

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

SPACES IN WHICH COMPACTA ARE UNIFORMLY REGULAR
 G_δ

KYUNG BAI LEE

SPACES IN WHICH COMPACTA ARE UNIFORMLY REGULAR G_δ

KYUNG BAI LEE

A space in which compacta are uniformly regular G_δ is said to be c -stratifiable. This concept turns out to be important in many reasons: c -stratifiability is a necessary and sufficient condition for regular wN -spaces to be Nagata, for regular $w\gamma$ -spaces to be γ and for semimetrizable spaces to be K -semimetrizable. As applications, it is shown that a completely regular pseudocompact space is metrizable and that K -semimetrizable spaces are characterized by having semi-developments with the 3-link property.

The most important generalized metric spaces are Moore spaces, Nagata spaces and γ -spaces. Hodel and Kodake proved that being an α -space is a necessary and sufficient condition for regular $w\Delta$ -spaces to be Moore, for regular wN -spaces to be Nagata. We will show that c -stratifiability plays the same role as α for wN and $w\gamma$. Spaces with regular G_δ -diagonal are c -stratifiable is proved using Zenor's characterization. Completely regular pseudocompact c -stratifiable spaces are γ . If a space has a semi-refined sequence or semi-development with the 3-link property, we can define a symmetric or semimetric in the usual way to show that such a symmetric or semimetric is characterized by $d(K_1, K_2) > 0$ for disjoint compacta K_1 and K_2 . Since the 3-link property concerns with convergence of sequences, it is not surprising that the property is characterized by a concept of compactness. Going up to development with the 3-link property, we get a K -semimetric under which each point has arbitrarily small neighborhoods. The main method in this paper is another characterization of c -Nagataness (=first countable c -stratifiable) by a countable open covering map g : If $g(n, x) \cap g(n, x_n) \neq \emptyset$ for each n , then x is a cluster point of the sequence $\{x_n\}$ if there are any.

For a subset S of a space, we will denote the closure of S by S^- . For a point x and a sequence $\{x_n\}$, $\langle x_n \rangle$ will denote the point set $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots\}$ and $\langle x, x_n \rangle$ will denote the set $\{x, x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots\}$. $Cp\{x_n\}$ denotes the set of all cluster points of $\{x_n\}$.

The author wants to express his thanks to referee for many helpful suggestions to revise this article.

1. c -Nagata spaces. Let X be a space and g a function from

$N \times X$ into the topology of X such that $x \in \cap \{g(n, x): n \in N\}$ for each $x \in X$ and the $g(n+1, x) \subset g(n, x)$ for each n and x . Note that if we let $\gamma_n = \{g(n, x): n \in N\}$, then $\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \dots\}$ is a sequence of open covers of X such that γ_{n+1} refines γ_n . Thus we call such a function a COC-map (=countable open covering map). For any subset S of X , we denote $g(n, S) = \cup \{g(n, x): x \in S\}$.

Let \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} be some families of subsets of X . g is said to *separate* (*separate regularly*) \mathfrak{B} from \mathfrak{A} if, for each $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $B \in \mathfrak{B}$ disjoint, there exists $n \in N$ such that $A \cap g(n, B) = \emptyset$ ($A \cap g(n, B)^- = \emptyset$). Consider the following conditions on g .

- A. g separates closed compact sets from points,
- B. g separates closed sets from points,
- C. g separates points from closed sets,
- D. g separates compact sets from closed sets,
- E. g separates closed sets from compact sets, and
- F. g separates regularly closed sets from points.

In [16] Martin defined *c-semistratifiable spaces* by A; *Semistratifiable spaces* [5] is characterized by B; C is the definition of *first countable spaces*; In [13] T_1 , γ -spaces are characterized by D, which is precisely *coconvergent spaces* [20]; It is easily verified that *k-semistratifiable spaces* [14] can be characterized by E and that *stratifiable spaces* [2] by F.

We now introduce a new class of spaces which shares similar properties to these spaces.

