Pacific Journal of Mathematics

CONJUGATE POINTS FOR NONLINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

KURT KREITH AND CHARLES ANDREW SWANSON

Vol. 75, No. 1

September 1978

CONJUGATE POINTS FOR NONLINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

KURT KREITH AND C. A. SWANSON

Much of the classical Sturm oscillation theory has a natural generalization to linear selfadjoint differential equations of order 2n if the notion of successive zeros is replaced by that of n - n conjugate points. Specifically, the smallest $\beta > \alpha$ such that

$$y(\alpha) = y'(\alpha) = \cdots = y^{(n-1)}(\alpha) = 0 = y(\beta) = \cdots = y^{(n-1)}(\beta)$$

is satisfied by a nontrivial solution of the equation is called the first conjugate point of α and denoted by $\eta_1(\alpha)$.

In the case of linear selfadjoint equations the existence of such conjugate points can be related to the existence of certain eigenvalues, and comparison theorems can be established by comparing appropriate quadratic forms. However if the equations are nonlinear or nonselfadjoint, the existence of such conjugate points cannot be established by these classical techniques. The case of fourth order nonselfadjoint linear equations was dealt with in [1] where criteria are established for assuring the existence of 2–2 conjugate points. This treatment depends on the fact that linear nonselfadjoint fourth order differential equations can be represented by second order systems of the form

(1.1)
$$y'' = a(t)y + b(t)x x'' = c(t)y + d(t)x$$

In §2 below we show how to extend this theory to nonlinear fourth order equations by considering systems of the form

(1.2)
$$y'' = f(x, y, t) x'' = g(x, y, t).$$

For both the linear and nonlinear cases, the existence of conjugate points is related to the existence of a trajectory x(t), y(t) satisfying the related second order system and

(1.3)
$$y(\alpha) = y'(\alpha) = 0 = y(\beta) = y'(\beta)$$

for some $\beta > \alpha$. The major difficulty in establishing (1.3) is in showing

that no such trajectory can satisfy x(t) < 0 and y(t) > 0 for arbitrarily large values of t. In §3 this particular problem is treated in a more general context of trajectories in E^n , and a number of results of independent interest are also established.

2. Fourth order equations. In this section we consider second order systems of the form

(2.1)
$$y'' = f(x, y, t)$$

 $x'' = g(x, y, t)$

where

(2.2)
$$f(x, y, t) > 0 \quad \text{for } x > 0, \\ < 0 \quad \text{for } x < 0,$$

and

(2.3)
$$g(x, y, t) > 0$$
 for $y > 0$.

For convenience f and g are assumed continuous on $(-\infty,\infty) \times (-\infty,\infty) \times [0,\infty)$. Such systems can be used to represent a large class of nonlinear equations. Most immediately, if f(x, y, t) = x and $g(x, y, t) = q(t)|y|^{\mu-1}y$, (2.1) becomes

(2.4)
$$y^{(iv)} - q(t)|y|^{\mu-1}y = 0.$$

Other examples will be considered in §3.

To establish the existence of 2-2 conjugate points relative to (2.1) we consider solutions y(t), x(t) satisfying

(2.5)
$$y(\alpha) = y'(\alpha) = 0; \quad x(\alpha) = x_0; \quad x'(\alpha) = v_0$$

and seek to show the existence of at least one solution of (2.1), (2.5) satisfying

(2.6)
$$y(\beta) = y'(\beta) = 0$$

for some $\beta > \alpha$. We shall in fact establish criteria which assure the existence of such a solution for every $x_0 > 0$.

Fixing $x_0 > 0$, we may represent a solution of (2.1), (2.5) in terms of its trajectory $C(v_0)$ in the x, y-plane. The open quadrants of the plane will be denoted by I, II, III, and IV. As shown in [1] (for the linear

case), the existence of a conjugate point trajectory satisfying (2.1), (2.5) and (2.6) follows from four conditions on the force field $\vec{F} = (g, f)$:

(A) If for some $t_0 \ge \alpha$ the quantities $y(t_0)$, $y'(t_0)$, $x(t_0)$ and $x'(t_0)$ are all nonnegative (but not all zero), then y(t), y'(t), x(t) and x'(t) are all positive for $t > t_0$.

(B) No trajectory $C(v_0)$ can remain in II for arbitrarily large values of t.

