Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON STOPPING RULES AND THE EXPECTED SUPREMUM OF S_n/T_n

MICHAEL JAY KLASS AND LAWRENCE EDWARD MYERS

Vol. 75, No. 2

October 1978

ON STOPPING RULES AND THE EXPECTED SUPREMUM OF S_n/T_n

MICHAEL J. KLASS AND LAWRENCE E. MYERS

Let S_n and T_n be *n*th partial sums of two independent sequences of i.i.d. random variables. S_1 and T_1 may have different distributions. Assume $0 \leq ES_1 < \infty$, $ET_1 < \infty$ and $P[T_1 > 0] = 1$. Let \mathcal{B}_n be the σ -field generated by $S_1, T_1, \dots, S_n, T_n$, and let R_∞ be the collection of extended-valued stopping rules with respect to $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2, \dots$. It is shown that $E \sup_{n \geq 1} S_n/T_n < \infty$ iff $\sup_{\tau \in R_\infty} ES_\tau/T_\tau < \infty$ iff $ES_1 \log^+ S_1 < \infty$ and $E(T_1^{-1}) < \infty$. The (random) cutoff points characterizing the optimal rules are easily obtained as fixed points of certain contraction mappings. A Markov walk generalization of the Chow and Robbins binomial stopping problem is viewed within the S_n/T_n framework.

1. Introduction. Let U, U_1, U_2, \cdots and V, V_1, V_2, \cdots be independent random variables defined on a common probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) . Assume the U's are nondegenerate and identically distributed with $0 \leq EU < \infty$. Assume the V's are identically distributed with P[V > 0] = 1 and $EV < \infty$. Let $S_n = U_1 + \cdots + U_n$ and $T_n = V_1 + \cdots + V_n$. Define the σ -fields $\mathcal{B}_n = \mathcal{B}(U_1, V_1, \cdots, U_n, V_n), \mathcal{B}'_n = \mathcal{B}(U_1, \cdots, U_n), \mathcal{B}'_n = \mathcal{B}(V_1, \cdots, V_n)$, and let $R_{\infty}, R'_{\infty}, R''_{\infty}$ be the collections of extended-valued stopping rules (Definition 1 [8]) with respect to $\{\mathcal{B}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, \{\mathcal{B}'_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, \text{ respectively}.$ That is, $\tau \in R_{\infty} (R'_{\infty}, R''_{\infty})$ if and only if $[\tau = n] \in \mathcal{B}_n(\mathcal{B}'_n, \mathcal{B}''_n)$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $P[\tau = \infty] + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P[\tau = n] = 1$. In order that our expected rewards be well defined, we follow the strong law and set $S_{\infty}/\infty, \infty/T_{\infty}, S_{\infty}/T_{\infty}$ equal to EU, 1/EV, EU/EV, respectively. Unless otherwise mentioned, all suprema and infima are over $\{n: n \geq 1\}$. We write $E \sup S_n/T_n$ for $E[\sup_{n \geq 1}(S_n/T_n)]$.

It is well known (Burkholder [1] and McCabe and Shepp [9]) that

(1.1)
$$E \sup S_n/n < \infty \Leftrightarrow EU \log^+ U < \infty \Leftrightarrow \sup_{\tau \in R_{\perp}} ES_{\tau}/\tau < \infty$$
,

and in this case an optimal stopping rule exists (Siegmund [10]), i.e., the last supremum in (1.1) is attained by some $\tau \in R'_{\infty}$.

Operating under successively weaker conditions, Chow and Robbins [2], Teicher and Wolfowitz [11], Dvoretzky [6], Thompson, Basu and Owen [12], Davis [4], and Klass [8] have proved that the (unique)

minimal optimal rule is to stop at the first time n such that $S_n \ge a_n$, where $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is the strictly increasing sequence of positive constants satisfying $a_n/n = \sup_{\tau \in R_{\perp}} E[(a_n + S_{\tau})/(n + \tau)].$

One purpose of this paper is to generalize the above results to the reward sequence S_n/T_n . The independence suggests treating S_n and T_n separately, via the elementary inequality

(1.2)
$$(E \inf n/T_n) (E \sup S_n/n) \leq E \sup S_n/T_n$$
$$\leq (E \sup n/T_n) (E \sup S_n/n).$$

In light of (1.1) our attentions focus on n/T_n . In §2 is proved a general result (Theorem 1) which implies that $E \sup n/T_n < \infty$ just in case $E(V^{-1}) < \infty$. Section 3 shows that $E \sup S_n/T_n < \infty$ iff $\sup_{\tau \in R_\infty} ES_{\tau}/T_{\tau} < \infty$ iff $EU \log^+ U < \infty$ and $E(V^{-1}) < \infty$.