DEFINITION. A T_1 -space is said to be *c-stratifiable* if it has a COC-map that separates regularly compact sets from points. A space is *c-Nagata* if it is *c-stratifiable* and first countable.

From the definition, every compact set in a *c-stratifiable* space is a regular G_δ . Conversely, let X be a T_1 -space such that: For each compact K , there exists a decreasing sequence $\{K_n\}$ of open sets with the properties

- (1) $K = \bigcap_{n \in N} K_n = \bigcap_{n \in N} K_n^-$ for each compact K , and
- (2) If K and H are compacta with $K \subset H$, then $K_n \subset H_n$ for every n . Define a COC-map g by $g(n, x) = \{x\}_n$. Then g is a COC-map that separates regularly compacta from points. Thus X is *c-stratifiable*.

Note that every Nagata space is *c-Nagata* and that every *c-stratifiable* space is *c-semistratifiable*. A *c-stratifiable* space is Hausdorff. But there exists a nonregular, nonperfect *c-stratifiable* space as 6.2 shows. This distinguishes *c-stratifiable* spaces from stratifiable spaces and from semistratifiable spaces. As can easily be shown, *c-stratifiability* is hereditary, countably productive.

LEMMA 1.1. A COC-map g separates regularly T from compacta if g separates regularly T from points.

Proof. Suppose g separates regularly T from points. Let K be a compact set disjoint from T . For each x in K , there exists $n(x) \in N$ such that $x \notin g(n(x), T)^-$. This implies that $\{X - g(n(x), T)^- : x \in K\}$ forms an open cover of the compact set K . Let $\{X - g(n(x_i), T)^- : x_i \in K, 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ be a finite subcover of K , and $n = \max \{n(x_i) : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$. Then $K \cap g(n, T)^- = \emptyset$.

LEMMA 1.2. A first countable COC-map g for a T_1 -space separates closed sets (compact sets, points, respectively) from compact A if and only if g separates regularly closed sets (compact sets, points, respectively) from points.

Proof. First we show that a T_1 -space which has a first countable COC-map g separating points from compacta is Hausdorff. Assume there are distinct points x and y such that $g(n, x) \cap g(n, y) \neq \emptyset$ for each n . There exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ which converges to both x and y . We may assume $y \notin \langle x_n \rangle$. The point y cannot be separated from the compact set $\langle x, x_n \rangle$. This contradiction shows that the space is Hausdorff.

Assume there exists a subset T and a point $p \in X - T$ such that $p \in g(n, T)^-$ for every n . If $g(n, p) \cap g(n, T) - T = \emptyset$ for infinitely many n , then $g(n, p) \cap T \neq \emptyset$ for infinitely many n , and hence $p \in T^- = T$ if T is a closed (compact, singleton) set. Thus we can choose $y_n \in g(n, p) \cap g(n, T) - T$. Then T cannot be separated from the compactum $\langle p, y_n \rangle$. The converse is clear from 1.1.

COROLLARY (Lutzer). A first countable k -semistratifiable T_1 -space is stratifiable.

THEOREM 1.3. The following conditions on a first countable COC-map g for a T_1 -space are equivalent:

- (1) g separates regularly compacta from compacta,
- (2) g separates regularly compacta from points,
- (3) g separates compacta from compacta, and
- (4) If $g(n, x) \cap g(n, x_n) \neq \emptyset$ for each n , then $Cp\{x_n\} \subset \{x\}$.

Proof. By virtue of 1.1 and 1.2, it suffices to show that (3) \Leftrightarrow (4).

Let g satisfy the third condition and let $g(n, x) \cap g(n, x_n) \neq \emptyset$ for each n . If $z \in Cp\{x_n\}$ with $z \neq x$, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_i}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ converging to z . Let $\{y_n\}$ be a sequence such that $y_n \in$

$g(n, x) \cap g(n, x_n)$ for each n and that $\langle y_{n_i} \rangle$ is disjoint from $\langle x_{n_i} \rangle$. Now the compactum $\langle z, x_{n_i} \rangle$ cannot be separated from the compactum $\langle x, y_{n_i} \rangle$.