(C) No trajectory in I satisfies

(i) $x(t) \downarrow x_0 \ge 0$ and $y(t) \uparrow \infty$ as $t \to \infty$,

or

(ii)
$$y(t) \downarrow y_0 \ge 0$$
 and $x(t) \uparrow \infty$ as $t \to \infty$,

nor can any trajectory in I tend to a finite limit point (x_0, y_0) in the closure of I as $t \to \infty$.

(D) No trajectory can go directly from II to I to II.

In order to extend these criteria to the nonlinear case under consideration, we require the following result.

LEMMA 2.1. For every $x_0 > 0$, there exists $V(x_0) < 0$ such that $v_0 \le V(x_0)$ assures that the solution y(t), x(t) of (2.1), (2.5) satisfies y(t) < 0 for some $t > \alpha$.

Proof. From (2.2) it follows that a solution x(t), y(t) of (2.1) satisfying $x(\gamma) = y(\gamma) = 0$; $x'(\gamma) < -1$, $y'(\gamma) = 0$ for some $\gamma > \alpha$ also satisfies y(t) < 0 for some $t > \gamma$. By continuity and compactness we can choose constants $\gamma_0 > \alpha$ and sufficiently small $y_0 > 0$, $w_0 > 0$ so that neighboring solutions x(t), y(t) satisfying the conditions

$$y(\gamma) < y_0; \qquad y'(\gamma) < w_0$$

$$x(\gamma) = 0; \qquad x'(\gamma) < -1$$

for any $\gamma \in [\alpha, \gamma_0]$ also satisfy y(t) < 0 for some $t \ge \gamma$. To show the existence of such neighboring solutions we note that f(x, y, t) and g(x, y, t) are assumed nonnegative and continuous for $(x, y, t) \in K$, where

$$K = [0, x_0] \times [0, y_0] \times [\alpha, \gamma_0].$$

Therefore there exist positive constants f_0 , g_0 such that

$$0 \leq f(x, y, t) \leq f_0, \qquad 0 \leq g(x, y, t) \leq g_0$$

for $(x, y, t) \in K$. Comparing the solution y(t), x(t) of (2.1), (2.5) with the solution Y(t), X(t) of

$$Y'' = f_0; \qquad X'' = g_0$$

$$Y(\alpha) = Y'(\alpha) = 0; \qquad X(\alpha) = x_0, \qquad X'(\alpha) = V_0$$

we conclude that for $v_0 \leq V_0$ and $(X, Y, t) \in K$,

$$y'(t) \leq f_0(t - \alpha)$$

$$x'(t) \leq V_0 + g_0(t - \alpha)$$

$$y(t) \leq \frac{1}{2} f_0(t - \alpha)^2$$

$$x(t) \leq x_0 + V_0 t + \frac{1}{2} g_0(t - \alpha)^2$$

Thus for any $x_0 > 0$ it is possible to choose V_0 sufficiently negative so that for $v_0 \leq V_0$ we have $x(\gamma) = 0$ for some $\gamma \leq \gamma_0$ and

$$x'(\gamma) < -1;$$
 $y(\gamma) < y_0;$ $y'(\gamma) < w_0.$

For such choices of v_0 , y(t) will become negative as was to be shown.

There is a simple physical interpretation of this proof. Because of the uniform boundedness of f(x, y, t) and g(x, y, t) in K, one can fire a particle from $(x_0, 0)$ at $t = \alpha$ with a sufficiently negative initial velocity $x'(\alpha)$ so that the particle will cross the y-axis at $t = \gamma < \gamma_0$ and satisfy

$$x'(\gamma) < -1;$$
 $y(\gamma) < y_0;$ $y'(\gamma) < w_0$

while crossing this axis.

On the basis of Lemma 2.1 we may assert that for every x_0

$$\mathcal{V}_1 \equiv \{v_0 | C(v_0) \text{ enters the lower half plane}\}$$

is nonempty. From (2.2) and (2.3) it follows that the initial conditions $x_0 > 0$, $v_0 > 0$ imply that y(t), x(t) will be positive for all $t > \alpha$. Therefore

$$\mathcal{V}_2 \equiv \{v_0 | C(v_0) \text{ remains in the upper half plane for all } t > \alpha \}$$

is also not empty. With these properties established for (2.1), the original proof of the following result [1; Theorem 2.2] generalizes verbatim to the present nonlinear setting.

