [7], pp. 126-137).

Let $W(z, t)$ denote the meromorphic function which has the Green function $g(z, t)$ of S with pole at $t (\in S)$ as the real part of $W(z, t)$. The differential $\text{id}W(z, t)$ is positive along ∂S and has $N = 2n + m - 1$ zeros $\{t_\nu\}$ in S . For simplicity, we assume that all the zeros t_ν are simple. In other cases, we can modify the following arguments slightly. Further we shall use the same notation for a point on \bar{S} and a fixed local parameter around there. For an arbitrary integer q , let $H_2^q(S)$ denote the Hilbert space of analytic differentials $f(z)(dz)^q$ of order q on S with finite norms:

$$\left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial S} |f(z)(dz)^q|^2 (\text{id}W(z, t))^{1-2q} \right)^{1/2} < \infty,$$

where $f(z)$ means the Fatou boundary value of f at $z \in \partial S$ in the obvious sense. Let $K_{q,t,\rho}(z, \bar{z}_1)(dz)^q$ denote the kernel function of the class $H_2^q(S)$ which is characterized by the following reproducing property:

$$f(z_1) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial S} f(z)(dz)^q \overline{K_{q,t,\rho}(z, \bar{z}_1)(dz)^q} \rho(z) (\text{id}W(z, t))^{1-2q}$$

for all $f(z)(dz)^q \in H_2^q(S)$.

Here ρ is a positive continuous function on ∂S . Let $L_{q,t,\rho}(z, z_1)(dz)^{1-q}$ denote the adjoint L -kernel of $K_{q,t,\rho}(z, \bar{z}_1)(dz)^q$. Then $L_{q,t,\rho}(z, z_1)(dz)^{1-q}$ is a meromorphic differential on S of order $1 - q$ with one simple pole at z_1 with residue 1 (in the obvious sense) and satisfies the relation

$$(2.2) \quad \overline{K_{q,t,\rho}(z, \bar{z}_1)(dz)^q} \rho(z) (\text{id}W(z, t))^{1-2q} \\ = \frac{1}{i} L_{q,t,\rho}(z, z_1)(dz)^{1-q} \text{ along } \partial S.$$

We note that $K_{q,t,\rho}(z, \bar{z}_1)$ and $L_{q,t,\rho}(z, z_1)$ are continuous on ∂S .

From (2.2), we have

$$(2.3) \quad \overline{K_{q,t,\rho}(z, \bar{z}_1)K_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(z, \bar{z}_1)}dz \\ = -L_{q,t,\rho}(z, z_1)L_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(z, z_1)dz \text{ along } \partial S.$$

Let $\{Z_\nu(z)dz\}_{\nu=1}^N$ denote a basis of analytic differentials on \bar{S} which are real along ∂S such that $Z_\nu(z) = \int_{C_\nu} L(\zeta, z)d\zeta$. Then from (2.1) and (2.3), we obtain the identities

$$(2.4) \quad K_{q,t,\rho}(z, \bar{z}_1)K_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(z, \bar{z}_1) = \pi K^E(z, \bar{z}_1) + \sum_{\nu=1}^N \sum_{\mu=1}^N C_{\nu\mu} \overline{Z_\nu(z_1)} Z_\mu(z)$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad L_{q,t,\rho}(z, z_1)L_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(z, z_1) = \pi L^E(z_1, z) - \sum_{\nu=1}^N \sum_{\mu=1}^N \overline{G_{\nu\mu}} Z_\nu(z_1) Z_\mu(z),$$

for some uniquely determined constants $\{C_{\nu\mu}\}$. Our first objective is to show the positive definiteness of the matrix $\|C_{\nu\mu}\|$. By setting $\int_{C_\mu} Z_\nu(z)dz = P_{\nu\mu}$, from (2.4), we obtain

$$(2.6) \quad \sum_{\nu} \sum_{\mu} C_{\nu\mu} \overline{P_{\nu\alpha}} P_{\mu\beta} = \int_{C_\alpha} \left(\int_{C_\beta} K_{q,t,\rho}(z, \bar{z}_1) K_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(z, \bar{z}_1) dz \right) \overline{dz_1} \\ \text{for } \alpha, \beta = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N.$$

Since the matrix $\|P_{\nu\mu}\|$ is nonsingular (cf. [7], pp. 93-97 and pp. 109-110), we shall show the positive definiteness of (2.6).

