

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**A CLASS OF MODIFIED  $\xi$  AND  $L$ -FUNCTIONS**

EMIL GROSSWALD AND F. J. SCHNITZER

## A CLASS OF MODIFIED $\zeta$ AND $L$ -FUNCTIONS

E. GROSSWALD AND F. J. SCHNITZER

**The purpose of this paper is the construction of a class of functions that have exactly the same complex zeros as the Riemann zeta function  $\zeta(s)$ , or as any Dirichlet function  $L(s, \chi)$ . The motivation for this construction is found in certain attempts to study the Riemann hypothesis.**

The problem of the Riemann hypothesis has been approached, occasionally

(a) by attempts to study functions that share with  $\zeta(s)$  ( $s = \sigma + it$ ) certain analytic properties (e.g., representation by Dirichlet series, functional equation, Euler product, etc.), in order to see what restrictions these properties impose upon their zeros; or

(b) by the construction of functions, whose zeros are subject to certain restrictions (e.g., they do, or they don't satisfy a "Riemann hypothesis"), in the hope to detect similarities with, or differences from  $\zeta(s)$ , or  $L(s, \chi)$ .

To the first approach belong attempts to show that some of those analytic properties suffice to impose some kind of "Riemann hypothesis." These attempts were not too successful, in part because it turned out that functions like the the Epstein zeta function, with many of the properties of  $\zeta(s)$  (functional equation, Dirichlet series-but no Euler product) may have zeros with  $\sigma > 1$ , and also with  $0 < s < 1$  (see [4]).

To the second approach belongs, e.g., an attempt by Rademacher [5] to disprove the Riemann hypothesis, by studying the class of functions for which, assuming "the Riemann hypothesis," the sum  $\sum_{\gamma} \gamma^{-1} \sin \gamma t = f(t)$  ( $\gamma =$  imaginary part of the complex zero  $1/2 + i\gamma$ ) exhibits the discontinuities known to occur when  $\rho = 1/2 + i\gamma$  are zeros of  $\zeta(s)$ . It was shown, however, by Rubel and Straus (see [6] and [7]) that the known behaviour of  $f(t)$  for  $\zeta(s)$  is implied already by conditions much weaker than the Riemann hypothesis.

The results of the present paper seem to indicate that the last approach is unlikely to lead to interesting conclusions, but suggest a new and potentially useful approach. Indeed, we construct a class of functions of analytic character very different from that of  $\zeta(s)$  and, nevertheless, with the same complex zeros. We also sketch the construction of functions that share their complex zeros with Dirichlet's  $L$ -functions. In principle, the construction is valid for all Dirichlet series with an Euler product. These new functions have a Dirichlet

series representation, also an Euler product, but, in general, they do not satisfy any functional equation and often cannot even be continued analytically beyond  $\sigma > 0$ .

Section 2 contains the principle of the construction and the statements of the main results. Sections 3 and 4 contain the proofs, Section 5 discusses analytic continuations and Section 6 mentions some possible applications.

2. Main results. Let  $p_n$  be the  $n$ th prime and select  $q_n$  so that

$$(1) \quad p_n \leq q_n \leq p_{n+1}.$$

With these  $q_n$  form the infinite product

$$(2) \quad \zeta^*(s) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q_n^{-s})^{-1}.$$

The product converges absolutely for  $\sigma > 1$  and uniformly  $\sigma \geq 1 + \varepsilon$  ( $\varepsilon > 0$ ), so that  $\zeta^*(s)$  is holomorphic for  $\sigma > 1$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *The function  $\zeta^*(s)$  possesses the following properties:*

(i)  $\zeta^*(s) \neq 0$  for  $\sigma > 1$ ; (ii)  $\zeta^*(s)$  can be continued as a meromorphic function in  $\sigma > 0$ ; (iii) in  $\sigma > 0$ ,  $\zeta^*(s)$  has a single pole at  $s = 1$  with residue  $r$ ,  $1/2 \leq r \leq 1$ ; (iv) in  $\sigma > 0$ ,  $\zeta^*(s)$  has the same zeros, with the same multiplicities as  $\zeta(s)$ .