THEOREM 2.2. If conditions (A)-(D) are satisfied, then there exists a

nontrivial solution y(t), x(t) of (2.1) satisfying $y(\alpha) = y'(\alpha) = 0 = y(\beta) = y'(\beta)$ for some $\beta > \alpha$.

It therefore remains to establish criteria which assure that conditions (A)–(D) are satisfied. As observed above, (A) follows easily from the integral representation of (2.1), (2.5) on account of (2.2) and (2.3). Condition (B) will be considered in §3 in a more general setting. For the case f(x, y, t) = x and $g(x, y, t) = q(t)|y|^{\mu-1}y(\mu > 1)$ it will follow as a special case of Corollary 3.3 that (B) is satisfied whenever

(2.6)
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^{\rho} q(t) dt = \infty$$

for some $\rho < 1$. Also, the first few lines of the proof of Theorem 3.1 immediately yield the following

LEMMA 2.3. For arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$ suppose there exist positive-valued functions $\lambda_{\epsilon} \in C[0,\infty)$ and $\varphi \in C(0,\infty)$ such that

$$g(x, y, t) - f(x, y, t) \ge \lambda_{\epsilon}(t)\varphi(|x| + y)$$

for all $(x, y) \in II$ with $\min(|x|, y) \ge \epsilon$ and for all $t \in [t_0, \infty)$, $t_0 > 0$. Then condition (B) is satisfied if no solution of the differential inequality

$$u'' + \lambda_{\epsilon}(t)\varphi(u) \leq 0$$
 for any $\epsilon > 0$

can remain positive for all $t > t_0$.

Lemma 2.3 is not readily applicable since explicit oscillation criteria for nonlinear differential *inequalities* are not well known. However, examples of explicit criteria are established in \$3, specifically in terms of (3.4) and (3.5).

Condition (C) is dealt with by means of the following.

LEMMA 2.4. If $f(x, y, t) \ge F(x, y, t) \ge 0$ where F(x, y, t) is continuous, monotonic increasing in x and y, and satisfies

(2.7)
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(k\tau, y_0, \tau) d\tau = \infty$$

for all constants k > 0 and $y_0 \ge 0$, then no trajectory in I satisfies $y(t) \downarrow y_0 \ge 0$ and $x(t) \uparrow \infty$ as $t \to \infty$.

Proof. If $x(t) \uparrow \infty$ then, since x''(t) > 0 for y > 0 by (2.1) and (2.3),

there exists a constant k > 0 such that $x(t) \ge kt$ for all $t > \gamma$. Since

$$y'(t) = y'(\gamma) + \int_{\gamma}^{t} f(x(\tau), y(\tau), \tau) d\tau$$
$$\geq y'(\gamma) + \int_{\gamma}^{t} F(x(\tau), y(\tau), \tau) d\tau$$

it follows that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} y'(t) \geq y'(\gamma) + \int_{\gamma}^{\infty} F(x(\tau), y_0, \tau) d\tau = \infty,$$

precluding the possibility that $y(t) \downarrow y_0$.

In an analogous way, trajectories satisfying $x(t) \downarrow x_0 \ge 0$ and $y(t) \uparrow \infty$ are precluded by the condition that $g(x, y, t) \ge G(x, y, t) \ge 0$ where G(x, y, t) is monotonic increasing in x and y and satisfies

(2.8)
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(x_0, k\tau, \tau) d\tau = \infty$$

for all k > 0 and $x_0 \ge 0$. The fact that f(x, y, t) and g(x, y, t) are positive for x > 0 and y > 0 precludes finite limit points in the first quadrant, and this observation, together with (2.7) and (2.8), yields criteria for (C). Finally, (D) follows as in [1] from the assumption that g(x, y, t) > 0for y > 0.

By way of application of these results we shall formulate criteria which assure the existence of 2-2 conjugate points for solutions of (2.4). Other applications will follow from the results to be established in §3.

THEOREM 2.5. Suppose q(t) > 0, $\mu > 1$, and that

(2.9)
$$\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{\rho} q(t) dt = \infty$$

for some $\rho < 1$. Then the equation (2.4) has a 2-2 conjugate point $\eta_1(\alpha)$ for any $\alpha > 0$.