Conversely, suppose g satisfies the fourth condition. Assume there exist disjoint compacta K_1 and K_2 such that K_2 cannot be separated from K_1 . Choose a point x_n in $K_1 \cap g(n, K_2)$ for each n . Let $x \in Cp\{x_n\}$. Then $x \in \bigcap \{g(n, K_2)^- : n \in N\}$. This implies that there exists a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in K_2 such that $g(n, x) \cap g(n, y_n) \neq \emptyset$. Since $Cp\{y_n\} \cap K_2 \neq \emptyset$, x is the unique cluster point of $\{y_n\}$ which belongs to K_2 . This implies $K_1 \cap K_2 \neq \emptyset$. This completes the proof.

2. Nagata spaces and γ -spaces. A space is called a wN -space [9] if it has a COC-map g such that: If $g(n, x) \cap g(n, x_n) \neq \emptyset$ for each $n \in N$, then $Cp\{x_n\} \neq \emptyset$. Similarly, a space is called a $w\gamma$ -space [9] if it has a COC-map g such that: If $x_n \in g(n, y_n)$ and $y_n \in g(n, x)$ for each $n \in N$, then $Cp\{x_n\} \neq \emptyset$.

Martin [16] shows that a regular c -semistratifiable $w\Delta$ -space is developable, and Hodel [9] proves that a Hausdorff γ , wN -space is metrizable. Since there exist nonmetrizable Nagata spaces, the condition being γ -spaces in Hodel's proposition cannot be weakened to c -Nagataness. However, as the following lemmas show, c -Nagataness is a necessary and sufficient condition for wN -spaces to be Nagata, and for $w\gamma$ to be γ .

LEMMA 2.1. *A space is Nagata if and only if it is a c -Nagata wN -space.*

LEMMA 2.2. *A Hausdorff space is γ if and only if it is c -Nagata and $w\gamma$.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.1 of [13], a γ -space has a COC-map which separates compacta from closed sets. But a Hausdorff space which has a first countable COC-map that separates compacta from compacta is c -Nagata by 1.3. These imply that Hausdorff γ -spaces are c -Nagata.

Conversely, let g be a first countable COC-map for a Hausdorff space satisfying the fourth condition of 1.3 and the condition of $w\gamma$. Let $x_n \in g(n, y_n)$ and $y_n \in g(n, x)$ for each n , and let $z \in Cp\{x_n\}$. There exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_i}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $x_{n_i} \in g(i, z)$ for each $i \in N$. Thus $x_{n_i} \in g(i, z) \cap g(n_i, y_{n_i}) \subset g(i, z) \cap g(i, y_{n_i})$ for each $i \in N$, from which it follows that $Cp\{y_{n_i}\} \subset \{z\}$. Since g is a first countable COC-map, $\{y_n\}$ converges to x . Thus we have $z = x$.

If a T_1 -space has a COC-map that separates compacta from closed sets, the COC-map separates compacta from points. This implies that every T_1 , γ -space is c -semistratifiable. But such a space need not be c -stratifiable as 6.3 shows.

COROLLARY. *Every Nagata $w\gamma$ -space is metrizable.*

Proof. A Nagata $w\gamma$ -space is a γ -space by 2.2. Now apply [9, Theorem 4.3].

A regular $w\mathcal{A}$ -space is developable if it is α , and a regular wN -space is Nagata if it is α . A natural substitute for α in the case of wN and $w\gamma$ is c -stratifiability as seen in the following.

THEOREM 2.3. (1) *A regular space is Nagata if and only if it is a c -stratifiable wN -space.* (2) *A regular space is γ if and only if it is a c -stratifiable $w\gamma$ -space.*

Proof. Note that a wN -space or a $w\gamma$ -space is a q -space [19] and that each point is a G_δ in a c -stratifiable space. Lutzer showed that a regular q -space in which each point is G_δ are first countable. The result follows from 2.1 and 2.2.