Here we note that especially $K_{0,t,1}(z, \bar{z}_1)$ and $K_{1,t,1}(z, \bar{z}_1)dz$ are the Rudin kernels (cf. [5]). If S is a bounded regular region on the plane, then we can identify functions and differentials on S . Hence we can write the reproducing property of $K_{q,t,\rho}(z, \bar{z}_1)(dz)^q$ as follows:

$$(2.7) \quad f(z_1) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial S} f(z) \overline{K_{q,t,\rho}(z, \bar{z}_1)} \rho(z) \left(\frac{\partial g(z, t)}{\partial \nu} \right)^{1-2q} ds_z \\ \text{for all } f \in H_2^0(S),$$

where $\partial/\partial\nu$ denotes the inner normal derivative with respect to S . Therefore we can regard $K_{q,t,\rho}(z, \bar{z}_1)$ as the Szegő kernel with weight $\rho(z)(\partial g(z, t)/\partial\nu)^{1-2q}$ for the (Hardy) space $H_2^0(S)$. By this interpretation of (2.7), we can consider the kernel $K_{q,t,\rho}(z, \bar{z}_1)$ for an arbitrary real value of q and $K_{1/2,t,1}(z, \bar{z}_1)/2\pi$ is the classical Szegő kernel. For a real value of q , we can take a more general interpretation for (2.7) and we shall refer to this in § 6, again.

3. Positive definiteness of $K_{q,t,\rho}(z, \bar{z}_1)K_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(z, \bar{z}_1)$.

THEOREM 3.1. *The matrix*

$$\left\| \int_{C_\nu} \left(\int_{C_\mu} K_{q,t,\rho}(z, \bar{z}_1) K_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(z, \bar{z}_1) dz \right) \overline{dz_1} \right\|^{N \times N}$$

is positive definite.

Proof. Let $\{\varphi_j\}_{j=0}^\infty$ and $\{\psi_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ denote some complete orthonormal systems such that $K_{q,t,\rho}(z, \bar{z}_1) = \sum_j \varphi_j(\bar{z}_1) \varphi_j(z)$ and $K_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(z, \bar{z}_1) = \sum_k \overline{\psi_k(\bar{z}_1)} \psi_k(z)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{C_\nu} \left(\int_{C_\mu} K_{q,t,\rho}(z, \bar{z}_1) K_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(z, \bar{z}_1) dz \right) \overline{dz_1} \\ &= \sum_j \sum_k \int_{C_\mu} \varphi_j(z) \psi_k(z) dz \int_{C_\nu} \overline{\varphi_j(z_1)} \psi_k(z_1) dz_1. \end{aligned}$$

Here we see easily that the double sequence converges absolutely. Let m denote the double index (jk) and we set

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1^{(1)} & A_2^{(1)} & A_3^{(1)} & \cdots & A_m^{(1)} & \cdots \\ A_1^{(2)} & A_2^{(2)} & A_3^{(2)} & \cdots & A_m^{(2)} & \cdots \\ A_1^{(3)} & A_2^{(3)} & A_3^{(3)} & \cdots & A_m^{(3)} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \\ A_1^{(N)} & A_2^{(N)} & A_3^{(N)} & \cdots & A_m^{(N)} & \cdots \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$A_m^{(\nu)} = \int_{C_\nu} \varphi_j(z) \psi_k(z) dz (\nu = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N).$$

Further we set $X = (X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots, X_N) \in \mathbb{C}^N$. Then we obtain

$$XA\bar{A}^t\bar{X}^t = \sum_m |X_1 A_m^{(1)} + X_2 A_m^{(2)} + X_3 A_m^{(3)} + \cdots + X_N A_m^{(N)}|^2 \geq 0.$$

Here equality holds if and only if

$$(3.1) \quad X_1 A_m^{(1)} + X_2 A_m^{(2)} + \cdots + X_N A_m^{(N)} = 0 \quad \text{for all } m.$$

Hence we obtain, for any z_1 and $z_2 \in S$,

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^N X_\nu \int_{C_\nu} K_{q,t,\rho}(z, \bar{z}_1) K_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(z, \bar{z}_2) dz = 0.$$