Proof. Since f(x, y, t) = x, $g(x, y, t) = q(t)|y|^{\mu-1}y$ and q(t) > 0, (2.2) and (2.3) are clearly satisfied and (A) and (D) follow easily. From Corollary 3.4 it follows that (B) is satisfied whenever (2.9) holds for some $\rho < 1$. Choosing F(x, y, t) = f(x, y, t) = x and $G(x, y, t) = g(x, y, t) = q(t)|y|^{\mu-1}y$, (2.7) is automatically satisfied whereas (2.8) requires that

$$\int^{\infty} t^{\mu}q(t)\,dt=\infty.$$

However since $\mu > 1$ this last condition follows from (2.9). With conditions (A)-(D) so established for any $\alpha > 0$, the existence of $\eta_1(\alpha)$ follows from Theorem 2.2.

3. Systems of nonlinear second order equations. In this section, sufficient conditions are given for the nonexistence of trajectories in an unbounded domain contained in a half-space of n-dimensional Euclidean space E^n . In the special case n = 2, these conditions assure that condition (B) is fulfilled. The results obtained also constitute an essential step toward a general theory of conjugate points for even order nonlinear differential equations, and at the same time have independent interest in oscillation theory and topological dynamics.

Points in E^n will be denoted by $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $\langle x, y \rangle$ will denote the inner product of x and y, $x \in E^n$, $y \in E^n$. For a fixed $h \in E^n$, let \mathcal{H} be the half-space

$$\mathscr{H} = \{ x \in E^n : \langle x, h \rangle > 0 \}.$$

A trajectory is defined to be the path traced out in an unbounded domain $\mathcal{G} \subset E^n$ by a solution vector x of a vector differential equation of the type

$$(3.1) (a(t)x')' = B(x,t), x \in \mathcal{S}$$

as t varies over $[0, \infty)$, i.e., a trajectory is a set $\{x(t): 0 \le t < \infty, x \text{ satisfies} (3.1)\}$. For convenience we first deal with the case a(t) = 1, i.e.,

$$(3.2) x'' = B(x,t), x \in \mathcal{S}$$

under the assumptions listed below.

Assumptions.

1. $B: E^n \times [0, \infty) \to E^n$ is a continuous vector-valued function such that

(3.3)
$$\langle -B(x,t),h\rangle \ge \lambda(t)\varphi(\langle x,h\rangle)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{G}$, $t \in [0, \infty)$, where h is a fixed n-vector;

2. λ is a positive-valued continuous function in $[0, \infty)$;

3. φ is a positive-valued C^1 function with $\varphi'(u) > 0$ in $(0, \infty)$; and either

4. λ and φ satisfy the conditions

(3.4)
$$\int_{c}^{\infty} \frac{du}{\varphi(u)} < \infty, \qquad \int_{1}^{\infty} t\lambda(t) dt = \infty$$

for some number c > 0, or

4L. (Linear case) $\varphi(u) \equiv u$ and there exists a number $\rho < 1$ such that

(3.5)
$$\int_{1}^{\infty} t^{\rho} \lambda(t) dt = \infty.$$

THEOREM 3.1. Under the assumptions listed above, no trajectory of (3.2) can remain in $\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{H}$ for all time t.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that x is a solution of (3.2) with $x(t) \in \mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{H}$ for all t > 0. Define $u(t) = \langle x(t), h \rangle$. Then u(t) > 0 for all t > 0, and since $x(t) \in \mathcal{S}$ it follows from (3.2) and (3.3) that u satisfies the differential inequality

(3.6)
$$u'' = \langle x'', h \rangle \leq -\lambda(t)\varphi(u).$$

Since u'' < 0 for all t > 0, a standard argument shows that there exists a number t_0 such that u'(t) > 0 for all $t \ge t_0$. Define

(3.7)
$$v(t) = \frac{tu'(t)}{\varphi[u(t)]}$$

in the nonlinear case, which is a C^1 function since $\varphi[u(t)] > 0$ and $\varphi \in C^1$ by Assumption 3. Then, using (3.6) and (3.7), we find that

$$v'(t) \leq -t\lambda(t) + \frac{u'(t)}{\varphi[u(t)]}$$

Integrating over (t_0, t) we obtain

$$v(t)-v(t_0) \leq -\int_{t_0}^t \tau \lambda(\tau) d\tau + \int_{u(t_0)}^{u(t)} \frac{du}{\varphi(u)},$$

which diverges to $-\infty$ as $t \to \infty$ by the hypotheses (3.4). Therefore v(t) < 0 for all sufficiently large t, and consequently u'(t) < 0 by (3.7). This contradicts the fact that u'(t) > 0 for all $t \ge t_0$ and completes the proof in the nonlinear case.

