Pacific Journal of Mathematics

SEMIGROUPS WHOSE LATTICE OF CONGRUENCES IS BOOLEAN

H. B. HAMILTON AND T. E. NORDAHL

Vol. 77, No. 1 January 1978

SEMIGROUPS WHOSE LATTICE OF CONGRUENCES IS BOOLEAN

HOWARD HAMILTON AND THOMAS NORDAHL

The commutative semigroups whose lattice of congruences forms a Boolean lattice are determined. They are (i) the null semigroups of order two or less, (ii) the discrete trees, (iii) the groups which are a direct sum of prime order cyclic groups in which no two factors have the same order, (iv) the semigroups which are a one element inflation of a discrete tree, (v) the semigroups which are a free product of a discrete tree with zero and a semigroup of type (iii) amalgamated over the trivial semigroup, and (vi) the semigroups which are a one element inflation of a semigroup of type (v).

1. Introduction. In this paper a semilattice will be considered to be an upper semilattice (i.e., for $x, y \in S$ we have $x \leq y$ if and only if xy = y). We say that semilattice S is a (discrete) tree if for all $x, y \in S$ with $x \leq y$ the interval $[x, y] = \{z \in S: x \leq z \leq y\}$ is a (finite) chain. For any semigroup S we will let L(S) denote the lattice of congruences of S. If T is a subsemigroup of semigroup S and there exists a function $f: S \to T$ satisfying: (i) the restriction of f to T is the identity mapping and (ii) for $x, y \in S$ we have xy = f(x)f(y), then S is said to be an inflation of T. We shall say that S is a one element inflation of T if S is an inflation of T and $[S \setminus T] = 1$. Terms which are not defined may be found in [3], [9], [12], [13] or in [1].

We now present some results which are needed for our proofs.

THEOREM 1. (Hamilton [7]) Let S be a semilattice. L(S) is a Boolean lattice if and only if S is a discrete tree.

Let S be a semigroup and let $S = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Gamma} S_{\alpha}$ be the greatest semilattice decomposition of S. If $\alpha < \beta$ in Γ and for all $\alpha \in S_{\alpha}$ and $b \in S_{\beta}$ we have ab = ba = b then we say that S_{α} and S_{β} are 1-composed. If S_{α} and S_{β} are 1-composed for all α , $\beta \in \Gamma$ with $\alpha < \beta$ then we say that S is 1-composed.

Theorem 2. (Hamilton [8]) If S is a commutative seperative semigroup with L(S) a modular lattice then S is 1-composed.

If a semigroup S is isomorphic to a subdirect product of semigroups T and U we shall write: $S \simeq T \times_S U$. If a semigroup S is isomorphic (equal) to a subdirect product of a collection, $\{A_i\}_{i\in I}$, we shall write $S \simeq \prod_{i=I}^S A_i (S = \prod_{i=I}^S A_i)$.

THEOREM 3. (Tanaka [15], [2]) Let A be an algebra such that L(A) is a distributive lattice. Then L(A) is a Boolean lattice if and only if A is isomorphic to some A^* where:

- (${
 m i}$) $A^*=\Pi_{i\in I}^S\,A_i$, where the A_i are congruence simple algebras and
- (ii) any two elements of A^* are equal on all but finitely many factors A_i .

We wish to emphasize the difference between simple and congruence simple. A semigroup is said to be simple if it has no proper ideals. A semigroup is said to be congruence simple if ϵ , the diagonal relation and ω , the universal relation, are the only congruences on S.

We shall write $G \simeq \sum_{i \in I} G_i$ to indicate that a group G is the direct sum of groups $\{G_i\}_{i \in I}$.

THEOREM 4. (Burris [2]) Let G be a group. L(G) is a Boolean lattice if and only if $G \simeq \sum_{i \in I} G_i$, where the G_i are simple groups and the factor Z_p does not occur twice for any prime p.

COROLLARY 5. Let G be an Abelian group. L(G) is a Boolean lattice if and only if $G \simeq \sum_{p \in P} Z_p$, where P is a set of primes.

PROPOSITION 6. (Birkhoff [1]) The partition lattice on a set S is distributive if and only if $|S| \leq 2$.

COROLLARY 7. Let N be a null semigroup. L(N) is distributive if and only if N is a null semigroup of order less than or equal to two if and only if L(N) is a Boolean lattice.

THEOREM 8. (Fountain and Lockley [5]) Let S be a semigroup and σ a congruence on S. If L(S) is a Boolean (modular, distributive) lattice, then $L(S/\sigma)$ is a Boolean (modular, distributive) lattice.

- 2. Preliminary results. We shall call a semigroup S a Boolean semigroup if L(S) forms a Boolean lattice.
- Lemma 9. Let S be a Boolean semigroup. Every cancellative congruence σ on S is a cancellative simple congruence, that is, S/σ is a cancellative simple semigroup.

Proof. Let σ be a cancellative congruence on S. Suppose that S/σ has a proper ideal I. Suppose that |I|=1 then S/σ has a zero and so as S/σ is cancellative we have that S/σ is trivial and so σ would be the group congruence ω . If $|I|\neq 1$ then ρ_I , the Rees congruence with respect to the ideal I, has complement $\tau\in L(S/\sigma)$ as $L(S/\sigma)$ will be a Boolean lattice by Theorem 8. As $\tau\cap\rho_I=\iota$ on S/σ we see that the restriction of τ to I, $\tau|_I$, is the diagonal relation on I. Suppose that $x\tau y$ and that $a\in I$. $ax\tau ay$ and so ax=ay as ax, $ay\in I$. As S/σ is a cancellative semigroup it follows that x=y and so τ is the diagonal relation on S/σ . τ clearly is not the complement of ρ_I . Hence S/σ has no proper ideals and σ is a cancellative simple congruence on S.

