Pacific Journal of Mathematics

A CHARACTERIZATION OF PSp(2m, q) AND $P\Omega(2m + 1, q)$ AS RANK 3 PERMUTATION GROUPS

ARTHUR ANTHONY YANUSHKA

Vol. 72, No. 1

January 1977

A CHARACTERIZATION OF PSp(2m, q) AND PQ(2m+1, q)AS RANK 3 PERMUTATION GROUPS

ARTHUR YANUSHKA

This paper characterizes the projective symplectic groups PSp(2m, q) and the projective orthogonal groups $P\Omega(2m+1, q)$ as the only transitive rank 3 permutation groups G of a set X for which the pointwise stabilizer of G has orbit lengths 1, $q(q^{2m-2}-1)/(q-1)$ and q^{2m-1} under a relatively weak hypothesis about the pointwise stabilizer of a certain subset of X. A precise statement is

THEOREM. Let G be a transitive rank 3 group of permutations of a set X such that the orbit lengths for the pointwise stabilizer are 1, $q(q^{r-2}-1)/(q-1)$ and q^{r-1} for integers q>1 and r>4. Let x^{\perp} denote the union of the orbits of length 1 and $q(q^{r-2}-1)/(q-1)$. Let R(xy) denote $\cap \{z^{\perp}: x, y \in z^{\perp}\}$. Assume $R(xy) \neq \{x, y\}$ for $y \in x^{\perp} - \{x\}$. Assume that the pointwise stabilizer of $x^{\perp} \cap y^{\perp}$ for $y \notin x^{\perp}$ does not fix R(xy) pointwise. Then r is even, q is a prime power and G is isomorphic to either a group of symplectic collineations of projective (r-1) space over GF(q) containing PSp(r, q) or a group of orthogonal collineations of projective r space over GF(q) containing $P\Omega(r+1, q)$.

1. Introduction. The projective classical groups of symplectic type PSp(2m, q) for $m \ge 2$ are transitive permutation groups of rank 3 when considered as groups of permutations of the absolute points of the corresponding projective space. Indeed the pointwise stabilizer of PSp(2m, q) has 3 orbits of lengths 1, $q(q^{2m-2}-1)/(q-1)$ and q^{2m-1} . In a recent paper [7], the author characterized the symplectic groups PSp(2m, q) for $m \ge 3$ as rank 3 permutation groups.

THEOREM A. Let G be a transitive rank 3 group of permutations of a set X such that G_x , the stabilizer of a point $x \in X$, has orbit lengths 1, $q(q^{r-2}-1)/(q-1)$ and q^{r-1} for integers $q \ge 2$ and $r \ge 5$. Let x^{\perp} denote the union of the G_x -orbits of lengths 1 and $q(q^{r-2}-1)/(q-1)$. Let R(xy) denote $\cap \{z^{\perp}: x, y \in z^{\perp}\}$. Assume $R(xy) \ne \{x, y\}$. Assume that the pointwise stabilizer of x^{\perp} is transitive on the points unequal to x of R(xy) for $y \notin x^{\perp}$. Then r is even, q is a prime power and G is isomorphic to a group of symplectic collineations of projective (r-1) space over the field of q elements, which contains PSp(r, q). We note that the orthogonal group $P\Omega(2m + 1, q)$ for $m \ge 2$ acts on the singular points of the orthogonal geometry of a projective 2m-space over the field of q elements as a rank 3 permutation group in which its pointwise stabilizer has the same orbit lengths of 1, $q(q^{2m-2}-1)/(q-1)$ and q^{2m-1} as PSp(2m, q) in its action on the absolute points of the symplectic geometry. In the proof of Theorem A, the possibility that G was an orthogonal group was eliminated because of the hypothesis that a hyperbolic line R(xy) for $y \notin x^{\perp}$ carried at least 3 points. It seems reasonable to expect that with a change of hypothesis a characterization of the rank 3 groups G in which the pointwise stabilizer has orbit lengths 1, $q(q^{r-2}-1)/(q-1)$ and q^{2r-1} is possible and that these groups will be subgroups of the collineation groups of the symplectic geometry or of the orthogonal geometry. We establish a result of this nature in the following form.

