Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON THE GENERALIZED CALKIN ALGEBRA

JOHN J. BUONI AND ALBERT JONATHAN KLEIN

Vol. 80, No. 1

ON THE GENERALIZED CALKIN ALGEBRA

J. J. BUONI AND A. KLEIN

A bounded linear operation $T: X \rightarrow Y$ between Banach spaces is said to be weakly compact if it takes bounded sequences onto sequences which have a weakly convergent subsequence. Let W[X,Y] denote the weakly compact operators from X to Y, B[X,Y], the bounded operators and K[X,Y], the compact operators. Now W[X,Y] forms a closed subalgebra of B[X,Y] and for X=Y, W[X,X] is a closed (in the uniform topology) two-sided ideal of B[X,X]. The purpose of this note is to construct a faithful representation of the Generalized Calkin Algebra B[X,X]/K[X,X], which parallels a similar representation of B[X,X]/K[X,X], which parallels a similar representation of B[X,X]/K[X,X] in Buoni, Harte and Wickstead, "Upper and lower Fredholm spectra".

This construction in obtained is §1 and some consequences in §2 with regards to operators $T \in B[X, Y]$ with a reflexive null space, N(T), and closed range, R(T). Operators of this type have been studied by Yang. Throughout this note, the weak closure of a set S in X will be denoted by \bar{S}^w .

1. If X is a complex Banach space then let $l_{\infty}(X)$ denote the Banach space obtained from the space of all bounded sequences $x=(x_n)$ in X by imposing term-by-term linear combinations and the supremum norm $||x||_{\infty}=\sup_n ||x_n||$.

DEFINITION 1. If X is a Banach space then denote $m(X) = \{(x_n) \in l_{\infty}(X) | (\overline{x}_n)^w \text{ is weak-compact in } X\}.$

Lemma 1. If X is a Banach space then the following hold.

- (1) m(X) is a subspace of $l_{\infty}(X)$.
- (2) a sequence $x = (x_n)$ is in m(X) iff every subsequence of (x_n) has a weak convergent subsequence.

Proof. (1) is clear and (2) is an immediate application of the Eberlein—Smulian theorem [2, p. 430] which states that for a subset A of X then \bar{A}^w is weak-compact iff every sequence in A has a weakly convergent subsequence.

Let $\overline{m(X)}$ denote the norm closure of m(X) in $l_{\infty}(X)$.

LEMMA 2. Every subsequence of an element in m(X) $(\overline{m(X)})$ is

also in m(X) $(\overline{m(X)})$.

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 1 part 2.

Theorem 3. If X is a Banach space then m(X) is a closed subspace of $l_{\infty}(X)$.

Proof. Let $x=(x_n)\in \overline{m(X)}$, i.e., the closure of m(X) in $l_{\infty}(X)$. It shall first be shown that (x_n) has a weak-Cauchy subsequence and then that this sequence converges to an element in X. Thus there exists $y_1=(y_{1,n})\in m(X)$ and $(x_{1,n})$, a subsequence of x such that $y_{1,n}\stackrel{w}{\to} y_1$ (converges weakly to y_1) and $||(x_{1,n})-(y_{1,n})||_{\infty}<1$. Now assume for $1\leq l\leq j-1$, that we have $(x_{l,n})$ and $(y_{l,n})$ which satisfy the following:

(1)
$$(x_{l,n})$$
 is a subsequence of $(x_{l-1,n})$,

$$(1.1) (2) y_{l,n} \stackrel{w}{\rightarrow} y_l,$$

$$(3) \quad ||(x_{l,n}) - (y_{l,n})||_{\infty} < 1/l.$$

Then since $(x_{j-1,n}) \in m(X)$, there exists a subsequence $(x_{j,n})$ of $(x_{j-1,n})$ and there exists $(y_{j,n}) \in \overline{m(X)}$ such that $y_{j,n} \stackrel{w}{\to} y_j$ and $||(x_{j,n}) - (y_{j,n})||_{\infty} < 1/j$. So by induction, for all j, there exist sequences satisfying (1.1). Now fix j and $f \in X^*$ (the conjugate of X). We claim that there exists M such that for all n and $m \ge M$ that

$$|f(x_{j,n}) - f(x_{j,n})| \leq 4||f||/j.$$

To see this, recall that $y_{j,n} \xrightarrow{w} y_j$, then there exists M such that

$$|f(y_{j,n}) - f(y_j)| \le ||f||/j \text{ for all } n > M.$$

Now for all $n, m \geq M$,

$$(1.4) ||f(x_{j,n}) - f(x_{j,m})|| \le ||f(x_{j,n}) - f(y_{j,n})|| + ||f(y_{j,n}) - f(y_{j})|| + ||f(y_{j,m}) - f(y_{j,m})|| + ||f(y_{j,m}) - f(x_{j,m})||.$$