For future reference and some immediate methodology we recall here that

(1.3)
$$\{S_n/n\}_{n=\infty}^1$$
 is a reversed martingale,

so that the conditional Jensen's inequality and independence imply

(1.4) $\{n/T_n\}_{n=\infty}^1$ and $\{S_n/T_n\}_{n=\infty}^1$ are reversed submartingales.

Application of a well known submartingale inequality (Doob [5], p. 317) to (1.3) yields the sufficiency of $EU \log^+ U < \infty$ in (1.1). A possible approach to characterizing $E \sup S_n/T_n < \infty$ (or $E \sup n/T_n < \infty$) might then be to apply the same inequality to obtain the sufficient condition $E(U/V) \log^+(U/V) < \infty$ ($EV^{-1}\log^+(V^{-1}) < \infty$). As our results show, these conditions are not "sufficiently" weak. After all, $EV^{-1}\log^+(V^{-1}) < \infty$ precisely when $E \sup n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} V_i^{-1} < \infty$, and $n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} V_i^{-1}$ almost surely dominates n/T_n , by the inequality of the arithmetic and harmonic means. The underlying idea in the proof of Theorem 1 is the classical inequality relating the arithmetic and geometric means.

In §4 we employ contractions to obtain the cutoff points which characterize the optimal rules. The situation is somewhat novel in that the optimal stopping times depend on the intrinsic times k only through the values of T_k at those times, and the cutoff points are themselves random, owing to dependence on the T_k . This section relies heavily on §§1 and 2 of Klass [8].

In §5 we indicate how a Markov chain generalization of the Chow and Robbins [2] example may be viewed as an S_n/T_n problem.

2. Expected suprema of inverse generalized means. For simplicity we now assume (w.l.o.g.) that $V_k(\omega) > 0$ for all $k \ge 1$ and all $\omega \in \Omega$. Let

$$M_n(t,\omega) = \left(n^{-1}\sum_{k=1}^n (V_k(\omega))^t\right)^{1/t} \text{ for } t \neq 0;$$

$$M_n(0,\omega) = \lim_{t \to 0} M_n(t,\omega) = \left(\prod_{k=1}^n V_k(\omega)\right)^{1/n}.$$

For *n* and ω fixed, $M_n(t, \omega)$ is an increasing function of *t* (Chapter 2 of Hardy, Littlewood and Polya [7]).

For r > 0 let $||X||_r = [E(|X|^r)]^{1/r}$ if the expectation is finite; otherwise let $||X||_r = \infty$.

THEOREM 1. For all $t \ge 0$ and $N \ge 1$

(2.1)
$$E\left(\sup_{n\geq N} \left[M_n(t,\omega)\right]^{-1}\right) \leq \|V^{-1}\|_{1/N}(2^N+N\log 2+1).$$

Consequently, for all $t \ge 0$

(2.2)
$$E(V^{-1}) \leq E\left(\sup_{n\geq 1} [M_n(t,\omega)]^{-1}\right) \leq (3+\log 2)E(V^{-1}),$$

whence $E(\sup_{n\geq 1} [M_n(t, \omega)]^{-1}) < \infty$ for (all) $t \geq 0$ if and only if $E(V^{-1}) < \infty$. More generally,

$$E\left(\sup_{n\geq N}\left[M_n(t,\omega)\right]^{-1}\right)<\infty$$
 for (all) $t>0$

(2.3)

if and only if
$$E \min_{1 \le j \le N} (V_j^{-1}) < \infty$$
,

whereas

(2.4)
$$E\left(\sup_{n\geq N} [M_n(0,\omega)]^{-1}\right) < \infty$$
 if and only if $E(V^{-1/N}) < \infty$.

Proof. First we establish (2.1). Since for n and ω fixed and $t \ge 0$ the $[M_n(t, \omega)]^{-1}$ are all majorized by the inverse geometric mean $[M_n(0, \omega)]^{-1} = (\prod_{k=1}^n V_k^{-1})^{1/n}$, it suffices to prove (2.1) for t = 0.