From the identity

$$K_{q,t,\rho}(z, \bar{z}_1) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial S} K_{q,t,\rho}(\zeta, \bar{z}_1) (d\zeta)^q \overline{K_{q,t,\rho}(\zeta, \bar{z})} (d\bar{\zeta})^q \rho(\zeta) (\text{id } W(\zeta, t))^{1-2q},$$

we have, by exchanging the variables,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\partial S} \left[\left(\sum_{\nu=1}^N \omega_{\nu}(z) X_{\nu} \right) K_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(z, \bar{z}_2) (dz)^{1-q} \right. \\ & \quad + \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{\nu=1}^{2n} X_{\nu} \int_{C_{\nu}} \overline{K_{q,t,\rho}(z, \bar{\zeta})} (dz)^q K_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(\zeta, \bar{z}_2) d\zeta \right) \\ & \quad \times \rho(z) (\text{id } W(z, t))^{1-2q} \left. \right] K_{q,t,\rho}(z, \bar{z}_1) (dz)^q = 0 \text{ for all } z_1 \text{ and } z_2 \in S. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\omega_{\nu}(z)$ denotes the harmonic measure of C_{ν} on S . Let $\Omega(z)dz$ be a nonvanishing analytic differential on \bar{S} . Then since the set of kernels $\{K_{q,t,\rho}(z, \bar{z}_1)(dz)^q | z_1 \in S\}$ is complete in $H_2^q(S)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\partial S} f(z) dz \left[\left(\sum_{\nu=1}^N \omega_{\nu}(z) X_{\nu} \right) K_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(z, \bar{z}_2) (dz)^{1-q} \right. \\ & \quad + \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{\nu=1}^{2n} X_{\nu} \int_{C_{\nu}} \overline{K_{q,t,\rho}(z, \bar{\zeta})} (dz)^q K_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(\zeta, \bar{z}_2) d\zeta \right) \\ & \quad \times \rho(z) (\text{id } W(z, t))^{1-2q} \left. \right] (\Omega(z) dz)^{q-1} = 0 \text{ for all } f(z) dz \in H_2^1(S). \end{aligned}$$

Hence from the theorem of Cauchy-Read [4], we obtain, by a function $F_{z_2} \in H_2^0(S)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\left(\sum_{\nu=1}^N \omega_{\nu}(z) X_{\nu} \right) K_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(z, \bar{z}_2) (dz)^{1-q} + \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{\nu=1}^{2n} X_{\nu} \int_{C_{\nu}} \overline{K_{q,t,\rho}(z, \bar{\zeta})} (dz)^q \right. \right. \\ & \quad \times \left. \left. K_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(\zeta, \bar{z}_2) d\zeta \right) \rho(z) (\text{id } W(z, t))^{1-2q} \right] (\Omega(z) dz)^{q-1} = F_{z_2}(z) \text{ a.e. on } \partial S. \end{aligned}$$

From the relation (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\left(\sum_{\nu=1}^N \omega_{\nu}(z) X_{\nu} \right) K_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(z, \bar{z}_2) (dz)^{1-q} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{\nu=1}^{2n} X_{\nu} \int_{C_{\nu}} L_{q,t,\rho}(z, \zeta) (dz)^{1-q} \right. \\ & \quad \times \left. K_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(\zeta, \bar{z}_2) d\zeta \right] (\Omega(z) dz)^{q-1} = F_{z_2}(z) \text{ a.e. on } \partial S. \end{aligned}$$

At first, from the Lusin-Riesz-Privalow theorem, we see that all the $\{X_{\nu}\}_{\nu=2n+1}^N$ are zero. Next since $L_{q,t,\rho}(z, \zeta)$ has a simple pole at $z = \zeta$, from the property of Cauchy integral, we see that the constants $\{X_{\nu}\}_{\nu=1}^{2n}$ are also zero. Thus we have completed the proof of the theorem.

From the theorem, we obtain

COROLLARY 3.1. *The matrix $\|C_{\nu\mu}\|$ is positive definite. Especially we have the inequality*

$$K_{q,t,\rho}(z, \bar{z}) K_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(z, \bar{z}) > \pi K^E(z, \bar{z}) \text{ for all } z \in S.$$

COROLLARY 3.2. *The periods as anti-analytic differentials in $z_1 \in S$,*

$$\left\{ \int_{C_\nu} K_{q,t,\rho}(z, \bar{z}_1) K_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(z, \bar{z}_1) dz \right\}_{\nu=1}^N$$

are linearly independent.

Here we note that Theorem 3.1 and its corollaries are valid for an arbitrary real value of q , when S is a bounded regular region in the plane and we regard $K_{q,t,\rho}(z, \bar{z}_1)$ as the weighted Szegő kernel.