In the case of linear majorization (3.3), define

(3.8)
$$v(t) = \frac{t^{\rho} u'(t)}{u(t)}$$

with ρ as in hypothesis (3.5). Then

(3.9)
$$v'(t) = \frac{u(t)[t^{\rho}u''(t) + \rho t^{\rho-1}u'(t)] - t^{\rho}[u'(t)]^{2}}{u^{2}(t)} \leq -t^{\rho}\lambda(t) + \rho t^{\rho-1}\frac{u'(t)}{u(t)}.$$

However,

$$\left(\frac{u}{u'}\right)' = \frac{(u')^2 - uu''}{(u')^2} = \frac{(u')^2 + \lambda(t)u^2}{(u')^2} \ge 1$$

so that

$$\frac{u(t)}{u'(t)}-\frac{u(t_0)}{u'(t_0)}\geq t-t_0.$$

Hence there exists a number $t_1 \ge t_0$ such that $u'(t)/u(t) \le 2/t$ for all $t \ge t_1$, and (3.9) yields the inequality

$$v'(t) \leq -t^{\rho}\lambda(t) + 2\rho t^{\rho-2}, \quad t \geq t_1.$$

Then

$$v(t)-v(t_1) \leq -\int_{t_1}^t s^{\rho}\lambda(s)\,ds + 2\rho\int_{t_1}^t s^{\rho-2}\,ds.$$

The first integral diverges by hypothesis (3.5) and the second integral converges as $t \to \infty$ since $\rho - 2 < -1$. Thus we again have the contradiction v(t) < 0, so u'(t) < 0, for sufficiently large t.

COROLLARY 3.1. No trajectory of (3.2) can remain in $\mathcal{G} \cap \mathcal{H}$ for all $t > t_0$ if assumptions 1–3 hold for all $x \in \mathcal{G}$, $t \in [t_0, \infty)$ and if no solution u of the differential inequality (3.6) can remain positive for all $t > t_0$.

In an earlier case considered by Kreith [1], (3.2) was a linear system x'' = A(t)x, where A denotes an $n \times n$ matrix function with continuous entries in $[0, \infty)$, i.e.,

(3.10)
$$x''_{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(t)x_{j}, \qquad i = 1, \cdots, n.$$

Then (3.3) is satisfied, in particular, if there exists a positive-valued continuous function λ in $[0, \infty)$ such that

$$(3.11) \qquad -\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(t)h_{i}x_{j} \geq \lambda(t)\sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}x_{i}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{H}$ and for all $t \in [0, \infty)$.

COROLLARY 3.2. No trajectory of (3.10) can remain in an unbounded subset $\mathcal{G} \cap \mathcal{H}$ of a half-space for all t if (3.11) and (3.5) are satisfied.

A special case of interest in conjugate point theory is the case that $h = (-1, 1, \dots, 1)$ and

$$\mathscr{G} = \{ x \in E^n \colon x_1 < 0, x_i > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad i = 2, \cdots, n \}.$$

Define

$$\epsilon_{ij} = 1 \quad \text{if } i = 1, j = 2, \cdots, n$$
$$= 1 \quad \text{if } j = 1, i = 2, \cdots, n$$
$$= -1 \quad \text{otherwise}$$

(3.12)
$$\lambda(t) = \min_{1 \le j \le n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_{ij} a_{ij}(t) \right)$$

Then

$$-\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(t)h_{i}x_{j} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \epsilon_{ij}a_{ij}(t)|x_{j}| \ge \lambda(t)\sum_{j=1}^{n} |x_{j}| = \lambda(t)\langle x, h\rangle$$

and hence (3.11) is satisfied in the subset \mathscr{S} of \mathscr{H} if $\lambda(t)$ is defined by (3.12). In the case n = 2, h = (-1, 1), the definition (3.12) reduces to

(3.13)
$$\lambda(t) = \min[-a_{11}(t) + a_{21}(t), a_{12}(t) - a_{22}(t)].$$

We conclude that no trajectory of (3.10) can remain in the second quadrant of E^2 for all t if (3.5) is satisfied, where $\lambda(t)$ is given by (3.13).

