Pacific Journal of Mathematics

A DECOMPOSITION OF ADDITIVE SET FUNCTIONS

WAYNE C. BELL

Vol. 72, No. 2

February 1977

A DECOMPOSITION OF ADDITIVE SET FUNCTIONS

WAYNE C. BELL

In this paper it is demonstrated that if μ is an additive function from a field F into the nonnegative reals, then μ can be separated into two mutually singular parts, μ_1 and μ_2 , where μ_1 is representable in the sense that its Lebesgue decomposition projection operator has a refinement integral representation and μ_2 is such that for each $E \in F$ the contraction of μ_2 to E is representable iff $\mu_2(E) = 0$. If μ_2 is maximal, then the decomposition is unique.

1. Introduction. Suppose S is a set, F a field of subsets of S, b(F) the set of bounded functions from F into R, and ba(F) the set of functions in b(F) which are additive on disjoint elements of F. For $H \subseteq ba(F)$ denote by H^+ the set of nonnegative valued elements of H and let μ be in $ba(F)^+$. For $\lambda \in ba(F)^+$ denote by A_{λ} the set of elements in ba(F) which are absolutely continuous with respect to λ and by α_{λ} the Lebesgue decomposition projection operator for λ , i.e., for $\eta \in ba(F)$, $\alpha_{\lambda}(\eta)$ is that part of η which is absolutely continuous with respect to λ [5]. For $\lambda \in ba(F)^+$ we say that λ is representable if there exists a $g: F \to R$ such that $\alpha_{\lambda}(\eta) = \int g\eta$ for each $\eta \in ba(F)$ in which case g will be said to represent λ .

2. Preliminary theorems. All integrals in this paper are refinement limits of sums over finite subdivision of S by elements of F. If $\beta: F \to \mathbf{R}$ and $\int_{S} \beta(I)$ exists we will denote by $\int \beta$ the function $\left\{ \left(v, \int_{v} \beta(I) \right) \middle| v \in F \right\}$. For further details concerning the integral and 2. K. 1 and 2. K. 2 below see [1].

THEOREM 2. K. 1. If $\alpha: F \to \mathbf{R}$ and $\int_{s} \alpha(I)$ exists, then

$$\int_{s} \left| lpha(v) - \int_{v} \left| lpha(I) \right|
ight|$$

exists and is zero. Consequently, if $\beta \in b(F)$ and $v \in F$, then $\int_{v} \beta(I) \int_{I} \alpha(J)$ exists iff $\int_{v} \beta(I) \alpha(I)$ exists in which case they are equal.

Proof. [9].

COROLLARY 2. K. 2. If $\alpha: F \to R$ and $\beta: F \to R$ and each of

 $\int_{s} \alpha(I) \text{ and } \int_{s} \beta(I) \text{ exists and } M \text{ is either max or min then } \int M\{\alpha, \beta\}$ exists iff $\int M\{\int \alpha, \int \beta\}$ exists in which case they are equal.

Proof. [1].

Notice that if h represents μ , then for $\lambda \in ba(F)^+$ we have $0 \leq \alpha_{\mu}(\lambda) = \int h\lambda \leq \lambda$ so that $\int h\lambda = \int \max\{0, \min\{h, 1\}\}\lambda$ and therefore h can be replaced by a bounded function. Also any representation for μ which is valid for $ba(F)^+$ is valid for $\eta \in ba(F)$ since $\alpha_{\mu}(\eta) = \alpha_{\mu}(\eta^+) - \alpha_{\mu}(\eta^-)$ [5] where η^+ and η^- are the positive and negative parts of η , respectively. Consequently we will restrict our attention to $ba(F)^+$.

We will also have need of the following theorem due to Appling.

THEOREM 2.A. If $\mu \in ba(F)^+$, $\eta \in A_{\mu}$, $\beta \in b(F)$ and $\int \beta \mu$ exists, then $\int \beta \eta$ exists.

Proof. [3].

If in subsequent statements the existence of a given integral or its equivalence to a given integral is an immediate consequence of the statements of this section, the integral will often only be written and the proof of existence or equivalence will be left to the reader.

