

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

A REPRESENTATION OF H^p -FUNCTIONS WITH $0 < p < \infty$

SERGIO EDUARDO ZARANTONELLO

A REPRESENTATION OF H^p -FUNCTIONS WITH $0 < p < \infty$

SERGIO E. ZARANTONELLO

Let E be an open arc in the unit circle. Let F belong to the Hardy space H^p , $0 < p < \infty$, and let g be the restriction of the boundary distribution of F to E . For each $0 < \lambda < 1$ we construct functions $G_\lambda \in H^p$ from g such that $G_\lambda \rightarrow F$ in the topology of H^p as $\lambda \rightarrow 1$.

I. Introduction. The purpose of this article is to extend to the case $0 < p < 1$ the following theorem of D. J. Patil.

THEOREM A. [2, Th. I, p. 617]. *Let E be a subset of the unit circle T , of positive Lebesgue measure. Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, let F be in the Hardy space H^p , and let g be the restriction to E of the boundary-value function of F . Denote the normalized Lebesgue measure on T by m , the open unit disc in the complex plane by U , and define for each $\lambda > 0$*

$$H_\lambda(z) = \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \log(1 + \lambda) \int_E \frac{w + z}{w - z} dm(w) \right\} \quad (z \in U),$$

$$G_\lambda(z) = \lambda H_\lambda(z) \int_E \frac{\overline{h_\lambda(w)} g(w)}{1 - \bar{w}z} dm(w), \quad (z \in U),$$

where h_λ is the boundary-value function of H_λ .

Then as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, G_λ approaches F uniformly on compact subset of U . Moreover, if $1 < p < \infty$ then $\|G_\lambda - F\|_{H^p} \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$.

The extension of the above to the case $0 < p < 1$ involves a strengthening of the hypotheses: the set E of positive measure will be replaced by an open arc in T , and instead of the characteristic function of E we will work with an infinitely differentiable function with support in E .

Specifically, let E be an open arc in T , and let ψ be an infinitely differentiable function on T with support in E such that

(i) $0 \leq \psi(w) \leq 1 \quad (w \in T),$

(ii) $J = \{w \in T: \psi(w) = 1\}$ has positive Lebesgue measure.

THEOREM B. *Let $0 < p < \infty$, let F be in H^p , and let g be the restriction to E of the boundary distribution of F on T . Define for each $0 < \lambda < 1$*

$$\chi_\lambda(w) = \frac{\lambda\psi(w)}{1 - \lambda\psi(w)} \quad (w \in T),$$

$$H_\lambda(z) = \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \int_E \frac{w+z}{w-z} \log [1 + \chi_\lambda(w)] dm(w) \right\} \quad (z \in U),$$

$$G_\lambda(z) = H_\lambda(z) \langle g, \chi_\lambda h_\lambda C_z \rangle_E \quad (z \in U),$$

where h_λ is the boundary-value function of H_λ , \langle, \rangle_E is the pairing between distributions and test functions on E , and C_z is the Cauchy kernel, i.e.,

$$C_z(w) = \frac{1}{1 - w\bar{z}} \quad (w \in T, z \in U).$$

Then $\|G_\lambda - F\|_{H^p} \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 1$. In particular G_λ approaches F uniformly on compact subsets of U .

Our main result, Theorem B (Theorem 4.6 in the text), is proven in § IV. In § II we establish the notation and terminology, and list well-known properties of the Hardy spaces and Toeplitz operators. Our proof of Theorem B closely parallels the method of Patil in [2]; it involves the use of Toeplitz operators associated with infinitely differentiable functions, which, we prove in § III, can be extended to bounded operators on H^p for all $0 < p < \infty$.

II. Preliminaries. In the sequel, U will be the open unit disc in the complex plane and T its boundary, the unit circle. We shall denote the normalized Lebesgue measure on T by m ; the corresponding L^p -spaces will be denoted by $L^p(T)$ and the L^p -norm by $\|\cdot\|_{L^p(T)}$. The phrase "almost everywhere" will always refer to the measure m .

