

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

AN INVERSION FORMULA FOR A DISTRIBUTIONAL FINITE-HANKEL-LAPLACE TRANSFORMATION

B. R. BHONSLE AND R. A. PRABHU

AN INVERSION FORMULA FOR A DISTRIBUTIONAL FINITE-HANKEL-LAPLACE TRANSFORMATION

B. R. BHONSLE AND R. A. PRABHU

In this paper a Finite-Hankel-Laplace transformation of a certain generalized functions is defined, and an inversion formula is established.

1. Introduction. Schwartz first introduced the Fourier transform of distributions in 1947. Since then, extension of the classical integral transformation to generalized functions has been of continuing interest. Some pertinent references are [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [7], [8], and [9]. The classical Finite-Hankel-Laplace transform of function f defined on $-\infty < x < \infty, 0 < y < 1$ is defined as

$$(1.1) \quad F(s, j_m) = \int_0^1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ye^{-sx} J_n(j_m y) f(x, y) dx dy$$

where $J_n(z)$ is the Bessel function of first kind of order $n \geq -1/2$ and $j_1, j_2, j_3 \dots$ are positive zeros of $J_n(z)$ arranged in ascending order.

An inversion theorem for the transform (1.1) is as follows.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let $f(x, y)$ satisfy Dirichlet conditions in the interval $-\infty < x < \infty, 0 < y < 1$ and $ye^{-cx} f(x, y)$ be absolutely integrable on $-\infty < x < \infty, 0 < y < 1$ for some positive value of c . If its Finite-Hankel-Laplace transform in that range is defined as (1.1), then at any point (x, y) , $-\infty < x < \infty, 0 < y < 1$ at which the function $f(x, y)$ is continuous,*

$$f(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{2J_n(j_m y)}{J_{n+1}^2(j_m)} \int_{\sigma-i\infty}^{\sigma+i\infty} e^{sx} F(s, j_m) ds$$

where $\text{Re}(s) = \sigma > c$.

It is natural to try to extend the classical Finite-Hankel-Laplace transform to generalized functions. In this paper above Theorem 1.1 is extended to generalized functions.

2. The notation and terminology. In notation and terminology we follow [2] and [10]. The open set $(-\infty, \infty) \times (0, 1)$ will be denoted by I . We will use the following operators,

$$(2.1) \quad D_x^k \Omega_{n,y}^{k'} = D_x^k \left(D_y^2 + \frac{1}{y} D_y - \frac{n^2}{y^2} \right)^{k'}, k, k' = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

where $n \geq -1/2$ and the expression,

$$(2.2) \quad T_N(y, \tau) = \sum_{m=1}^N \frac{2J_n(j_m y) J_n(j_m \tau)}{J_{n+1}^2(j_m)}.$$

3. The spaces $LU_{a,b,c,n}$, $LU(w, z, c, n)$ and their duals. Let a, b, c, n be real numbers such that $c \geq 1/2$, $n \geq -1/2$, and let $k_{a,b}^{(x)}$ be the function defined as

$$k_{a,b}^{(x)} = \begin{cases} e^{ax} & 0 \leq x < \infty \\ e^{bx} & -\infty < x < 0 \end{cases}$$

then $LU_{a,b,c,n}$ is defined as the linear space of all complex valued smooth functions $\phi(x, y)$ on $-\infty < x < \infty$, $0 < y < 1$ such that for each $k, k' = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$

$$\rho_{a,b,k,k'}^{c,n}[\phi(x, y)] = \sup_{\substack{-\infty < x < \infty \\ 0 < y < 1}} |k_{a,b}^{(x)} y^c D_x^k Q_{n,y}^{k'}[y^{-1}\phi(x, y)]| < \infty.$$

We assign to $LU_{a,b,c,n}$ the topology generated by the semi-norms $\{\rho_{a,b,k,k'}^{c,n}\}_{k,k'=0}^\infty$. Hence $LU_{a,b,c,n}$ is countably multinormed space which is complete. The dual space $LU'_{a,b,c,n}$ consists of all continuous linear functionals on $LU_{a,b,c,n}$. By the Theorem 1.8.3 [10, p. 21] $LU'_{a,b,c,n}$ is also complete. If $a \leq d$ and $e \leq b$, then $LU_{d,e,c,n} \subset LU_{a,b,c,n}$, and the topology of $LU_{d,e,c,n}$ is stronger than the topology induced on it by $LU_{a,b,c,n}$. Consequently the restriction of any member $f \in LU'_{a,b,c,n}$ to $LU_{d,e,c,n}$ is in $LU'_{d,e,c,n}$.