THEOREM B. Let G be a transitive rank 3 group of permutations of a set X such that the orbit lengths for G_x , the stabilizer of a point x in X, are 1, $q(q^{r-2}-1)/(q-1)$ and q^{r-1} for integers q>1 and r>4. Let x^{\perp} denote the union of the G_x -orbits of length 1 and $q(q^{r-2}-1)/(q-1)$. Let R(xy) denote $\cap \{z^{\perp}: x, y \in z^{\perp}\}$. Assume $R(xy) \neq \{x, y\}$ for $y \in x^{\perp} - \{x\}$. Assume that the pointwise stabilizer of $x^{\perp} \cap y^{\perp}$ for $y \notin x^{\perp}$ does not fix R(xy) pointwise. Then r is even, q is a prime power and $G \cong H$ where either H is a group of symplectic collineations of projective (r-1) space over GF(q) such that $H \supseteq PSp(r, q)$ or H is a group of orthogonal collineations of projective r space over GF(q) such that $H \supseteq P\Omega(r + 1, q)$.

The proof of Theorem B actually yields the following corollary which distinguishes between the two cases.

COROLLARY. Assume the hypotheses of Theorem B.

(i) Assume that the pointwise stabilizer of x^{\perp} is nontrivial. Then r is even, q is a prime power and $G \cong H$ where H is a group of symplectic collineations of projective (r-1) space over GF(q) such that $H \supseteq PSp(r, q)$.

(ii) Assume that the pointwise stabilizer of x^{\perp} is trivial and that the pointwise stabilizer of $x^{\perp} \cap y^{\perp}$ for $y \notin x^{\perp}$ does not fix R(xy)pointwise. Then r is even, q is a prime power and $G \cong H$ where H is a group of orthogonal collineations of projective r space over GF(q) such that $H \supseteq P\Omega(r + 1, q)$.

Note that Corollary B(i) is a stronger result than Theorem A. We consider this paper a continuation of [7] and note that the proof of Theorem B is similar to that of Theorem A. In §2 we will prove Theorem B. At times we will refer the reader to [7] for the proofs of several statements. There are other characterizations of the rank 3 classical groups, due to D. Higman, W. Kantor and D. Perin [3, 4, 5].

2. The proof of Theorem B. In this section assume that G is a rank 3 permutation group on X which satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem B. Let D(b) denote the G_b -orbit of length $q(q^{r-2}-1)/(q-1)$ and let C(b) denote the G_b -orbit of length q^{r-1} . Let v_r denote $(q^r - 1)/(q - 1)$.

LEMMA 2.1. (i) G is primitive of even order. (ii) $\mu = \lambda + 2 = v_{r-2}$. (iii) $a^{\perp} \cap b^{\perp} \neq R(ab)$ for $b \in D(a)$.

Note that 2.1 (iii) eliminates problems with generalized quadrangles.

LEMMA 2.2. (i) $|a^{\perp} \cap C(b)| = q^{r-2}$ for $b \in D(a)$. (ii) G_{ab} is transitive on the points of $a^{\perp} \cap C(b)$ for $b \in D(a)$.

For the proofs, see Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 of [7].

NOTATION. If $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i\}$ is a set of $i \ge 2$ distinct points of X, then let $R(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i)$ denote

 $\cap \{z^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp} \colon x_{\scriptscriptstyle 1},\, x_{\scriptscriptstyle 2},\, \cdots,\, x_{\scriptscriptstyle i} \in z^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp} \quad ext{for} \quad z \in X\} = R(x_{\scriptscriptstyle 1},\, x_{\scriptscriptstyle 2},\, \cdots,\, x_{\scriptscriptstyle i}) \;.$

LEMMA 2.3. (i) $y \in R(x_1x_2\cdots x_i)$ if and only if $y^{\perp} \supseteq \cap \{x_j^{\perp} \colon 1 \leq j \leq i\}$.