1. Test functions and distributions. Let E be an open arc in T . The space of *test functions* on E will be represented by $C_0^\infty(E)$. The test functions on E , we recall, are infinitely differentiable complex-valued functions on E with compact support. If $E = T$, we write $C^\infty(T)$ instead of $C_0^\infty(T)$. By a *distribution* on E we shall mean a continuous *skewlinear* functional on the topological linear space $C_0^\infty(E)$. The space of distributions on E will be denoted by $D(E)$.

If $\langle \phi, \varphi \rangle_E$ represents the *sesquilinear* pairing between $\phi \in D(E)$ and $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(E)$, we identify a locally integrable function f on E with the distribution f defined by

$$\langle f, \varphi \rangle_E = \int_E f(w) \overline{\varphi(w)} dm(w).$$

The same symbol \langle, \rangle_E shall be used to represent the inner

product in $L^2(E)$.

Let $\phi \in D(T)$, and define $e_n \in C^\infty(T)$ by $e_n(w) = w^n$ for each integer n . The *Fourier coefficients* of ϕ are the numbers

$$\hat{\phi}(n) = \langle \phi, e_n \rangle_T.$$

The *Fourier series* of ϕ is the formal series $\sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{\phi}(n)w^n$. A straightforward calculation shows that $\sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} a_n w^n$ is the Fourier series of a test function on T if and only if

$$|a_n| = O(|n|^q)$$

for all integers q . Consequently, a necessary and sufficient condition for $\sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} a_n w^n$ to be the Fourier series of a distribution on T is that

$$|a_n| = O(|n|^{-q})$$

for some integer q .

If $\phi \in D(T)$ has Fourier series $\sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} a_n w^n$, we denote by $P\phi$ the distribution of Fourier series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n w^n$. We refer to P as the *projection operator*. If $\varphi \in C^\infty(T)$, we define $M_\varphi \phi \in D(T)$, by

$$\langle M_\varphi \phi, \psi \rangle_T = \langle \phi, \bar{\varphi} \psi \rangle_T$$

for all $\psi \in C^\infty(T)$. We call M_φ the *multiplication by φ* .

Finally, we remark that the partial sums of the Fourier series of $\phi \in D(T)$ converge to ϕ in the topology of $D(T)$ and that

$$\langle \phi, \varphi \rangle_T = \sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{\phi}(n) \overline{\hat{\varphi}(n)}$$

for $\varphi \in C^\infty(T)$ and $\phi \in D(T)$.

2. Hardy spaces. Let F be a holomorphic function in the open unit disc U . If $0 < r < 1$, and if $w \in T$, we write $F_r(w) = F(rw)$ and define, for $0 < p < \infty$,

$$\|F\|_{H^p(U)} = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \|F_r\|_{L^p(T)}.$$

The *Hardy space* $H^p(U)$ is the linear space of all holomorphic functions F on U such that $\|F\|_{H^p(U)} < \infty$. The space $H^\infty(U)$ is the space of bounded holomorphic functions in U , and $\|\cdot\|_{H^\infty(U)}$ is the supremum norm.

If $p \geq 1$, then $H^p(U)$ is a Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|_{H^p(U)}$. This is no longer true if $0 < p < 1$; in this case, however, we can regard $H^p(U)$ as a complete metric space with the translation-invariant metric

$$d(F, G) = \|F - G\|_{H^p(U)}^p.$$

For all $0 < p < \infty$ the polynomials are dense in $H^p(U)$. If $0 < p < q \leq \infty$ it can be verified that $\|\cdot\|_{H^p(U)} \leq \|\cdot\|_{H^q(U)}$; consequently $H^q(U)$ is a dense subspace of $H^p(U)$. We also remark that the topology of $H^p(U)$, $0 < p \leq \infty$, is stronger than that of uniform convergence on compact subsets of U .

Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and let $F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ be in $H^p(U)$; as is well-known, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n w^n$ is the Fourier series of a function $f \in L^p(T)$. Moreover,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} F_r(w) = f(w)$$

for almost all $w \in T$,

$$\|F\|_{H^p(U)} = \|f\|_{L^p(T)},$$

and, if $1 \leq p < \infty$,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \|F_r - f\|_{L^p(T)} = 0.$$

Thus, $F \rightarrow f$ is an isometry between $H^p(U)$ and a closed linear subspace $H^p(T)$ of $L^p(T)$, which consists of the functions in $L^p(T)$ whose Fourier coefficients corresponding to negative integers are identically zero. We refer to F as the *holomorphic extension of f to U* , and to f as the *boundary-value function of F on T* .