We turn now to a certain countable union space $LU(w, z, c, n)$. Let w denote either a finite real number or $-\infty$ and z denote either a finite real number or $+\infty$. Choose two monotonic sequences $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ and $\{b_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ such that $a_k \rightarrow w_+$ and $b_k \rightarrow z_-$. Then $LU(w, z, c, n)$ is defined as countable union space of all $LU_{a_k, b_k, c, n}$ spaces; thus $LU(w, z, c, n) = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty LU_{a_k, b_k, c, n}$. A sequence $\{\phi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ converges in $LU(w, z, c, n)$ if and only if it converges in $LU_{a_k, b_k, c, n}$ for some k . Since for each k , $LU_{a_k, b_k, c, n}$ is complete and hence a countable-union space, $LU(w, z, c, n)$ is complete. $LU'(w, z, c, n)$ denotes the dual space of $LU(w, z, c, n)$. Hence $LU'(w, z, c, n)$ is also complete [10, p. 25].

Now we note several facts to which we will refer later.

(I) Clearly, $D(I)$ is sub-space of $LU_{a,b,c,n}$ as well as of $LU(w, z, c, n)$, whatever be the value of a, b, w or z ; the convergence in $D(I)$ implies the convergence in $LU_{a,b,c,n}$ and also convergence in $LU(w, z, c, n)$. Consequently, the restriction of any member of $LU'_{a,b,c,n}$ or $LU'(w, z, c, n)$ to $D(I)$ is a member of $D'(I)$. Hence the member of $LU'_{a,b,c,n}$ and $LU'(w, z, c, n)$ are distributions in the sense of Zemanian [10, p. 39].

(II) Since $D(I)$ is dense in $LU(w, z, c, n)$ for every w, z therefore by Theorem 1.9.1 [10, p. 24] $LU'(w, z, c, n)$ is a subspace of $D'(I)$.

(III) Let $w \leq x$ and $y \leq z$, then $LU(x, y, c, n) \subset LU(w, z, c, n)$ and convergence in $LU(x, y, c, n)$ implies the convergence in $LU(w, z, c, n)$. Since $D(I) \subset LU(x, y, c, n)$ and $D(I)$ is dense in $LU(w, z, c, n)$, $LU(x, y, c, n)$ is also dense in $LU(w, z, c, n)$. Hence by Theorem 1.9.1 [10, p. 24] $LU'(w, z, c, n)$ is a subspace of $LU'(x, y, c, n)$.

(IV) If $f(x, y)$ is locally integrable function defined on $-\infty < x < \infty, 0 < y < 1$ and if $\int_0^1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |[k_{a,b}^{(x)}]^{-1} y^{1-c} f(x, y)| dx dy$ exists, then $f(x, y)$ generates a regular generalized function on $Lu'_{a,b,c,n}$ through the definition

$$\langle f, \phi \rangle = \int_0^1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y) \phi(x, y) dx dy, \phi \in LU_{a,b,c,n}.$$

Similarly, if $w < a$ and $b < z$, then f generates a regular member of $LU'(w, z, c, n)$ through the definition

$$\langle f, \phi \rangle = \int_0^1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y) \phi(x, y) dx dy, \phi \in LU(w, z, c, n).$$

(V) For each $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and $n \geq -1/2, c \geq 1/2$ and $a \leq \operatorname{Re}(s) \leq b$, the function $e^{-sx} y J_n(j_m y)$ is a member of $LU_{a,b,c,n}$.

For all $a > w$ and $z > b$, $e^{-sx} y J_n(j_m y)$ is a member of $LU(w, z, c, n)$.

4. The generalized Finite-Hankel-Laplace transformation. Let c, n satisfy $n \geq -1/2, c \geq 1/2$. We shall call a generalized function f as Finite-Hankel-Laplace-transformable if it belongs to $LU'(w, z, c, n)$ for some real number w, z . Let σ_f and ρ_f defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_f &= \inf \{w/f \in LU'(w, z, c, n)\} \\ \rho_f &= \sup \{z/f \in LU'(w, z, c, n)\}. \end{aligned}$$

We are now in a position to define the generalized Finite-Hankel-Laplace transformation, which we denoted by \mathcal{LH}_n . For given Finite-Laplace-transformable generalized function f , let Ω_f denote the strip $\{s/\sigma_f < \operatorname{Re}(s) < \rho_f\}$ and let $\{j_m\}$ be the positive zeros of $J_n(z)$ arranged in ascending order. Then, the Finite-Hankel-Laplace transform $F(s, j_m)$ of f is defined as the application of f to the kernel $e^{-sx} y J_n(j_m y)$, i.e.,

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{LH}_n(f)(s, j_m) = F(s, j_m) = \langle f(x, y), e^{-sx} y J_n(j_m y) \rangle$$

where $s \in \Omega_f$ and $\{j_m\}$ are the positive zeros of $J_n(z)$. For any $s \in \Omega_f$ and all j_m , the right-hand-side of (4.1) has meaning as the application of $f \in LU'(\sigma_f, \rho_f, c, n)$ to $ye^{-sx} J_n(j_m y) \in LU(\sigma_f, \rho_f, c, n)$ (or equivalently

the application of $f \in LU'_{a,b,c,n}$ to $ye^{-sz}J_n(j_my) \in LU_{a,b,c,n}$ for any $\sigma_f < a \leq \operatorname{Re}(s) \leq b < \rho_f$.