Now by applying (1.1) and (1.3) to (1.4) yields (1.2). We shall now show that $(x_{i,i})$ is a weak-Cauchy sequence. Given $f \in X^*$ and $\epsilon > 0$, select j such that $4||f||/j < \epsilon$. Then by (1.2) there exists M_0 such that for all m and $n \ge M_0$

$$|f(x_{j,n}) - f(x_{j,m})| \leq 4||f||/j.$$

Set $M = \max(j, M_0)$. For m and $n \ge M$, because $(x_{n,k})$ and $(x_{m,k})$ are subsequences of $(x_{j,k})$, then $|f(x_{n,n}) - f(x_{m,m})| \le 4||f||/j < \varepsilon$.

It remains to show that any weakly-Cauchy subsequence of

 $(x_n) \in \overline{m(X)}$ converges weakly. To this end, let $(x_n) \in \overline{m(X)}$ be a weakly-Cauchy sequence.

Define $F\colon X^*\to C$ by $F(f)=\lim_{n\to\infty}f(x_n)$. Since $|F(f)|\le ||f||\sup_n||x_n||$, then $F\in X^{**}$. Now let $\varepsilon>0$, it shall be shown that there exists $y\in X$ such that $||F-y^{**}||<\varepsilon$ where y^{**} is the canonical image of y in X^{**} . To see this, select $(y_n)\in m(X)$ such that $||(x_n)-(y_n)||<\varepsilon/3$ and select a subsequence (y_n) of (y_n) such that $y_{n_k}\stackrel{w}{\to} y$. Select $f\in X^*$ such that $||f||\le 1$. For k sufficiently large, $|f(y_{n_k})-f(y)|<\varepsilon/3$ and $|f(x_{n_k})-F(f)|<\varepsilon/3$. Thus, for k sufficiently large,

$$\begin{split} |F(f) - y^{**}(f)| & \leq |F(f) - f(x_{n_k})| + |f(x_{n_k}) - f(y_{n_k})| \\ & + |f(y_{n_k}) - f(y)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + ||f|| \, ||x_{n_k} - y_{n_k}|| + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} < \varepsilon \;. \end{split}$$

Thus, F is in the norm closure of the canonical image of X in X^{**} . This image is norm closed; therefore, there exists $x \in X$ such that F is the canonical image of x. Thus, $m(X) = \overline{m(X)}$ which proves our theorem.

2. Now for $T \in B[X, Y]$ we have

LEMMA 4. (1) If $T \in B[X, Y]$, then T sends m(X) to m(Y). (2) T is weakly-compact iff T maps $l_{\infty}(X)$ into m(Y).

Proof. Clear.

Now for $T\in B[X,Y]$, let P(T) be the induced operator from $l_{\omega}(X)/m(X)\to l_{\omega}(Y)/m(Y)$. Denote by $\mathscr{S}(X)$ the quotient $l_{\omega}(X)/m(X)$. Then $W\in W[X,Y]$ iff P(W)=0. Therefore we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 5. $B[\mathcal{S}(X), \mathcal{S}(X)]$ contains a faithful representation of B[X, X]/W[X, X].

Theorem 6. Let $T \in B[X, Y]$.

- (1) If N(T) is a reflexive subspace and is complemented in X and if R(T) is closed then P(T) is one-to-one.
- (2) If P(T) is one-to-one, then N(T) is a reflexive subspace of X.

Proof. To see (1) let N(T) be a complemented reflexive subspace, then there exists a closed subspace M such that $X = N(T) \oplus M$. Since R(T) is closed, then T | M(T restricted to M) is an isomor-

phism. Now let us assume that there exists a sequence (x_n) in $l_{\infty}(X)$ such that $P(T)(x_n+m(X))=(Tx_n)+m(Y)=m(Y)$.