Our main concern, in this article, is with the spaces $H^p(U)$ with $0 < p < 1$. The following theorem is due to Hardy and Littlewood, and will be used in the sequel.

2.1. THEOREM [1, Th. 6.4, p.98]. *Let $0 < p \leq 1$, and let $F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ be in $H^p(U)$. Then*

$$|a_n| \leq C(p) n^{1/p-1} \|F\|_{H^p(U)}$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, where $C(p)$ is a constant which depends only on p .

[Clearly $C(1) = 1$ is best possible.]

If $0 < p < 1$ and if $F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$, the above implies that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n w^n$ is the Fourier series of a distribution f on T . As with the case $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we refer to F as the *holomorphic extension of f to U* , and to f as the *distributional boundary-value of F on T* . The space of all distributional boundary-values of functions in $H^p(U)$ will be denoted by $H^p(T)$. We endow $H^p(T)$ with a metric structure isometric to that of $H^p(U)$ by setting

$$\|f\|_{H^p(T)} = \|F\|_{H^p(U)}$$

whenever f and F are related as above.

It is known ([1, Th. 7.5, p. 115]) that each $\varphi \in C^\infty(T)$ gives rise to a bounded linear functional Λ_φ on $H^p(U)$, $0 < p < 1$, defined by

$$\Lambda_\varphi F = \langle f, \varphi \rangle_T.$$

This implies that the topology of $H^p(T)$ is stronger than the one inherited from $D(T)$.

Let $0 < p < \infty$, let $F \in H^p(U)$, define $F_r(w) = F(rw)$ for $0 < r < 1$ and $w \in T$, and let f be the distributional boundary-value of F . For $z \in U$ and $0 < r < 1$, Cauchy's formula

$$F(rz) = \int_T \frac{F_r(w)}{1 - \bar{w}z} dm(w)$$

holds. Since in all cases $0 < p < \infty$ the functions F_r converge to f in $H^p(T)$, and hence in the weaker topology of $D(T)$, it follows that

$$F(z) = \langle f, C_z \rangle_T$$

where

$$C_z(w) = \frac{1}{1 - \bar{w}z},$$

$z \in U$, and $w \in T$.

3. Toeplitz operators. Let P be the orthogonal projection of $L^2(T)$ onto $H^2(T)$. Fix $\varphi \in L^\infty(T)$ and let M_φ be the corresponding multiplication operator on $L^2(T)$. The *Toeplitz operator* $S_\varphi: H^2(T) \rightarrow H^2(T)$ is the composition PM_φ ; i.e.,

$$S_\varphi f = P(\varphi f)$$

for $f \in H^2(T)$. It can be immediately verified that

$$G(z) = \int_T \frac{\varphi(w)f(w)}{1 - \bar{w}z} dm(w) = \langle M_\varphi f, C_z \rangle_T$$

is the holomorphic extension of $S_\varphi f$ to U .

The following elementary properties will be used in the sequel:

(a) $S_{\bar{\varphi}}$ is the adjoint operator of S_φ .

(b) If either $\bar{\varphi} \in H^\infty(T)$ or $\psi \in H^\infty(T)$, then $S_{\varphi\psi} = S_\varphi S_\psi$.

A consequence of (b) ([2, Lemma 1, p. 618]) is:

(c) If $h \in H^\infty(T)$, if $1/h \in H^\infty(T)$, and if $\varphi = |h|^{-2}$, then S_φ is invertible and $(S_\varphi)^{-1} = S_h S_{\bar{h}}$.