If $f(x, y)$ is a locally integrable function such that $ye^{-sz}J_n(j_my)$ is absolutely integrable and $\sigma_f < a < b < \rho_f$ then its conventional Finite-Hankel-Laplace transform

$$\int_0^1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ye^{-sz}J_n(j_my)f(x, y)dx dy$$

exists for at least one $s \in \Omega_f$ and for all $j_{m'}$ where $\{j_m\}$ are positive zeros of $J_n(z)$ and can be identified with our generalized Finite-Hankel-Laplace transform (4.1).

5. Inversion and uniqueness. We shall now derive an inversion formula for Finite-Hankel-Laplace-transformation. The proof of the inversion formula requires some lemmas.

LEMMA 5.1. *Let $\mathcal{LH}_n(f) = F(s, j_m)$ for $s \in \Omega_f$ and j_m , let $\phi(x, y) \in D(I)$, and set for $0 < a' < b' < 1$*

$$\Phi(s, j_m) = \int_{a'}^{b'} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ye^{+sx}J_n(j_my)\phi(x, y)dx dy.$$

Then for any fixed real number $R, 0 < R < \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} (5.1) \quad & \int_{-R}^R \langle f(t, \tau), e^{-st}\tau J_n(j_m\tau) \rangle \Phi(s, j_m) dw \\ &= \left\langle f(t, \tau), \int_{-R}^R e^{-st}\tau J_n(j_m\tau) \Phi(s, j_m) dw \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

where $s = \sigma + iw$ and σ is fixed with $\sigma_f < \sigma < \rho_f$.

Proof. As it is obvious that the functions $e^{-st}\tau J_n(j_m\tau)$ and $\int_{-R}^R e^{-st}\tau J_n(j_m\tau)\Phi(s, j_m) dw$ are members of the space $LU_{a,b,c,n}$ with t, τ as the variables of testing functions, the expressions on both the sides of (5.1) have sense. Using the technique of Riemann sums as used in [10, Lemma 3.5.1. p. 64], (5.1) can be easily established.

LEMMA 5.2. *Let a', b' be any two real numbers satisfying $0 < a' < b' < 1$. Then*

$$(5.2) \quad \lim_{R, N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{a'}^{b'} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y T_N(y, \tau) \frac{\sin R(x-t)}{(x-t)} dx dy = \pi$$

when $-\infty < t < \infty, a' < \tau < b'$.

The proof can be left to the reader.

LEMMA 5.3. Let a, b, c and R be real numbers such that $a < \sigma < b$ and $c \geq 1/2$, let $\psi(x, y) \in D(I)$. Then for fixed $y, \psi(x, y) \in D(I_y)I_y = \{(x, y) / -\infty < x < \infty, y \text{ is fixed}\}$

$$(5.3) \quad \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(x, y) e^{\sigma(x-t)} \frac{\sin R(x-t)}{(x-t)} dx$$

converges in $LU_{a,b,c,n}$ to $\psi(t, y)$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$.

The proof is similar to that of Lemma 3.5.2 [10, p. 66].

LEMMA 5.4. If $\psi(x, y) \in D(I)$, then

$$(5.4) \quad \frac{1}{\pi} k_{a,b}^{(t)} \tau^c \int_{a'}^{b'} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [e^{\sigma(x-t)} \psi(x, y) - \psi(t, \tau)] y T_N(y, \tau) \frac{\sin R(x-t)}{(x-t)} dx dy$$

converges to zero uniformly as $R, N \rightarrow \infty$ for all $(t, \tau) \in (-\infty, \infty) \times (0, 1)$, where the support of $\psi(x, y)$ is contained in $[A, B] \times [a', b']$; where $-\infty < A < B < \infty, 0 < a' < b' < 1$.

Proof. Let us divide the interval $(-\infty, \infty) \times (0, 1)$ into four disjoint sets $[(-\infty, A)U(B, \infty)] \times (0, 1), (A, B) \times (b', 1), (A, B) \times (0, a')$ and $[A, B] \times [a', b']$. For $(t, \tau) \in [(-\infty, A)U(B, \infty)] \times (0, 1), \psi(t, \tau) = 0$ since $\psi(t, \tau)$ is supported by $[A, B] \times [a', b']$.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\pi} k_{a,b}^{(t)} \tau^c \int_{a'}^{b'} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [e^{\sigma(x-t)} \psi(x, y) - \psi(t, \tau)] y T_N(y, \tau) \frac{\sin R(x-t)}{(x-t)} dx dy \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} k_{a,b}^{(t)} \tau^c \int_{a'}^{b'} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\sigma(x-t)} \psi(x, y) y T_N(y, \tau) \frac{\sin R(x-t)}{(x-t)} dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