In the case n = 2, the nonlinear system (3.2) has the form (2.1), i.e.,

(3.14)
$$\begin{cases} x_1'' = g(x_1, x_2, t) \\ x_2'' = f(x_1, x_2, t) \end{cases}$$

in the notation of this section. If h = (-1, 1), assumption (3.3) is

equivalent to

(3.15)
$$g(x_1, x_2, t) - f(x_1, x_2, t) \ge \lambda(t)\varphi(x_2 - x_1)$$

for all $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathcal{G}$, $t \in [0, \infty)$, with λ and φ as in assumptions (2), (3) and (4) of Theorem 3.1. Condition (3.15) is a slight modification of that given in Lemma 2.3.

COROLLARY 3.3. No trajectory of (3.14) can remain in $\{x \in \mathcal{S}: x_2 > x_1\}$ for all t if (3.15) holds for all $x \in \mathcal{S}$, $t \in [0, \infty)$ and assumptions 2-4 are satisfied.

EXAMPLE 1. Consider the Emden–Fowler prototype of (3.14):

(3.16)
$$\begin{aligned} x_1'' &= q(t)x_2'', \quad \mu > 1 \\ x_2'' &= p(t)x_1 \end{aligned}$$

in II, where p and q are positive valued continuous functions in $[0, \infty)$ and h = (-1, 1) as before. The equivalent fourth order scalar differential equation is

$$\left[\frac{1}{p(t)}y''\right]'' - q(t)y^{\mu} = 0, \qquad \mu > 1.$$

Define

$$\lambda(t) = \min[p(t), q(t)], \quad t \ge 0$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{\epsilon} = \{ x \in E^2 : |x_1| < \epsilon, |x_2| < \epsilon \}, \quad \epsilon > 0$$

$$\delta = \min(\frac{1}{2}, \epsilon^{\mu - 1})$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{\epsilon} = \mathrm{II} - \mathcal{N}_{\epsilon} = \mathrm{II} \cap \mathcal{N}_{\epsilon}^{c}.$$

Then \mathcal{N}_{ϵ} is a neighborhood of the origin of fixed (but arbitrarily small) measure ϵ^2 and \mathcal{S}_{ϵ} is all of the second quadrant except those points in \mathcal{N}_{ϵ} . We assert that

$$g(x_1, x_2, t) - f(x_1, x_2, t) \ge \delta \lambda(t) (|x_1| + x_2)$$

for all $x \in \mathscr{G}_{\epsilon}$, $t \in [0, \infty)$. To prove this, first note that in the case of (3.16)

$$(3.17) \quad g(x_1, x_2, t) - f(x_1, x_2, t) = q(t)x_2^{\mu} + p(t)|x_1| \ge \lambda(t)(x_2^{\mu} + |x_1|)$$

for all $x_2 > 0$, $x_1 < 0$. For $x \in \mathscr{G}_{\epsilon}$, either $x_2 \ge \epsilon$ or $0 < x_2 < \epsilon$ and $|x_1| \ge \epsilon$. In the first case, the right side of (3.17) is not less than $\lambda(t) \min(1, \epsilon^{\mu-1})(x_2 + |x_1|)$ while in the second case it is not less than $\frac{1}{2}\lambda(t)(x_2 + |x_1|)$. This proves the assertion.

COROLLARY 3.4. No trajectory of (3.16) can remain in the second quadrant for all t if

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{\rho} \min[p(t), q(t)] dt = \infty$$

for some number $\rho < 1$.

This follows since Corollary 3.3 can be applied in \mathscr{G}_{ϵ} , and ϵ is arbitrary.

EXAMPLE 2. Another special case of (3.14) is the nonlinear system

(3.18)
$$\begin{cases} x_1'' = q(t)x_2^{\mu} + r(t)|x_1|^{\nu}, & \mu > \nu > 1\\ x_2'' = p(t)x_1 \end{cases}$$

where p, q, and r are positive valued continuous functions in $[0, \infty)$ and h = (-1, 1). Let \mathscr{S}_{ϵ} be as in Example 1 and define $\lambda(t)$ to be $\min[r(t), q(t)], t > 0$. Then