3. Two lemmas. By the remarks of the previous section if μ has a representing function, then it has a bounded representing function which, by the following lemma we may assume to be the characteristic function of some subset of F.

LEMMA 3.1. Suppose $h \in b(F)$ and for each $\lambda \in ba(F)^+$ we have $\int h\lambda$ exists and is equal to $\int h \int h\lambda$. Then there exists a $g: F \to \{0, 1\}$ such that for each $\lambda \in ba(F)^+$ we have $\int g\lambda$ exists and is equal to $\int h\lambda$.

Proof. Let $\alpha = h^2$, $\beta = \min \{\alpha, 1\}$ and suppose $\lambda \in ba(F)^+$. It is an easy consequence of 2.K.1 and 2.K.2 that

$$\int \alpha \lambda = \int \alpha^2 \lambda = \int \alpha \int \alpha \lambda = \int h \lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \int \beta \lambda = \int \beta \int \beta \lambda = \int \beta^2 \lambda .$$

$$\begin{split} \int \alpha \lambda &\leq \int \max \left\{ \alpha, 1 \right\} \lambda - \lambda + \lambda \leq \int \max \left\{ \alpha, 1 \right\} (\max \left\{ \alpha, 1 \right\} - 1) \lambda + \lambda = \lambda \\ \text{hence } \int \beta \lambda &= \int \min \left\{ \alpha, 1 \right\} \lambda = \int \alpha \lambda = \int h \lambda. \quad \text{Now} \\ \mathbf{0} &\leq \int \min \left\{ \beta, 1 - \beta \right\} \lambda = \int (1 - \beta) \min \left\{ \beta, 1 - \beta \right\} \lambda + \int \beta \min \left\{ \beta, 1 - \beta \right\} \lambda \\ &= \int \min \left\{ \beta - \beta^2, (1 - \beta)^2 \right\} \lambda + \int \min \left\{ \int \beta^2 \lambda, \int \beta \lambda - \int \beta^2 \lambda \right\} \\ &= \int \min \left\{ \int \beta \lambda - \int \beta^2 \lambda, \int (1 - \beta)^2 \lambda \right\} + \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}. \end{split}$$

For each $v \in F$ let $l(v) = \begin{cases} \beta(v) & \text{if } \beta(v) \leq 1/2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$ Then $0 \leq l \leq \min \{\beta, 1 - \beta\}$ so that $\int l\lambda$ exists and is zero. For each $v \in F$ let

Now by 2.K.2. $\int g\lambda$ exists and we have

$$egin{aligned} &\int g\lambda = \int \min \left\{ 2(eta - l), 1
ight\} \lambda = \int \min \left\{ 2\int eta \lambda - 2\int l\lambda, \lambda
ight\} \ &= \int \min \left\{ 2\int eta \lambda, \lambda
ight\} = \int eta \lambda + \int \min \left\{ \int eta \lambda, \lambda - \int eta \lambda
ight\} \ &= \int eta \lambda - \int \min eta \lambda, 1 - eta
ight\} \lambda = \int eta \lambda \,. \end{aligned}$$

If D is a subdivision of S, i.e., a finite disjoint subset of F whose union is S, then H is a refinement of D, $H \ll D$, means that H is a subdivision of S and for each $v \in D$ there exists a subset H_v of H whose union is v.

LEMMA 2. Suppose $\lambda \in ba(F)^+$, (E_i) is a disjoint sequence in F, B > 0 and for each $i \in N$ we have $g_i: F \to [0, B]$ and $\int g_i \lambda$ exists. Suppose also that if $i \in N$, $I \in F$ and $g_i(I) \neq 0$, then $I \subseteq E_i$. Then for each $v \in F$, $\int_v g(I)\lambda(I)$ exists and is $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_v g_i(I)\lambda(I)$, where $g(I) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} g_i(I)$ for each $I \in F$.