In view of Lemma 5.3 as $R \rightarrow \infty$, this integral reduces to

$$\frac{1}{\pi} k_{a,b}^{(t)} \tau^c \int_{a'}^{b'} y \psi(t, y) T_N(y, \tau) dy.$$

Thus we want to show that for fixed t and $0 < y < 1$

$$(5.5) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\pi} k_{a,b}^{(t)} \tau^c \int_{a'}^{b'} y \psi(t, y) T_N(y, \tau) dy = 0$$

uniformly for all (t, τ) . Since $\psi(t, y) \in D(I_t)$,

$$I_t = \{(t, y) / t \text{ is fixed}, 0 < y < 1\}$$

then $\psi(t, y)$ is bounded say by K

$$\left| k_{a,b}^{(t)} \tau^c \int_{a'}^{b'} [\psi(t, y) y T_N(y, \tau)] dy \right| \\ \leq K \tau^c k_{a,b}^{(t)} \int_{a'}^{b'} |y T_N(y, \tau)| dy.$$

In view of the analogue of Riemann Lebesgue lemma [6, p. 589], for given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a positive integer N_0 such that

$$\left| \int_{a'}^{b'} y T_N(y, \tau) dy \right| \leq \frac{8c_1^2 \varepsilon}{\pi c_2^2 (2 - \tau - b') \sqrt{\tau}}$$

for all $N \geq N_0$, which is bounded by $c'\varepsilon/(1-b')\sqrt{\tau}$. Therefore, for all $N \geq N_0$ and for all $(t, \tau) \in [(-\infty, A)U(B, \infty)] \times (0, 1)$

$$k_{a,b}^{(t)} \tau^c \left| \int_{a'}^{b'} y \psi(t, y) T_N(y, \tau) dy \right| \leq \frac{c' \varepsilon \tau^{c-1/2}}{(1-b')} \leq \frac{c' \varepsilon}{(1-b')}$$

since $c \geq 1/2$. Hence as ε is arbitrary, we have (5.5) as stated above. Thus

$$(5.6) \quad \frac{1}{\pi} k_{a,b}^{(t)} \tau^c \int_{a'}^{b'} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [e^{\sigma(x-t)} \psi(x, y) - \psi(t, \tau)] y T_N(y, \tau) \frac{\sin R(x-t)}{(x-t)} dx dy \longrightarrow 0$$

as $R, N \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly for all $(t, \tau) \in [(-\infty, A)U(B, \infty)] \times (0, 1)$.

In a similar manner we can prove that for all $(t, \tau) \in (A, B) \times (b', 1)$ and $(t, \tau) \in (A, B) \times (0, a')$

$$(5.7) \quad \frac{1}{\pi} k_{a,b}^{(t)} \tau^c \int_{a'}^{b'} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [e^{\sigma(x-t)} \psi(x, y) - \psi(t, \tau)] \\ \times y T_N(y, \tau) \frac{\sin R(x-t)}{(x-t)} dx dy \longrightarrow 0$$

uniformly as $R, N \rightarrow \infty$.

Next we want to show that

$$\frac{1}{\pi} k_{a,b}^{(t)} \tau^c \int_{a'}^{b'} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [e^{\sigma(x-t)} \psi(x, y) - \psi(t, \tau)] \frac{\sin R(x-t)}{(x-t)} \\ \times y T_N(y, \tau) dx dy \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } R, N \longrightarrow \infty$$

uniformly for all $(t, \tau) \in [A, B] \times [a', b']$.

Now

$$\frac{1}{\pi} k_{a,b}^{(t)} \tau^c \int_{a'}^{b'} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\sigma(x-t)} \psi(x, y) - \psi(t, \tau) \\ \times \frac{\sin R(x-t)}{(x-t)} y T_N(y, \tau) dx dy$$

$$\longrightarrow \frac{1}{\pi} k_{a,b}^{(t)} \tau^c \int_{a'}^{b'} [\psi(t, y) - \psi(t, \tau)] y T_N(y, \tau) dy ,$$

as $R \longrightarrow \infty$.

Hence we need to show that for fixed t and $a' < \tau < b'$

$$\frac{1}{\pi} k_{a,b}^{(t)} \tau^c \int_{a'}^{b'} [\psi(t, y) - \psi(t, \tau)] y T_N(y, \tau) dy \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } N \longrightarrow \infty$$

uniformly for all (t, τ) . Let $F(y, t, \tau)(y^2 - \tau^2) = y^{-n}[\psi(t, y) - \psi(t, \tau)]$ for $0 < y < 1$, $0 < \tau < 1$, and t is fixed. Now define function

$$\begin{aligned} G(y, t, \tau) &= F(y, t, \tau), y \neq \tau \\ &= \frac{y^{-n} D \psi(t, y)}{2y}, y \neq \tau, D = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \end{aligned}$$

$G(y, t, \tau)$ is continuous of y, t , and τ in the domain $\{t \text{ is fixed}, 0 < y < 1, 0 < \tau < 1\}$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{a'}^{b'} y [\psi(t, y) - \psi(t, \tau)] T_N(y, \tau) dy \\ &= \int_{a'}^{b'} y^{n+1} F(y, t, \tau) (y^2 - \tau^2) T_N(y, \tau) dy \\ &= \int_{a'}^{b'} y^{n+1} G(y, t, \tau) (y^2 - \tau^2) T_N(y, \tau) dy \end{aligned}$$

as the value of the integral remains unchanged by replacing expression $f(y, t, \tau)(y^2 - \tau^2)$ by $G(y, t, \tau)(y^2 - \tau^2)$.