4. **Completeness of $\{K_{q,t,\rho}(z, \bar{z}_1) K_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(z, \bar{z}_1) dz | z_1 \in S\}$ in M .** Let $\{Z_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ ($Z_j \neq Z_{j'}$, for $j \neq j'$) denote any point set of S such that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} Z_j = Z_0$ for some $Z_0 \in S$. Then, as we see from the reproducing property, the set of kernels $\{K_{q,t,\rho}(z, \bar{Z}_j)(dz)^q\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is complete in $H_2^q(S)$. In the following two sections we shall show that the set $\{K_{q,t,\rho}(z, \bar{Z}_j) K_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(z, \bar{Z}_j) dz\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is complete in both M and $H_2^1(S)$. These theorems are a variety of the completeness theorems which are given in the paper [6].

As in the representations (2.4) and (2.5), we obtain

$$(4.1) \quad K_{q,t,\rho}(z, \bar{z}_1) K_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(z, \bar{z}_1) = \pi K(z, \bar{z}_1) + \sum_{\nu=1}^N \sum_{\mu=1}^N C_{\nu\mu}^* \overline{Z_\nu(z_1)} Z_\mu(z)$$

and

$$(4.2) \quad L_{q,t,\rho}(z, z_1) L_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(z, z_1) = \pi L(z, z_1) - \sum_{\nu=1}^N \sum_{\mu=1}^N C_{\nu\mu}^* Z_\nu(z_1) Z_\mu(z).$$

Here we note that the constants $C_{\nu\mu}^*$ are real symmetric by virtue of Hermitian of the K -kernels and symmetry of $L_{q,t,\rho}(z, z_1) L_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(z, z_1)$ and $L(z, z_1)$. Note that $L_{q,t,\rho}(z, z_1) = -L_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(z_1, z)$ ([5]). As to the constants $C_{\nu\mu}^*$, we obtain

LEMMA 4.1. *The matrix*

$$\left\| \sum_{\alpha} C_{\nu\alpha}^* P_{\alpha\mu} - \pi \delta_{\nu\mu} \right\|^{N \times N}$$

is nonsingular.

Proof. Suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\mu} Y_{\mu} \int_{C_{\mu}} K_{q,t,\rho}(z, \bar{z}_1) K_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(z, \bar{z}_1) dz \\ &= \sum_{\mu} Y_{\mu} \int_{C_{\mu}} [\pi K(z, \bar{z}_1) + \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\beta} C_{\alpha\beta}^* \overline{Z_{\alpha}(z_1)} Z_{\beta}(z)] dz \equiv 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then from the identity

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{C_\mu} K(z, \bar{z}_1) dz &= - \iint_S K(z, \bar{z}_1) \overline{Z_\mu(z)} dx dy \\ &= - \overline{Z_\mu(z_1)} \text{ (cf. [7], pp. 102-105) },\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$-\sum_\mu \pi Y_\mu \overline{Z_\mu(z_1)} + \sum_\mu \sum_\alpha \sum_\beta Y_\mu C_{\alpha\beta}^* \overline{Z_\alpha(z_1)} P_{\beta\mu} \equiv 0.$$

Hence we obtain

$$-\pi Y_\alpha + \sum_\beta \sum_\mu C_{\alpha\beta}^* P_{\beta\mu} Y_\mu = 0 \quad \text{for } \alpha = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N.$$

Thus Corollary 3.2 is equivalent to the lemma.

Now we obtain

THEOREM 4.1. *The set $\{K_{q,t,\rho}(z, \bar{Z}_j) K_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(z, \bar{Z}_j) dz\}_j$ is complete in M .*

Proof. Suppose that for any $f(z) dz \in M$,

$$\iint_S f(z) \overline{K_{q,t,\rho}(z, \bar{Z}_j) K_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(z, \bar{Z}_j)} dx dy = 0 \quad \text{for all } j.$$

Hence from (4.1) and the identity

$$(4.3) \quad \iint_S f(z) \overline{Z_\mu(z)} dx dy = - \int_{C_\mu} f(z) dz \text{ (cf. [7], p. 102) },$$

we have

$$\pi f(z_1) \equiv \sum_\alpha \sum_\beta C_{\alpha\beta}^* Z_\alpha(z_1) \int_{C_\beta} f(z) dz.$$

Thus from the identities

$$\pi \int_{C_\mu} f(z_1) dz_1 = \sum_\alpha \sum_\beta C_{\alpha\beta}^* P_{\alpha\mu} \int_{C_\beta} f(z) dz \quad \mu = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N,$$

and Lemma 4.1, we obtain the desired result.