III. Toeplitz operators on $H^p(T)$, $0 < p \leq 1$. Since the orthogonal projection P of $L^2(T)$ onto $H^2(T)$ extends or restricts to a

bounded projection of $L^p(T)$ onto $H^p(T)$, the Toeplitz operator $S_\varphi = PM_\varphi$ is bounded on $H^p(T)$ whenever $1 < p < \infty$ and $\varphi \in L^\infty(T)$. The projection P , however, is not bounded on $L^1(T)$; thus, in general, S_φ will not be a bounded operator on $H^1(T)$, or on $H^p(T)$ with $0 < p < 1$. As was noted earlier, the projection P can be naturally extended to the space $D(T)$ of distributions; namely, by assigning to the distribution $\phi \sim \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} a_n w^n$ the "analytic" distribution $P\phi \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n w^n$. If $\varphi \in C^\infty(T)$, the multiplication operator M_φ can also be naturally extended to $D(T)$. Thus, the symbol $PM_\varphi f$ is meaningful for $f \in H^p(T)$, $0 < p < \infty$. Our goal is to prove that $S_\varphi = PM_\varphi$, with $\varphi \in C^\infty(T)$, is a bounded operator of $H^p(T)$ into itself, even if $0 < p \leq 1$.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let $\varphi \in C^\infty(T)$, let $f \in H^p(T)$, and let $0 < p \leq 1$. Then*

$$\|S_\varphi f\|_{H^p(T)} \leq K_\varphi(p) \|f\|_{H^p(T)},$$

where $K(p)$ depends on p and φ but is independent of f . Moreover, if φ has Fourier series $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} c_n w^n$ and if $C(p)$ is the constant of Theorem 2.1, then we can choose

$$K_\varphi(p) = \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |c_n|^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [2 + C(p)^p (n-1)^{2-p}] |c_{-n}|^p \right\}^{1/p}.$$

Proof. Let G be the holomorphic extension of $S_\varphi f$ to U , i.e.,

$$G(z) = \int_T \frac{\varphi(w)f(w)}{1 - \bar{w}z} dm(w),$$

and let $F(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j z^j$ be the holomorphic extension of f to U . We proceed to establish

$$\|G\|_{H^p(U)} \leq K_\varphi(p) \|F\|_{H^p(U)},$$

which is equivalent to the assertion of the lemma. To this effect we write

$$(3.1.1) \quad G(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} c_n \int_T \frac{w^n f(w)}{1 - \bar{w}z} dm(w),$$

and define

$$M_n(z) = \int_T \frac{w^n f(w)}{1 - \bar{w}z} dm(w),$$

$$N_n(z) = \int_T \frac{\bar{w}^n f(w)}{1 - \bar{w}z} dm(w),$$

for all nonnegative integers n , and $z \in U$.

Both M_n and N_n are holomorphic in U . Clearly $M_n(z) = z^n F(z)$: hence

$$(3.1.2) \quad \|M_n\|_{H^p(U)} = \|F\|_{H^p(U)}.$$

On the other hand, for $n = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$N_n(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \hat{f}(j+n)z^j = z^{-n} \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \hat{f}(j)z^j - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \hat{f}(j)z^j \right\},$$

which can be rewritten (since the Fourier coefficients of f are the Taylor coefficients of F)

$$N_n(z) = z^{-n} \left\{ F(z) - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j z^j \right\}.$$

Consequently, for $0 < p \leq 1$,

$$|N_n(z)|^p \leq |z|^{-np} \left\{ |F(z)|^p + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |a_j|^p \right\},$$

and

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \int_T |N_n(rw)|^p dm(w) \leq \|F\|_{H^p(U)}^p + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |a_j|^p.$$

Since by Theorem 2.1

$$|a_j| \leq C(p) j^{1/p-1} \|F\|_{H^p(U)}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots$, and since

$$|a_0| \leq \|F\|_{H^p(U)},$$

we get

$$(3.1.3) \quad \|N_n\|_{H^p(U)}^p \leq 2 \|F\|_{H^p(U)}^p + C(p)^p (n-1)^{2-p} \|F\|_{H^p(U)}^p.$$

By (3.1.1) we have

$$G(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n M_n(z) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{-n} N_n(z);$$

(3.1.2) and (3.1.3) then imply

$$(3.1.4) \quad \|G\|_{H^p(U)}^p \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |c_n|^p \|M_n\|_{H^p(U)}^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_{-n}|^p \|N_n\|_{H^p(U)}^p \\ \leq \|F\|_{H^p(U)}^p \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |c_n|^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [2 + C(p)^p (n-1)^{2-p}] |c_{-n}|^p \right\}.$$

This completes the proof. [We recall that $|c_n| = O(n^{-q})$ for all positive integers q ; consequently, the right-hand term in (3.1.4) is finite.]