Let us now divide the interval $a' \leq \tau \leq b'$ in to p equal parts by the points $a' = y_0, y_1, \dots, y_p = b'$ and after choosing positive number ε , p so large that

$$\sum_{m=1}^p (U_m - L_m)(y_m - y_{m-1}) < \varepsilon$$

where U_m and L_m are upper and lower bounds of $G(t, y, \tau)$ in $y_{m-1} \leq y \leq y_m$, $a' \leq \tau \leq b'$. Let $G(t, y, \tau) = G(t, y_{m-1}, \tau) + w_m(t, y, \tau)$ for $y_{m-1} \leq y \leq y_m$, $a' \leq \tau \leq b'$ so that $|w_m(t, y, \tau)| \leq U_m - L_m$.

Using uniform continuity of the function $G(t, y, \tau)$ over the region $\{a' \leq y \leq b', a' \leq \tau \leq b'\}$ and following the lines in the proof of the analogue of Riemann Lebesgue lemma [6, p. 589], for an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ we get a positive integer N_1 such that

$$\left| \int_{a'}^{b'} y^{n+1} G(t, y, \tau) (y^2 - \tau^2) T_N(y, \tau) dy \right| \leq \frac{c' \cdot \varepsilon}{(1 - b') \sqrt{\tau}}$$

for all $N \geq N_1$. Hence for all (t, τ) , t is fixed and $a' < \tau < b'$

$$\begin{aligned}
k_{a,b}^{(t)} \tau^c \int_{a'}^{b'} y [\psi(t, y) - \psi(t, \tau)] T_N(y, \tau) dy \\
\leq \frac{c' \in \tau^{c-1/2}}{(1-b')} \leq \frac{c' \varepsilon}{(1-b')} \quad \text{where } c \geq \frac{1}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since ε is arbitrary,

$$\frac{1}{\pi} k_{a,b}^{(t)} \tau^c \int_{a'}^{b'} y [\psi(t, y) - \varphi(t, \tau)] T_N(y, \tau) dy \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } N \longrightarrow \infty$$

uniformly for all fixed t and $\tau \in [a', b']$.

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.8) \quad & \frac{1}{\pi} k_{a,b}^{(t)} \tau^c \int_{a'}^{b'} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [e^{\sigma(x-t)} \psi(x, y) - \psi(t, \tau)] \\
& \frac{\sin R(x-t)}{(x-t)} y T_N(y, \tau) dx dy \longrightarrow 0
\end{aligned}$$

uniformly for all $(t, \tau) \in [A, B] \times [a', b']$ as $R, N \rightarrow \infty$. Combining (5.6), (5.7) and (5.8), the lemma yields.

LEMMA 5.5. For $\phi(x, y) \in D(I)$, $0 < a' < b' < 1$

$$(5.9) \quad \Phi(s, j_m) = \int_{a'}^{b'} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y e^{sx} J_n(j_m y) \phi(x, y) dx dy.$$

$$(5.10) \quad \tau M_{R,N}(t, \tau) = \tau \left[\sum_{m=1}^N \frac{2}{J_{n+1}^2(j_m)} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-R}^R [e^{-st} J_n(j_m \tau) \Phi(s, j_m)] dw \right]$$

converges in $LU_{a,b,c,n}$ to $\tau \phi(t, \tau)$ as $R, N \rightarrow \infty$ for all $(t, \tau) \in (-\infty, \infty) \times (0, 1)$.

Proof. Since the integrand in (5.10) is a smooth function and ϕ is of bounded support, we may differentiate under the integral sign, and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& D_t^k \Omega_{n,\tau}^{k'} [\tau^{-1} \cdot \tau M_{R,N}(t, \tau)] \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^N \frac{2}{J_{n+1}^2(j_m)} \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-R}^R D_t^k \Omega_{n,\tau}^{k'} [e^{-st} J_n(j_m \tau)] \Phi(s, j_m) dw \right] \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^N \frac{2}{J_{n+1}^2(j_m)} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-R}^R (-1)^{k+k'} s^k j_m^{2k'} e^{-st} J_n(j_m \tau) \right. \\
&\quad \times \left[\int_{a'}^{b'} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{sx} y J_n(j_m y) \phi(x, y) dx dy \right] dw \Big\} \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^N \frac{2}{J_{n+1}^2(j_m)} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-R}^R (-1)^k e^{-st} J_n(j_m \tau) \right. \\
&\quad \times \left[\int_{a'}^{b'} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^{k'} s^k j_m^{2k'} y e^{sx} J_n(j_m y) \phi(x, y) dx dy \right] dw \Big\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^N \frac{2}{J_{n+1}^2(j_m)} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-R}^R (-1)^k e^{-st} J_n(j_m \tau) \right. \\ \left. \times \left[\int_{a'}^{b'} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} D_x^k \Omega_{n,y}^{k'} [e^{sx} J_n(j_m y)] y \phi(x, y)^- dx dy \right] dw \right\}.$$