(3.19)

$$g(x_{1}, x_{2}, t) - f(x_{1}, x_{2}, t) = p(t) |x_{1}| + r(t) |x_{1}|^{\nu} + q(t) x_{2}^{\mu}$$

$$\geq \lambda(t) (|x_{1}|^{\nu} + x_{2}^{\mu})$$

$$\geq \delta_{1}\lambda(t) (|x_{1}|^{\nu} + x_{2}^{\nu})$$

$$\geq \delta_{2}\lambda(t) (|x_{1}| + x_{2})^{\nu}$$

for $x \in \mathscr{G}_{\epsilon}$ with $x_2 \ge \epsilon$, where

$$\delta_1 = \min(1, \epsilon^{\mu-\nu}), \qquad \delta_2 = (\frac{1}{2})^{\nu-1}\delta_1$$

in view of the standard inequality

(3.20)
$$w^{\nu} + z^{\nu} \ge {\binom{1}{2}}^{\nu-1} (w+z)^{\nu}, \qquad w \ge 0, z \ge 0.$$

Also, if $0 < x_2 < \epsilon$ and $|x_1| \ge \epsilon$ we have

(3.21)
$$g(x_1, x_2, t) - f(x_1, x_2, t) \ge \frac{1}{2}\lambda(t)(|x_1|^{\nu} + x_2^{\nu}) \ge \frac{1}{2}\lambda(t)(|x_1| + x_2)^{\nu}$$
$$\ge \frac{1}{2}\lambda(t)(|x_1| + x_2)^{\nu}$$

by (3.20) again. It follows from (3.19) and (3.21) that

(3.22)
$$g(x_1, x_2, t) - f(x_1, x_2, t) \ge \delta_3 \lambda(t) (|x_1| + x_2)^{\nu}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{G}_{\epsilon}$, $t \in [0, \infty)$, where

$$\delta_3 = \min\left[\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\nu}, \ \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\nu-1} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{\mu-\nu}\right].$$

In this example, assumption (3.3) of Theorem 3.1 (or Corollary 3.3) holds in the nonlinear case $\varphi(u) = \delta_3 u^{\nu}$, $\nu > 1$, $\lambda(t) = \min[r(t), q(t)]$, t > 0, and hypothesis (3.4) reduces to

(3.23)
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t \min[r(t), q(t)] dt = \infty.$$

COROLLARY 3.5. A trajectory of (3.18) cannot remain in II for arbitrarily large t if (3.23) is satisfied.

We note that the system (3.18) corresponds to the fourth order equation

$$y^{(4)} - r(t) |y''|^{\nu} - q(t)y^{\mu} = 0$$

in the case $p(t) \equiv 1$, and corresponds to a similar fourth order equation with nonlinear damping terms when p is a positive C^2 function. The results are somewhat different in the case that r(t) can change sign (variable direction damping), but can be derived easily by a similar analysis. The sublinear cases $\mu < 1$ and/or $\nu < 1$ would require a major modification of our techniques.

An extension of Theorem 3.1 to the system (3.1) will now be given, where a is a positive-valued continuous function in $[0, \infty)$. In the nonlinear case, conditions (3.4) are generalized to either conditions (3.24a) or (3.24b) below, according as the integral of 1/a is divergent or convergent:

(3.24a)
$$\begin{cases} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{a(t)} = \infty, & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{du}{\varphi(u)} < \infty, \\ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\int_{0}^{t} \frac{ds}{a(s)} \right] \lambda(t) dt = \infty \end{cases}$$
(3.24b)
$$\begin{cases} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{a(t)} < \infty, & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{du}{\varphi_{0}(u)} < \infty, \\ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi_{0} \left[\int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{a(s)} \right] \lambda(t) dt = \infty \end{cases}$$

where in (3.24b) it is assumed that the function φ in (3.3) satisfies

$$\varphi(uv) \geq \varphi_0(u)\varphi_0(v)$$

for all u > 0, v > 0, with $\varphi_0 \in C^1[0, \infty)$, $\varphi_0(u) > 0$, and $\varphi'_0(u) > 0$ in $0 < u < \infty$. For example, φ can be a power function $u \to u^{\mu}$, $\mu > 1$. The last of conditions (3.24b) involves the composition of the functions φ_0 and ψ , where

$$\psi(t)=\int_t^\infty a^{-1}(s)\,ds,\qquad t\ge 0.$$

The conditions in the linear case (i.e., 4L, involving (3.5)) are generalized to either (3.25a) or (3.25b) below:

(3.25a)
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{a(t)} = \infty, \qquad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\int_{0}^{t} \frac{ds}{a(s)} \right]^{\rho} \lambda(t) dt = \infty$$

(3.25b)
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{a(t)} < \infty, \qquad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{a(s)} \right]^{\sigma} \lambda(t) dt = \infty$$

for some numbers $\rho < 1$, $\sigma > 1$, respectively. We note that (3.24a) and (3.25a) reduce to (3.4) and (3.5), respectively, in the case $a(t) \equiv 1$ considered in Theorem 3.1.