3. Spaces with regular G_δ -diagonals. A space is said to have a $G_\delta(k)$ -diagonal if it has a sequence $\{\mathfrak{V}_1, \mathfrak{V}_2, \mathfrak{V}_3, \dots\}$ of open covers such that: For any distinct two points x and y , there is n such that $y \notin st^k(x, \mathfrak{V}_n)$. $G_\delta(1)$ -diagonal coincides with G_δ -diagonal. A space is said to have a *regular G_δ -diagonal* if the diagonal of $X \times X$ is a regular G_δ -set in the product space. For more properties of these, see [2, 10, 16 and 21].

LEMMA 3.1 (Zenor). *A space X has a regular G_δ -diagonal if and only if there is a sequence $\{\gamma_n\}$ of open covers of X such that if x and y are distinct points of X , then there exists an integer n and open sets U and V containing x and y respectively such that no member of γ_n intersects both U and V .*

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Any space with a regular G_δ -diagonal is c -stratifiable.*

Proof. Let $\{\gamma_n\}$ be a sequence of open covers of a space X mentioned in 3.1. We may assume that each γ_n is refined by γ_{n+1} . Define a COC-map g by $g(n, x) = st(x, \gamma_n)$. Let a compactum K and a point $p \in X - K$ be given. For each $x \in K$, there exists an integer $n(x)$ and open sets $U(x)$ and $V(x)$ containing p and x , respectively,

such that $U(x) \cap st(V(x), \gamma_{n(x)}) = \emptyset$. Since K is compact, we can find a finite number of points x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k of K such that $\{V(x_i): i = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$ covers K . Let $n = \max \{n(x_i): i = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$, and $U = \bigcap \{U(x_i): i = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$. Then $U \cap st(K, \gamma_n) = \emptyset$. That is, $U \cap g(n, K) = \emptyset$. This implies $p \notin g(n, K)^-$.

4. Pseudocompact spaces. A space X is *pseudocompact* if every real-valued continuous function on X is bounded. The following characterization of completely regular pseudocompact spaces is well known.

LEMMA 4.1. *Let X be a completely regular space. X is pseudocompact if and only if for every sequence $G_1 \subset G_2 \subset G_3 \subset \dots$ of nonvoid open subsets of X , $\bigcap_{n \in N} G_n^- \neq \emptyset$.*

In [18], it is proved that a completely regular pseudocompact space with a regular G_δ -diagonal is metrizable. Even though the condition that the space have a regular G_δ -diagonal cannot be weakened to c -stratifiability (see 3.2) as shown in 6.6, we are able to prove the following.

THEOREM 4.2. *Completely regular pseudocompact c -stratifiable spaces are γ .*

Proof. Let g be a c -stratifiable COC-map for a completely regular pseudocompact space X , and K a compact subset and G an open set containing K . Assume $g(n, K) \cap (X - G) \neq \emptyset$ for every n . Since X is regular there is an open set H such that $K \subset H \subset H^- \subset G$. Then $\{g(n, K) \cap (X - H^-): n \in N\}$ is a decreasing sequence of nonvoid open sets so that $\emptyset \neq \bigcap_{n \in N} \{g(n, K) \cap (X - H^-)\}^- \subset \bigcap_{n \in N} g(n, K)^- \cap (X - H) = K \cap (X - H) = \emptyset$. This contradiction implies that g separates compacta from closed sets. Thus X is a γ -space.

COROLLARY. *A completely regular pseudocompact stratifiable space is metrizable.*

Proof. Note that a stratifiable space is c -stratifiable. Now apply 4.2 and corollary to 2.2.

5. K -symmetrics and semi-refined sequences of covers satisfying the 3-link property. Let X be a space and d a real-valued nonnegative function defined on $X \times X$ such that $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$, and $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$. The function d is called a *symmetric* [1] for X provided that a set $M \subset X$ is closed if and

only if $d(x, M) > 0$ for any $x \in X - M$. The function d is called a *semimetric* for X provided that for a set $M \subset X$, $x \in M^-$ if and only if $d(x, M) = 0$. In [1] and [15] it is proved that a space is metrizable if and only if it has a compatible symmetric d such that $d(F, K) > 0$ for any disjoint closed F and compact K . The following condition on symmetric is due to Arhangel'skii.