5. Completeness of $\{K_{q,t,\rho}(z, \bar{Z}_j) K_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(z, \bar{Z}_j) dz\}_j$ in $H_2^1(S)$.
The following lemma is essential for our purpose:

LEMMA 5.1. *For the critical points $\{t_\nu\}_{\nu=1}^N$ of the Green function $g(z, t)$, the matrix*

$$\left\| \int_{C_\mu} L_{q,t,\rho}(z, t_\nu) L_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(z, t_\nu) dz \right\|^{N \times N}$$

is nonsingular.

If not all t_ν is simple, we modify the above matrix slightly, as usual.

Proof. We proceed as in Theorem 2.1 in [6], but in this case the proof is more delicate. From the reproducing property of $K_{1,t,1}(z, \bar{z}_1)dz$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & K_{q,t,\rho}(z_1, \bar{z})K_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(z_1, \bar{z}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial S} \frac{K_{q,t,\rho}(\zeta, \bar{z})K_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(\zeta, \bar{z})d\zeta \overline{K_{1,t,1}(\zeta, \bar{z}_1)d\zeta}}{\text{id } W(\zeta, t)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence from (2.3) and the residue theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (5.1) \quad & \left(\frac{K_{1,t,1}(z, \bar{z}_1)}{W'(z, t)} \right)' = -K_{q,t,\rho}(z, \bar{z}_1)K_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(z, \bar{z}_1) \\ & - \sum_\nu \left(\frac{K_{1,t,1}(t_\nu, \bar{z}_1)}{W''(t_\nu, t)} \right) L_{q,t,\rho}(z, t_\nu) L_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(z, t_\nu), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (5.2) \quad & K_{1,t,1}(z, \bar{z}_1) = - \left\{ \int_t^z K_{q,t,\rho}(\zeta, \bar{z}_1)K_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(\zeta, \bar{z}_1)d\zeta \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_\nu \frac{K_{1,t,1}(t_\nu, \bar{z}_1)}{W''(t_\nu, t)} \int_t^z L_{q,t,\rho}(\zeta, t_\nu) L_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(\zeta, t_\nu)d\zeta \right\} W'(z, t). \end{aligned}$$

From (5.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (5.3) \quad & \sum_\nu \frac{K_{1,t,1}(t_\nu, \bar{z}_1)}{W''(t_\nu, t)} \int_{c_\mu} L_{q,t,\rho}(z, t_\nu) L_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(z, t_\nu) dz \\ &= - \int_{c_\mu} K_{q,t,\rho}(z, \bar{z}_1) K_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(z, \bar{z}_1) dz \quad \mu = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\{X_\nu\}$ be any solution of system (5.3); i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_\nu X_\nu \int_{c_\mu} L_{q,t,\rho}(z, t_\nu) L_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(z, t_\nu) dz \\ &= - \int_{c_\mu} K_{q,t,\rho}(z, \bar{z}_1) K_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(z, \bar{z}_1) dz \quad \mu = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N, \end{aligned}$$

and we shall define

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{K}_{1,t,1}(z, \bar{z}_1) &= - \left\{ \int_t^z K_{q,t,\rho}(\zeta, \bar{z}_1) K_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(\zeta, \bar{z}_1) d\zeta \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_\nu X_\nu \int_t^z L_{q,t,\rho}(\zeta, t_\nu) L_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(\zeta, t_\nu) d\zeta \right\} W'(z, t). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\tilde{K}_{1,t,1}(z, \bar{z}_1)dz \in H_2^1(S)$ and from the definition of X_ν and the

identity (2.5), we see that

$$(K_{q,t,\rho}(\zeta, \bar{z}_1)K_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(\zeta, \bar{z}_1) + \sum_{\nu} X_{\nu}L_{q,t,\rho}(\zeta, t_{\nu})L_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(\zeta, t_{\nu}))d\zeta$$

is exact. (We assume that $t_{\nu} \notin C_{\mu}$ for all ν and μ .) For any analytic differential $f(z)dz$ on \bar{S} (in fact in S such that $f(z)dz \in H^1_2(S)$), we set

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial S} \frac{f(z)dz \overline{K_{1,t,1}(z, \bar{z}_1)} dz}{\text{id } W(z, t)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial S} f(z) \left[\int_t^z (K_{q,t,\rho}(\zeta, \bar{z}_1)K_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(\zeta, \bar{z}_1) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{\nu} X_{\nu}L_{q,t,\rho}(\zeta, t_{\nu})L_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(\zeta, t_{\nu}))d\zeta \right] dz. \end{aligned}$$