Fix $N \ge 1$. We may assume $||V^{-1}||_{1/N} < \infty$. Let $C = E(V^{-1/N})$ and $B = [2E(V^{-1/N})]^N$. Then

$$E \sup_{n \ge N} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} V_{i}^{-1/n} \right) = \int_{0}^{\infty} P \left[\sup_{n \ge N} \prod_{i=1}^{n} V_{i}^{-1/n} \ge y \right] dy$$

$$\leq B + \left[E(V^{-1/N}) \right]^{N} + \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \int_{B}^{\infty} P \left[\prod_{i=1}^{n} V_{i}^{-1/N} \ge y^{n/N} \right] dy$$

$$\leq B(1+2^{-N}) + \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} C^{n} \int_{B}^{\infty} y^{-n/N} dy$$

$$= \| V^{-1} \|_{1/N} (2^{N} + N \log 2 + 1).$$

This proves (2.1), from which (2.2) and (2.4) follow readily. To prove (2.3) note that for t > 0

$$N^{-1/t} \max_{1 \leq j \leq N} V_j \leq M_N(t, \omega) \leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq N} V_j.$$

Hence for t > 0

$$E\min_{1\leq j\leq N} (V_j^{-1}) \leq E\left(\sup_{n\geq N} [M_n(t,\omega)]^{-1}\right)$$
$$\leq N^{1/i}E\min_{1\leq j\leq N} (V_j^{-1}) + E\left(\sup_{n>N} [M_n(t,\omega)]^{-1}\right).$$

We may assume $E \min_{1 \le j \le N} (V_j^{-1}) < \infty$, in which case $\lim_{y \to \infty} y (P(V^{-1} > y))^N = \lim_{y \to \infty} yP[\min_{1 \le j \le N} V_j^{-1} > y] = 0$. We may conclude that $E(V^{-1/\alpha}) < \infty$ for any $\alpha > N$. Take $\alpha = N + 1$ and use (2.1) to complete the proof.

Taking t = 1 in (2.2) yields

COROLLARY 1. $E \sup n/T_n < \infty \Leftrightarrow E(V^{-1}) < \infty$.

REMARK 1. To illustrate the (qualitative) sharpness of (2.1) for t = 1, fix $N \ge 2$ and let V be a gamma random variable with mean and variance both equal to 1/(N-1). Then $En/T_n = \infty$ for $1 \le n < N$, while by (2.1) $E \sup_{n \ge N} n/T_n < \infty$.

To underline the distinction between (2.3) and (2.4), take $N \ge 2$ and $P[V^{-1} > y] = (y^{1/N} \log(ey))^{-1}$ for $y \ge 1$. Then

$$E(V^{-1/N}) = 1 + \int_{1}^{\infty} (yN \log(e^{1/N}y))^{-1} dy = \infty,$$

while

$$E\min_{1\leq j\leq N} (V_j^{-1}) = 1 + \int_1^\infty (P(V^{-1} > y))^N dy < \infty.$$

Whenever $E(V^{-1}) = \infty > E(V^{-1/N})$, Theorem 1 yields that $E \sup_{n \ge 1} n/T_n = \infty > E \sup_{n \ge N} n/T_n$, so that the infinite expected supremum owes exclusively to the behavior of the first few terms. Our next result sheds additional light on this.

THEOREM 2. Let V, V_1, V_2, \cdots be i.i.d. nonnegative random variables with P[V > 0] > 0. Then

(2.5)
$$E \sup n/(b+T_n) < \infty \text{ for each } b > 0.$$

Proof. We use ladder variables to transform the given reward sequence to an S_n/n reward sequence.

There exists c > 0 such that $P[V \ge c] \ge c$. Let $\tau(0) = 0$. Having defined $\tau(0), \dots, \tau(k)$, let $\tau(k+1) = 1$ st n s.t. $V_1 + \dots + V_n \ge c + V_1 + \dots + V_{\tau(k)}$. Then $T_{\tau(k)} \ge kc$. The random variables $\tau(k)$ (for $k \ge 1$) are sums of k i.i.d. ladder variables q_1, \dots, q_k . Note that $P[q_1 > n] = P[\tau(1) > n] \le P[\bigcap_{j=1}^n \{V_j < c\}] = [P(V < c)]^n \le (1 - c)^n$, so that all moments of q_1 are finite. Further,

$$E \sup n/(b+T_n) = E \sup_{k \ge 0} \sup_{\tau(k) < n \le \tau(k+1)} n/(b+T_n)$$
$$\leq E \sup_{k \ge 0} \tau(k+1)/(b+kc)$$
$$\leq (1/b)E\tau(1) + (2/c)E \sup_{k \ge 1} \tau(k)/k.$$

which is finite by (1.1).