THEOREM 3.6. Under assumptions 1, 2, and 3 of Theorem 3.1 and one of (3.25a), (3.25b), (3.24a), or (3.24b), a trajectory cannot remain in $\mathcal{G} \cap \mathcal{H}$ for all time.

The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.1 and will be omitted.

REFERENCES

1. K. Kreith, A dynamical criterion for conjugate points, Pacific J. Math., 58 (1975), 123-132.

2. C. A. Swanson, Comparison and oscillation theory of linear differential equations, "Mathematics in Science and Engineering", 48, Academic Press, New York, 1968.

Received June 9, 1976.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA DAVIS, CA 95616 AND UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA VANCOUVER, CANADA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

J. DUGUNDJI

Stanford University

Stanford, CA 94305

F. WOLF

Department of Mathematics

R. FINN AND J. MILGRAM

University of Southern California Los Angeles, CA 90007

ICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor) niversity of California os Angeles, CA 90024

. A. BEAUMONT niversity of Washington eattle, WA 98105

. C. MOORE niversity of California erkeley, CA 94720

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

NIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA ALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY STANFORD UNIVERSITY NIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA **IONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY** NIVERSITY OF NEVADA EW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY **REGON STATE UNIVERSITY** NIVERSITY OF OREGON SAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON * AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they a ot owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics should be in type rm or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in re erman in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as nopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, hich case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, uplicate, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Review idex to Vol. 39. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Bart Iniversity of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially pai dditional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72. year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions. Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should ent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

UBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS. A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION Printed at Jerusalem Academic Press, POB 2390, Jerusalem, Israel.

> Copyright © 1978 Pacific Journal of Mathematics All Rights Reserved

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 75, No. 1 September, 1978

Mieczyslaw Altman, General solvability theorems	1
Denise Amar and Eric Amar, Sur les suites d'interpolation en plusieurs	
variables	15
Herbert Stanley Bear, Jr. and Gerald Norman Hile, <i>Algebras which satisfy a</i>	
second order linear partial differential equation	21
Marilyn Breen, Sets in \mathbb{R}^d having $(d-2)$ -dimensional kernels	37
Gavin Brown and William Moran, Analytic discs in the maximal ideal space	
of $M(G)$	45
Ronald P. Brown, Quadratic forms with prescribed Stiefel-Whitney	
invariants	59
Gulbank D. Chakerian and H. Groemer, <i>On coverings of Euclidean space by</i>	
convex sets	77
S. Feigelstock and Z. Schlussel, <i>Principal ideal and Noetherian groups</i>	87
Ralph S. Freese and James Bryant Nation, <i>Projective lattices</i>	93
Harry Gingold, Uniqueness of linear boundary value problems for	
differential systems	107
John R. Hedstrom and Evan Green Houston, Jr., <i>Pseudo-valuation</i>	
domains	137
William Josephson, <i>Coallocation between lattices with applications to</i>	
measure extensions	149
M. Koskela, A characterization of non-negative matrix operators on l^p to l^q	
with $\infty > p \ge q > 1$	165
Kurt Kreith and Charles Andrew Swanson, <i>Conjugate points for nonlinear</i>	
differential equations	171
Shoji Kyuno. <i>On prime gamma rings</i>	185
Alois Andreas Lechicki <i>On bounded and subcontinuous multifunctions</i>	191
Roberto Longo A simple proof of the existence of modular automorphisms	171
in approximately finite-dimensional yon Neumann algebras	199
Kenneth Millett Obstructions to pseudoisotony implying isotony for	177
embeddings	207
William F. Moss and John Piepenbrink, <i>Positive solutions of allintic</i>	207
equations	219
Mitsuru Nakai and Leo Sario. Duffin's function and Hadamard's	217
conjecture	227
Mohan S. Putcha. Word equations in some geometric semicrouns	243
Walter Pudin, Peak interpolation sets of class C^1	243
Flice Seeb. On the Daden Mikedów proporti in a class of the dis	207
Ellas Saab, On the Kadon-INIKodym property in a class of locally convex	201
Stuart Sui Shana Wana Salitina aina af a maria ana alt	201
NUMBER NO NOPOLO WARD NOULING FIND OF $(1 MON)C Spharable horomorphic$	1.93