Let D_{ν} be a tiny disc of radius r_{ν} in the plane of a local parameter at t_{ν} , with center t_{ν} and let D denote the union of the D_{ν} , $\nu = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N$. Then from the Green's formula, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \sum_{\nu} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial D_{\nu}} f(z) \left[\int_t^z (K_{q,t,\rho}(\zeta, \bar{z}_1)K_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(\zeta, \bar{z}_1) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{\nu} X_{\nu}L_{q,t,\rho}(\zeta, t_{\nu})L_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(\zeta, t_{\nu}))d\zeta \right] dz \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{S-D} f(z) \overline{(K_{q,t,\rho}(z, \bar{z}_1)K_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(z, \bar{z}_1) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\nu} X_{\nu}L_{q,t,\rho}(z, t_{\nu})L_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(z, t_{\nu}))} dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

By letting $\sum_{\nu} |r_{\nu}|$ tend to zero, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_S f(z) \overline{K_{q,t,\rho}(z, \bar{z}_1)K_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(z, \bar{z}_1)} dx dy \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{\nu} \overline{X_{\nu} p.v.} \iint_S f(z) \overline{L_{q,t,\rho}(z, t_{\nu})L_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(z, t_{\nu})} dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

(cf. (2.5) and [7], pp. 118-120). Hence if we can show that $I = f(z_1)$, we obtain the lemma as in Theorem 2.1 in [6].

From (4.1), (4.2), (4.3), and the fact

$$\begin{aligned} p.v. \iint_S f(z) \overline{L(z, \bar{\xi})} dx dy &= 0 \\ \text{for all } f(z)dz &\in M \text{ (cf. [7], pp. 121-126),} \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$I = f(z_1) + \frac{1}{\pi} \left[\sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\beta} C_{\alpha\beta}^* Z_{\alpha}(z_1) \left(- \int_{C_{\beta}} f(z) dz \right) - \sum_{\nu} \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\beta} \overline{X_{\nu}} C_{\alpha\beta}^* \overline{Z_{\alpha}(t_{\nu})} \left(- \int_{C_{\beta}} f(z) dz \right) \right].$$

Hence we must show that

$$(5.4) \quad \sum_{\alpha} C_{\alpha\beta}^* Z_{\alpha}(z_1) - \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\nu} \overline{X_{\nu}} C_{\alpha\beta}^* \overline{Z_{\alpha}(t_{\nu})} = 0 \quad \beta = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N.$$

From the definition of X_{ν} , by making use of (4.1) and (4.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \pi \sum_{\nu} X_{\nu} Z_{\mu}(t_{\nu}) - \sum_{\nu} \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\beta} X_{\nu} C_{\alpha\beta}^* Z_{\alpha}(t_{\nu}) P_{\beta\mu} \\ = \pi \overline{Z_{\mu}(z_1)} - \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\beta} C_{\alpha\beta}^* \overline{Z_{\alpha}(z_1)} P_{\beta\mu} \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi (\sum_{\nu} X_{\nu} Z_{\mu}(t_{\nu}) - \overline{Z_{\mu}(z_1)}) \\ - \sum_{\beta} \sum_{\alpha} (\sum_{\nu} X_{\nu} Z_{\alpha}(t_{\nu}) - \overline{Z_{\alpha}(z_1)}) C_{\alpha\beta}^* P_{\beta\mu} = 0 \quad \mu = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N. \end{aligned}$$

Hence from Lemma 4.1, we obtain

$$\sum_{\nu} X_{\nu} Z_{\mu}(t_{\nu}) - \overline{Z_{\mu}(z_1)} = 0 \quad \mu = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N.$$

Thus from the regularity of the matrix $\|P_{\beta\mu}\|$ and (5.5), we obtain the desired result (5.4).

Now as in the proof of Theorem 2.2 in [6], we obtain

THEOREM 5.1. *The set $\{K_{q,t,\rho}(z, \bar{Z}_j) K_{1-q,t,\rho-1}(z, \bar{Z}_j) dz\}_j$ is complete in $H_2^1(S)$.*

Here we note that for the set

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial^n K_{q,t,\rho}(z, \bar{z}_1) K_{1-q,t,\rho-1}(z, \bar{z}_1) dz}{\partial \bar{z}_1^n} \right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$$

for any fixed $z_1 \in S$, Theorems 4.1 and 5.1 are valid, as we see easily from those theorems. The circumstances are similar for Theorems 2.2 and 3.3 in [6].