Theorem 1 remains valid if  $q_n$  is restricted only by  $p_n \leq q_n$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ , with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n/q_n = 1$ . In general, any restriction on  $q_n$  may be applied only to subscripts  $n \geq n_0$  ( $n_0$  arbitrarily large). Many other generalizations of Theorem 1 are also possible.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $L(s, \chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi(n)n^{-s} = \prod_p (1 - \chi(p)p^{-s})^{-1}$ , where  $\chi(n)$  is a Dirichlet character modulo the natural integer  $k$ . For  $K \geq k$ , select rational integers  $q_n$  that satisfy the two conditions*

$$(3) \quad p_n \leq q_n \leq p_n + K \quad \text{and} \quad p_n \equiv q_n \pmod{k}.$$

For  $\sigma > 1$  define  $L^*(s, \chi)$  by the absolutely convergent infinite product

$$(4) \quad L^*(s, \chi) = \prod (1 - \chi(q)q^{-s})^{-1},$$

extended over all  $q = q_n$ . The function  $L^*(s, \chi)$  can be continued into the whole half plane  $\sigma > 0$ , where it has exactly the same zeros (including multiplicities) as  $L(s, \chi)$ . If  $\chi(n)$  is not the principal character, then  $L^*(s, \chi)$  is holomorphic in  $\sigma > 0$ .

The sketch of the proof of Theorem 2 (see §4) will suggest to the reader a number of more general possible versions of the theorem.

3. Proof of Theorem 1. Set

$$(5) \quad \varphi(s) = \prod_p \{(1 - p^{-s})(1 - q^{-s})^{-1}\},$$

where  $p$  runs through the primes  $p_n$  and  $q$  through the corresponding real numbers  $q_n$ ; here and in what follows the subscript  $n$  is suppressed whenever this does not lead to ambiguities. For  $\sigma > 1$ , the infinite product (5) converges absolutely (in fact, each factor converges separately) and

$$(6) \quad \zeta^*(s) = \varphi(s)\zeta(s).$$

LEMMA 1. *The infinite product (5) converges absolutely in  $\sigma > 0$ , where  $\varphi(s) \neq 0$ . The convergence is uniform on compact sets  $\sigma_0 \leq \sigma \leq \sigma_1$ ,  $|t| \leq T$ , for any given constants with  $\sigma_1 > \sigma_0 > 0$  and  $T > 0$ .*

Assuming Lemma 1, (6) may be used to define the analytic continuation of  $\zeta^*(s)$  to the whole set into which  $\varphi(s)$  can be continued, which contains the half plane  $\sigma > 0$ . From this all statements of Theorem 1 follow immediately, except the value of the residue  $r$ . For real  $s$  one obtains, by using the uniformity of the convergence, that

$$\begin{aligned} r &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 1^+} \{\zeta^*(s)/\zeta(s)\} = \lim_{s \rightarrow 1^+} \prod_p \{1(1 - p^{-s})(1 - q^{-s})^{-1}\} \\ &= \prod_p \{(1 - p^{-1})(1 - q^{-1})^{-1}\} = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{p \leq P} \{(1 - p^{-1})(1 - q^{-1})^{-1}\} \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{n=1}^N \{(1 - p_n^{-1})(1 - q_n^{-1})^{-1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

By (1) and  $p_1 = 2$  it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} &= 1 - \frac{1}{2} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{n=1}^N \{(1 - p_n^{-1})(1 - p_{n+1}^{-1})^{-1}\} \leq r \\ &\leq \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{n=1}^N \{1 - p_n^{-1}\} = 1, \end{aligned}$$

as claimed.