 $\begin{array}{l} Proof. \ \ {\rm Let}\ v \in F \ {\rm and}\ c > 0. \ \ {\rm Let}\ n \ \ {\rm be}\ \ {\rm such}\ \ {\rm that}\ \ \sum_n^\infty \lambda(E_i \cap v) < \\ c/4B. \ \ {\rm For}\ \ {\rm each}\ \ i \leq n \ \ {\rm let}\ \ D_i \ll \{E_i \cap v\} \ \ {\rm be}\ \ {\rm such}\ \ {\rm that}\ \ {\rm if}\ \ K \ll D_i, \ \ {\rm then} \\ \left| \ \sum_K g_{\imath}(I)\lambda(I) - \int_{v \cap E_i} g_i(I)\lambda(I) \right| < c/2n. \ \ {\rm Let} \end{array}$

$$D=(igcup_{i=1}^n D_i)\cup\{v\thicksimigcup_{i=1}^n E_i\}$$

and suppose $H \ll D$. Let $H_i = \{I \in H | I \subseteq E_i\}$ for each *i* and $H' = H \sim \bigcup_{i=1}^n H_i$. Note that if $I \in H_i$, then $g_i(I) = g(I)$. Now

$$egin{aligned} & \left|\sum\limits_{H}g(I)\lambda(I)-\sum\limits_{1}^{\infty}\int_{v}g_{i}(I)\lambda(I)
ight|\ &\leq \left|\sum\limits_{i=v}^{n}\sum\limits_{H_{i}}g(I)\lambda(I)-\sum\limits_{1}^{n}\int_{v\cap E_{i}}g_{i}(I)\lambda(I)
ight|\ &+\left|\sum\limits_{H'}g(I)\lambda(I)
ight|+\left|\sum\limits_{n+1}^{\infty}\int_{v\cap E_{i}}g_{i}(I)\lambda(I)
ight|\ &\leq\sum\limits_{1}^{n}\left|\sum\limits_{H_{i}}g_{i}(I)\lambda(I)-\int_{v\cap E_{i}}g_{i}(I)\lambda(I)
ight|\ &+\sum\left\{g(I)\lambda(I)
ight|I\in H',\ I\subseteq E_{j}\cap v \quad ext{and}\quad j>n
ight\}\ &+\sum\limits_{n+1}^{\infty}B\lambda(E_{i}\cap v)\ &<\sum\limits_{1}^{n}c/2n+\sum\limits_{n+1}^{\infty}B\lambda(E_{j}\cap v)+B\cdot c/4B\ &\leq c/2+B\cdot c/4B+c/4=c\ .\end{aligned}$$

For $v \in F$ denote by x_v the characteristic function of $\{I \in F | I \subseteq v\}$ and by $c_v(u)$ the contraction of μ to v, i.e., $c_v(\mu) = \int x_v \mu$.

A linear transformation, T, from ba(F) into $ba(\vec{F})$ is in the class \mathscr{C} [2] iff there exists a K > 0 such that for each $v \in F$ and ξ in ba(F) we have

$$\int_v |T(\xi)(I)| \leq K \int_v |\xi(I)| \;.$$

THEOREM 3.A. If $T \in \mathscr{C}$, $\eta \in ba(F)^+$ and $\delta \in A_{\eta}$, then $T(\delta) = \int (T(\eta)/\eta) \delta$.

Proof. [2].

In [4] it was shown that the elements of \mathscr{C} commute. Now, if $v \in F$ and $\lambda \in A_{\mu}^{+}$, then c_{v} , α_{μ} and α_{λ} are clearly in \mathscr{C} . Therefore for $\xi \in ba(F)$ we have $\alpha_{\lambda}(\xi) \in A_{\mu}$, so that

$$3.c.1. \qquad \alpha_{\lambda}(\xi) = \alpha_{\mu}(\alpha_{\lambda}(\xi)) = \alpha_{\lambda}(\alpha_{\mu}(\xi)) = \int (\alpha_{\lambda}(\mu)/\mu) \alpha_{\mu}(\xi) \ ,$$

consequently if μ is representable, then λ is also. If we replace λ , in 3.c.1, by $c_{\nu}(\mu)$ we have:

3.c.2.
$$lpha_{c_v(\mu)}(\xi) = (c_v \circ lpha_\mu)(\xi)$$
 ,

hence we may say that if g represents $c_{i}(\mu)$ and $I \in F$ is such that $I \subseteq v$, then $g \cdot x_r$ represents $c_r(\mu)$.