It is easy to verify that a cancellative simple semigroup with nonempty center is a group (see [11]). Combining this remark with Lemma 9 we obtain:

LEMMA 10. Let S be a Boolean semigroup with nonempty center then every cancellative congruence on S is a group congruence on S. In particular for every commutative semigroup, cancellative congruences are group congruences.

LEMMA 11. Let S be a Boolean semigroup with nonempty center. S has a smallest group congruence. In particular, every commutative Boolean semigroup has a smallest group congruence.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 10, as every semigroup has a smallest cancellative congruence.

REMARK 12. The commutative semigroups which are congruence simple are exactly those which are isomorphic to Z_p for some prime p, a null semigroup of order two, a semilattice of order two or a trivial semigroup.

PROPOSITION 13. Let S be a commutative semigroup. L(S) is a Boolean lattice if and only if L(S) is distributive and $S \simeq T \times_S N \times_S G$ where T is a discrete tree, N is a null semigroup of order less than or equal to two and $G \simeq \sum_{p*P} Z_p$ for some set of primes P.

Proof. Let S be a commutative Boolean semigroup. It follows from Remark 12 and Theorem 3 that $S \simeq (\Pi_{\sigma \in \Sigma}^S S/\sigma) \times_S (\Pi_{\lambda \in \Lambda}^S S/\lambda) \times_S (\Pi_{\gamma \in \Gamma}^S S/\gamma)$ where Σ is the set of semilattice congruences on S, Λ is the set of null congruences on S, and Γ is the set of group congruences on S. Note: we are actually including more than "con-

gruence simple" congruences in this subdirect decomposition. The intersection of semilattice congruences is a semilattice congruence. The intersection of null congruences is a null congruence. By Lemma 11, the intersection of group congruences is a group congruence. Thus $S \simeq T \times_S N \times_S G$ where T is a semilattice, N is a null semigroup and G is an Abelian group. By Theorem 8, L(T), L(N), and L(G) are all Boolean lattices. It follows from Corollary 7 that $|N| \leq 2$. It follows from Theorem 1 that T is a discrete tree. It follows from Corollary 5 that $G \simeq \sum_{p \in P} Z_p$ for some set of primes P.

Conversely, let us assume that $S \simeq T \times_S N \times_S G$ where T is a discrete tree, N is a null semigroup with $|N| \leq 2$ and $G \simeq \sum_{p \in P} Z_p$ for some set of primes P and L(S) is a distributive lattice. In order to apply Theorem 3 we need to show that T, N, and G satisfy the conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 3. As T is a discrete tree it follows from Theorem 1 that L(T) is a Boolean lattice. By Theorem 3 we have that T satisfies conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 3. As N is a null semigroup of order less than or equal to two, N clearly satisfies conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 3. It follows that S satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 3 and so by Theorem 3 we have that L(S) is a Boolean lattice.

Let E be a semigroup and let $\{A_i: i \in I\}$ be a collection of semigroups and suppose that for each $i \in I$ there exists λ_i a monomorphism of E into A_i . A free product of $\{A_i: i \in I\}$ with amalgamated subsemigroup E is a semigroup B possessing a system of monomorphisms $\langle \sigma_i \rangle i \in I$ where σ_i maps A_i into B satisfying:

- (i) $\sigma_i^0 \lambda_i = \sigma_j^0 \lambda_j$ for all $i, j \in I$,
- (ii) $[\bigcup_{i\in I} \sigma_i(A_i)] = B$ (where [X] denotes the semigroup generated by X, and
- (iii) if C is a semigroup and for each $i \in I$, $\phi_i \in \operatorname{HOM}(A_i, C)$ is given so that $\phi_i^0 \lambda_i = \phi_j^0 \lambda_j$ for all $i, j \in I$, then there exists $\phi \in \operatorname{HOM}(B, C)$ satisfying $\phi_i = \phi \circ \sigma_i$ for all $i \in I$.

Let S be a semigroup with identity, e, and let T be a semigroup with zero, 0. Let $E = \{1\}$ be the trivial semigroup. Let λ_1 : $E \to S$ be given by $\lambda_1(1) = e$ and let λ_2 : $E \to T$ be given by $\lambda_2(1) = 0$. Let $B = S \bigcup_1 T$ be the set union of S and T except that 0 and e are identified. Define a product in B by:

$$x\circ_B y = egin{cases} x\circ_S y & ext{if } x,\,y\in S, ext{ where } \circ_S ext{ is the operation in } S \ x\circ_T y & ext{if } x,\,y\in T, ext{ where } \circ_T ext{ is the operation in } T \ x & ext{if } x\in S,\,\,y\in T \ y & ext{if } x\in T,\,\,y\in S \ . \end{cases}$$

We define $\sigma_1: S \to B$ and $\sigma_2: T \to B$ by $\sigma_1(s) = s$ and $\sigma_2(t) = t$ for all $s \in S$, $t \in T$. Note that $\sigma_1(e) = \sigma_2(0)$. It is easy to see that the semigroup B is the free product of S and T amalgamated over $\{1\}$, so as to identify \dot{e} and 0.