The proof of Theorem 1 has been reduced to that of Lemma 1. In its proof (and also in that of Lemma 3) we shall need several, presumably wellknown inequalities, which we collect, for convenience, in

LEMMA 2. *For integral, rational  $m_0$  and real  $\alpha, x, y, \varepsilon$ , and  $u$ , with  $0 < x < 1$ ,  $0 < y < 1$ ,  $0 < \varepsilon < 1/2$ ,  $u > 0$ , the following inequalities hold:*

- (i)  $1 - \cos \alpha < \alpha^2/2$ ;
- (ii)  $|\log x| < (1 - x)/x$ ;
- (iii)  $1 < (1 - x)/(1 - x^y) < y^{-1}$ , with  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} \{(1 - x)/(1 - x^y)\} = y^{-1}$ ;
- (iv) if  $f(x) = \sum_{m=m_0+1}^{\infty} m^{-1} x^m$ , then  $0 < f(x) < x^{m_0+1}/(1 - x)(m_0 + 1)$ ;
- (v)  $1 - (1 - \varepsilon)^u < (1 + \varepsilon)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon u})$ .

*Proof of Lemma 2.* (i) is classical. (ii) follows from

$$|\log x| = |\log(1 - (1 - x))| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1}(1 - x)^n < (1 - x) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - x)^n = (1 - x)/x .$$

For (iii), observe that  $x < x^y < 1$  and the first inequality holds. Set  $g(x, y) = 1 + xy - y - x^y$ ; the second inequality is equivalent to  $g(x, y) > 0$ . This follows from  $g(1, y) = 0$  and  $\{\partial g/\partial x\}_{x < 1} = y(1 - x^{y-1}) < 0$ . The limit for  $x \rightarrow 1^-$  may be obtained by L'Hospital's rule. To prove (iv), consider  $f'(x) = \sum_{m=m_0+1}^{\infty} x^{m-1} = x^{m_0}/(1 - x)$ , so that  $0 < f(x) < \int_0^x u^{m_0}(1 - u)^{-1} du < (1 - x)^{-1} \int_0^x u^{m_0} du = x^{m_0+1}/(1 - x)(m_0 + 1)$ . For (v), set  $h(u) = (1 + \varepsilon)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon u})$ ; then (v) is equivalent to  $h(u) > 1$ , for  $u > 0$ . We verify that  $h(0) = 1$  and  $h'(u) > 0$  for  $u > 0$ . The first is obvious and the second is equivalent to  $(e^{-\varepsilon}/(1 - \varepsilon))^u(1 + \varepsilon) > -\varepsilon^{-1} \log(1 - \varepsilon)$ . As  $e^{-\varepsilon} > 1 - \varepsilon$ , we only need to have  $1 + \varepsilon > \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{n-1}/n$ , or  $1/2 > \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{n-2}/n$ , easily verified to hold, say, for  $\varepsilon < 3/5$ .

*Proof of Lemma 1.* We consider

$$\begin{aligned} \log \varphi(s) &= \sum_p \{ \log(1 - p^{-s}) - \log(1 - q^{-s}) \} \\ &= \sum_p \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-1} (q^{-ms} - p^{-ms}) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_p m^{-1} (q^{-ms} - p^{-ms}) , \end{aligned}$$