(ii)
$$g(R(x_1x_2\cdots x_i)) = R(g(x_1)g(x_2)\cdots g(x_i))$$
 for $g \in G$.
(iii) $R(x_1x_2\cdots x_i) = R(y_1y_2\cdots y_i)$ if and only if

$$\cap \{x_j^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp}\colon 1 \leq j \leq i\} = \cap \{y_j^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp}\colon 1 \leq j \leq i\}$$
 .

REMARK. This lemma is valid for any permutation group G on X and for any self-paired orbit D(x) of G_x where $x^{\perp} = \{x\} \cup D(x)$.

Proof. In the proof the intersections are taken from j=1 to *i*. (i) Assume $y \in R(x_1x_2\cdots x_i)$. Let $w \in \cap x_j^{\perp}$. Then $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_i \in w^{\perp}$ by Lemma 2.1 (vi) of [7]. Since $y \in R(x_1x_2\cdots x_i)$ and $R(x_1x_2\cdots x_i) \subseteq w^{\perp}$, it follows that $y \in w^{\perp}$ and $w \in y^{\perp}$.

Conversely assume $y^{\perp} \supseteq \cap x_j^{\perp}$. Let $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i \in w^{\perp}$. Then $w \in \cap x_j^{\perp} \subseteq y^{\perp}$. So $y \in w^{\perp}$ and $y \in R(x_1x_2 \cdots x_i)$.

(ii) By (i) $z \in R(g(x_1)g(x_2)\cdots g(x_i))$ iff $z^{\perp} \supseteq \cap g(x_j)^{\perp}$ iff $(g^{-1}(z))^{\perp} \supseteq \cap [x_j^{\perp}]$ iff $g^{-1}(z) \in R(x_1x_2\cdots x_i)$ iff $z \in g(R(x_1x_2\cdots x_i))$.

(iii) Assume $R(x_1x_2\cdots x_i) = R(y_1y_2\cdots y_i)$. For $1 \leq j \leq i$, $x_j \in R(y_1y_2\cdots y_i)$. By (i) $x_j^{\perp} \supseteq \cap y_k^{\perp}$ for $1 \leq j \leq i$. So $\cap x_j^{\perp} \supseteq \cap y_k^{\perp}$. It follows that $\cap x_j^{\perp} = \cap y_k^{\perp}$.

Conversely assume $\cap x_j^{\perp} = \cap y_j^{\perp}$. Then $z \in R(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_i)$ iff $z^{\perp} \supseteq \cap x_j^{\perp} = \cap y_j^{\perp}$ iff $z \in R(y_1 y_2 \cdots y_i)$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

DEFINITION. A *l*-clique is a set $\{x\}$ for $x \in X$.

For $i \geq 2$, an *i-clique* is a set $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i\}$ of points of X such that $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}\}$ is an (i-1)-clique, $x_i \in D(x_j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq i-1$ and $x_i \notin R(x_1x_2 \cdots x_{i-1})$ where $R(x_1) = \{x_i\}$.

If $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i\}$ is an *i*-clique, then we will call $R(x_1x_2\cdots x_i)$ an *"i-space."*

Note that a "2-space" is a totally singular line of [2] and a "3-space" is a "plane" of [7]. Eventually an "*i*-space" will correspond to a totally singular subspace generated by i linearly independent singular points of a classical geometry.

NOTATION. Let T(xy) denote the pointwise stabilizer in G of $x^{\perp} \cap y^{\perp}$ for $y \in C(x)$. Thus

$$T(xy) = \cap \{G_z \colon z \in x^\perp \cap y^\perp\}$$
 .

