Pacific Journal of Mathematics

CONSTRUCTION OF GENERALIZED NORMAL NUMBERS

F. J. MARTINELLI

Vol. 76, No. 1 November 1978

CONSTRUCTION OF GENERALIZED NORMAL NUMBERS

F. J. MARTINELLI

Let x be a real number, $0 \le x < 1$, and let $0.x_1x_2 \cdots$ be its expansion in the base B. Let N(b, n) be the number of occurrences of the digit b in x up to x_n . Then x is called digit normal (in the base B) if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{N(b,n)}{n}=\frac{1}{R}$$

for each of the B possible values of b. Let γ be any fixed Bary sequence of length L and $N(\gamma, n)$ be the number of indices k for which $x_k x_{k+1} \cdots x_{k+L-1}$ is γ , that is, $N(\gamma, n)$ is the number
of times γ appears in the first n digits of x. Then x is normal (in the base B) if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{N(\gamma,n)}{n}=B^{-L}$$

for each of the B^L possible sequences γ , and B^{-L} is called the limiting frequency of γ in x.

The purpose of this paper is to construct a generalized normal number (in the base 2) in which these frequencies are weighted. For example, we will obtain infinite binary decimals in which the limiting frequency of occurrence of ones is 1/3 (in general, p < 1) rather than 1/2; consequently, any binary string γ of length L will have limiting frequency

$$(1/3)^K(2/3)^{L-K}$$

where K is the number of ones in γ .

For simplicity, the construction will be in the base 2, since generalization to the integer base B is straightforward and need be only briefly described.

Borel [1] proved that almost every number x, relative to Lebesque measure, is normal in the base 10. The simplest construction of a normal number is due to Champernowne [2] who showed that if the natural numbers are arranged in increasing order, the resulting decimal

$0.12345678910111213 \cdots$

is normal in the base 10. Copeland and Erdös [3] showed that certain subsequences of the natural numbers, arranged as above, are also normal; in particular for the sequence of primes,

$$0.13571113 \cdots$$

is normal in the base 10. Ito and Shiokawa [4] generalized Champernowne's construction to arbitrary real bases.

A generalized normal number may be defined as follows. Let 0 and <math>q = 1 - p. The infinite binary decimal x is called digit p-normal if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{N(1, n)}{n}=p.$$

Any binary sequence of finite length will be called a string. If γ is a string of length L containing K ones and J zeros, then x is L-digit p-normal if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{N(\gamma, n)}{n}=p^{\kappa}q^{J}$$

for every such γ . Finally, x is p-normal if it is L-digit p-normal for every positive integer L; normality in the previous sense is the case p = 1/2.

In [6] Postnikov and Pjateckii-Sapiro construct a generalized normal number by adding certain digits to the Champernowne sequence and use a criterion obtained by the latter author [8] to prove p-normality. In this paper, a much simpler construction is described and the proof that the resulting decimal is p-normal proceeds from first principles.

Generalized normal numbers may be used to generate sequences of random variates having specified distribution functions (see [7] and [5] and the references therein). In [5] Knuth constructs a 1/2-normal number in the base 2 (which would yield uniformly distributed random variates) but points out that the construction is not of "practical value as a computer method for random number generation." The algorithm described here for p-normal numbers is easily programmed on a digital computer.

Construction. For any integers k, n with $0 \le k \le n$, let A(k, n) be the concatenation in lexicographic order of all possible strings of length n having exactly k ones. Denote the length of a sequence S by |S|; for example $|A(k, n)| = n \binom{n}{k}$. If $\{S_i\}$ is a sequence of strings, let $S_1S_2S_3\cdots$ be the concatenation of these strings in order. If $S_i = S$ for $1 \le i \le m$, denote $S_1S_2\cdots S_m$ by (mS).

THEOREM. Let $0 and let <math>k_n = [pn]$ for each $n = 1, 2 \cdots$. Let $A_n = A(k_n, n)$. Then

$$x = 0.A_1(2A_2)(3A_3) \cdots$$

is p-normal. (Here [.] is the greatest integer function.)