where the interchange of summations is easily justified for  $\sigma > 1$ . By the absolute and uniform convergence of the double series for  $\sigma \geq 1 + \varepsilon$ , it is sufficient to study its convergence on compact sets  $C$  of the form  $\sigma_0 \leq \sigma \leq 1, |t| \leq T$ , with given  $\sigma_0 > 0$  and  $T > 0$ . For fixed  $s = \sigma + it \in C$ , define  $m_0 = [\sigma^{-1}] + 1$ , where  $[x]$  stands for the greatest integer function. We split the sum over  $m$  into two parts,  $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} = \sum_{m=1}^{m_0} + \sum_{m=m_0+1}^{m_0} + \sum^1 + \sum^2$ , say. The absolute and uniform convergence of  $\sum^2$  is almost obvious. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{m=m_0+1}^{\infty} \sum_p m^{-1} (q^{-ms} - p^{-ms}) \right| &\leq \sum_{m=m_0+1}^{\infty} m^{-1} \sum_p (q^{-m\sigma} + p^{-m\sigma}) \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{m=m_0+1}^{\infty} m^{-1} \sum_p p^{-m\sigma} = 2 \sum_p \sum_{m > m_0} (mp^{m\sigma})^{-1} . \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2(iv) with  $x = p^{-\sigma}$ , it follows that the inner sum is majorized by

$$\frac{p^{-\sigma(m_0+1)}}{(1-p^{-\sigma})(m_0+1)} \leq \frac{1}{(1-2^{-\sigma_0})(m_0+1)p^{\sigma m_0+\sigma}} < \frac{c_1}{p^{1+\sigma_0}},$$

with  $c_1 = (1-2^{-\sigma_0})^{-1}$ , because  $\sigma m_0 + \sigma = \sigma([\sigma^{-1}] + 2) > \sigma(\sigma^{-1} + 1) = 1 + \sigma \geq 1 + \sigma_0$ . Here and in what follows,  $c_1, c_2, \dots$  stand for constants depending at most on  $\sigma_0$  and  $T$ .

It now follows that  $|\sum^2| \leq 2 \sum_p p^{-(1+\sigma_0)}$  and the right-hand side converges and does not depend on  $s$ .

As for  $\sum^1$ , the outer sum contains the finitely many terms with  $1 \leq m \leq m_0$ , and  $m_0 = [\sigma^{-1}] + 1 \leq \sigma^{-1} + 1 < \sigma_0^{-1} + 1$ . Hence, it is sufficient to prove the absolute and uniform convergence of the inner sums  $\sum_p |p^{-ms} - q^{-ms}|$  for  $1 \leq m \leq m_0$ . Let  $q_n = p_n + r \leq p_{n+1}$ ; then (see, e.g., [2] (14.3), page 131)  $r = r(p) \leq p^{3/5+\varepsilon}$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , provided that  $p \geq P(\varepsilon)$ . It follows that  $0 \leq r(p) \leq p^{4/5}$ , except, perhaps for finitely many primes, which have no influence upon the convergence of the series. If  $x = x(p) = p/q$ , then  $1 - r/q = (q - r)/q = p/q = x(p) \leq 1$ , and, for  $p \geq P(1/5)$ ,  $x(p) > 1 - q^{-1/5}$ , so that  $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} x(p) = 1^-$ . In particular, for sufficiently large  $p$ ,  $x(p)^2 > 1/3$ . It follows that  $|p^{-ms} - q^{-ms}| = p^{-ms} |1 - x^{ms}| = p^{-ms} |1 - x^{m\sigma} e^{itm \log x}|$ . If  $p = q$ , this difference vanishes; otherwise,  $x < 1$ ,  $r > 0$ , and the last factor can be estimated for  $3^{-1/2} \leq x < 1$  and  $m < m_0$ , by using (i), (ii), and (iii) of Lemma 2, with  $0 < y = m\sigma < 1$ , as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} |1 - x^{m\sigma} e^{itm \log x}|^2 &= (1 - x^{m\sigma} \cos(tm \log x))^2 + x^{2m\sigma} \sin^2(tm \log x) \\ &= (1 - x^{m\sigma})^2 + 2x^{m\sigma}(1 - \cos(tm \log x)) < (1 - x^{m\sigma})^2 \\ &\quad + x^{m\sigma}(tm \log x)^2 \leq (1 - x^{m\sigma})^2 + x^{m\sigma-2} t^2 m^2 (1 - x)^2 \\ &= (1 - x^{m\sigma})^2 \left\{ 1 + \left( \frac{1-x}{1-x^{m\sigma}} \right)^2 x^{m\sigma-2} t^2 m^2 \right\} \\ &< (1 - x^{m\sigma})^2 \left\{ 1 + \left( \frac{1-x}{1-x^{m\sigma}} \right)^2 x^{-2} t^2 m^2 \right\} < (1 - x^{m\sigma})^2 \{ 1 + (\sigma m)^{-2} x^{-2} t^2 m^2 \} \\ &= (1 - x^{m\sigma})^2 (1 + t^2/x^2 \sigma^2) < (1 - x^{m\sigma})^2 (1 + 3t^2/\sigma^2) \\ &\leq (1 - x^{m\sigma})^2 (1 + 3T^2/\sigma_0^2), \end{aligned}$$