PROPOSITION 14. Let S be a semigroup with identity, e, and let T be a semigroup with zero, 0. Let B be the free product of S and T amalgamated over the trivial semigroup $\{1\}$. Then we have $L(B) \simeq L(S) \times L(T)$.

Proof. Let $\rho \in L(B)$. We define $\phi: L(B) \to L(S) \times L(T)$ by $\phi(\rho) = (\rho|_S, \, \rho|_T)$. We define the inverse map $\psi: L(S) \times L(T) \to L(B)$ by $\psi(\sigma, \, \tau) = \rho$ where:

$$x
ho y ext{ if} egin{cases} x, \ y \in S \ ext{and} \ x \sigma y \ , \ x, \ y \in T \ ext{and} \ x au y \ , \ x \in S, \ y \in T \ ext{and} \ x \sigma e, \ 0 au y \ ext{or} \ x \in T, \ y \in S \ ext{and} \ x au 0, \ e\sigma y \ ext{(recall} \ e = 0 \ ext{in} \ B). \end{cases}$$

Let $\rho \in L(B)$ and suppose $x\rho y$ where $x \in S$ and $y \in T$. We see that $x = xe\rho ye = y0 = 0 = e$ and so $x\rho e$. Also we see that $0\rho \times \rho y$ and so $0\rho y$. Conversely, if $x \in S$ and $y \in T$ with $x\rho e$ and $0\rho y$, then we have $x\rho y$ by the transitivity of ρ . Thus ρ is determined by its restrictions to S and T. We see that ψ is the inverse of ϕ and so $L(B) \simeq L(S) \times L(T)$.

The general case. Let S be a commutative Boolean semigroup. By Proposition 13, we have that $S \simeq T \times_S N \times_S G$ where T is a discrete tree, N is a null semigroup with $|N| \leq 2$ and $G \simeq$ $_{v}\sum_{P}Z_{v}$ for some set of primes P. In what follows we shall consider S to be a subsemigroup of the direct product $T \times N \times G$. Let $\pi: T \times N \times G \to T \times G$ be the projection homomorphism. $U = \pi(S)$ is the greatest separative homomorphic image of S. U is a subsemigroup of the direct product $T \times G$ which is a subdirect product of T and G. For each $\alpha \in T$ we let $U_{\alpha} = \{(\alpha, g) \in [\alpha] \times G : (\alpha, g) \in U\}$. We see that $U = \bigcup_{\alpha \in T} U_{\alpha}$ is a semilattice decomposition of U which is in fact the greatest semilattice decomposition of U, U is a commutative separative Boolean semigroup. It follows from Theorem 2 that U is 1-composed. Suppose $\alpha, \beta \in T$ with $\alpha < \beta$ and that $(\alpha, g), (\beta, h) \in U$. Then as U is 1-composed we see that: $(\beta, h) =$ $(\alpha, g)(\beta, h) = (\alpha\beta, gh) = (\beta, gh)$. Hence gh = h and as G is a group we have that g = e, the identity of G. We can conclude that if G is not trivial that T has a zero, 0, with $U_0 = \{(0, g); g \in G\} \simeq G$ and U_{β} is trivial for all other $\beta \in T$. If G is trivial then $U \simeq T$ is a

discrete tree. If G is not trivial, U is isomorphic to the free product of G and T amalgamated over the trivial semigroup $\{1\}$ so as to identify $0 \in T$ and $e \in G$. By Proposition 15, $L(U) \simeq L(G) \times L(T)$. By Theorem 1, L(T) is a Boolean lattice. By Corollary 5, L(G) is a Boolean lattice and so L(U) is a Boolean lattice. We have shown:

Theorem 15. Let U be a commutative separative semigroup. L(U) is Boolean if and only if

- (i) U is a discrete tree or
- (ii) U is a free product of $G \simeq \sum_{p \in P} Z_p$ (P a nonempty set of primes) and T, a discrete tree with zero, amalgamated over the trivial semigroup.

We return to the general case where S is our commutative Boolean semigroup and S is a subsemigroup of $T \times N \times G$. We now consider the projection $h\colon T \times N \times G \to T \times N$. A semigroup is called group free if all of its subgroups are trivial. It is easy to see that the intersection of group free congruences is a group free congruence and so every semigroup has a greatest group free homomorphic image. V = h(S) is the greatest group free homomorphic image of S. For each $\gamma \in T$ we let $V_{\gamma} = \{(\gamma, x) \in \{\gamma\} \times N : (\gamma, x) \in V\}$. $V = \bigcup_{\alpha \in T} V_{\alpha}$ is the greatest semilattice decomposition of V. Each V_{α} is either trivial or a null semigroup of order 2. Let $\alpha \in T$. We denote the zero of V_{α} , $(\alpha, 0)$ by 0_{α} . If V_{α} is not trivial we denote the other element, (α, α) , by a_{α} .

LEMMA 16. Let V be a group free, commutative Boolean semigroup and let $V = \bigcup_{\alpha \in T} V_{\alpha}$ be the greatest semilattice decomposition of V. If $\alpha, \beta \in T$ and $\alpha < \beta$ then V_{α} and V_{β} are not both nontrivial.