Let $x_n=k_n+z_n$ where $x_n\in N(T)$ and $z_n\in M$. Since there exist bounded projections onto N(T) and M then (k_n) and (z_n) are in $l_{\infty}(X)$. Now (Tx_n) has a weakly-convergent subsequence, say (Tx_{n_j}) . Thus (Tz_{n_j}) converges weakly and since R(T)=T(M) is closed then $Tz_{n_j}\stackrel{w}{\to} Tz$ for some $z\in X$. Since T is invertible when restricted to M, thus, $z_{n_j}\stackrel{w}{\to} z$. Since N(T) is a reflexive subspace, some subsequence of (k_{n_j}) converges weakly; (x_n) has a weakly convergent subsequence and $(x_n)\in m(X)$.

To see (2), we assume that N(T) is not reflexive, then there exists a bounded sequence (x_n) in N(T) with no convergent subsequence. Hence, $(x_n) \notin m(X)$ while $(Tx_n) \in m(Y)$; contradicting that P(T) is one-to-one.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. The authors would like to thank Professor Charles Byrne for discussions with regards to this problem.

REFERENCES

- 1. J. J. Buoni, R. E. Harte and A. W. Wickstead, *Upper and lower Fredholm spectra*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **66** (1977), 309-314.
- 2. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear Operators*, Part 1, Interscience Publishers Inc., New York 1958.
- 3. S. Goldberg, Unbounded Linear Operators, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York 1966.
- 4. K. W. Yang, The generalized Fredholm operators, Trans Amer. Math. Soc., 216 (1976), 313-326.

Received April 5, 1978 and in revised form July 14, 1978.

Youngstown State University Youngstown, OH 44555

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)

University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

C. W. CURTIS

University of Oregon Eugene, OR 97403

C. C. MOORE

University of California Berkeley, CA 94720 J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

R. FINN AND J. MILGRAM

Stanford University

Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 80, No. 1 September, 1979

Jeroen Bruijning and Jun-iti Nagata, A characterization of covering dimension by use of $\Delta_k(X)$	1
John J. Buoni and Albert Jonathan Klein, <i>On the generalized Calkin algebra</i>	9
Thomas Ashland Chapman, Homotopy conditions which detect simple homotopy	
equivalences	13
John Albert Chatfield, Solution for an integral equation with continuous interval	47
functions	47
Ajit Kaur Chilana and Ajay Kumar, Spectral synthesis in Segal algebras on	50
hypergroups	59
Lung O. Chung, Jiang Luh and Anthony N. Richoux, <i>Derivations and</i>	77
commutativity of rings	//
Michael George Cowling and Paul Rodway, Restrictions of certain function spaces to closed subgroups of locally compact groups	91
	105
David Dixon, <i>The fundamental divisor of normal double points of surfaces</i>	103
Nonfactorization in commutative, weakly selfadjoint Banach algebras	117
Michael Freedman, Cancelling 1-handles and some topological imbeddings	127
	131
Frank E., III Gerth, <i>The Iwasawa invariant</i> μ <i>for quadratic fields</i>	131
map	137
Stanley P. Gudder, A Radon-Nikodým theorem for *-algebras	141
Peter Wamer Harley, III and George Frank McNulty, When is a point Borel?	151
	151
Charles Henry Heiberg, Fourier series with bounded convolution powers.	139
Rebecca A. Herb, Characters of averaged discrete series on semisimple real Lie	169
groups Hideo Imai, On singular indices of rotation free densities	179
	191
Sushil Jajodia, On 2-dimensional CW-complexes with a single 2-cell.	
Herbert Meyer Kamowitz, Compact operators of the form uC_{φ} .	205
Matthew Liu and Billy E. Rhoades, <i>Some properties of the Chebyshev method</i>	213
George Edgar Parker, Semigroups of continuous transformations and generating inverse limit sequences	227
Samuel Murray Rankin, III, Oscillation results for a nonhomogeneous	221
equation	237
Martin Scharlemann, Transverse Whitehead triangulations	245
Gary Joseph Sherman, A lower bound for the number of conjugacy classes in a	243
finite nilpotent group	253
Richard Arthur Shoop, <i>The Lebesgue constants for</i> (f, d_n) -summability	255
Stuart Jay Sidney, Functions which operate on the real part of a uniform	233
algebra	265
Tim Eden Traynor, The group-valued Lebesgue decomposition.	273
Tavan Thomas Trent, $H^2(\mu)$ spaces and bounded point evaluations.	279
James Li-Ming Wang, Approximation by rational modules on nowhere dense	_,,
sets	293