The decomposition. Suppose $R \subseteq F$ is a ring of subsets of 4. S such that $I \in F$ and $I \subseteq v \in R$ implies that $I \in R$, then if f is the characteristic function of R and $\lambda \in ba(F)^+$ the expression $\sum_{D} f(I)\lambda(I)$ is nondecreasing for successive refinements and bounded by $\lambda(S)$ so that $\int f\lambda$ exists.

THEOREM 1. Suppose $R \subseteq F$ is a ring of subsets of S for which $I \in F$ and $I \subseteq v \in R$ imply $I \in R$. Suppose further that $c_v(\mu)$ is representable for each $v \in R$ and $\int f\mu = \mu$ where f is the characteristic function of R. Then μ is representable.

Proof. Since $\mu = \int f \mu$ we have for each n there exists $D_n \ll \{S\}$ such that if $E \ll D_n$, then $\mu(S) - \sum_{E} f(I) \mu(I) < 1/n$ and D_n can be chosen so that $D_n \ll D_{n-1}$. Therefore if $v_n = \bigcup \{I \in D_n | f(I) = 1\}$, then $v_n \subseteq v_{n+1}$ and $\mu(S \sim v_n) < 1/n$ for each n. Let $E_1 = v_1$ and $E_i = v_i \sim v_{i-1}$ for i > 1. For each i let $\mu_i = c_{E_i}(\mu)$ and $g_i \colon F \to \{0, 1\}$ be such that $g_i \cdot x_{E_i} = g_i$ and $\alpha_{\mu_i}(\lambda) = \int g_i \lambda$ for each $\lambda \in b\alpha(F)^+$. Let $g = \sum_i^{\infty} g_i$ and suppose $\lambda \in b\alpha(F)^+$. By Lemma 2, $\int g\lambda$ exists and is $\sum_i^{\infty} \int g_i\lambda$ and for each *i* we have $\alpha_{\mu_i}(\lambda) = \int g_i \lambda \in A_{\mu_i} \subseteq A_{\mu}$ and therefore $\int g \lambda \in A_{\mu}$. Thus, if $\lambda = \int g \lambda$, then $\lambda \in A_{\mu}$.

Now suppose $\lambda \in A_{\mu}^+$. Let c > 0 and n be such that $\mu(I) < 1/n$ implies that $\lambda(I) < c$. Then

$$egin{aligned} 0&\leq\lambda(S)-\int_S g(I)\lambda(I)\leq\lambda(S)-\sum_1^n\int_S g_i(I)\lambda(I)=\lambda(S)-\sum_1^nlpha_{\mu_i}(\lambda)(S)\ &=\lambda(S)-\sum_1^n c_{E_i}\circlpha_{\mu}(\lambda)(S)=\lambda(S)-\sum_1^nlpha_{\mu}(\lambda)(E_i)\ &=\lambda(S)-\sum_1^n\lambda(E_i)=\lambda(S)-\lambda(v_n)=\lambda(S\sim v_n)< c \;. \end{aligned}$$

follows that $\int g\lambda \leq lpha_{\mu}(\lambda) = \int g lpha_{\mu}(\lambda) \leq \int g\lambda$, hence g represents μ .

If η and $\dot{\delta}$ are in $ba(F)^{'+}$ we will say that they are mutually singular if whenever $\lambda \in ba(F)^+$ and $\lambda \leq \eta$ and $\lambda \leq \delta$, then $\lambda = 0$. This is the notion of singularity used in [5] and [10] which is equivalent to that of [6]. It is also equivalent to $\min \{\eta, \delta\} = 0$.

Since η and δ are only finitely additive we cannot, necessarily, obtain disjoint sets s_1 and s_2 such that $\eta(s_1) = \delta(s_2) = 0$ with $s_1 \cup s_2 = t$.

THEOREM 2. There exist μ_1 and μ_2 in $ba(F)^+$ such that: (1) μ_1 and μ_2 are mutually singular and $\mu = \mu_1 + \mu_2$.