PROPOSITION 2.4. $T(xy) \leq G_{R(xy)}$ and T(xy) is transitive on the points of R(xy) for $y \notin x^{\perp}$.

Proof. First we prove that $G_{R(xy)}$ is primitive on the points of R(xy). Indeed if |R(xy)| > 2, then $G_{R(xy)}$ is 2-transitive on the points of R(xy) by a lemma in [2]. If $R(xy) = \{x, y\}$, then $|G: G_{R(xy)}| = nl/2$ if $y \notin x^{\perp}$ and $|G: G_{xy}| = nl$. Therefore $|G_{R(xy)}: G_{R(xy)x}| = 2$ because $G_{R(xy)x} = G_{xy}$.

If $g \in G_{R(xy)}$, then

$$g(R(xy)) = R(g(x)g(y)) = R(xy)$$

and

$$g(x)^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp} \cap g(y)^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp} = x^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp} \cap y^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp}$$

by Lemma 2.3. But

$$T(xy)^g = \ \cap \ \{G_{g(z)} \colon z \in x^\perp \cap y^\perp\} = \ T(g(x)g(y))$$

and so $T(xy)^g = T(xy)$. Therefore T(xy) is a normal subgroup of the primitive group $G_{R(xy)}$. Since T(xy) does not fix R(xy) pointwise by hypothesis of the theorem, it follows that T(xy) is transitive on the points of R(xy).

Note that $G_{R(xy)}$ is a doubly transitive group on the points of R(xy) and has a normal subgroup I(xy). By familiar classification theorems not needed here, |R(xy)| - 1 is usually a prime power.

Note that if T(x), the pointwise stabilizer of x^{\perp} , is nontrivial, then T(xy) does not fix R(xy) pointwise for $y \notin x^{\perp}$ because T(x) is semiregular off x^{\perp} by a lemma in [2] and $T(x) \leq T(xy)$.

Denote the group generated by T(xy) for all $x, y \in X$ with $y \in C(x)$ simply as K. Thus

$$K = \langle T(xy) : x, y \in X, y \in C(x)
angle$$
 .

PROPOSITION 2.5. (i) If $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i\}$ is a set of *i* distinct points of X, then $K_{x_1x_2\cdots x_i}$ is transitive on the points of $\cap \{x_i^{\perp}: 1 \leq j \leq i\} - R(x_1x_2\cdots x_i).$

(ii) K is transitive on i-cliques.

Proof. (i) In the proof the intersections are taken from j=1 to *i*. Let *c* and *h* be distinct points of $\cap x_i^{\perp} - R(x_1x_2\cdots x_i)$. Either $c \in C(h)$ or $c \in D(h)$. If $c \in C(h)$, then R(ch) is a hyperbolic line in $\cap x_j^{\perp}$. Since |G| is even, $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i \in c^{\perp} \cap h^{\perp}$ and so T(ch)fixes x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i . By Proposition 2.4, there exists $t \in T(ch) \leq t$ $K_{x_1x_2}\cdots_{x_i}$ such that t(c) = h.

Assume now that $c \in D(h)$. Since $c, h \notin R(x_1x_2\cdots x_i)$, there exists by Lemma 2.3 (i) $u \in \cap x_j^{\perp} \cap C(c)$ and $v \in \cap x_j^{\perp} \cap C(h)$. There are 3 possible cases to consider:

(1) $u \in C(h)$, (2) $v \in C(c)$ and (3) $u \in D(h)$ and $v \in D(c)$.

(1) If $u \in \bigcap x_i^{\perp} \cap C(c) \cap C(h)$, then R(cu) is a hyperbolic line in $\cap x_j^{\perp}$. By Proposition 2.4, there exists $t \in T(cu) \leq K_{x_1x_2} \dots x_i$ such that t(c) = u. The line R(uh) is hyperbolic and lies in $\cap x_i^{\perp}$. By Proposition 2.4, there exists $s \in T(uh) \leq K_{x_1x_2,\dots,x_d}$ such that s(u) = h. Thus st(c) = hand $st \in K_{x_1x_2}\dots x_i$.