REMARK 2. We conclude this section by mentioning another condition equivalent to $E \sup n/T_n < \infty$. One can show that

(2.6)
$$[r/(r+1)]EY_1 \sup Y_n^{-(r+1)} \le E \sup Y_n^{-r} \le EY_1 \sup Y_n^{-(r+1)}$$

for any r > 0 and any positive reversed martingale $\cdots Y_2$, Y_1 (the upper bound is trivial; the lower bound follows from an integration by parts, inequality (3.4") of Doob [5, p. 314], and Fubini's theorem). It follows from (2.6) and (1.3) that

(2.7)
$$\sup n/T_n \in L_1(P)$$
 iff $T_1^{1/2} \sup n/T_n \in L_2(P)$.

3. $E \sup S_n/T_n < \infty \Leftrightarrow EU \log^+ U < \infty$ and $E(V^{-1}) < \infty$. The following lemma is a consequence of the strong law. The corollary follows from the lemma and (1.2).

LEMMA 1. $P[\inf n/T_n = 0] = 0$ and $0 \le E \inf n/T_n \le \infty$.

COROLLARY 2. $E \sup S_n / T_n = \infty$ whenever $E \sup S_n / n = \infty$.

THEOREM 3. The following are equivalent. (i) $\sup_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}_{n}} ES_{\tau}/T_{\tau} < \infty$ (ii) $E \sup_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}_{n}} S_{n}/T_{n} < \infty$

- (iii) $E \sup S_n/n < \infty$ and $E \sup n/T_n < \infty$
- (iv) $EU \log^+ U < \infty$ and $E(V^{-1}) < \infty$.

Proof. (iii) and (iv) are equivalent by (1.1) and Corollary 1. (iii) implies (ii) by (1.2). (ii) implies (i) since $E \sup Y_n \ge \sup_{\tau \in R_\infty} EY_{\tau}$ for any reward sequence $\{Y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. The chain will be completed by showing the inverse of [(iv) \Rightarrow (i)].

Suppose first that $E(V^{-1}) = \infty$. Define $\tau \in R'_{\infty}$ by $\tau = 1$ if $U_1 > 0$, $\tau = \infty$ otherwise. Then $ES_{\tau}/T_{\tau} = \infty$ since $P[U_1 > 0] > 0$.

Now suppose $EU \log^+ U = \infty$. Then $\sup_{t \in R_{\infty}^{\perp}} ES_t/t = \infty$ [9]. It follows that for every $m \ge 1$ there exists $\tau_m \in R_{\infty}^{\prime}$ such that $ES_{\tau_m}/\tau_m > m/E \inf(n/T_n)$; Lemma 1 has been invoked here $(0 < E \inf n/T_n < \infty)$. Because each τ_m is independent of the V_i , and $\mathscr{B}(S_1, T_1, \dots, S_m, T_m) \supseteq \mathscr{B}(S_1, \dots, S_m)$ for every m, we have

$$\sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{R}_{\infty}} ES_{\tau}/T_{\tau} \geq \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{R}_{\omega}} ES_{\tau}/T_{\tau} \geq \sup_{m \geq 1} E\left[(S_{\tau_m}/\tau_m) \inf_{n \geq 1} n/T_n \right]$$
$$= \sup_{m \geq 1} \left[E(S_{\tau_m}/\tau_m) E \inf_{n \geq 1} n/T_n \right] = \sup_{m \geq 1} m = \infty.$$

This completes the proof.