THEOREM 3.2. *If $\varphi \in C^\infty(T)$, the Toeplitz operator $S_\varphi = PM_\varphi$ is a bounded operator on $H^p(T)$ for $0 < p \leq 1$.*

If φ has Fourier series $\sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} c_n w^n$, the norm

$$|||S_\varphi|||_{H^p(T)} = \sup\{||S_\varphi f||_{H^p(T)}; ||f||_{H^p(T)} \leq 1\}$$

satisfies the estimate

$$(1) \quad |||S_\varphi|||_{H^p(T)} \leq K_\varphi(p) .$$

Finally, if $f \in H^p(T)$, then $S_\varphi f$ is the distributional boundary-value of the holomorphic function (of the variable z)

$$(2) \quad \langle M_\varphi f, C_z \rangle_T ,$$

where $C_z(w) = 1/1 - w\bar{z}$, $w \in T$, and $z \in U$.

Proof. Fix $0 < p \leq 1$. That the operator $S_\varphi: H^2(T) \rightarrow H^2(T)$ can be uniquely extended to a bounded operator L on $H^p(T)$ and that the norm of L satisfies (1) is a direct consequence of Lemma 3.1 and of the fact that $H^2(T)$ is dense in $H^p(T)$.

To establish $L = PM_\varphi$, fix $f \in H^p(T)$ and let $G \in H^p(U)$ be the holomorphic extension of Lf to U . Our immediate goal is to show that

$$G(z) = \langle f, \bar{\varphi} C_z \rangle_T .$$

Let F be the holomorphic extension of f to U , set $F_r(w) = F(rw)$, and denote by G_r the holomorphic extension of $LF_r = S_\varphi F_r$ to U . It is clear that

$$G_r(z) = \int_T \frac{\varphi(w) F_r(w)}{1 - w\bar{z}} dm(w) = \langle F_r, \bar{\varphi} C_z \rangle_T .$$

Since the functions F_r converge to the distribution f in the topology of $H^p(T)$ as r tends to 1, it follows that

$$(3.2.1) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} G_r(z) = \langle f, \bar{\varphi} C_z \rangle_T$$

for each $z \in U$. On the other hand, the continuity of L implies that LF_r approaches Lf in $H^p(T)$; or equivalently for the holomorphic extensions: that G_r converges to G in $H^p(U)$, in particular

$$(3.2.2) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} G_r(z) = G(z)$$

for $z \in U$. The equalities (3.2.1) and (3.2.2) now establish

$$(3.2.3) \quad G(z) = \langle f, \bar{\varphi} C_z \rangle_T = \langle M_\varphi f, C_z \rangle_T .$$

By a straightforward calculation it can be shown that the boundary-value of G (the distribution Lf) is the analytic projection of $M_\varphi f$, i.e., $Lf = PM_\varphi = S_\varphi$. This completes the proof.

COROLLARY 3.3. *If $\varphi \in C^\infty(T)$, if $h \in H^\infty(T)$, if $1/h \in H^\infty(T)$, and if $\varphi = |h|^{-2}$ then the Toeplitz operator $S_\varphi: H^p(T) \rightarrow H^p(T)$ is invertible, and $S_\varphi^{-1} = S_h S_{\bar{h}}$, for all $0 < p < \infty$.*

Proof. The case $1 < p < \infty$ is dealt with in [2]. To prove the remaining case it suffices to show that $h \in C^\infty(T)$, for then the operators $S_h, S_{\bar{h}}, S_\varphi$ will be bounded operators on $H^p(T)$, $0 < p \leq 1$, that satisfy $S_\varphi^{-1} = S_h S_{\bar{h}}$ on a dense subset [say $H^2(T)$] of $H^p(T)$. This, however, follows readily. The hypotheses on h imply that $\log |h|$, the real part of $\log h$, is in $C^\infty(T)$, consequently $\log h \in C^\infty(T)$ which implies $h \in C^\infty(T)$.

IV. The representation of functions in $H^p(U)$.

DEFINITIONS 4.1. Let E be an open arc in the unit circle T . Choose $\psi \in C^\infty(T)$ such that

- (a) ψ has compact support in E ,
- (b) $0 \leq \psi(w) \leq 1$ ($w \in T$),
- (c) $J = \{w \in T: \psi(w) = 1\}$ has positive Lebesgue measure.