- (2) μ_1 is representable.
- (3) For each $v \in F$ we have $c_v(\mu_2)$ is representable iff $\mu_2(v) = 0$.

(4) If μ_3 is in $ba(F)^+$, $\mu_2 \leq \mu_3 \leq \mu$ and for each $v \in F$ we have $c_v(\mu_3)$ is representable iff $\mu_3(v) = 0$, then $\mu_2 = \mu_3$.

Proof. If $I, v \in F$, $I \subseteq v$ and h represents $c_v(\mu)$, then by 3.c.2. $x_I \cdot h$ represents $c_I(\mu)$. Consequently $R = \{v \in F | c_v(\mu) \text{ is representable}\}$ is a ring satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1 since for I and v in R with h, k representing $c_I(\mu)$ and $c_v(\mu)$ respectively we have $h + x_{v\sim I} \cdot k$ represents $c_{I \cup v}(\mu)$. Let f be the characteristic function of R and $\mu_1 = \int f\mu$. Then for each $v \in R$ we have

$$c_v(\mu_1) = \int x_v \mu_1 = \int x_v \int f \mu = \int x_v f \mu = \int x_v \mu = c_v(\mu)$$

so that $c_{v}(\mu_{1})$ is representable. Also

$$\int f \mu_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} = \int f \int f \mu = \int f^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \mu = \int f \mu = \mu_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$$

and thus, by Theorem 1, μ_1 is representable. Let $\mu_2 = \mu - \mu_1 = \int (1-f)\mu$ and note that μ_1 and μ_2 are mutually singular since $\min \{f, 1-f\} = 0$. Therefore for $\lambda \in ba(F)^+$ we have $\alpha_{\mu_1}(\lambda)$ and $\alpha_{\mu_2}(\lambda)$ are mutually singular hence

$$lpha_{\mu_1}(\lambda)+lpha_{\mu_2}(\lambda)=\int \max\left\{lpha_{\mu_1}(\lambda),\,lpha_{\mu_2}(\lambda)
ight\}\leq lpha_{\mu}(\lambda)\leq lpha_{\mu_1}(\lambda)+lpha_{\mu_2}(\lambda)\;,$$

i.e., $\alpha_{\mu_1} + \alpha_{\mu_2} = \alpha_{\mu}$. Now suppose $v \in F$ and $c_v(\mu_2)$ is representable, then $c_v(\mu) = c_v(\mu_1) + c_v(\mu_2)$ is representable so that $v \in R$. Therefore f(I) = 1 for each $I \in F$ for which $I \subseteq v$. Hence

$$\mu_2(v) = \int_v (1 - f(I)) \mu(I) = 0 \; .$$

Finally suppose $\mu_3 \in ba(F)^+$ and $\mu_2 \leq \mu_3 \leq \mu$ and $c_v(\mu_3)$ is representable iff $\mu_3(v) = 0$. For each $v \in R$ we have $c_v(\mu_3)$ is representable by 3.c.1. so that $\mu_3(v) = 0$. Therefore

$$\mu_3 = \int f \mu_3 + \int (1-f) \mu_3 \leq 0 + \int (1-f) \mu = \mu_2$$
.

This decomposition differs from those of [6], [7] and [10] in that

it does not give rise to a normal subspace [5]. To see that this is true suppose that the set R of those elements of ba(F) whose total variations are representable is a normal subspace and note that if $a \in ba(F)^+$ and for each $v \in F$ we have $a(v) \in \{0, a(S)\}$, then $a \in R$. Therefore for any summable sequence, (a_n) , of such elements we have $\lambda = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \in R$. Consequently α_{λ} has an integral representation. However by [4] this is true iff the linear functional $\eta \to \alpha_{\lambda}(\eta)(S)$ has an integral representation and in [8] it was shown that this is not always true.

References

1. W. D. L. Appling, Summability of real-valued set functions, Rivista Mathematica, University of Parma, VIII, No. 2 (1967), 77-100.

2. _____, Concerning a class of linear transformations, J. London Math. Soc., 44 (1969), 385-396.

3. _____, Addendum to: 'Some integral characterizations of absolute continuity,' Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **24** (1970), 788-793.