4. The form of the optimal rule. We assume throughout this section that $E(V^{-1})$ and $EU\log^+ U$ are both finite. Our return sequences $Y_n(a, b)$ are defined by $Y_n(a, b) = (a + S_n)/(b + T_n)$, a real, $b \ge 0$. Since $Y_n(a, b) \xrightarrow{a.s.} EU/EV$ and $T_n \uparrow \infty$ a.s., we set $Y_{\infty}(a, b) =$ EU/EV and $T_{\infty} = \infty$. By the results of §3, $E \sup Y_n(a, b) < \infty$. We thus see that assumptions A_1 , A_2 , A_3 of Klass [8] hold for our $Y_n(a, b)$, so that the entirety of §1 there is applicable. In particular

$$(4.1) M_b(a) = \sup_{\tau \in R_m} E(a + S_\tau)/(b + T_\tau)$$

is well-defined, finite, and attained by some $\tau \in R_{\infty}$ (Klass [8], Theorem 1).

We omit the proof of the following lemma ($EV < \infty$ is used).

LEMMA 2. For each $b \ge 0$ there exists $\epsilon(b) > 0$ such that for any $\tau \in R_{\infty}$

$$0 \le E[1/(b + T_{\tau})] \le E[1/(b + T_{\tau})] = 1/(b + \epsilon(b)).$$

If $P[\tau < \infty] > 0$ the leftmost inequality is strict.

REMARK 3. In the S_{τ}/τ problem ($\tau \in R'_{\infty}$), the form of the minimal strictly semi-optimal rule (Definitions 4 and 5 of Klass [8]) is dictated by the fact that for each $n \ge 0$ there is a unique a_n such that $M_n(a_n) = a_n/n$. Our result, in addition to being more general, is obtained with a considerable economy of effort over earlier ones through the observation that the maps $a \to bM_b(a)$ contract the reals.

THEOREM 4. Fix $b \ge 0$. $M_b(a) > EU/EV \ge 0$ for each a. $M_b(a)$ is a continuous strictly increasing function of a. bM_b is a contraction of the reals, and so has a unique fixed point $a_b(bM_b(a_b) = a_b)$.

Proof. The theorem is proved with the appropriate modifications of the proof of Lemma 8, page 729 of Klass [8]. Fix $b \ge 0$.

For the first assertion, it suffices to show that $P[\sup(a + S_n)/(b + T_n) > EU/EV] = 1$ for any a. But $(a + S_n)/(b + T_n) > EU/EV$ if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (U_i - (EU/EV)V_i) > b(EU/EV) - a$. Since a nondegenerate mean zero random walk almost surely exceeds any real number infinitely often, the first assertion is proved.

Again fix $b \ge 0$, let $a_1 < a_2$, and let τ_i attain $M_b(a_i)$, i = 1, 2. Then $P[\tau_i < \infty] > 0$ since $M_b(a_i) > EU/EV$, i = 1, 2, and two applications of Lemma 2 yield

$$0 < (a_2 - a_1)E[1/(b + T_{\tau_1})] \le M_b(a_2) - M_b(a_1)$$
$$\le (a_2 - a_1)E[1/(b + T_{\tau_2})] \le (a_2 - a_1)/[b + \epsilon(b)].$$

The continuity of M_b follows, as does the last assertion of the theorem:

$$|bM_b(a_2)-bM_b(a_1)| \leq \frac{b}{b+\epsilon(b)} |a_2-a_1|.$$

Lemmas 6 and 7 and Remark 2 of Klass [8] carry over in straightforward fashion to our case, culminating in LEMMA 3. For $b \ge 0$: (i) $a < a_b \Rightarrow bM_b(a) > a$ (ii) $a > a_b \Rightarrow bM_b(a) < a$ (iii) $\epsilon > 0 \Rightarrow a_{b+\epsilon} > a_b$.

Rather than introduce randomization (which is "unnecessary"; see Theorem 5.3, p. 111 of Chow, Robbins and Siegmund [3]) and determine up to equivalence the collection of all τ which attain $M_b(a)$, we content ourselves with exhibiting one such τ . The situation is somewhat novel in that the optimal stopping time depends on intrinsic time k only through the values of the T_k at those times, and the cutoff points a_{T_k} are themselves random. Then a_b in Theorem 5 are in accordance with those of Theorem 4.

THEOREM 5. Given a real, $b \ge 0$, define $\tau \in R_{\infty}$ by $\tau = \min\{k : a + S_k > a_{b+T_k}\}$ $= \infty$ if $a + S_k \le a_{b+T_k}$ for all k.

Then $E(a + S_{\tau})/(b + T_{\tau}) = M_b(a)$.