For each $0 < \lambda < 1$ define

$$\chi_\lambda(w) = \frac{\lambda \psi(w)}{1 - \lambda \psi(w)} \quad (w \in T),$$

$$H_\lambda(z) = \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \int_T \frac{w+z}{w-z} \log[1 + \chi_\lambda(w)] dm(w) \right\} \quad (z \in U).$$

It is immediate that $\chi_\lambda \in C^\infty(T)$, and that $H_\lambda \in H^\infty(U)$. Denote by h_λ the boundary-value of H_λ . The following are verified:

- (d) $|h_\lambda(w)|^{-2} = 1 + \chi_\lambda(w)$ ($w \in T$),
- (e) h_λ and h_λ^{-1} are in $H^\infty(T)$.

Finally, define for each $0 < \lambda < 1$

$$\varphi_\lambda(w) = 1 + \chi_\lambda(w) \quad (w \in T).$$

Then

$$(f) \quad \varphi_\lambda(w) = \frac{1}{1 - \lambda \psi(w)} \quad (w \in T).$$

Our next lemma is an immediate consequence of Corollary 3.3.

LEMMA 4.2. *Each S_{φ_λ} is an invertible operator on $H^p(T)$,*

$0 < p < \infty$, with inverse $S_{\varphi_\lambda}^{-1} = S_{h_\lambda} S_{\bar{h}_\lambda}$.

LEMMA 4.3. *The operators $S_{\varphi_\lambda}^{-1}$, $0 < \lambda < 1$, are uniformly bounded on $H^p(T)$, $0 < p < \infty$.*

Proof. The case $1 < p < \infty$ is a consequence of the conjugate function theorem of M. Riesz (as in [2, Lemma 5, p. 618]).

Assume $0 < p \leq 1$, and let $f \in H^p(T)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} S_{h_\lambda} S_{\bar{h}_\lambda} f &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \hat{h}_\lambda(m) \overline{\hat{h}_\lambda(n)} \hat{f}(q) e_{q-n+m} \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q=\max(0, n-m)}^{\infty} \hat{h}_\lambda(m) \overline{\hat{h}_\lambda(n)} \hat{f}(q) e_{q-n+m} \\ &\quad - \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q=\max(0, n-m)}^{n-1} \hat{h}_\lambda(m) \overline{\hat{h}_\lambda(n)} \hat{f}(q) e_{q-n+m} \\ &= S_{\bar{h}_\lambda} S_{h_\lambda} f - \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \sum_{m-n=k} \hat{h}_\lambda(m) \overline{\hat{h}_\lambda(n)} \sum_{q=\max(0, n-m)}^{n-1} e_{q-n+m}. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling $|h_\lambda(w)|^2 = 1 - \lambda \psi(w)$, and letting $K_\psi(p)$ be the constant of Lemma 3.1, we verify (using the estimates 2.1):

$$\|S_{h_\lambda} S_{\bar{h}_\lambda} f\|_{H^p(T)}^p \leq 2[1 + \lambda^p K_\psi^p(p)] \|f\|_{H^p(T)}^p,$$

which establishes the Lemma.

LEMMA 4.4. *Fix $z \in U$. Then $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 1} \|S_{\varphi_\lambda}^{-1} C_z\|_{H^p(T)} = 0$, for $0 < p < \infty$. Moreover $S_{\varphi_\lambda}^{-1} C_z = \overline{H_\lambda(z)} h_\lambda C_z$.*

[For $z \in U$ and $w \in T$ we recall the definition $C_z(w) = 1/(1 - w\bar{z})$.]