4. _____, An isomorphism and isometry theorem for a class of linear functionals, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., ICC (1974), 131-140.

5. S. Bochner and R. S. Phillips, Additive set functions and vector lattices, Ann. of Math., (2) 42 (1941), 131-140.

6. Richard B. Darst, A decomposition of finitely additive set functions, J. Reine Angew. Math., **210** (1962), 31-37.

7. E. Hewitt and K. Yoshida, *Finitely additive measures*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **72** (1952), 46-66.

8. Michael Keisler, Integral representation for elements of the dual of $ba(c, \Sigma)$, preprint.

9. A. N. Kolmogoroff, Untersuchen über den Integralbegriff, Math. Annals, CIII (1930), 654-696.

10. Detlef Plachky, *Decomposition of Additive Set Functions*, Transactions of the Sixth Prague Conference on Information Theory, Satistical Decision Functions, Random Processes (Technical Univ. Prague, 1971; dedicated to the memory of Antonin Spacek), pp. 715-719. Academic, Prague, 1973.

Received October 29, 1976 and in revised form April 25, 1977.

MURRAY STATE UNIVERSITY MURRAY, KY 42071

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)

University of California Los Angeles, CA 90024

CHARLES W. CURTIS University of Oregon Eugene, OR 97403

C.C. MOORE University of California Berkeley, CA 94720 J. Dugundji

Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. Wolf

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON * * *

K. YOSHIDA

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Jaurnal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of your manuscript. You may however, use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics expects the author's institution to pay page charges, and reserves the right to delay publication for nonpayment of charges in case of financial emergency.

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72 00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.).

8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1975 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 72, No. 2 February, 1977

George E. Andrews, Plane partitions. II. The equivalence of the	
Bender-Knuth and MacMahon conjectures	283
Lee Wilson Badger, An Ehrenfeucht game for the multivariable quantifiers of Malitz and some applications	293
Wayne C. Bell, A decomposition of additive set functions	305
Bruce Blackadar, <i>Infinite tensor products of C*-algebras</i>	313
Arne Brøndsted, <i>The inner aperture of a convex set</i>	335
N. Burgoyne, <i>Finite groups with Chevalley-type components</i>	34
Richard Dowell Byrd, Justin Thomas Lloyd and Roberto A. Mena, On the	35
retractability of some one-relator groups	55.
Paul Robert Chernoff, Schrödinger and Dirac operators with singular potentials and hyperbolic equations	36
John J. F. Fournier, <i>Sharpness in Young's inequality for convolution</i>	383
Stanley Phillip Franklin and Barbara V. Smith Thomas, <i>On the metrizability</i>	200
$of k_{\omega}$ -spaces	399
David Andrew Gay, Andrew McDaniel and William Yslas Vélez, <i>Partially</i>	40/
normal radical extensions of the rationals	40
Jean-Jacques Gervais, <i>Sufficiency of jets</i>	419
Kenneth R. Goodearl, <i>Completions of regular rings. II</i>	423
Sarah J. Gottlieb, Algebraic automorphisms of algebraic groups with stable maximal tori	46
Donald Gordon James, Invariant submodules of unimodular Hermitian	
forms	47
J. Kyle, $W_{\delta}(T)$ is convex	48
Ernest A. Michael and Mary Ellen Rudin, <i>A note on Eberlein compacts</i>	48′
Ernest A. Michael and Mary Ellen Rudin, <i>Another note on Eberlein</i>	10
compacts	49′
Thomas Bourque Muenzenberger and Raymond Earl Smithson, <i>Fixed point</i> theorems for acyclic and dendritic spaces	50
Budh Singh Nashier and A. R. Rajwade, <i>Determination of a unique solution</i>	
of the quadratic partition for primes $p \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$	51
Frederick J. Scott, <i>New partial asymptotic stability results for nonlinear</i>	
ordinary differential equations	52
Frank Servedio, Affine open orbits, reductive isotropy groups, and dominant	
gradient morphisms; a theorem of Mikio Sato	53′
D. Suryanarayana, On the distribution of some generalized square-full	
integers	54′
Wolf von Wahl, Instationary Navier-Stokes equations and parabolic	
systems	55