(K) For any disjoint compacta K_1 and K_2 in X , $d(K_1, K_2) > 0$.

DEFINITION. A symmetric satisfying (K) is called a *K-symmetric*. Similarly, a semimetric satisfying (K) is called a *K-semimetric* [17]. A space is said to be *K-symmetrizable* (*K-semimetrizable*) if it is symmetrizable (semimetrizable) via a *K-symmetric* (*K-semimetric*).

We can no longer claim that a *K-symmetrizable* space is metrizable even if it is paracompact. Arhangel'skii's conjecture saying that every symmetrizable space is *K-symmetrizable* seems to be unsolved yet. It is known that a paracompact semimetric space is *K-semimetrizable*.

A sequence $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \dots)$ of covers of a space X such that each γ_{n+1} refines γ_n is called a *semi-refined sequence of covers* [3] if $\mathfrak{B}_x = \{st(x, \gamma_n) : n \in N\}$ forms a *weak-base* [1], a *semi-development* if it is a semi-refined sequence of covers such that each $st(x, \gamma_n)$ is a neighborhood of x , and a *development* if it is a semi-development such that each γ_n is an open cover of X .

A development $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \dots)$ for a space X is said to satisfy the *3-link property* [7] if it is true that for any distinct points x and y , there exists an integer n such that no member of γ_n intersects both $st(x, \gamma_n)$ and $st(y, \gamma_n)$. We generalize this concept to arbitrary sequence of covers.

DEFINITION. Let $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \dots)$ be a sequence of covers of a space. γ is said to satisfy the *3-link property* if for any distinct points x and y , there exists an integer n such that $y \notin st^3(x, \gamma_n)$.

Note that this definition coincides with the original definition of the 3-link property for developments, and that a space has a sequence of open covers with the 3-link property if and only if it has a $G_\delta(3)$ -diagonal.

A continuously semimetrizable space is a Moore space that admits a development with the 3-link property. A space admits a development with the 3-link property if and only if it is a *wA-space* with a regular G_δ -diagonal. A locally connected developable space

with a regular G_δ -diagonal has a K -semimetric d such that the space is d -spherically connected, a locally connected rim compact space is K -semimetrizable if and only if it is a developable γ -space. See [4], [17] and [21] for details.

The following three theorems show that the condition (K) has a close relation to the 3-link property and the c -stratifiability.

THEOREM 5.1. *A space X is Hausdorff K -symmetrizable if and only if it admits a semi-refined sequence of covers satisfying the 3-link property.*

Proof. Let d be a K -symmetric for X . For each n , put γ_n be the set of all subsets of X with diameter less than $1/n$. Then $d(x, y) < 1/n$ if and only if $y \in st(x, \gamma_n)$. This implies that $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \dots)$ is a semi-refined sequence of covers of X . If there exist distinct points x and y such that $y \in st^3(x, \gamma_n)$ for every n , there are sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ such that $x_n \in st(x, \gamma_n)$, $y_n \in st(y, \gamma_n)$ and $y_n \in st(x_n, \gamma_n)$. We may assume $\langle x, x_n \rangle \cap \langle y, y_n \rangle = \emptyset$ with both sets compact. But $d(\langle x, x_n \rangle, \langle y, y_n \rangle) = 0$, a contradiction.