so that  $|1 - x^{m\sigma} e^{itm \log x}| < c'_2(1 - x^{m\sigma})$ , with  $c'_2 = (1 + 3T^2/\sigma_0^2)^{1/2}$ . This sequence of inequalities does not hold for the last term of the sum, with  $m = m_0$ , because for it  $m\sigma = m_0\sigma = ([\sigma^{-1}] + 1) > \sigma^{-1}\sigma = 1$ . In that case, however,  $(1-x)/(1-x^{m_0\sigma}) < 1$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - x^{m\sigma})^2 \left\{ 1 + \left( \frac{1-x}{1-x^{m\sigma}} \right)^2 x^{-2} t^2 m^2 \right\} &\leq (1 - x^{m\sigma})^2 (1 + x^{-2} t^2 m_0^2) \\ &= (1 - x^{m\sigma})^2 (1 + x^{-2} t^2 ([\sigma^{-1}] + 1)^2) \leq (1 - x^{m\sigma})^2 (1 + x^{-2} t^2 (\sigma_0^{-1} + 1)^2) \\ &< (1 - x^{m\sigma})^2 (1 + 3T^2(\sigma_0^{-1} + 1)^2) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|1 - x^{m\sigma} e^{itm \log x}| < c_2(1 - x^{m\sigma}),$$

with  $c_2 = (1 + 3T^2(\sigma_0^{-1} + 1)^2)^{1/2}$ . As  $c_2 > c'_2$ , the inequality  $|1 - x^{m\sigma} e^{itm \log x}| < c_2(1 - x^{m\sigma})$  holds for all  $m$  with  $1 \leq m \leq m_0$ .

If the inner sums are extended only over the primes  $p < p_N$ , the error of each sum is majorized by

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p \geq p_N} |p^{-ms} - q^{-ms}| &= \sum_{p \geq p_N} p^{-m\sigma} |1 - x^{m\sigma} e^{itm \log x}| \leq c_2 \sum_{p \geq p_N} (1 - x^{m\sigma}) p^{-m\sigma} \\ &= c_2 \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} (p_n^{-m\sigma} - q_n^{-m\sigma}) \leq c_2 \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} (p_n^{-m\sigma} - p_{n+1}^{-m\sigma}), \end{aligned}$$

a telescoping series, with sum  $c_2 p_N^{-m\sigma}$ . It follows that the total error of  $\sum^1$  is majorized by  $c_2 \sum_{m=1}^{m_0} p_N^{-m\sigma} \leq c_2 m_0 p_N^{-\sigma}$  and can be made arbitrarily small, by taking  $N$  sufficiently large. This estimate is independent of  $s$  and the uniform convergence of the double series  $\sum^1$ , of the absolute values  $m^{-1} |q^{-ms} - p^{-ms}|$  is proved. From the convergence of the series for  $\log \varphi(s)$  it also follows that  $\varphi(s) \neq 0$  and this finishes the proof of Lemma 1, hence also that of Theorem 1.