Proof. Let γ be any fixed string of length L containing K ones and J zeros. Let $B=b_1b_2\cdots b_{|B|}$ be any string and let B' be B concatenated on the right with L-1 zeros. Define $N(\gamma,B)$ to be the number of indices k for which the sequence $b'_kb'_{k+1}\cdots b'_{k+L-1}$ in B' equals γ . Let $N_0(\gamma,A_n)$ denote the number of substrings $b_kb_{k+1}\cdots b_{k+L-1}$ in A_n which are equal to γ and for which $k\equiv i \pmod{n}$, where $1\leq i\leq n-L+1$. An elementary counting argument shows that

$$N_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}(\gamma,\,A_{\scriptscriptstyle n})=(n-\,L\,+\,1)inom{n-\,L}{k_{\scriptscriptstyle n}\,\cdot\,K}$$

and that

$$N_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}(\gamma,\,A_{\scriptscriptstyle n}) \leqq N(\gamma,\,A_{\scriptscriptstyle n}) \leqq N_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}(\gamma,\,A_{\scriptscriptstyle n}) + inom{n}{k_{\scriptscriptstyle n}}(L-1)$$
 .

To complete the proof of the theorem, we will need the following lemmas.

LEMMA 1.

$$\lim_{n o \infty} rac{N(\gamma, A_n)}{|A_n|} = p^{\scriptscriptstyle K} q^{\scriptscriptstyle J}$$
 .

Proof. Let $j_n = n - k_n$ and assume that $k_n \ge K$ and $j_n \ge J$. Then, since

$$egin{aligned} |A_n| &= ninom{n}{k_n} \ , \ rac{N_0(\gamma,\,A_n)}{|A_n|} &= rac{n-L+1}{n}\,rac{(n-L)!}{n!}\,rac{k_n!}{(k_n-K)!}\,rac{j_n!}{(j_n-J)!} \ &= rac{1}{n}\,rac{\prod\limits_{lpha=0}^{K-1}(k_n-lpha)\prod\limits_{lpha=0}^{J-1}(j_n-lpha)}{\prod\limits_{lpha=0}^{L-2}(n-lpha)} \ . \end{aligned}$$

Now let $p_n = k_n/n$ and $q_n = j_n/n$; then

$$|p_n-p|=\left|\frac{[pn]}{n}-\frac{pn}{n}\right|=\frac{1}{n}|[pn]-pn|\leq 1/n$$

and $p_n \to p$. Hence, $q_n \to q$. Then

¹ The lexicographic ordering in the $A(k_n, n)$ strings is not needed in the proof; in fact the theorem is true for any ordering so that for each p, the method yields an uncountable family of p-normal numbers.

$$\begin{split} \frac{N_{0}(\gamma, n)}{|A_{n}|} &= \frac{1}{n} \frac{\prod\limits_{\alpha=0}^{K-1} (np_{n} - \alpha) \prod\limits_{\alpha=0}^{J-1} (nq_{n} - \alpha)}{\prod\limits_{\alpha=0}^{L-2} (n - \alpha)} \\ &= \frac{(np_{n})^{K} \prod\limits_{\alpha=0}^{K-1} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{np_{n}}\right) \cdot (nq_{n})^{J} \prod\limits_{\alpha=0}^{J-1} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{nq_{n}}\right)}{n^{L} \prod\limits_{\alpha=0}^{L-2} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{n}\right)} \\ &= p_{n}^{K} q_{n}^{J} \cdot \frac{\prod\limits_{\alpha=0}^{K-1} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{np_{n}}\right) \prod\limits_{\alpha=0}^{J-1} \left(\frac{\alpha}{nq_{n}}\right)}{\prod\limits_{\alpha=0}^{L-2} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{n}\right)} \end{split}$$

and

$$\lim_{n o\infty}rac{N_0(\gamma,\,A_n)}{|A_n|}=p^{\scriptscriptstyle K}q^{\scriptscriptstyle J}$$
 .