(2) If $v \in \bigcap x_i^{\perp} \cap C(c) \cap C(h)$, then a proof similar to that of case (1) yields the desired result.

(3) $u \in \bigcap x_i^{\perp} \cap C(c) \cap D(h)$ and $v \in \bigcap x_i^{\perp} \cap D(c) \cap C(h)$. Since $c \in C(c)$ D(h), there exists $w \in R(ch) - \{c, h\}$ because by hypothesis |R(ch)| > 2. Note $w \in C(u)$, for if $w \in u^{\perp}$, then $c \in R(ch) = R(wh) \subseteq u^{\perp}$, a contradiction in case (3). Now $w \in R(ch) \subseteq \bigcap x_i^{\perp}$. But $w \notin R(x_i x_2 \cdots x_i)$ because $u \in \cap x_j^{\perp} \cap C(w)$. So $u \in \cap x_j^{\perp} \cap C(c) \cap C(w)$. By case (1) there exists $t \in K_{x_1x_2} \dots x_i$ such that t(c) = w. Note $w \in C(v)$, for if $w \in v^{\perp}$, then $h \in R(ch) = R(wh) \subseteq v^{\perp}$, a contradiction. Now $v \in \bigcap x_i^{\perp} \cap$

 $C(w) \cap C(h)$. By case (1) there exists $s \in K_{x_1x_2} \dots x_i$ such that s(w) = h. So st(c) = h and $st \in K_{x_1x_2} \dots x_i$.

(ii) Let $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i\}$ and $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_i\}$ be 2 *i*-cliques. The proof is by induction on *i*. First note that *K* is transitive on *X* because *K* is a normal subgroup of the primitive group *G*. If *i*=1, then there exists $k \in K$ such that $k(x_1) = y_1$. Assume i > 1. By the induction assumption there exists $g \in K$ such that $g(x_j) = y_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, i - 1$. From Lemma 2.3 (ii) and the definition of *i*-clique, it follows that $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{i-1}, g(x_i)\}$ is an *i*-clique because $\{x_i, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i\}$ is an *i*-clique. Since

$$g(x_i), \; y_i \in \cap \{y_j^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp} \colon 1 \leq j \leq i-1\} - R(y_1y_2 \cdots y_{i-1})$$
 ,

by (i) there is $h \in K_{y_1y_2\cdots y_{i-1}}$ such that $h(g(x_i)) = y_i$. Thus $hg(x_j) = y_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, i$. This completes the proof of the proposition.

Note that 3-cliques exist by Lemma 2.1 (iii).

PROPOSITION 2.6. G_a is a rank 3 permutation group on the set of totally singular lines through a. For $b \in D(a)$, $G_{aR(ab)}$ has non-trivial orbits

$$\{R(ac) \colon c \in a^{\perp} \cap b^{\perp} = R(ab)\}$$

and

$$\{R(ac): c \in a^{\perp} \cap C(b)\}$$
 .

The proof is similar to that of Proposition 3.4 of [7]. This proposition follows from Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 and Proposition 2.5 (i) for i = 2 just as Proposition 3.4 of [7] follows from Lemmas 3.2 and 2.2 and Proposition 3.3 of [7].

PROPOSITION 2.7. Totally singular lines carry q + 1 points.

PROPOSITION 2.8. If $b \in D(a)$, the $X = \bigcup \{c^{\perp} : c \in R(ab)\}$.

PROPOSITION 2.9. X together with its totally singular lines forms a nondegenerate Shult space of finite rank ≥ 3 in which lines carry q + 1 points.

The proofs of the above three statements are identical to the proofs of Propositions 3.5, 3.6, and 3.7 of [7].

LEMMA 2.10. If $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i\}$ is an i-clique, then $R(x_1x_2\cdots x_i)$ is a Shult subspace of X.