#### 4. Proof of Theorem 2. Let

$$(7) \quad \varphi_\chi(s) = \prod_p \{(1 - \chi(p)p^{-s})(1 - \chi(q)q^{-s})^{-1}\}.$$

Then

$$(8) \quad L^*(s, \chi) = \varphi_\chi(s)L(s, \chi)$$

and Theorem 2 is an immediate corollary of

**LEMMA 3.** *For  $\sigma > 0$ , the infinite product (7) converges absolutely and  $\varphi_\chi(s) \neq 0$ . The convergence is uniform on compact sets  $\sigma_0 \leq \sigma \leq \sigma_1$ ,  $|t| \leq T$ , for any constants  $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, T$ , that satisfy  $\sigma_1 > \sigma_0 > 0$ ,  $T > 0$ .*

*Proof of Lemma 3.* The absolute convergence of (7) for  $\sigma > 1$  is obvious; hence, it is sufficient to consider the convergence on the stated compact sets. As in the proof of Lemma 1, we take the logarithm of  $\varphi_\chi(s)$  and, by taking into account also (3) and the absolute convergence for  $\sigma > 1$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} (9) \quad \log \varphi_\chi(s) &= \sum_p \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-1} \{\chi(q^m)q^{-ms} - \chi(p^m)p^{-ms}\} \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-1} \sum_p \chi(p^m)(q^{-ms} - p^{-ms}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $|\log \varphi_x(s)|$  is majorized by  $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-1} \sum_p |q^{-ms} - p^{-ms}|$ . The uniform convergence of this series is proved exactly as in the proof of Lemma 1, except for minor details in the handling of  $\sum^1$ . Its outer sum, we recall, contains no more than  $m_0 \leq \sigma_0^{-1} + 1$  terms; this bound does not depend on  $s$ , so that it is sufficient to prove the uniform convergence of the inner sums. The terms with  $q = p$  vanish. In the others, set  $x = p/q$  and observe that  $0 < x < 1$ . If  $q = p + r$  and  $\varepsilon = r/q$ , then  $r \leq K$ ,  $\varepsilon \leq K/q$  and  $1 - K/p < 1 - K/q \leq 1 - \varepsilon = 1 - r/q = (q - r)/q = p/q = x < 1$ . Except for finitely many primes,  $\varepsilon = r/q$  stays below any preassigned positive quantity, so that  $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} x(p) = 1^-$ . It follows as before that

$$|1 - x^{m\sigma} e^{it m \log x}| < c_2(1 - x^{m\sigma}) = c_2(1 - (1 - \varepsilon)^{m\sigma}).$$

By Lemma 2(v), this is less than  $c_3(1 - e^{-\varepsilon m\sigma}) < c_3 \varepsilon m\sigma \leq c_3 m\sigma K/q \leq c_4 m\sigma/p \leq c_5/p$ . Here  $c_3 \leq (1 + \varepsilon)c_2 \leq (3/2)c_2$ ,  $c_4 = Kc_3$  and  $c_5$  may be taken equal to  $2c_4$ , because  $m\sigma \leq m_0\sigma \leq (\sigma^{-1} + 1)\sigma = 1 + \sigma \leq 2$ . It now follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |\chi(q^m)q^{-ms} - \chi(p^m)p^{-ms}| &\leq p^{-m\sigma} |1 - x^{m\sigma} e^{it m \log x}| < c_5 p^{-1-m\sigma} \\ &\leq c_5 p^{-1-\sigma} < c_5 p^{-1-\sigma_0}. \end{aligned}$$

By summing only over  $p < p_N$ , each sum has an error not in excess of  $\sum_{p > p_N} c_5 p^{-1-\sigma_0} < \eta$ , with  $\eta$  arbitrarily small, provided that  $p_N = p_N(\sigma_0, T, \eta)$  is chosen large enough. This choice does not depend on  $s$  and leads to a total error on  $\sum^1$  that is majorized by  $m_0 \eta < (\sigma_0^{-1} + 1)\eta$ . The proof of the absolute and uniform convergence of (9) is complete, and with it the proofs of Lemma 3 and of Theorem 2.