Proof. We can see that V is an inflation of $T \times \{0\} \simeq T$. For each $\alpha \in T$, $V_{\alpha} = \{0_{\alpha}, \alpha_{\alpha}\}$ or $V_{\alpha} = \{0_{\alpha}\}$. Suppose $\alpha, \beta \in T$ with $\alpha < \beta$ and $V_{\alpha} = \{0_{\alpha}, \alpha_{\alpha}\}$ and $V_{\beta} = \{0_{\beta}, \alpha_{\beta}\}$. We shall see that this assumption leads to a contradiction. Let us first show that $V_{\alpha} \cup V_{\beta}$ is a homomorphic image of V and so $L(V_{\alpha} \cup V_{\beta})$ is a Boolean lattice by Theorem 8. Let $V^{\alpha} = \bigcup_{\gamma \leq \alpha} V_{\gamma}$. We define $f: V \to V_{\alpha} \cup V_{\beta}$ as follows:

- (i) if $x \in V^{\alpha} \setminus \{a_{\alpha}\}$ then $f(x) = 0_{\alpha}$,
- (ii) $f(a_{\alpha}) = a_{\alpha}$,
- (iii) if $x \in V \setminus (V^{\alpha} \cup \{a_{\beta}\})$ then $f(x) = 0_{\beta}$ and
- (iv) $f(a_{\beta}) = a_{\beta}$.

We note that $\{0_{\alpha}\}$ is an ideal of V^{α} and that $\{a_{\alpha}\}V^{\alpha}=V^{\alpha}\{a_{\alpha}\}=\{0_{\alpha}\}$ and so the restriction of f to V^{α} is a homomorphism. We note

that $V \setminus V^{\alpha} = I$ is a prime ideal with $\{a_{\beta}\}V = V\{a_{\beta}\} \subseteq I$ and so $V \setminus (V^{\alpha} \cup \{a_{\beta}\})$ is an ideal of V. The function f is thus a homomorphism and $f(V) = V_{\alpha} \cup V_{\beta}$ is a Boolean semigroup. Let us define the relations σ , ρ_1 , and ρ_2 on f(V) as follows:

$$x\sigma y ext{ if } egin{cases} x,\ y \in \{0_lpha,\ 0_eta\} ext{ or } \ x,\ y \in \{a_lpha,\ a_lpha\} ext{ ,} \ x
ho_1 y ext{ if } egin{cases} x,\ y \in \{0_lpha,\ a_lpha\} ext{ or } \ x=y ext{ , and} \ x
ho_2 y ext{ if } egin{cases} x,\ y \in \{0_lpha,\ a_lpha\} ext{ or } \ x,\ y \in \{0_eta,\ a_eta\} ext{ .} \end{cases}$$

 σ , ρ_1 , and ρ_2 are clearly congruences on f(V) and $\sigma \cap \rho_1 = \sigma \cap \rho_2 = c$, $\sigma \vee \rho_1 = \sigma \vee \rho_2 = \omega$. So $L(V_\alpha \cup V_\beta)$ contains N_5 , the nonmodular lattice of order 5, which is a contradiction to the fact that $L(V_\alpha \cup V_\beta)$ is a Boolean lattice. Thus V_α and V_β are not both nontrivial.

LEMMA 17. Let V be a commutative, group-free, Boolean semigroup and let $V = \bigcup_{\alpha \in T} V_{\alpha}$ be the greatest semilattice decomposition of V. If $\alpha, \beta \in T$ are incomparable elements of T then V_{α} and V_{β} are not both nontrivial.

Proof. Suppose by way of contradiction that there exist incomparable elements α , $\beta \in T$ with $V_{\alpha} = \{0_{\alpha}, a_{\alpha}\}$ and $V_{\beta} = \{0_{\beta}, a_{\beta}\}$. By Lemma 16, $V_{\alpha\beta} = \{0_{\alpha\beta}\}$ is trivial. We shall see that $V_{\alpha} \cup V_{\beta} \cup V_{\alpha\beta}$ is a homomorphic image of V and so $L(V_{\alpha} \cup V_{\beta} \cup V_{\alpha\beta})$ is a Boolean lattice by Theorem 8. We define $f: V \to V_{\alpha} \cup V_{\beta} \cup V_{\alpha\beta}$ as follows:

- (i) if $x \in V^{\alpha} \setminus \{a_{\alpha}\}$ then $f(x) = 0_{\alpha}$,
- (ii) $f(a_{\alpha}) = a_{\alpha}$,
- (iii) if $x \in V^{\beta} \setminus \{a_{\beta}\}$ then $f(x) = 0_{\beta}$,
- (iv) $f(a_{\beta}) = a_{\beta}$, and
- (v) if $x \in V \setminus (V^{\alpha} \cup V^{\beta})$ then $f(x) = 0_{\alpha\beta}$.

It follows that f is a homomorphism as $V \setminus (V^{\alpha} \cup V^{\beta})$ is an ideal of V, $\{0_{\alpha}\}$ is an ideal of V^{α} , $\{0_{\beta}\}$ is an ideal of V^{β} and as $V^{\alpha}\{a_{\alpha}\} = \{0_{\alpha}\}$ is an ideal of V^{α} , $\{0_{\beta}\}$ is an ideal of V^{β} and as $V^{\alpha}\{a_{\alpha}\} = \{0_{\alpha}\}$ and $V^{\beta}\{a_{\beta}\} = \{0_{\beta}\}$.