6. Szegő kernels with characteristic. Let $U = \{U_{\alpha}\}$ be a covering of S such that to each U_{α} there is associated a unique local uniformizing parameter $z_{\alpha}: U_{\alpha} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and z_{α} and z_{β} are analytically related on $U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}$. Then, for a real value of q , a differential $f(z)(dz)^q$ of order q is defined as a collection of variables which satisfy the transformation laws

$$\psi_\alpha = \psi_\beta \left(\frac{dz_\beta}{dz_\alpha} \right)^q \quad \text{in } U_\alpha \cap U_\beta.$$

Of course, this function $(dz_\beta/dz_\alpha)^q$ is not unique, in general and we must show that we can choose them consistently; i.e., such that

$$\left(\frac{dz_\beta}{dz_\alpha} \right)^q \left(\frac{dz_\gamma}{dz_\beta} \right)^q \left(\frac{dz_\alpha}{dz_\gamma} \right)^q \equiv 1 \quad \text{in } U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \cap U_\gamma.$$

This is a crucial point, in the treatment of differentials $f(z)(dz)^q$ for a noninteger value of q (cf. [8], pp. 249–251 and [2], pp. 215–218). In the case of $q = 1/2$, which is considered as an especially important case, N. S. Hawley and M. Schiffer [2] for the first time had investigated “the Szegő kernel” of half-order differentials and then D. A. Hejhal [3] in the case of planar regions and J. D. Fay [1] in the case of arbitrary compact bordered Riemann surfaces had investigated.

In this final section, we shall see that our results are valid even if in the case of the Szegő kernels with characteristic on an arbitrary compact bordered Riemann surface.

In order to save space, we shall use the same notations and results freely in Chapter VI in [1].

For any even half period $e \in T_0$, the Szegő kernel $\sigma_e(\bar{x}, y)$ of a compact bordered Riemann surface \bar{R} with characteristic $[e]$ is defined as follows:

$$(6.1) \quad \sigma_e(\bar{x}, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\theta[e](y - \bar{x})}{\theta[e](0)E(y, \bar{x})}.$$

Here $\theta[e](z)$ is the first order theta function with characteristic $[e]$, $E(y, \bar{x})$ is the prime form and \bar{x} is the symmetric point of x on the double C of R ([1], p. 124, Proposition 6.14 and cf. Chapters I and II). Then $\sigma_e(\bar{x}, y)$ is holomorphic in \bar{x} and y except for a pole along $y = x$ and satisfies

$$(6.2) \quad \sigma_e(\bar{x}, y) = -\sigma_e(y, \bar{x}) = -\overline{\sigma_e(x, \bar{y})} \quad \text{for all } x, y \in C.$$

For any section Φ of L_e holomorphic on \bar{R} , $\sigma_e(\bar{x}, y)$ has the reproducing property

$$\Phi(x) = \int_{\partial R} \Phi(y) \overline{\sigma_e(\bar{x}, y)} \quad \text{for all } x \in R.$$

Again $\sigma_e(\bar{x}, y)$ is represented by $\sum_j \overline{\Phi_j(x)} \Phi_j(y)$ for a complete orthonormal system $\{\Phi_j\}$ of holomorphic sections of L_e on \bar{R} . Further we see that $\sigma_e(\bar{x}, y)^2$ is a single-valued analytic differential on $C \times C$ except for a double pole along $y = x$ and satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
 (6.3) \quad \overline{\sigma_e(\bar{x}, y)^2} &= -\left(\frac{\theta[e](y - \bar{x})^2}{4\pi^2\theta[e](0)^2 E(y, \bar{x})^2}\right) \\
 &= -\frac{\theta[e](\bar{y} - x)^2}{4\pi^2\theta[e](0)^2 E(\bar{y}, x)^2} \\
 &= \sigma_e(x, y)^2 \quad \text{along } y \in \partial R.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence in our case, we obtain the following representations as in (4.1) and (4.2):

$$(6.4) \quad 4\pi^2\sigma_e(\bar{z}_1, z)^2 = \pi K^E(z, \bar{z}_1) + \sum_{\nu=1}^N \sum_{\mu=1}^N \tilde{C}_{\nu\mu} \overline{Z_\nu(z_1)} Z_\mu(z)$$

and

$$(6.5) \quad -4\pi^2\sigma_e(z_1, z)^2 = \pi L^E(z_1, z) - \sum_{\nu=1}^N \sum_{\mu=1}^N \overline{C_{\nu\mu}} Z_\nu(z_1) Z_\mu(z).$$

Therefore for $4\pi^2\sigma_e(\bar{z}_1, z)^2$, we obtain the same results as

$$K_{q,t,\rho}(z, \bar{z}_1) K_{1-q,t,\rho^{-1}}(z, \bar{z}_1) dz \, d\bar{z}_1,$$

by a slight modification. In the proof of the main Theorem 3.1, for example we need a nonvanishing section Φ_0 of L_e holomorphic on \bar{S} , but the existence of such a Φ_0 is clear. As to this fact, we recall that there exists a single-valued function which is analytic and non-vanishing on \bar{S} except for poles and zeros of prescribed order at prescribed points (cf. [4], § 2.5).