5. Analytic continuation. We have shown that  $\varphi(s)$  and, more generally,  $\varphi_x(s)$  can be continued analytically into the whole half plane  $\sigma > 0$ . By (6) and (8) this implies the analytic continuability to  $\sigma > 0$  for  $\zeta^*(s)$  and  $L^*(s, \chi)$ . In some cases it is obvious that  $\varphi(s)$ , or even  $\varphi_x(s)$  can be continued as meromorphic functions into the whole complex plane, e.g., if  $q_n = p_{n+1}$ . On the other hand, one may select the  $q_n$ 's so that  $\sigma = 0$  becomes a natural boundary for  $\varphi(s)$  (see [1] for a similar result). Indeed, let all  $q_n \neq p_n, p_{n+1}$ ; then  $\varphi(s)$  has poles at all the points  $s = it$ , with  $t = t_{n,k} = 2k\pi(\log q_n)^{-1}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . One may select the real numbers  $q_n$  so that the poles  $it_{n,k}$  will be dense on the imaginary axis. Apparently, this will be the case, whenever at most a finite number of factors  $1 - q^{-s}$  in the denominator of  $\varphi(s)$  are cancelled by identical factors in the numerator, but we have not pursued this matter further. Similar considerations hold for  $\varphi_x(s)$ .

When  $\sigma = 0$  is a natural boundary for  $\varphi(s)$ , then it also is one



for  $\zeta^*(s)$ ; hence,  $\zeta(s)$  and  $\zeta^*(s)$ , while sharing all their complex zeros, have an entirely different analytic character and a similar statement holds for  $L(s, \chi)$  and  $L^*(s, \chi)$ .

6. Possible applications. In 1948 Turán ([8]; see also [9]) showed that if the partial sums  $\zeta_n(s) = \sum_{m=1}^n m^{-s}$  of the  $\zeta$ -function do not vanish in the half planes  $\sigma > 1 + n^{-1/2+\varepsilon}$  ( $\varepsilon > 0$ ), then the Riemann hypothesis holds. Recently [3] H. Montgomery showed, however, that these partial sums do, in fact, vanish for sufficiently large  $n$  even in the half planes  $1 + c(\log \log n)(\log n)^{-1}$ , provided that  $c < (4 - \pi)/\pi$ , that bound being best possible. The question arises, whether it is possible to choose the  $q_n$  so that Turán's theorem remains valid, while Montgomery's construction may not apply.

Another possible approach is the following: The functions holomorphic in the half-plane  $\sigma > 0$  form a ring  $H$  under ordinary addition and multiplication. Within  $H$  the functions with the same zeros in  $\sigma > 0$  as  $(s - 1)\zeta(s)$  form an ideal  $I$ , to which belong all functions  $(s - 1)\zeta^*(s)$ . The study of  $I$  and of  $H/I$  may throw some light on the problem of the Riemann hypothesis. We intend to return to this topic on a later date.

#### REFERENCES

1. E. Landau und A. Walfisz, *Über die Nichtfortsetzbarkeit einiger durch Dirichletsche Reihen definierter Funktionen*, Rendiconti di Palermo, **44** (1920), 82-86.
2. H. L. Montgomery, *Topics in Multiplicative Number Theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics No. 227. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1971.
3. ———, *Zeros of approximations to the zeta-function*, Manuscript to be published.
4. H. S. A. Potter and E. C. Titchmarsh, *The zeros of Epstein's zeta-function*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2), **39** (1935), 372-384.
5. H. Rademacher, *Remarks concerning the Riemann-von Mangoldt formula*, Report of the Institute in the Theory of Numbers, University of Colorado, Boulder (Colorado) (1959), 31-37.
6. L. A. Rubel and E. G. Straus, *Special trigonometric series and the Riemann-von Mangoldt formula*, Proc. of the 1963 Number Theory Conference, Univ. of Colorado, Boulder (Colorado) (1963), 69-70.
7. ———, *Special trigonometric series and the Riemann Hypothesis*, Math. Scand., **18** (1966), 35-44.
8. P. Turán, *On some approximative Dirichlet-polynomials in the theory of the zeta function of Riemann*, Danske Vid. Selsk. Mat.-Fys. Medd., **24** (1948), No. 17, 36 pp.
9. ———, *Nachtrag zu meiner Abhandlung "On some approximative Dirichlet-polynomials in the theory of the zeta-function of Riemann"*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar., **10** (1959), 277-298.