We define relations ρ_1 , ρ_2 , and σ on $f(V) = V_{\alpha} \cup V_{\beta} \cup V_{\alpha\beta}$ as follows:

$$x \circ y \text{ if } \begin{cases} x, y \in \{a_{\alpha}, a_{\beta}\} \text{ or } \\ x, y \in \{0_{\alpha}, 0_{\beta}, 0_{\alpha\beta}\} \end{cases}$$

$$x
ho_1 y ext{ if } egin{cases} x,\ y \in \{0_lpha,\ lpha_lpha\} ext{ or } \ x,\ y \in \{0_eta,\ lpha_eta\} ext{ or } \ x = y \end{cases} \ x_2 y ext{ if } egin{cases} x,\ y \in \{0_eta,\ lpha_eta\} ext{ or } \ x = y \ . \end{cases}$$

It is immediate that these three relations are all congruence relations and that $\sigma \rho_1 = \sigma \rho_2 = \iota$ and $\sigma \rho_1 = \sigma \rho_2 = \omega$. We see that N_5 , the nonmodular lattice, is a sublattice of $L(V_\alpha \cup V_\beta \cup V_{\alpha\beta})$ contradicting the fact $L(V_\alpha \cup V_\beta \cup V_{\alpha\beta})$ is modular, in fact a Boolean lattice. It follows that V_α and V_β cannot both be nontrivial.

Theorem 18. V is a group-free, commutative, Boolean semigroup if and only if V is either a discrete tree or is a one element inflation of a discrete tree.

Proof. Let V be a group-free, commutative, Boolean semigroup and let $V = \bigcup_{\alpha \in T} V_{\alpha}$ be the greatest semilattice decomposition of V. By Lemmas 16 and 17 it follows that V_{α} is nontrivial for at most one $\alpha \in T$. Hence V is either a discrete tree or a one element inflation of a discrete tree as V is a subsemigroup of $T \times N$.

Conversely, if V is a discrete tree we know that L(V) is a Boolean lattice by Theorem 1. We thus assume that V is a one element inflation of a discrete tree T (identifying T and $T \times \{0\}$). Then $V = \bigcup_{\alpha \in T} V_{\alpha}$ where for some $\alpha \in T$, $V_{\alpha} = \{0_{\alpha}, \alpha_{\alpha}\}$ and V_{β} is trivial for all $\beta \in T \setminus \{\alpha\}$. We note that for all $x \in V$, $xa_{\alpha} = x0_{\alpha}$ by the definition of an inflation. We shall now show that $L(V) \cong L(T) \times L(V_{\alpha})$. Let $\phi: L(V) \to L(T) \times L(V_{\alpha})$ be given by $\phi(\rho) = (\rho|_{T}, \rho|_{V_{\alpha}})$. Recall that we are identifying T with $T \times \{0\}$. ϕ is clearly an order preserving map.

Let $\rho \in L(V)$ and suppose $x\rho a_{\alpha}$ for some $x \in V \setminus \{a_{\alpha}\}$. We find that $a_{\alpha}\rho x = x^{2}\rho a_{\alpha}^{2} = 0_{\alpha}$. Thus $x\rho a_{\alpha}$ implies that $x\rho 0_{\alpha}$ and $0_{\alpha}\rho a_{\alpha}$. Conversely, if $x\rho 0_{\alpha}$ and $0_{\alpha}\rho a_{\alpha}$ we have $x\rho a_{\alpha}$. We see that ρ is determined by its restrictions to T and V_{α} . We can now define the inverse to ϕ , ψ . ψ : $L(T) \times L(V_{\alpha}) \to L(V)$ by $\psi(\sigma, \tau) = \rho$ where

$$x
ho y ext{ if } egin{cases} x,\,y \in T ext{ and } x\sigma y \;, & ext{or} \ x,\,y \in V_lpha ext{ and } x au y \;, & ext{or} \ x \in T,\,y = a_lpha ext{ and } x\sigma 0_lpha \;, \; 0_lpha au a, \; or \ x = a \;, \; y \in T ext{ and } x au 0_lpha \;, \; 0_lpha \sigma y \;. \end{cases}$$

 ψ is clearly a lattice homomorphism which is the inverse of ϕ and so $L(V) \simeq L(T) \times L(V_{\alpha})$. As T is a discrete tree, L(T) is a Boolean

lattice by Theorem 1. $L(V_{\alpha}) \simeq \{\iota, \omega\}$ and so is a Boolean lattice. L(V) is a direct product of Boolean lattices and so is a Boolean lattice.

We return again to our general case: S is a commutative, Boolean semigroup which we can identify as a subsemigroup of some $T \times N \times G$.

THEOREM 19. S is a commutative, Boolean semigroup if and only if S is one of the following:

- (i) a null semigroup of order two or less,
- (ii) a discrete tree,
- (iii) isomorphic to $\sum_{p \in P} Z_p$ for some set of primes P,
- (iv) a one element inflation of a discrete tree,
- (v) a free product of a discrete tree with zero and a group isomorphic to $\sum_{p\in P} Z_p$, P a nonempty set of primes, amalgamated over the trivial semigroup so as to identify the identity of the group and the zero of the discrete tree.
 - (vi) a one element inflation of a semigroup of type (v).

Proof. Let S be a commutative, Boolean semigroup. We assume as before that S is a subsemigroup of $T \times N \times G$. In the case that N is trivial it follows from Theorem 15 that S is of type (ii) or of type (v). In the case that G is trivial it follows from Theorem 18 that S is of type (ii) or of type (iv).