Proof. In the proof the intersections are taken from j=1 to *i*. Let $d, e \in R(x_1x_2\cdots x_i)$. By definition of *i*-clique, $x_k \in \bigcap x_j^{\perp}$ for $1 \leq k \leq j$ and so by definition of "*i*-space" and by Lemma 2.3 (i) it follows that

$$d \in R(x_1x_2 \cdots x_i) \subseteq \cap x_i^{\perp} \subseteq e^{\perp}$$
.

Thus any two points of $R(x_1x_2\cdots x_i)$ are adjacent. Let the line R(xy) meet $R(x_1x_2\cdots x_i)$ in $\{u, v\}$. Then R(xy) = R(uv) and $x^{\perp} \cap y^{\perp} = u^{\perp} \cap v^{\perp}$. If $z \in R(xy)$, then

$$z^{\perp} \supseteq x^{\perp} \cap y^{\perp} = u^{\perp} \cap v^{\perp} \supseteq \cap x_{i}^{\perp}$$

since $u, v \in R(x_1x_2\cdots x_i)$ by Lemma 2.3. Thus $z \in R(x_1x_2\cdots x_i)$ and $R(xy) \subseteq R(x_1x_2\cdots x_i)$. Therefore $R(x_1x_2\cdots x_i)$ is a Shult subspace of X, as desired.

PROPOSITION 2.11. (i) q is a prime power and r is even.

(ii) Either X is isomorphic to the polar space S associated with an alternating form f defined on a projective space P of dimension r-1 over GF(q) or X is isomorphic to the polar space S associated with a symmetric form f defined on a projective space P of dimension r over GF(q) for q odd.

For the proof see Proposition 3.9 of [7].

Since r is even and $r \ge 5$, there exists a natural number $m \ge 3$ such that r = 2m.

PROPOSITION 2.12. (i) G is isomorphic to a subgroup of $P\Gamma U(f)$, the group of collineations of P which preserve the form f.

(ii) For $x \in X$, $\phi(x^{\perp}) = \{w \in P: f(w, w) = 0, f(w, \phi(x)) = 0\}$ where $\phi: X \rightarrow S$ is a polar space isomorphism.

(iii) For an i-clique, $|R(x_1x_2\cdots x_i)| = v_i$ and $|\cap \{x_j^{\perp} \colon 1 \leq j \leq i\}| = v_{r-i}$.

(iv) X contains m-cliques but does not contain (m + 1)-cliques.

Proof. For (i) and (ii) see Proposition 3.10 (i) and (ii) of [7]. (iii) From (ii) it follows that

$$\phi(R(x_1x_2\cdots x_i)) = \ \cap \ \{\phi(z)^{\perp}: \ \phi(x_1), \ \phi(x_2), \ \cdots, \ \phi(x_i) \in \phi(z^{\perp}\})$$

which equals the set of singular points in the intersection of all the hyperplanes containing $\phi(x_1)$, $\phi(x_2)$, \cdots , $\phi(x_i)$. But this set is the projective subspace generated by $\phi(x_1)$, $\phi(x_2)$, \cdots , $\phi(x_i)$ since $\phi(x_k) \perp \phi(x_j)$ for all k, j. Thus $|R(x_1x_2\cdots x_i)| = v_i$.

From (ii) $| \cap \{x_j^{\perp} \colon 1 \leq j \leq i\}| = v_{r-i}$.

(iv) Since r = 2m, (iv) follows from (iii).

Now let $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$ be a fixed *m*-clique of X. Then

$$x_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} \subset R(x_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} x_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}) \subset R(x_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} x_{\scriptscriptstyle 2} x_{\scriptscriptstyle 3}) \subset \cdots \subset R(x_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} x_{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \cdots x_{\scriptscriptstyle m})$$

is a chain of Shult subspaces of X of length $m \ge 3$. Define subgroups K_i of K as follows:

$$egin{array}{ll} K_{_1} &= K \ K_{_i} &= K_{_{i-1}} \cap K_{_{R(x_1x_2}\cdots x_{i-1})} & ext{ for } 2 \leq i \leq m+1 \ . \end{array}$$

Note the choice of the fixed *i*-clique is arbitrary since K is transitive on *i*-cliques.