Proof. The same argument used in [2, Lemma 3, p. 618] establishes

$$S_{\bar{h}_\lambda} C_z = \overline{H_\lambda(z)} C_z.$$

Since $S_{\varphi_\lambda}^{-1} = S_{h_\lambda} S_{\bar{h}_\lambda}$, we have

$$(4.4.1) \quad S_{\varphi_\lambda}^{-1} C_z = S_{h_\lambda} S_{\bar{h}_\lambda} C_z = S_{h_\lambda} \overline{H_\lambda(z)} C_z = \overline{H_\lambda(z)} h_\lambda C_z.$$

From the definition of H_λ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (4.4.2) \quad |H_\lambda(z)| &= \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \int_T \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|1 - \bar{w}z|^2} \log[1 + \chi_\lambda(w)] dm(w) \right\} \\ &\leq \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_J \frac{1 - |z|}{1 + |z|} \log(1 - \lambda) dm(w) \right\} = (1 - \lambda)^\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

where $2\alpha = \{1 - |z|/1 + |z|\} m(J) > 0$.

By (4.4.2) we have

$$(4.4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \|H_\lambda(z)h_\lambda C_z\|_{H^p(T)} &= |H_\lambda(z)| \|h_\lambda C_z\|_{H^p(T)} \\ &\leq (1 - \lambda)^\alpha \|h_\lambda\|_{H^\infty(T)} \|C_z\|_{H^p(T)}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (4.4.1), (4.4.3), and

$$|h_\lambda(w)| = [1 + \chi_\lambda(w)]^{-1/2} \leq 1,$$

we get

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 1} \|S_{\varphi_\lambda}^{-1} C_z\|_{H^p(T)} \leq \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 1} (1 - \lambda)^\alpha \|C_z\|_{H^p(T)} = 0.$$

LEMMA 4.5. *If $0 < p < \infty$ and $f \in H^p(T)$, then*

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 1} \|f - (I + S_{\chi_\lambda})^{-1} S_{\chi_\lambda} f\|_{H^p(T)} = 0.$$

Proof. Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.4, in conjunction with the well-known fact that the linear span of $\{C_z: z \in U\}$ is dense in $H^p(T)$, $0 < p < \infty$, imply

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 1} \|S_{\varphi_\lambda}^{-1} f\|_{H^p(T)} = 0$$

for all $f \in H^p(T)$. Since $(I + S_{\chi_\lambda})^{-1} = (S_{\varphi_\lambda})^{-1} = S_{\varphi_\lambda}^{-1}$ by Lemma 4.2, we have

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 1} \|(I + S_{\chi_\lambda})^{-1} f\|_{H^p(T)} = 0.$$

Observing that

$$(I + S_{\chi_\lambda})^{-1} f = f - (I + S_{\chi_\lambda})^{-1} S_{\chi_\lambda} f,$$

we get

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 1} \|f - (I + S_{\chi_\lambda})^{-1} S_{\chi_\lambda} f\|_{H^p(T)} = 0.$$

THEOREM 4.6. *Let $F \in H^p(U)$, with $0 < p < \infty$, let $f \in H^p(T)$ be the distributional boundary-value of F on T , and let g be the restriction of f to the open arc E . For $0 < \lambda < 1$ define holomorphic functions G_λ on U by*

$$G_\lambda(z) = H_\lambda(z) \langle g, \chi_\lambda h_\lambda C_z \rangle_E.$$

Then as $\lambda \rightarrow 1$ we have $\|G_\lambda - F\|_{H^p(U)} \rightarrow 0$. In particular G_λ approaches F uniformly on compact subsets of U .

Proof. In view of Lemma 4.5, the proof will be complete if we succeed in showing that G_λ is the holomorphic extension of $(I + S_{\chi_\lambda})^{-1} S_{\chi_\lambda} f$ to U . The case $1 < p < \infty$ is essentially dealt with in [2]; we restrict ourselves to $0 < p \leq 1$.

Let $f \in H^2(T)$. Since $(I + S_{\chi_\lambda})^{-1}$ is a self-adjoint operator on $H^2(T)$,

$$(4.6.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle (I + S_{\chi_\lambda})^{-1} S_{\chi_\lambda} f, C_z \rangle_T &= \langle S_{\chi_\lambda} f, (I + S_{\chi_\lambda})^{-1} C_z \rangle_T \\ &= \langle M_{\chi_\lambda} f, (I + S_{\chi_\lambda})^{-1} C_z \rangle_T. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.4,

$$(I + S_{\chi_\lambda})^{-1} C_z = S_{\varphi_\lambda}^{-1} C_z = \overline{H_\lambda(z)} h_\lambda C_z,$$