Let us consider the case when N and G are both nontrivial. We know that $G \cong \sum_{p \in P} Z_p$, where P is a nonempty set of primes. By Theorem 15, T is a discrete tree with zero. Let $k \colon T \times N \times G \to T$, $\pi \colon T \times N \times G \to T \times G$ and $h \colon T \times N \times G \to T \times N$ be the projection homomorphisms. For each $\alpha \in T$ we let $S_{\alpha} = k^{-1}(\alpha) \cap S$. $S = \bigcup_{\alpha \in T} S_{\alpha}$ is the greatest semilattice decomposition of S. Let $0 \in T$ be the zero of T. It follows from Theorem 15 that $\pi(S_0) = \{0\} \times G \cong G$ and $\pi(S_{\beta})$ is trivial for all $\beta \in T \setminus \{0\}$. By Theorem 18, there exists $\alpha \in T$ such that $h(S_{\alpha}) = \{(\alpha, 0), (\alpha, a)\}$ and $h(S_{\beta})$ is trivial for all $\beta \in T \setminus \{\alpha\}$. Thus we see that S_{τ} is trivial for all $\gamma \in T \setminus \{\alpha, 0\}$. We consider two cases:

Case (i). We assume $\alpha \neq 0$. In this case it is easy to see that S is a one element inflation of $S\setminus\{(\alpha, a)\}$, which is a semigroup of type (v). S is thus a semigroup of type (vi).

Case (ii). We assume that $\alpha=0$. We have that S_0 is a subsemigroup of $\{0\}\times N\times G$ and S_{β} is trivial for all $\beta\in T\setminus\{0\}$. Let α be the nonzero element and 0_N be the zero of the null semigroup N. We note that if $\beta\neq 0$, that $S_{\beta}=\{(\beta,0,e)\}$. The set $I=T\times\{0_N\}\times\{e\}\cup(\{0\}\times\{0_N\}\times G)$ is a proper ideal of S. Let ρ_I be the Rees congruence on S with respect to the ideal I. $S/\rho_I\simeq(\{0\}\times G)$

 $\{a\} imes G) \cap S \cup \{0\}$ is a null semigroup of order two as S/ρ_I is a Boolean null semigroup and so $|(\{0\} \times \{a\} \times G) \cap S| = 1$. Thus $S_0 = (\{0\} \times N \times G) \cap S$ is a one element null extension of $\{0\} \times \{0_N\} \times G$. Utilizing the fact: $S \subset T \times N \times G$ we see that S is a one element inflation of $S\setminus \{x\}$ where $\{x\} = (\{0\} \times \{a\} \times G) \cap S$. $S\setminus \{x\}$ is a semigroup of type (v) and so S is a semigroup of type (vi).

Conversely, let us assume that S is a semigroup of type (i), (ii), (iii), (iv), (v) or (vi). We shall show that L(S) is a Boolean lattice. By Theorem 18, semigroups of type (i), (ii), and (iv) are Boolean. By Theorem 15, semigroups of type (iii) and (v) are Boolean. We can thus assume that S is a semigroup of type (vi). There thus exists $x \in S$ such that $S \setminus \{x\}$ is isomorphic to the free product of a discrete tree T with zero, 0, and a group G, isomorphic to $\sum_{p \in P} Z_p$ for some nonempty set of primes P, amalgamated so as to identify the identity of G, e, and the zero of T. We shall identify $S \setminus \{x\}$ with $G \cup T$ where $0 \in T$ is identified with $e \in G$ and if $\alpha \in T$, $g \in G$ we have $\alpha g = g\alpha = g$. $S = \bigcup_{\beta \in T} S_\beta$ where $G \subset S_0$, $x \in S_\alpha$ for some $\alpha \in T$ and $S_T = \{\gamma\}$ if $\gamma \notin \{\alpha, 0\}$. We consider two cases:

Case (i). We assume that $\alpha \neq 0$ and so $S_{\alpha} = \{x, \alpha\}$ and $S_{\alpha} = \{x, \alpha\}$ G. We shall see that $L(S) \simeq L(T) \times L(S_0) \times L(S_\alpha)$. We define a $\text{map } \phi \colon L(S) \to L(T) \times L(S_0) \times L(S_\alpha) \text{ by } \phi(\rho) = (\rho|_T, \rho|_{S_0}, \rho|_{S_\alpha}).$ $ho \in L(S)$. As $|S_{\alpha}| = 2$, $ho|_{S_{\alpha}} = \iota$ or $ho|_{S_{\alpha}} = \omega$. Suppose that x
ho b for some $b \in S \setminus S_{\alpha}$. If $b \in T$, then $\alpha = x^2 \rho b^2 = b \rho x$. If $b \in G$, then as Gis periodic, there exists n such that $b^n = b$ and so $x \rho b = b^n \rho x^n = \alpha$. Hence if $\rho|_{S_{\alpha}} = \iota$, we have that the ρ class of x, $[x]_{\rho} = \{x\}$ and if $ho|_{S_lpha}=\omega$, then $[x]_
ho=[lpha]_
ho$. Suppose that $u\in S_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}$, $y\in S\setminus S_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}$ and that $u\rho y$. As $u \in S_0$ there exists m such that $u^m = e$, as S_0 is the periodic group G. If $y \in T$, then y is idempotent and so $e = u^m \rho y^m = y \rho u$ and so $u\rho e$ and $e=0\rho y$. If y=x, then we have that $[x]_{\rho}\neq\{x\}$ and so $[x]_{\rho} = [\alpha]_{\rho}$, implying that $x\rho\alpha$ and so by transitivity of ρ , $u\rho\alpha$. As α is an idempotent we have by the previous argument that $u\rho e$ and $0 = e\rho\alpha$ and so noting that $x\rho\alpha$ we have that $u\rho e$ and 0 = $e\rho x$. We see that ρ is the transitive closure of $\rho|_{x} \cup \rho|_{s_0} \cup \rho|_{s_{\alpha}}$. We define $\psi: L(T) \times L(S_0) \times L(S) \to L(S)$ by $\psi(\sigma, \tau, \theta) = \rho$ where ρ is the transitive closure of $(\sigma \cup \iota_s) \cup (\tau \cup \iota_s) \cup (\theta \cup \iota_s)$. The product on S insures that ρ will be a compatible relation and so a congruence relation. It is clear that ϕ and ψ are order preserving maps which are inverse and so $L(S) \simeq L(T) \times L(S_0) \times L(S_{\alpha})$. T is a discrete tree and so by Theorem 1, L(T) is a Boolean lattice. $S_0 \simeq \sum_{p \in P} Z_p$ and so by Corollary 5, $L(S_0)$ is a Boolean lattice. As S_{α} is a null semigroup of order two, $L(S_{\alpha})$ is a Boolean lattice. L(S) is isomorphic to the direct product of Boolean lattices and so is a Boolean lattice itself.