Conversely, let $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \dots)$ be a semi-refined sequence of covers of X satisfying the 3-link property. Define a symmetric d by $d(x, y) = 1/\inf\{j \in N: x \notin st(y, \gamma_j)\}$. From the definition $d(x, y) < 1/n$ if and only if $x \in st(y, \gamma_n)$. Assume there exist disjoint compacta K_1 and K_2 such that $d(K_1, K_2) = 0$. We can find two sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in K_1 and K_2 respectively, such that $d(x_n, y_n) < 1/n$. Note that X is sequential and Hausdorff so that $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ have convergent subsequences. Let $\{x_{n_i}\}$ and $\{y_{n_i}\}$ be subsequence of $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ converging to x and y , respectively. Without loss of generality, we may assume $d(x, x_{n_i}) < 1/i$ and $d(y, y_{n_i}) < 1/i$ for each $i \in N$. Since $d(x_{n_i}, y_{n_i}) < 1/i$, it follows that there is no k such that $y \notin st^3(x, \gamma_k)$. This contradiction completes the proof.

THEOREM 5.2. *For a space X , the following are equivalent: (1) X is a semimetrizable c -stratifiable space, (2) X is K -semimetrizable, and (3) X admits a semi-development with the 3-link property. Furthermore, if the space is regular, each of these is equivalent to (4) X is a c -Nagata β -space.*

Proof. Note that spaces satisfying one of these conditions are Hausdorff. In a semimetric space, any compatible symmetric is actually a compatible semimetric. Also it is easily verified that any semi-refined sequence of covers of a semimetric space forms a semi-development. Applying these remarks to 5.1, we have (2) \Leftrightarrow (3).

For $(1) \Leftrightarrow (2)$, let g be a semistratifiable, first countable, c -stratifiable COC-map for X . Define a semimetric d by $d(x, y) = 1/\inf \{j \in \mathbb{N} : x \notin g(j, y) \text{ and } y \notin g(j, x)\}$. Let K_1 and K_2 be disjoint compacta. By 1.3, there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $K_1 \cap g(m, K_2) = \emptyset$ and $K_2 \cap g(m, K_1) = \emptyset$. It follows that $d(K_1, K_2) \geq 1/m > 0$. Conversely, let d be a K -semimetric on X . Define a first countable COC-map g by $g(n, x) = \text{Interior of } 1/n \text{ sphere centered at } x$. It is easily verified that g satisfies the third condition of 1.3.

For (4) note that a c -Nagata space is c -semistratifiable. Martin shows that a regular c -semistratifiable β -space is semistratifiable.

COROLLARY. *A semistratifiable γ -space is K -semimetrizable.*

The following lemma is due to Alexandrov-Nemitskii and Morton Brown. Analogous result for symmetric spaces can be found in [12].

LEMMA 5.3. *A Hausdorff space is developable if and only if it is semimetrizable via a semimetric d satisfying one of the following equivalent conditions: (1) Every convergent sequence is d -Cauchy, (2) If $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are sequences both converging to x , then $\lim d(x_n, y_n) = 0$, and (3) (AN) Each point has a neighborhood of arbitrarily small diameter.*

THEOREM 5.4. *For a space X , the following are equivalent: (1) X is a $w\Delta$ -space with a regular G_δ -diagonal, (2) X is K -semimetrizable via a semimetric satisfying (AN), (3) X admits a development with the 3-link property, and (4) There is a semimetric d on X such that: (a) If $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are sequences both converging to the same point, then $\lim d(x_n, y_n) = 0$, and (b) If x and y are distinct points of X and $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are sequences converging to x and y , respectively, then there are integers L and M such that if $n > L$, then $d(x_n, y_n) > 1/M$.*

Proof. Zenor proved the equivalence of (1), (3) and (4). $(2) \Leftrightarrow (4)$ is easy.

REMARK. In view of 5.3 and 5.4, one may conjecture that a developable K -semimetrizable space satisfies the above four conditions. This can be rephrased as: If a space X satisfies one of the following equivalent conditions, it satisfies the conditions of 5.4: (1) X is semimetrizable via a semimetric satisfying (AN) and is K -semimetrizable, (2) X is developable and admits a semidevelopment with the 3-link property, and (3) X has two semimetrics satisfying (a) and (b) of (4) in 5.3, respectively.

If this were true, any developable space that does not admit a development with the 3-link property would be semimetrizable but not K -semimetrizable. But we have an example (see 6.6).