Furthermore,

$$\frac{N_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}(^{\gamma},\,A_{\scriptscriptstyle n})}{|A_{\scriptscriptstyle n}|} \leqq \frac{N(^{\gamma},\,A_{\scriptscriptstyle n})}{|A_{\scriptscriptstyle n}|} \leqq \frac{N_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}(^{\gamma},\,A_{\scriptscriptstyle n})}{|A_{\scriptscriptstyle n}|} + \frac{L-1}{n}$$

and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{L-1}{n}=0\;;$$

hence the lemma is proved.

We will need the following lemma, whose proof is omitted.

LEMMA 2. Let $\{a_n\}$ be a sequence of real numbers which converges to a real number a, and let $\{b_n\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers such that $\sum b_n = \infty$. Then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{a_1b_1+\cdots+a_nb_n}{b_1+\cdots+b_n}=a.$$

LEMMA 3.

$$\overline{\lim_{n o \infty}} \frac{|A_{n+1}|}{|A_n|} = \max (p^{-1}, q^{-1})$$
.

Proof. From the definitions,

$$|A_n|=niggl[rac{n}{k_n}iggr]=rac{nn!}{k_n!j_n!}=rac{nn!}{[pn]!(n-[pn])!}$$

and

$$\frac{|A_{n+1}|}{|A_n|} = \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)(n+1) \frac{[pn]!(n-[pn])!}{[p(n+1)]!(n+1-[p(n+1)])!}.$$

For each value of n, [p(n+1)] assumes one of the values [pn] or [pn] + 1. In the first case,

$$\frac{|A_{n+1}|}{|A_n|} = \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) \left(1 - \frac{[pn]}{n+1}\right)^{-1} \longrightarrow (1-p)^{-1} = q^{-1}$$

and in the second case,

$$\frac{|A_{n+1}|}{|A_n|} = \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)\left(\frac{[pn]}{n+1} + \frac{1}{n+1}\right)^{-1} \longrightarrow p^{-1}$$

as $n \to \infty$. This proves the lemma.

Returning to the proof of the theorem, let $\{a_{m,k}\}$ be the increasing sequence of positive integers defined by

$$a_{\scriptscriptstyle{m,k}} = \sum\limits_{\scriptscriptstyle{i=1}}^{\scriptscriptstyle{m-1}} i \, |A_{\scriptscriptstyle{i}}| + k \, |A_{\scriptscriptstyle{m}}|; \qquad m \! \geq \! 1, \, 1 \leq k \leq m$$
 .

Let b_l denote the lth integer in the sequence $\{a_{m,k}\}$. Let m_0 be the smallest integer for which A_{m_0} has a least L-1 leading zeros and let $b_{l_0} = a_{m_0,1}$. Then for any $l \ge l_0$,

$$N(\gamma, b_l) = N(\gamma, b_{l_0-1}) + \sum_{i=m}^{m-1} i f_i |A_i| + f_m k |A_m|$$

where $f_i = N(\gamma, A_i)/|A_i|$ and $b_i = a_{m,k}$. Hence, by Lemmas 1 and 2,

$$\lim_{l o\infty}rac{N(\gamma,\,b_l)}{b_l}=\,p^{\scriptscriptstyle K}q^{\scriptscriptstyle J}$$
 .

Now let t be an integer, $b_i \le t \le b_{i+1}$ for some $b_i = a_{m,k}$ Then

$$\frac{N(\gamma, t)}{t} \leq \frac{N(\gamma, b_{1+1})}{b_t} \leq \frac{N(\gamma, b_1)}{b_t} + \frac{|A_m|}{b_t}$$

and

$$\frac{N(\gamma,\,t)}{t} \ge \frac{N(\gamma,\,b_{l})}{b_{l+1}} \ge \frac{N(\gamma,\,b_{l+1})}{b_{l+1}} - \frac{|\,A_{\scriptscriptstyle m}\,|}{b_{l+1}} \;.$$

Since

$$\frac{|A_m|}{b_{l+1}} \leq \frac{|A_m|}{b_l} = \frac{|A_m|}{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{m-1} i|A_i| + k|A_m|} \leq \frac{|A_m|}{(m-1)|A_{m-1}|} ,$$

the theorem follows from the fact that $|A_m|/|A_{m-1}|$ is bounded.