Case (ii). We assume that $\alpha=0$ and so $S_0=G\cup\{x\}$ and $S_\beta=$

 $\{eta\}$ if $eta \neq 0$. We have shown in the proof of Theorem 15 that $L(S\setminus\{x\}) \simeq L(S_0\setminus\{x\}) \times L(T)$ is a Boolean lattice. Let e be the identity of G and let $\rho \in L(S)$. If $[x]_{\rho} \neq \{x\}$, then $x\rho y$ for some $y \neq x$. If $y \in S_0$, then $x\rho y = ye\rho xe$ and so $[x]_{\rho} = [xe]_{\rho}$. Suppose that $y \in T$. As x^2 is an element of periodic group G, there exists n such that $x^n = e$ and so we have $y = y^n \rho x^n = e$. We now see that $xe\rho yy = y\rho x$ and so $[x]_{\rho} = [xe]_{\rho}$. Thus given a congruence relation on $S\setminus\{x\}$, there are exactly two ways to extend to a congruence relation on S. We can add $\{x\}$ as a singleton class which poses no compatibility difficulties as x behaves multiplicatively as though it were xe, an element of G, or we could adjoin x to the class containing xe and this poses no compatibility difficulties for the same reason. Thus $L(S) \simeq L(S\setminus\{x\}) \times 2 \simeq L(G) \times L(T) \times 2$, where 2 is the two element Boolean lattice. L(S) is isomorphic to a direct product of Boolean lattices and so is itself a Boolean lattice.

COROLLARY 20. S is a commutative Boolean semigroup if and only if $S \simeq T \times_S N \times_S G$, where T is a discrete tree, N is a null semigroup of order two or less, $G \simeq \sum_{p \in P} Z_p$ for some set of primes P, L(S) is a modular lattice and every null homomorphic image of S is of order two or less.

Proof. If one examines the proofs in § 3, he will see that the relevant half of Theorem 15 is based upon the semigroup being 1-composed which followed from modularity. The relevant half of Theorem 18 rests upon Lemmas 16 and 17 whose proofs required only modularity. The relevant half of Theorem 19 essentially rests upon Theorem 15 and Theorem 18 except where we noted that a certain null homomorphic image had order two. We thus have that these conditions are sufficient. The necessity of these conditions follows from Proposition 13.

ADDENDUM

LEMMA 21. Let T be a discrete tree. Then L(T) is isomorphic to P(A), the set of all subsets of the set of atoms of L(T).

Proof. It is known (see p. 171 of [9]) that a Boolean lattice B is isomorphic to P(A) if and only if B is atomic and complete. The lattice of congruences of any algebra is complete. Let $x, y \in T$ such that x covers y (i.e., x > y and there is no z satisfying x > z > y). We define the congruence $\sigma_{x,y}$ by:

$$a\sigma_{x,y}b$$
 if $egin{cases} a,\,b\in\{x,\,y\} \text{ or} \\ & \text{.} \end{cases}$ The set of atoms of $L(T)$ is the set $x=y$

 $\{\sigma_{x,y}: x \text{ covers } y; x, y \in T\}$. It is clear that every congruence contains an atom and so L(T) is atomic. L(T) is thus isomorphic to P(A).

LEMMA 22. $P(A) \times P(B) \simeq P(A \cup B)$, for any two disjoint sets A and B.

Proof. The maps are given by $(C, D) \to C \cup D$ and $E \to (E \cap A, E \cap B)$.

Lemma 23. If $S \simeq \sum_{p \in P} Z_p$ for some nonempty set of primes P, then $L(S) \simeq P(P)$.

Proof. The atoms of L(S) are the congruences induced by Z_p for some $p \in P$.

LEMMA 24. Let S be a one element inflation of a discrete tree T. Then L(S) is isomorphic to P(A) for some set A.

Proof. We have shown that $L(S) \simeq L(T) \times 2$ in the proof of Theorem 18. Hence by Lemmas 21 and 22 we see that L(S) is isomorphic to P(A) for some set A.

LEMMA 25. Let S be a type (v) semigroup of Theorem 19. Then L(S) is isomorphic to P(A) for some set A.

Proof. We have shown in the proof of Theorem 15 that $L(S) \simeq L(G) \times L(T)$, where G is a Boolean group and T is a discrete tree with zero. It follows now by Lemmas 21, 22, and 24 that L(S) is isomorphic to P(A) for some set A.