Received July 12, 1977 and in revised form August 22, 1977.

TEMPLE UNIVERSITY  
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19122

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)

University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90024

CHARLES W. CURTIS

University of Oregon  
Eugene, OR 97403

C. C. MOORE

University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM

Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

---

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

---

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints to each author are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

---

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708. Older back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.).

8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1978 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics  
Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Aharon Atzmon, <i>Spectral synthesis in some spaces of bounded continuous functions</i> .....	277
Karl Egil Aubert and Isidor Fleischer, <i>Tensor products of ideal systems and their modules</i> .....	285
Richard F. Basener, <i>Several dimensional properties of the spectrum of a uniform algebra</i> .....	297
R. H. Bing and Michael Peter Starbird, <i>Super triangulations</i> .....	307
Andrew Carson, <i>Coherent polynomial rings over regular rings of finite index</i> .....	327
Robert M. DeVos and Frederick W. Hartmann, <i>Sequences of bounded summability domains</i> .....	333
George Grätzer and R. Padmanabhan, <i>Symmetric difference in abelian groups</i> .....	339
Robert L. Griess, Jr., <i>A remark about groups of characteristic 2-type and p-type</i> .....	349
Emil Grosswald and F. J. Schnitzer, <i>A class of modified <math>\zeta</math> and L-functions</i> .....	357
Jutta Hausen and Johnny Albert Johnson, <i>Ideals and radicals of some endomorphism rings</i> .....	365
Jean Ann Larson, <i>A solution for scattered order types of a problem of Haggendorf</i> .....	373
Peter A. McCoy, <i>Extremal properties of real biaxially symmetric potentials in <math>E^{2(\alpha+\beta+2)}</math></i> .....	381
Héctor Alfredo Merklen, <i>Hereditary crossed product orders</i> .....	391
Hal G. Moore and Adil Mohamed Yaqub, <i>Equational definability of addition in certain rings</i> .....	407
Robert Laurens Moore, <i>Reductivity in <math>C^*</math>-algebras and essentially reductive operators</i> .....	419
Joseph Alvin Neisendorfer, <i>Lie algebras, coalgebras and rational homotopy theory for nilpotent spaces</i> .....	429
William Raymond Nico, <i>Bounded monoids</i> .....	461
Richard Paul Osborne, <i>Simplifying spines of 3-manifolds</i> .....	473
Richard Paul Osborne, <i>The simplest closed 3-manifolds. With an appendix by Osborne and J. Yelle</i> .....	481
Clayton Collier Sherman, <i>The K-theory of an equicharacteristic discrete valuation ring injects into the K-theory of its field of quotients</i> .....	497
Mitchell Herbert Taibleson, <i>The failure of even conjugate characterizations of <math>H^1</math> on local fields</i> .....	501
Keti Tenenblat, <i>On characteristic hypersurfaces of submanifolds in Euclidean space</i> .....	507
Jeffrey L. Tollefson, <i>Involutions of Seifert fiber spaces</i> .....	519
Joel Larry Weiner, <i>An inequality involving the length, curvature, and torsions of a curve in Euclidean n-space</i> .....	531
Neyamat Zaheer, <i>On generalized polars of the product of abstract homogeneous polynomials</i> .....	535