Proof. Clearly $\tau \in R_{\infty}$. To show that τ is optimal for the reward sequence $Y_n(a, b)$, it suffices to show that τ is minimal strictly semi-optimal (Definitions 4 and 5 and Theorem 6 of Klass [8]).

Suppose $S_n = s_n$, $T_n = t_n$ and τ instructs us to stop at time *n* for the reward $(a + s_n)/(b + t_n) > a_{b+t_n}/(b + t_n)$. By continuing we would expect to get at most $M_{b+t_n}(a + s_n)$, which is strictly less than $(a + s_n)/(b + t_n)$, by (ii) of Lemma 3. Hence τ is strictly semi-optimal.

The proof that τ is minimal (strictly semi-optimal) is as in the proof of Theorem 7 of Klass [8, p. 734], with a_{n+k} replaced by a_{b+T_k} .

5. A Markov walk example. The following example generalizes the fair coin tossing problem treated in Chow and Robbins [2]. Let $\{X_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a $\{0,1\}$ -valued stationary Markov chain with $P[X_{k+1} = 1 | X_k = 0] = p = 1 - q$ and $P[X_{k+1} = 0 | X_k = 1] = p' = 1 - q'$. In order that the chain have stationary initial distribution we must have $P[X_1 = 1] = a = p/(p + p')$. We consider the optimal stopping problem with reward sequence $S_n^*/n = (X_1 + \cdots + X_n)/n$. Let $v = \sup_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}^*} ES_{\tau}^*/\tau$, where R_{∞}^* is the collection of stopping rules w.r.t. $\{\mathcal{B}(X_1, \cdots, X_n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$.

Clearly any optimal rule has $\tau = 1$ if $X_1 = 1$ (otherwise τ is not regular; see Definition 2 and Theorem 2 of Klass [8]).

Now suppose $X_1 = 0$. We thrust independence into the picture as follows. Suppose the statistician gets to see the data, not a digit (0 or 1)

at a time, but in blocks (more formally, instead of observing the original X_i , he views the sojourn times $V_1, U_1, V_2, U_2, \cdots$, where $V_i(U_i)$ is the time spent in the *i*th visit to $\{0\}$ ($\{1\}$)). The idea here is that, in the context of the original "game", it is clearly more profitable to stop at the end of some string of 1's as opposed to stopping in the middle of a 1-block or somewhere in a 0-block.

So let U, U_1, U_2, \cdots be i.i.d. geometric r.v.'s with $P[U = k] = (q')^{k-1}p'$, $k \ge 1$, and let V, V_1, V_2, \cdots be i.i.d. geometric r.v.'s with $P[V = k] = q^{k-1}p$. Then the foregoing heuristics show that

$$v \leq a + (1-a)E \sup[S_n/(S_n + T_n)],$$

$$\leq a + (1-a)(E \sup S_n/T_n)/(E \sup S_n/T_n + 1).$$

Here we have used Jensen's inequality and the fact that f(x) = x/(x+1) is concave increasing for x > 0. In this way an upper bound on $E \sup S_n/T_n$ may be employed in majorizing v.

For example, one may use Theorem 3.4, p. 317 of Doob [5], together with the fact that $\{S_n/T_n\}_{n=\infty}^1$ is a reversed submartingale, to obtain

$$E \sup S_n / T_n \leq [e/(e-1)] [1 + E(U/V) \log^+(U/V)].$$

References

- 1. D. L. Burkholder, Successive conditional expectation of an integrable function, Ann. Math. Statist., 33 (1962), 887–893.
- 2. Y. S. Chow and H. Robbins, On optimal stopping rules for S_n/n , Illinois J. Math., 9 (1965), 444-454.
- 3. Y. S. Chow, H. Robbins and D. Siegmund, Great Expectations: The Theory of Optimal Stopping, Houghton Mifflin, Boston, (1971).
- 4. B. Davis, Moments of random walks having infinite variance and the existence of certain optimal stopping rules for S_n/n , Illinois J. Math., 17 (1973), 75–81.
- 5. J. L. Doob, Stochastic Processes, Wiley, New York, (1953).
- 6. Arych Dvoretzky, *Existence and properties of certain optimal stopping rules*, Proc. Fifth Berkeley Symp. Math. Statist. Prob., 1 (1967), 441–452, University of California Press.
- 7. Hardy Littlewood and Polya, Inequalities, 2nd ed., Academic Press, (1951).
- 8. M. J. Klass, Properties of optimal extended-valued stopping rules for S_n/n , Ann. Prob., 1 (1973), 719–757.
- 9. B. J. McCabe and L. A. Shepp, On the supremum of S_n/n , Ann. Math. Statist., 41 (1970), 2166-2168.
- 10. D. O. Siegmund, Some problems in the theory of optimal stopping rules, Ann. Math. Statist., 38 (1967), 1627-1640.
- 11. H. Teicher and J. Wolfowitz, *Existence of optimal stopping rules for linear and quadratic rewards*, Z. Warhscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete., **5** (1966), 361–368.