Consequently

$$(4.6.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle M_{\chi_\lambda} f, (I + S_{\chi_\lambda})^{-1} C_z \rangle_T &= \langle M_{\chi_\lambda} f, \overline{H_\lambda(z)} h_\lambda C_z \rangle_T \\ &= H_\lambda(z) \langle M_{\chi_\lambda} f, h_\lambda C_z \rangle_T \\ &= H_\lambda(z) \langle f, \chi_\lambda h_\lambda C_z \rangle_T. \end{aligned}$$

Since the operators involved are defined and continuous on $H^2(T)$, and since $H^2(T)$ is dense in $H^p(T)$, the relations (4.6.1) and (4.6.2) imply

$$\langle (I + S_{\chi_\lambda})^{-1} S_{\chi_\lambda} f, C_z \rangle_T = H_\lambda(z) \langle f, \chi_\lambda h_\lambda C_z \rangle_T$$

for all $f \in H^p(T)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} G_\lambda(z) &= H_\lambda(z) \langle g, \chi_\lambda h_\lambda C_z \rangle_E = H_\lambda(z) \langle f, \chi_\lambda h_\lambda C_z \rangle_T \\ &= \langle (I + S_{\chi_\lambda})^{-1} S_{\chi_\lambda} f, C_z \rangle_T, \end{aligned}$$

which establishes G_λ as the holomorphic extension (the "Cauchy integral") of $(I + S_{\chi_\lambda})^{-1} S_{\chi_\lambda} f$ to the disc U .

REFERENCES

1. P. L. Duren, *Theory of H^p Spaces*, Pure and Applied Math., **38** Academic Press, New York and London, (1970).
2. D. J. Patil, *Representation of H^p -functions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **4** (1972), 617-620.

Received September 28, 1977.

UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA
GAINESVILLE, FL 32611

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

C. W. CURTIS

University of Oregon
Eugene, OR 97403

C. C. MOORE

University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. FINN AND J. MILGRAM

Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Teófilo Abuabara, <i>A remark on infinitely nuclearly differentiable functions</i>	1
David Fenimore Anderson, <i>Projective modules over subrings of $k[X, Y]$ generated by monomials</i>	5
Joseph Barback and Thomas Graham McLaughlin, <i>On the intersection of regressive sets</i>	19
Murray Bell, John Norman Ginsburg and R. Grant Woods, <i>Cardinal inequalities for topological spaces involving the weak Lindelof number</i>	37
Laurence Richard Boxer, <i>The space of ANRs of a closed surface</i>	47
Zvonko Cerin, <i>Homotopy properties of locally compact spaces at infinity-calmness and smoothness</i>	69
Isidor Fleischer and Ivo G. Rosenberg, <i>The Galois connection between partial functions and relations</i>	93
John R. Giles, David Allan Gregory and Brailey Sims, <i>Geometrical implications of upper semi-continuity of the duality mapping on a Banach space</i>	99
Troy Lee Hicks, <i>Fixed-point theorems in locally convex spaces</i>	111
Hugo Junghenn, <i>Almost periodic functions on semidirect products of transformation semigroups</i>	117
Victor Kaftal, <i>On the theory of compact operators in von Neumann algebras. II</i>	129
Haynes Miller, <i>A spectral sequence for the homology of an infinite delooping</i>	139
Sanford S. Miller, Petru T. Mocanu and Maxwell O. Reade, <i>Starlike integral operators</i>	157
Stanley Stephen Page, <i>Regular FPF rings</i>	169
Ghan Shyam Pandey, <i>Multipliers for C, 1 summability of Fourier series</i>	177
Shigeo Segawa, <i>Bounded analytic functions on unbounded covering surfaces</i>	183
Steven Eugene Shreve, <i>Probability measures and the C-sets of Selivanovskij</i>	189
Tor Skjelbred, <i>Combinatorial geometry and actions of compact Lie groups</i>	197
Alan Sloan, <i>A note on exponentials of distributions</i>	207
Colin Eric Sutherland, <i>Type analysis of the regular representation of a nonunimodular group</i>	225
Mark Phillip Thomas, <i>Algebra homomorphisms and the functional calculus</i>	251
Sergio Eduardo Zarantonello, <i>A representation of H^p-functions with $0 < p < \infty$</i>	271