For the base B > 2, there must be specified B positive real

numbers p_0, \dots, p_{B-1} such that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{B-1} p_i = 1$$
 .

A number x may be constructed as in the theorem using sequences

$$A_n = A(k_n^0, k_n^1, \dots, k_n^{B-1}, n)$$

of length n containing k_n^b b's for each digit $b = 0, 1, \dots, B - 1$. The k_n^b are determined recursively by

$$egin{aligned} k_n^0 &= [p_0 n] \ k_n^0 + k_n^1 &= [(p_0 + p_{_1}) n] \ &dots \ k_n^0 + \cdots + k_n^{B-1} &= [(p_0 + \cdots + p_{B-1}) n] = n \ . \end{aligned}$$

The resulting number x will be $(p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{B-1})$ -normal in the base B.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. The author is indebted to Professors H. M. Hilden and T. S. Pitcher of the University of Hawaii and Professor P. C. Shields of the University of Toledo for their helpful suggestions and comments.

REFERENCES

- 1. E. Borel, Lecons sur la Theories des Fonctions, (2nd ed., 1914), 182-216.
- 2. D. G. Champernowne, J. London Math. Soc., 8 (1933), 254-260.
- 3. A. H. Copeland and P. Erdös, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 52 (1946), 857-860.
- 4. S. Ito and I. Shiokawa, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 27 (1975), 20-23.
- 5. D. E. Knuth, BIT 5 (1965), 246-250.
- 6. A. G. Postnikov and I. I. Pjateckii-Sapiro, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat., 21 (1957), 501-514. (Russian).
- A. G. Postnikov, Selected Transl. in Math. Statist. and Probability, 13 (1973), 41–122.
- 8. I. I. Pjateckii-Sapiro, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat., 15 (1951), 47-52. (Russian).

Received May 10, 1977.

University of Hawaii at Manoa Honolulu, HI 96822

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)

University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

C. W. CURTIS

University of Oregon Eugene, OR 97403

C.C. MOORE

University of California Berkeley, CA 94720 J. Dugundji

Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

R. FINN AND J. MILGRAM

Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. Wolf

K. Yoshida

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 76, No. 1 November, 1978

Ata Nuri Al-Hussaini, Potential operators and equimeasurability	1
Tim Anderson and Erwin Kleinfeld, Semisimple nil algebras of type δ	9
Stephen LaVern Campbell, <i>Linear operators for which</i> T^*T <i>and</i> $T + T^*$	
commute. III	17
Robert Jay Daverman, Special approximations to embeddings of	
codimension one spheres	21
Donald M. Davis, Connective coverings of BO and immersions of projective	
spaces	33
V. L. (Vagn Lundsgaard) Hansen, The homotopy type of the space of maps of	
a homology 3-sphere into the 2-sphere	43
James Victor Herod, A product integral representation for the generalized	
inverse of closed operators	51
A. A. Iskander, Definability in the lattice of ring varieties	61
Russell Allan Johnson, Existence of a strong lifting commuting with a	
compact group of transformations	69
Heikki J. K. Junnila, <i>Neighbornets</i>	83
Klaus Kalb, On the expansion in joint generalized eigenvectors	109
F. J. Martinelli, Construction of generalized normal numbers	117
Edward O'Neill, On Massey products	123
Vern Ival Paulsen, Continuous canonical forms for matrices under unitary	
equivalence	129
Justin Peters and Terje Sund, Automorphisms of locally compact groups	143
Duane Randall, Tangent frame fields on spin manifolds	157
Jeffrey Brian Remmel, <i>Realizing partial orderings by classes of co-simple</i>	
sets	169
J. Hyam Rubinstein, One-sided Heegaard splittings of 3-manifolds	185
Donald Charles Rung, Meier type theorems for general boundary approach	
and σ-porous exceptional sets	201
Ryōtarō Satō, <i>Positive operators and the ergodic theorem</i>	215
Ira H. Shavel, A class of algebraic surfaces of general type constructed from	
quaternion algebras	221
Patrick F. Smith, Decomposing modules into projectives and injectives	247
Sergio Eduardo Zarantonello, <i>The sheaf of outer functions</i> in the	
polydisc	267