12. M. E. Thompson, A. K. Basu and W. L. Owen, On the existence of the optimal stopping rule in the S_n/n problem where the second moment is infinite, Ann. Math. Statist., 42 (1971), 1936–1942.

Received April 20, 1976. The first author was partially supported by the Miller Foundation for Basic Research. The second author was partially supported by grant CA14236 from the National Cancer Institute.

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS University of California Berkeley, CA 94720

AND

DEPARMENT OF COMMUNITY AND FAMILY MEDICINE DUKE UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER DURHARM, NC 27710

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, CA 90024

R. A. BEAUMONT University of Washington Seattle, WA 98105

C. C. MOORE University of California Berkeley, CA 94720

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON * * AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

F. WOLF

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS. A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION Printed at Jerusalem Academic Press, POB 2390, Jerusalem, Israel.

> Copyright © 1978 Pacific Journal of Mathematics All Rights Reserved

J. DUGUNDJI Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. FINN AND J. MILGRAM Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305

Pacific Journal of MathematicsVol. 75, No. 2October, 1978

Susan Jane Zimmerman Andima and W. J. Thron, <i>Order-induced</i> topological properties	297
Gregory Wade Bell, <i>Cohomology of degree</i> 1 and 2 of the Suzuki groups	319
Richard Body and Roy Rene Douglas, <i>Rational homotopy and unique</i>	
factorization	331
Frank Lewis Capobianco, <i>Fixed sets of involutions</i>	339
L. Carlitz, Some theorems on generalized Dedekind-Rademacher sums	347
Mary Rodriguez Embry and Alan Leslie Lambert, <i>The structure of a special</i>	
class of weighted translation semigroups	359
Steve Ferry, Strongly regular mappings with compact ANR fibers are	
Hurewicz fiberings	373
Ivan Filippenko and Marvin David Marcus, On the unitary invariance of the	
numerical radius	383
H. Groemer, On the extension of additive functionals on classes of convex	
<i>sets</i>	397
Rita Hall, On the cohomology of Kuga's fiber variety	411
H. B. Hamilton, <i>Congruences on</i> N-semigroups	423
Manfred Herrmann and Rolf Schmidt, Regular sequences and lifting	
property	449
James Edgar Keesling, Decompositions of the Stone-Čech compactification	
which are shape equivalences	455
Michael Jay Klass and Lawrence Edward Myers, <i>On stopp</i> ing rules and the	
expected supremum of S_n/T_n	467
Ronald Charles Linton, λ - <i>large subgroups of</i> C_{λ} - <i>groups</i>	477
William Owen Murray, IV and L. Bruce Treybig, <i>Triangulations with the</i>	
free cell property	487
Louis Jackson Ratliff, Jr., <i>Polynomial rings and H_i-local rings</i>	497
Michael Rich, On alternate rings and their attached Jordan rings	511
Gary Sampson and H. Tuy, <i>Fourier transforms and their Lipschitz</i>	
classes	519
Helga Schirmer, <i>Effluent and noneffluent fixed points on dendrites</i>	539
Daniel Byron Shapiro, <i>Intersections of the space of skew-symmetric maps</i>	
with its translates	553
Edwin Spanier, <i>Tautness for Alexander-Spanier cohomology</i>	561
Alan Stein and Ivan Ernest Stux, A mean value theorem for binary digits	565
Franklin D. Tall, <i>Normal subspaces of the density topology</i>	579
William Yslas Vélez, <i>Prime ideal decomposition in</i> $F(\mu^{1/p})$	589
James Chin-Sze Wong, <i>Convolution and separate continuity</i>	601