Now we consider

$$(-1)^k \int_{a'}^{b'} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} D_x^k \Omega_{n,y}^{k'} [e^{sx} J_n(j_m y)] y \phi(x, y) dx dy.$$

Upon integrating by parts the inner integral k times and since $\phi(x, y)$ is of compact support this integral reduces to

$$\int_{a'}^{b'} y \Omega_{n,y}^{k'} J_n(j_m y) \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} D_x^k [\phi(x, y)] e^{sx} dx \right] dy.$$

Again integrating by parts $2k'$ times we get

$$\int_{a'}^{b'} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} D_x^k \Omega_{n,y}^{k'} [\phi(x, y)] y e^{sx} J_n(j_m y) dx dy.$$

Therefore

$$D_t^k \Omega_{n,\tau}^{k'} [\tau^{-1} \cdot \tau M_{R,N}(t, \tau)] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{m=1}^N \frac{2}{J_{n+1}^2(j_m)} \left\{ \int_{-R}^R e^{-st} J_n(j_m \tau) \right. \\ \left. \times \left[\int_{a'}^{b'} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} D_x^k \Omega_{n,y}^{k'} [\phi(x, y)] y e^{sx} J_n(j_m y) dx dy \right] dw \right\}.$$

Changing the order of integration, we obtain

$$D_t^k \Omega_{n,\tau}^{k'} [\tau^{-1} \tau M_{R,N}(t, \tau)] \\ = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{a'}^{b'} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[D_x^k \Omega_{n,y}^{k'} [\phi(x, y)] y T_N(y, \tau) \left[\int_{-R}^R e^{s(x-t)} dw \right] dx dy \right] \\ = \frac{2}{2\pi} \int_{a'}^{b'} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} D_x^k \Omega_{n,y}^{k'} [\phi(x, y)] y T_N(y, \tau) \frac{\text{Sin } R(x-t)}{(x-t)} e^{\sigma(x-t)} dx dy.$$

Hence in light of Lemma 5.2 we have as $R, N \rightarrow \infty$

$$D_t^k \Omega_{n,\tau}^{k'} \tau^{-1} [\tau M_{R,N}(t, \tau) - \tau \phi(t, \tau)] \\ = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{a'}^{b'} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [e^{\sigma(x-t)} D_x^k \Omega_{n,y}^{k'} [\phi(x, y)] - D_t^k \Omega_{n,\tau}^{k'} [\phi(t, \tau)]] \\ \times \frac{\text{Sin } R(x-t)}{(x-t)} y T_N(y, \tau) dx dy \\ = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{a'}^{b'} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [e^{\sigma(x-t)} \gamma_r(x, y) - \gamma_r(t, \tau)] \frac{\text{Sin } R(x-t)}{(x-t)} y T_N(y, \tau) dx dy$$

where $\gamma_r(x, y) = D_x^k \Omega_{n,y}^{k'} [\phi(x, y)]$ which is again a member of $D(I)$ with support contained in $[A, B] \times [a', b']$. Hence it suffices to show that

$$\frac{1}{\pi} k_{a,b}^{(t)} \tau^c \left[\int_{a'}^{b'} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [e^{\sigma(x-t)} \psi(x, y) - \psi(t, \tau)] \right] \\ \times \frac{\sin R(x-t)}{(x-t)} y T_N(y, \tau) dx dy$$

converges to zero as $R, N \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly for all $(t, \tau) \in (-\infty, \infty) \times (0, 1)$. This is true in view of Lemma 5.4, and thus the proof of Lemma 5.5 is complete.

6. The main theorem. Let $f(x, y)$ be a Finite-Hankel-Laplace-transformable function and $F(s, j_m)$ the generalized Finite-Hankel-Laplace-transform of f as defined by

$$F(s, j_m) = \langle f(x, y), e^{-sz} y J_n(j_m y) \rangle$$

for $s \in \Omega_f$ and $\{j_m\}$, the positive zeros of $J_n(z)$.

Then in the sense of convergence in $D'(I)$

$$(6.1) \quad f(x, y) = \lim_{R, N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{m=1}^N \frac{2J_n(j_m y)}{J_{n+1}^2(j_m)} \int_{\sigma-iR}^{\sigma+iR} e^{sz} F(s, j_m) ds$$

where σ is any fixed number $\sigma_f < \sigma < \rho_f$.