6. Examples.

6.1. There exists a perfect, hereditarily Lindelöf, hereditarily separable γ -space which is not a β -space. Such a space is c -stratifiable but not semistratifiable. The space of reals with the upper limit topology.

6.2. There exists a Hausdorff γ -space which is neither regular nor perfect. Such a space is c -stratifiable but not semistratifiable. Let X be the space of all real numbers equipped with the topology generated by a first countable COC-map g

$$g(n, x) = \begin{cases} (x - 1/n, x + 1/n), & \text{if } x \text{ is rational} \\ (x - 1/n, x + 1/n) \cap (X - Q), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where Q denotes the rationals. It is easy to check this space is a γ -space. The point $\sqrt{2}$ and the closed set Q cannot be separated by disjoint open sets, which shows that X is not regular. By the Baire Category Theorem, it is easily shown that Q is not a G_δ .

6.3. There exists a T_1 , γ -space which is not Hausdorff and hence, is not c -stratifiable. Let $X = R \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$, where R is the reals, with the topology generated by a first countable COC-map g

$$g(n, x) = \begin{cases} (x - 1/n, x + 1/n), & \text{if } x \in R, \\ (-\infty, -n) \cup (n, +\infty) \cup \{+\infty\}, & \text{if } x = +\infty, \\ (-\infty, -n) \cup (n, +\infty) \cup \{-\infty\}, & \text{if } x = -\infty. \end{cases}$$

Then g separates compacta from closed sets.

6.4. There exists a first countable Hausdorff wN -space which is not c -semistratifiable, and hence is not c -stratifiable. Let Ω be the first uncountable ordinal, and consider the space $[0, \Omega)$ with the order topology. Since it is countably compact, it is a wN -space. Now Corollary 5 of [16] ensures that this space is not c -semistratifiable.

6.5. Nonmetrizable Nagata spaces ([2], Examples 9.1 and 9.2) are c -stratifiable spaces which are not $w\gamma$. See corollary to 2.2.

6.6. The space \mathcal{P} of [6, 5I] is completely regular, pseudocompact, c -Nagata and developable but does not have a regular G_δ -diagonal, and hence is not metrizable. See the remark following 4.1, 4.2, 5.3 and 5.4. We define a COC-map g for \mathcal{P} as follows. $g(n, x) = \{x\}$ for every $n \in N$ and for every $x \in N$. Note that for each point ω_E , there corresponds an infinite sequence $E = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots\}$ of distinct natural numbers. Let $g(n, \omega_E) = \{x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \dots\}$. Since any compact set can contain only finitely many points of D , we can easily verify that g separates regularly compacta from points. That is, \mathcal{P} is c -stratifiable. Also, g satisfies: If $x, x_n \in g(n, y_n)$ for each n , then $\{x_n\}$ converges to x . This is a characterization of developable spaces proved in [8].