LEMMA 26. Let S be a type (vi) semigroup of Theorem 19. Then L(S) is isomorphic to P(A) for some set A.

Proof. It is shown in the proof of Theorem 19 that $L(S) \simeq L(T) \times L(G) \times 2$, where T is a discrete tree with zero, G is a Boolean group and 2 is the two element Boolean lattice. It follows now by Lemmas 21, 22, and 23 that L(S) is isomorphic to P(A) for some set A.

THEOREM 27. Let S be a commutative semigroup. Then L(S)

is a Boolean lattice if and only if $L(S) \simeq P(A)$ for some set A.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 19 and Lemmas 21, 23, 24, 25, and 26.

The authors would like to express their deep appreciation to the referee for his many helpful suggestions. The authors would also like to thank Professor Boris Schein for pointing out that Zitomirski [16] has characterized inverse semigroups whose lattice of congruences is Boolean.

REFERENCES

- 1. G. Birkhoff, Lattice Theory, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium publications, Vol. XXV, Providence, Rhode Island, 1948.
- 2. S. Burris, Separating sets in modular lattices with applications to congruence lattices, to appear.
- 3. A.H. Clifford and G.B. Preston, Algebraic Theory of Semigroups, Amer. Math. Soc. Surveys No. 7, Providence, Rhode Island, 1961.
- 4. R.A. Dean and R.H. Oehmke, Idempotent semigroups with distributive right congruence lattices, Pacific J. Math., 14 (1964), 1187-1209.
- 5. J.B. Fountain and P. Lockley, Semilattices of groups with distributive congruence lattice, Semigroup Forum, 14 (1977),81-91.
- 6. G. Gratzer, Universal Algebra, van Nostrand, Princeton, New Jersey, 1968.
- 7. H. Hamilton, Semilattices whose structure lattice is distributive, Semigroup Forum, 8 (1974), 245-253.
- 8. H. Hamilton, Commutative separative semigroups whose lattice of congruences is modular, to appear.
- 9. E. Mendelson, Boolean Algebra and Switching Circuits, McGraw Hill Publishing Co., New York, 1970.
- 10. J. von Neumann, Continuous Geometry, Princeton Univ. Press, 1960.
- 11. T.E. Nordahl, Cancellative semigroups with nonempty center, Semigroup Forum, 11 (1975), 170-177.
- 12. R.S. Pierce, Introduction to the Theory of Abstract Algebras, Holt, Rinehart, and Winston, New York, 1968.
- 13. M. Petrich, Introduction to Semigroups, Merril Publishing Co., Columbus, Ohio, 1972.
- 14. T. Tamura, The theory of operators on binary relations, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 120 (1965), 343-358.
- 15. T. Tanaka, Canonical subdirect factorization of lattices, J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ., Ser. A-1 16 (1952), 239-246.
- 16. G.I. Zitomirskii, Generalized groups with the Boolean lattice of congruence relations (Russian), Uporjadoc Mnozestra i Resetki 2 (1974), 18-27.

Received April 26, 1977 and in revised form November 16, 1977.

University of South Carolina Columbia, SC 29208

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)

University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

C. W. CURTIS

University of Oregon Eugene, OR 97403

C.C. Moore

University of California Berkeley, CA 94720 J. Dugundji

Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

R. FINN AND J. MILGRAM

Stanford University

Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. Yoshida

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 77, No. 1 January, 1978

Dan Amir, Chebyshev centers and uniform convexity	1
Lawrence Wasson Baggett, Representations of the Mautner group. I	7
George Benke, Trigonometric approximation theory in compact totally	
disconnected groups	23
M. Bianchini, O. W. Paques and M. C. Zaine, <i>On the strong compact-ported</i>	
topology for spaces of holomorphic mappings	33
Marilyn Breen, <i>Sets with</i> $(d-2)$ -dimensional kernels	51
J. L. Brenner and Allen Kenneth Charnow, <i>Free semigroups of</i> 2×2	
matrices	57
David Bressoud, A new family of partition identities	71
David Fleming Dawson, Summability of matrix transforms of stretchings	
and subsequences	75
Harold George Diamond and Paul Erdős, A measure of the nonmonotonicity	
of the Euler phi function	83
Gary Doyle Faulkner and Ronald Wesley Shonkwiler, Kernel dilation in	
reproducing kernel Hilbert space and its application to moment	102
problems	103
Jan Maksymilian Gronski, Classification of closed sets of attainability in the	117
plane	11/
H. B. Hamilton and T. E. Nordahl, Semigroups whose lattice of congruences is Boolean	131
	145
Harvey Bayard Keynes and D. Newton, <i>Minimal</i> (G, τ) -extensions Anthony To-Ming Lau, <i>The Fourier-Stieltjes algebra of a topological</i>	143
semigroup with involution	165
B. C. Oltikar and Luis Ribes, <i>On prosupersolvable groups</i>	183
Brian Lee Peterson, <i>Extensions of pro-affine algebraic groups</i>	189
Thomas M. Phillips, <i>Primitive extensions of Aronszajn spaces</i>	233
	233
Mehdi Radjabalipour, <i>Equivalence of decomposable and</i> 2-decomposable operators	243
M. Satyanarayana, Naturally totally ordered semigroups	249
Fred Rex Sinal, A homeomorphism classification of wildly imbedded	Z49
two-spheres in S^3	255
Hugh C. Williams, Some properties of a special set of recurring	233
sequences	273
bequerices	213