PROPOSITION 2.13. (i) K_i is transitive on the set of "i-spaces" containing $R(x_1x_2\cdots x_{i-1})$, for $2 \leq i \leq m$.

(ii) $|K: K_{m+1}| = \prod_{j=1}^{m} v_{2j}$.

Proof. (i) Let $R(x_1x_2\cdots x_{i-1}d)$ and $R(x_1x_2\cdots x_{i-1}e)$ be "*i*-spaces" containing $R(x_1x_2\cdots x_{i-1})$. Then

$$d$$
, $e \in igcap_{j=1}^{i-1} x_j^{\scriptscriptstyle oxdot} - R(x_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} x_{\scriptscriptstyle 3} \cdots x_{i-1})$,

a set on which $K_{x_1x_2\cdots x_{i-1}}$ is transitive by Proposition 2.5. There exists $k \in K_{x_1x_2\cdots x_{i-1}}$ such that k(d) = e. By Lemma 2.3 (iii), it follows that

$$k(R(x_1x_2\cdots x_{i-1}d)) = R(x_1x_2\cdots x_{1-i}e)$$

and that $k \in K_i$.

(ii) For $2 \leq i \leq m$ the number of "*i*-spaces" containing $R(x_i x_2 \cdots x_{i-1})$ is

$$\left(\left| \bigcap_{j=1}^{i-1} x_j^{\perp} \right| - |R(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{i-1})| \right) / (|R(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_i)| - |R(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{i-1})|)$$

= $(v_{2m-(i-1)} - v_{i-1}) / (v_i - v_{i-1}) = v_{2(m-(i-1))}$.

So $|K_i: K_{i+1}| = v_{2(m-(i-1))}$ by (i). Since K is a normal subgroup of the primitive group G, K is transitive and $|K_1: K_2| = v_{2m}$. Now (ii) follows.

PROPOSITION 2.14. (i) $\psi(K)$ is a flag-transitive subgroup of PGU(f), the group of projective transformations of P which preserve f.

(ii) If X is symplectic, then $\psi(K) \ge PSp(2m, q)$.

(iii) If X is orthogonal, then $\psi(K) \ge P\Omega(2m+1, q)$.

Proof. Let $x, y \in X$ with $y \in C(x)$. Since T(xy) is the pointwise stabilizer in G of $x^{\perp} \cap y^{\perp}$, it follows that $\psi(T(xy))$ is the pointwise stabilizer in $\psi(G)$ of $\phi(x)^{\perp} \cap \phi(y)^{\perp}$. If t is a nontrivial element of T(xy), then $\psi(t) \in P\Gamma U(f)$ and fixes $\phi(x)^{\perp} \cap \phi(y)^{\perp}$ pointwise. This implies that $\psi(t) \in PGU(f)$ and so $\psi(K) \leq PGU(f)$.

Now $\psi(K_{m+1})$ fixes the flag

$$\{\phi(x_1), \langle \phi(x_1), \phi(x_2) \rangle, \cdots, \langle \phi(x_1), \phi(x_2), \cdots, \phi(x_m) \rangle \}$$
.

If B is the subgroup of PGU(f) which fixes the above flag, then B is a Borel subgroup of PGU(f) and $B \cap \psi(K) = \psi(K_{m+1})$. Therefore by Proposition 2.13 (ii)

$$egin{aligned} |B\psi(K)| &= |B|\! \cdot\! |\psi(K)\! \colon\! \psi(K_{m+1})| \ &= q^{m^2}\!(q-1)^m\! \cdot\! \prod\limits_{i=1}^m v_{2i} = |PGU(f)| \; . \end{aligned}$$

Thus $B\psi(K) = PGU(f)$ and $\psi(K)$ is a flag-transitive subgroup of PGU(f). By a theorem of Seitz [6], it follows that

$$\psi(K) \ge PSp(2m, q)$$

if X is symplectic and

$$\psi(K) \ge P \Omega(2m+1, q)$$

if X is orthogonal, as desired.