REFERENCES

1. A. V. Arhangel'skii, *Mappings and spaces*, Russian Math. Surveys, **21** (1966), 115-162.
2. J. G. Ceder, *Some generalizations of metric spaces*, Pacific J. Math., **11** (1961), 105-125.
3. M. M. Coban, *Mappings and metric spaces*, Soviet Math. Dokl., **10** (1969), 258-260.
4. H. Cook, *Certain product and continuous semimetrics*, Topology Conference-Arizona State Univ., (1967), 58-63.
5. G. D. Creede, *Concerning semistratifiable spaces*, Pacific J. Math., **32** (1970), 47-54.
6. L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, Van Nostrand, 1960.
7. R. W. Heath, *Metrizability, compactness and paracompactness in Moore spaces*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc., **10** (1963), 105.
8. ———, *On open mappings and certain spaces satisfying the first countability axiom*, Fund. Math., **57** (1965), 91-96.
9. R. E. Hodel, *Spaces defined by sequence of open covers*, Duke Math. J., **39** (1972), 253-263.
10. T. Ishii, *On wM -spaces I, II*, Proc. Japan Acad., **46** (1970), 5-15.
11. Kodake, *On Nagata spaces and wN -spaces*, Sc. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku Sect., **A12** (1973), 46-48.
12. K. B. Lee, *On certain g -first countable spaces*, Pacific J. Math., **65** (1976), 113-118.
13. W. F. Lindgren and P. Fletcher, *Locally quasi-uniform spaces with countable bases*, Duke Math. J., **41** (1974), 231-240.
14. D. J. Lutzer, *Semimetrizable and stratifiable spaces*, Gen. Topology and its Appl., **1** (1971), 43-48.
15. H. W. Martin, *Metrization of symmetric spaces and regular maps*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **35** (1972), 269-274.
16. ———, *Metrizability of M -spaces*, Canad. J. Math., **25** (1973), 840-841.
17. ———, *Local connectedness in developable spaces*, Pacific J. Math., **61** (1975), 219-224.
18. W. G. McArthur, *G_δ -diagonals and metrization theorems*, Pacific J. Math., **44** (1973), 613-617.
19. E. A. Michael, *A note on closed maps and compact sets*, Israel J. Math., **2** (1964), 173-176.
20. R. R. Sabella, *Convergence properties of neighboring sequences*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **38** (1973), 405-409.

21. P. Zenor, *On spaces with regular G_δ -diagonals*, Pacific J. Math., **40** (1972), 759–763.

Received September 15, 1976 and in revised form September 18, 1978.

UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN
ANN ARBOR, MI 48109

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

HUGO ROSSI

University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE

University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM

Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints to each author are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Older back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.).

8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1979 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Ersan Akyildiz, <i>Vector fields and equivariant bundles</i>	283
Ehrhard Behrends, <i>The centralizer of tensor products of Banach spaces (a function space representation)</i>	291
Geoffrey R. Burton, <i>Congruent sections of a convex body</i>	303
John Warnock Carlson, <i>H-closed and countably compact extensions</i>	317
Robert Charles Carlson, <i>Eigenfunction expansions for selfadjoint integro-differential operators</i>	327
Robert Damiano, <i>Coflat rings and modules</i>	349
Eric Karel van Douwen and Washek (Vaclav) Frantisek Pfeffer, <i>Some properties of the Sorgenfrey line and related spaces</i>	371
Uri Elias, <i>Necessary conditions and sufficient conditions for difocality and disconjugacy of a differential equation</i>	379
V. L. (Vagn Lundsgaard) Hansen, <i>Polynomial covering spaces and homomorphisms into the braid groups</i>	399
Paul Hess, <i>Dedekind's problem: monotone Boolean functions on the lattice of divisors of an integer</i>	411
Alan Hopenwasser and David Royal Larson, <i>The carrier space of a reflexive operator algebra</i>	417
Kyung Bai Lee, <i>Spaces in which compacta are uniformly regular G_δ</i>	435
Claude Levesque, <i>A class of fundamental units and some classes of Jacobi-Perron algorithms in pure cubic fields</i>	447
Teck Cheong Lim, <i>A constructive proof of the infinite version of the Belluce-Kirk theorem</i>	467
Dorothy Maharam and A. H. Stone, <i>Borel boxes</i>	471
Roger McCann, <i>Asymptotically stable dynamical systems are linear</i>	475
Peter A. McCoy, <i>Approximation and harmonic continuation of axially symmetric potentials in E^3</i>	481
Takahiko Nakazi, <i>Extended weak-*Dirichlet algebras</i>	493
Carl L. Prather, <i>On the zeros of derivatives of balanced trigonometric polynomials</i>	515
Iain Raeburn, <i>An implicit function theorem in Banach spaces</i>	525
Louis Jackson Ratliff, Jr., <i>Two theorems on the prime divisors of zeros in completions of local domains</i>	537
Gloria Jean Tashjian, <i>Cartesian-closed coreflective subcategories of Tychonoff spaces</i>	547
Stephen Edwin Wilson, <i>Operators over regular maps</i>	559