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. The author wishes to thank the referee for his very helpful advice for the expression of this paper.

REFERENCES

1. J. D. Fay, *Theta functions on Riemann surfaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **352** (1973), 138.
2. N. S. Hawley and M. Schiffer, *Half-order differentials on Riemann surfaces*, Acta Math., **115** (1965), 199-236.
3. D. A. Hejhal, *Theta functions, kernel functions and Abelian integrals*, Amer. Math. Soc. Memoir, **129** (1972), 112.
4. A. H. Read, *A converse of Cauchy's theorem and applications to extremal problems*, Acta Math., **160** (1959), 1-22.
5. S. Saitoh, *The kernel functions of Szegő type on Riemann surfaces*, Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep., **24** (1972), 410-421.
6. ———, *On some completenesses of the Bergman kernel and the Rudin kernel*, Pacific J. Math., **56** (1975), 581-596.
7. M. Schiffer and D. C. Spencer, *Functionals of Finite Riemann Surfaces*, Princeton University Press, 1954, p. 451.

8. M. Schiffer and N. S. Hawley, *Connection and conformal mapping*, Acta Math., **107** (1962), 175-274.

Received August 30, 1976.

GUNMA UNIVERSITY
1-5-1 TENJIN-CHO
KIRYU 376, JAPAN

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

CHARLES W. CURTIS

University of Oregon
Eugene, OR 97403

C. C. MOORE

University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM

Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of your manuscript. You may however, use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics expects the author's institution to pay page charges, and reserves the right to delay publication for nonpayment of charges in case of financial emergency.

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.).

8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1975 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Krishnaswami Alladi and Paul Erdős, <i>On an additive arithmetic function</i>	275
James Bailey and Dale Rolfsen, <i>An unexpected surgery construction of a lens space</i>	295
Lawrence James Brenton, <i>On the Riemann-Roch equation for singular complex surfaces</i>	299
James Glenn Brookshear, <i>Projective ideals in rings of continuous functions</i>	313
Lawrence Gerald Brown, <i>Stable isomorphism of hereditary subalgebras of C^*-algebras</i>	335
Lawrence Gerald Brown, Philip Palmer Green and Marc Aristide Rieffel, <i>Stable isomorphism and strong Morita equivalence of C^*-algebras</i>	349
N. Burgoyne, Robert L. Griess, Jr. and Richard Lyons, <i>Maximal subgroups and automorphisms of Chevalley groups</i>	365
Yuen-Kwok Chan, <i>Constructive foundations of potential theory</i>	405
Peter Fletcher and William Lindgren, <i>On $w\Delta$-spaces, $w\sigma$-spaces and Σ^\sharp-spaces</i>	419
Louis M. Friedler and Dix Hayes Pettey, <i>Inverse limits and mappings of minimal topological spaces</i>	429
Robert E. Hartwig and Jiang Luh, <i>A note on the group structure of unit regular ring elements</i>	449
I. Martin (Irving) Isaacs, <i>Real representations of groups with a single involution</i>	463
Nicolas P. Jewell, <i>The existence of discontinuous module derivations</i>	465
Antonio M. Lopez, <i>The maximal right quotient semigroup of a strong semilattice of semigroups</i>	477
Dennis McGavran, <i>T^n-actions on simply connected $(n+2)$-manifolds</i>	487
Charles Anthony Micchelli and Allan Pinkus, <i>Total positivity and the exact n-width of certain sets in L^1</i>	499
Barada K. Ray and Billy E. Rhoades, <i>Fixed point-theorems for mappings with a contractive iterate</i>	517
Fred Richman and Elbert A. Walker, <i>Ext in pre-Abelian categories</i>	521
Raymond Craig Roan, <i>Weak* generators of H^∞ and l^1</i>	537
Saburou Saitoh, <i>The exact Bergman kernel and the kernels of Szegő type</i> ...	545
Kung-Wei Yang, <i>Operators invertible modulo the weakly compact operators</i>	559