Proof. Let $\phi(x, y)$ be an arbitrary member of $D(I)$. We wish to show that

$$(6.2) \quad \left\langle \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{m=1}^N \frac{2J_n(j_m y)}{J_{n+1}^2(j_m)} \int_{\sigma-iR}^{\sigma+iR} e^{sz} F(s, j_m) ds, \phi(x, y) \right\rangle \\ = \langle f(t, \tau), \phi(t, \tau) \rangle \quad \text{as } R, N \longrightarrow \infty.$$

Since $\phi(x, y) \in D(I)$ iff $y\phi(x, y) \in D(I)$, then (6.2) will be equivalent to showing that

$$(6.3) \quad \left\langle \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{m=1}^N \frac{2J_n(j_m y)}{J_{n+1}^2(j_m)} \int_{\sigma-iR}^{\sigma+iR} e^{sz} F(s, j_m) ds, y\phi(x, y) \right\rangle \\ = \langle f(t, \tau), \tau\phi(t, \tau) \rangle \quad \text{as } R, N \longrightarrow \infty.$$

As $\phi(x, y) \in D(I)$, let us assume that the support of $\phi(x, y)$ is contained in $[A, B] \times [a', b']$, where $-\infty < A < B < \infty$, $0 < a' < b' < 1$. As

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{m=1}^N \frac{2J_n(j_m y)}{J_{n+1}^2(j_m)} \int_{\sigma-iR}^{\sigma+iR} e^{sz} F(s, j_m) ds$$

is locally integrable and since $y\phi(x, y) \in D(I)$ then without limit notation (6.3) can be written as

$$\int_{a'}^{b'} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y\phi(x, y) \left[\sum_{m=1}^N \frac{2J_n(j_m y)}{J_{n+1}^2(j_m)} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma-iR}^{\sigma+iR} e^{sz} F(s, j_m) ds \right] dx dy.$$

Put $s = \sigma + iw$. We get

$$\int_{a'}^{b'} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y \phi(x, y) \left[\sum_{m=1}^N \frac{2J_n(j_m y)}{J_{n+1}^2(j_m)} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-R}^R e^{sz} F(s, j_m) dw \right] dx dy.$$

Since $\phi(x, y)$ has a compact support and the integrand is a continuous function of (x, y, w) we can interchange the order of integration.

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=1}^N \frac{2}{J_{n+1}^2(j_m)} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-R}^R \langle f(t, \tau), e^{-st} \tau J_n(j_m \tau) \rangle \right. \\ & \quad \times \left[\int_{a'}^{b'} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{sz} J_n(j_m y) y \phi(x, y) dx dy \right] dw \Big\} \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^N \frac{2}{J_{n+1}^2(j_m)} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-R}^R \langle f(t, \tau), e^{-st} \tau J_n(j_m \tau) \rangle \Phi(s, j_m) dw \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Phi(s, j_m) = \int_{a'}^{b'} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{sz} y J_n(j_m y) \phi(x, y) dx dy.$$

Now by Lemma 5.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=1}^N \frac{2}{J_{n+1}^2(j_m)} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-R}^R [\langle f(t, \tau), e^{-st} \tau J_n(j_m \tau) \rangle \Phi(s, j_m)] dw \right\} \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^N \frac{2}{J_{n+1}^2(j_m)} \left\{ \left\langle f(t, \tau), \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-R}^R e^{-st} \tau J_n(j_m \tau) \Phi(s, j_m) dw \right\rangle \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since f is a continuous linear functional, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=1}^N \frac{2}{J_{n+1}^2(j_m)} \left\langle f(t, \tau), \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-R}^R e^{-st} \tau J_n(j_m \tau) \Phi(s, j_m) dw \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle f(t, \tau), \sum_{m=1}^N \frac{2}{J_{n+1}^2(j_m)} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-R}^R e^{-st} \tau J_n(j_m \tau) \Phi(s, j_m) dw \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Because $f \in LU'_{a,b,c,n}$ and in view of Lemma 5.5, the last expression tends to $\langle f(t, \tau), \tau \phi(t, \tau) \rangle$ as $R, N \rightarrow \infty$. This completes our proof of the main theorem.