References

1. F. Buekenhout and E. Shult, On the foundations of polar geometry, Geometriae Dedicata, 3 (1974), 155-170.

2. D. G. Higman, Finite permutation groups of rank 3, Math. Z., 86 (1964), 145-156.

3. D. G. Higman and J. McLaughlin, Rank 3 subgroups of finite symplectic and unitary groups, J. Reine Angew Math., **218** (1965), 174-189.

4. W. Kantor, Rank 3 characterizations of classical geometries, J. Algebra, **36** (1975), 309-313

5. D. Perin, On collineation groups of finite projective spaces, Math. Z., **126** (1972), 135-142.

6. G. Seitz, Flag-transitive subgroups of Chevalley groups, Ann. of Math., (2) **97** (1973), 27-56.

7. A. Yanushka, A characterization of the symplectic groups $PS_p(2m, q)$ as rank 3 permutation groups, Pacific J. Math., **59** (1975) 611-621.

Received July 17, 1975 and in revised form July 9, 1976.

Southern Illinois University Carbondale, IL 62901

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

C. W. CURTIS University of Oregon Eugene, OR 97403

C.C. MOORE University of California Berkeley, CA 94720 J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

R. FINN AND J. MILGRAM Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF

K. Yoshida

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON * * * AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 72, No. 1 January, 1977

Kazuo Anzai and Shiro Ishikawa, On common fixed points for several	1
continuous affine mappings	1
Bruce Alan Barnes, When is a representation of a Banach *-algebra Naimark-related to a *-representation	5
Richard Dowell Byrd, Justin Thomas Lloyd, Franklin D. Pedersen and	
James Wilson Stepp, Automorphisms of the semigroup of finite	
complexes of a periodic locally cyclic group	27
Donald S. Coram and Paul Frazier Duvall, Jr., Approximate fibrations and a	
movability condition for maps	41
Kenneth R. Davidson and Che-Kao Fong, An operator algebra which is not	
closed in the Calkin algebra	57
Garret J. Etgen and James Pawlowski, A comparison theorem and	
oscillation criteria for second order differential systems	59
Philip Palmer Green, C [*] -algebras of transformation groups with smooth	
orbit space	71
Charles Allen Jones and Charles Dwight Lahr, <i>Weak and norm approximate</i>	
identities are different	99
G. K. Kalisch, On integral representations of piecewise holomorphic	
functions	105
Y. Kodama, On product of shape and a question of Sher	115
Heinz K. Langer and B. Textorius, On generalized resolvents and	
<i>Q</i> -functions of symmetric linear relations (subspaces) in Hilbert	
\sim s ~ s \sim s \sim s	135
Albert Edward Livingston, On the integral means of univalent, meromorphic	
functions	167
Wallace Smith Martindale, III and Susan Montgomery, <i>Fixed elements of</i>	
Jordan automorphisms of associative rings	181
R. Kent Nagle, Monotonicity and alternative methods for nonlinear	
boundary value problems	197
Richard John O'Malley, Approximately differentiable functions: the r	
topology	207
Mangesh Bhalchandra Rege and Kalathoor Varadaraian, <i>Chain conditions</i>	
and pure-exactness.	223
Christine Ann Shannon. The second dual of $C(X)$.	237
Sin-ei Takahasi A characterization for compact central double centralizers	
of C*-algebras	255
Theresa Phillips Vaughan, A note on the Jacobi-Perron algorithm	261
Arthur Anthony Yanushka, A characterization of PSp(2m, a) and	
$P\Omega(2m+1, q)$ as rank 3 permutation groups	273