Uniqueness Theorem 6.1. *If $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{H}_n(f) = F(s, j_m)$ and $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{H}_n(g) = G(s, j_m)$ for all $s \in \Omega_f = \{s/\sigma_f < \operatorname{Re}(s) < \rho_f\}$ and $s \in \Omega_g = \{s/\sigma_g < \operatorname{Re}(s) < \rho_g\}$ and $\{j_m\}$, positive zeros of $J_n(z)$, if $\Omega_f \cap \Omega_g \neq \emptyset$, and if $F(s, j_m) = G(s, j_m)$ for $s \in \Omega_f \cap \Omega_g$, then $f = g$ in the sense of equality in $D'(I)$.*

Proof. By above theorem, in the sense of convergence in $D'(I)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 f &= \lim_{R, N \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{m=1}^N \frac{2J_n(j_m y)}{J_{n+1}^2(j_m)} \left[\int_{\sigma-iR}^{\sigma+iR} e^{sz} F(s, j_m) ds \right] \right\} \\
 &= \lim_{R, N \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{m=1}^N \frac{2J_n(j_m y)}{J_{n+1}^2(j_m)} \left[\int_{\sigma-iR}^{\sigma+iR} e^{sz} G(s, j_m) ds \right] \right\} \\
 &= g(x, y).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $f = g$, in the sense of equality in $D'(I)$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. The second author (R. A. P.) is grateful to Dr. M. S. Chaudhary for the help given by him during the preparation of this paper. The author also wishes to thank the referee for the valuable suggestions for the improvement of the paper.

REFERENCES

1. J. L. B. Cooper, *Laplace transformation of distributions*, Canad. J. Math., **18** (1966), 1323-1332.
2. L. S. Dube, *On Finite Hankel transformation*, Pacific J. Math., **62** (2) (1976), 365-378.
3. L. S. Dube and J. N. Pandey, *On the Hankel transform of distributions*, Tôhoku Math. J., **27** (1975), 337-354.
4. J. N. Pandey, *On the stieltjes transform of generalized functions*, Proc. Cam. Phi. Soc., **75** (1972), 85-96.
5. J. N. Pandey and A. H. Zemanian, *Complex inversion for the generalized convolution transform*, Pacific J. Math., **5** (1968), 147-157.
6. G. N. Watson, *Theory of Bessel Functions*, Cambridge University Press, 1958.
7. A. H. Zemanian, *Inversion formulas for the distributional Laplace transformation*, SIAM J. Appl. Math., **14** (1966), 159-166.
8. ———, *A generalized Weierstrass transformation*, SIAM J. Appl. Math., **15** (1967), 1088-1105.
9. ———, *A distributional K-transformation*, SIAM J. Appl. Math., **14** (1966), 1350-1365.
10. ———, *Generalized Integral Transformations*, Interscience Publishers, 1968.

Received February 23, 1978 and in revised form August 17, 1978.

MARATHWADA UNIVERSITY
 AURANGABAD, INDIA
 AND
 SHIVAJI UNIVERSITY
 KOLHAPUR, INDIA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

CHARLES W. CURTIS

University of Oregon
Eugene, OR 97403

C. C. MOORE

University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM

Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints to each author are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Older back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.).
8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1978 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Manufactured and first issued in Japan

K. Adachi, <i>On the multiplicative Cousin problems for $N^p(D)$</i>	297
Howard Banilower, <i>Isomorphisms and simultaneous extensions in $C(S)$</i>	305
B. R. Bhonsle and R. A. Prabhu, <i>An inversion formula for a distributional finite-Hankel-Laplace transformation</i>	313
Douglas S. Bridges, <i>Connectivity properties of metric spaces</i>	325
John Patton Burgess, <i>A selection theorem for group actions</i>	333
Carl Claudius Cowen, <i>Commutants and the operator equations $AX = \lambda XA$</i>	337
Thomas Curtis Craven, <i>Characterizing reduced Witt rings. II</i>	341
J. Csima, <i>Embedding partial idempotent d-ary quasigroups</i>	351
Sheldon Davis, <i>A cushioning-type weak covering property</i>	359
Micheal Neal Dyer, <i>Nonminimal roots in homotopy trees</i>	371
John Erik Fornaess, <i>Plurisubharmonic defining functions</i>	381
John Fuelberth and James J. Kuzmanovich, <i>On the structure of finitely generated splitting rings</i>	389
Irving Leonard Glicksberg, <i>Boundary continuity of some holomorphic functions</i>	425
Frank Harary and Robert William Robinson, <i>Generalized Ramsey theory. IX. Isomorphic factorizations. IV. Isomorphic Ramsey numbers</i>	435
Frank Harary and Allen John Carl Schwenk, <i>The spectral approach to determining the number of walks in a graph</i>	443
David Kent Harrison, <i>Double coset and orbit spaces</i>	451
Shiro Ishikawa, <i>Common fixed points and iteration of commuting nonexpansive mappings</i>	493
Philip G. Laird, <i>On characterizations of exponential polynomials</i>	503
Y. C. Lee, <i>A Witt's theorem for unimodular lattices</i>	509
Teck Cheong Lim, <i>On common fixed point sets of commutative mappings</i>	517
R. S. Pathak, <i>On the Meijer transform of generalized functions</i>	523
T. S. Ravisankar and U. S. Shukla, <i>Structure of Γ-rings</i>	537
Olaf von Grudzinski, <i>Examples of solvable and nonsolvable convolution equations in \mathcal{K}'_p, $p \geq 1$</i>	561
Roy Westwick, <i>Irreducible lengths of trivectors of rank seven and eight</i>	575