

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

EXTENDED WEAK-*DIRICHLET ALGEBRAS

TAKAHIKO NAKAZI

EXTENDED WEAK-*DIRICHLET ALGEBRAS

TAKAHIKO NAKAZI

Let (X, \mathscr{A}, m) be a probability measure space and A a subalgebra of $L^\infty(m)$, containing the constant functions. Srinivasan and Wang defined A to be a weak-*Dirichlet algebra if $A + \bar{A}$ (the complex conjugate) is weak-*dense in $L^\infty(m)$ and the integral is multiplicative on A , $\int fg dm = \int f dm \int g dm$ for $f, g \in A$. In this paper the notion of extended weak-*Dirichlet algebra is introduced; A is an extended weak-*Dirichlet algebra if $A + \bar{A}$ is weak-*dense in $L^\infty(m)$ and if the conditional expectation $E_{\mathscr{B}}$ to some sub σ -algebra \mathscr{B} is multiplicative on A . Then most of important theorems proved for weak-*Dirichlet algebras are generalized in the context of extended weak-*Dirichlet algebras, for instance, Szegő's theorem and Beurling's theorem. Besides, our approach will yield several theorems which were not known even for weak-*Dirichlet algebras.

1. Introduction. This paper presents a generalization of a portion of the theory of analytic functions in the unit disc. The theory to be extended consists of some basic theorems related to the Hardy class H^p ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$). For example, (i) the theorem of Szegő, on mean-square approximation of 1 by polynomials which vanish at the origin, (ii) Beurling's theorem on invariant subspaces of H^2 , (iii) the factorization of H^p functions into products of "inner" and "outer" functions, (vi) Jensen inequality. The paper was inspired by the work of Srinivasan and Wang [13]. They introduced weak-*Dirichlet algebras for a generalized analytic function theory. Suppose A is an extended weak-*Dirichlet algebra of $L^\infty = L^\infty(m)$, defined in the abstract. The abstract Hardy spaces $H^p = H^p(m)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, associated with A are defined as follows. For $1 \leq p < \infty$, H^p is the $L^p = L^p(m)$ -closure of A , while H^∞ is defined to be the weak-*closure of A in L^∞ . In operator algebras, A is called a subdiagonal algebra by Arveson [1]. Independently by the author [12], A is called an algebra on which m is quasi-multiplicative, in the study of invariant subspaces of weak-*Dirichlet algebras [12].

Let B be the algebra of continuous, complex-valued functions on the torus $T^2 = \{(z, w) \in C^2: |z| = |w| = 1\}$ which are uniform limits of polynomials in $z^n w^m$ where $(n, m) \in \{(n, m) \in Z^2; m > 0\} \cup \{(n, 0) \in Z^2: n \geq 0\}$. Denote by m the normalized Haar measure on T^2 , then B is a weak-*Dirichlet algebra of L^∞ . Set $A = \bigcup_{n=0}^\infty \bar{z}^n B$, then A is not a weak-*Dirichlet algebra of L^∞ , but it is an extended one. When

\mathcal{A} is the σ -algebra of all Borel sets on T^2 , let \mathcal{B} be the sub σ -algebra of \mathcal{A} consisting of all Borel sets of the form $E \times T$ where E is a Borel set on T . Let $E^{\mathcal{B}}$ denote the conditional expectation for \mathcal{B} . We show, if $f \in B$, then

$$\int_{T^2} \log |f| dm \geq \int_{T^2} \log |E^{\mathcal{B}}(f)| dm \geq \log \left| \int_{T^2} f dm \right|.$$

There exists f in B such $\int_{T^2} \log |E^{\mathcal{B}}(f)| dm \geq \log \left| \int_{T^2} f dm \right|$. Let $w \in L^1$, $w \geq 0$. Even if $\int_{T^2} \log w dm = -\infty$, if $E^{\mathcal{B}}(\log w) > -\infty$ a.e., then there exists f in $H^2(B)$ with $w = |f|^2$ where $E^{\mathcal{B}}(\log w)$ is defined by $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} E^{\mathcal{B}}\{\log(w + \varepsilon)\}$. Set $I = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n B$, then

$$\inf_{g \in I} \int_{T^2} |1 - g|^2 w dm = \int_{T^2} \exp E^{\mathcal{B}}(\log w) dm.$$

2. **Extended weak-*Dirichlet algebras.** We define an extended weak-*Dirichlet algebras formally.

DEFINITION 1. Let (X, \mathcal{A}, m) be a probability measure space. Let $E^{\mathcal{B}}$ denote the conditional expectation for the sub σ -algebra \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{A} . An extended weak-*Dirichlet algebra is an algebra of $L^\infty = L^\infty(m)$ such that (i) the constant functions lie in A ; (ii) $A + \bar{A}$ is weak-*dense in L^∞ ; (iii) for all f and g in A , $E^{\mathcal{B}}(fg) = E^{\mathcal{B}}(f)E^{\mathcal{B}}(g)$; (iv) $E^{\mathcal{B}}(A) \subseteq A \cap \bar{A}$.

When $E^{\mathcal{B}}(A) = \{1\}$, the space spanned by 1, then $E^{\mathcal{B}}(f) = \int_X f dm$ for f in A , and hence A is a weak-*Dirichlet algebra. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, let $I^p = \{f \in H^p: E^{\mathcal{B}}(f) = 0\}$ and let $I = \{f \in A: E^{\mathcal{B}}(f) = 0\}$. Suppose $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. For any subset $M \subset L^p$, denote by $[M]_p$ the L^p -closure of M (weak-*closure for $p = \infty$). For any measurable subset E of X , the function χ_E is the characteristic function of E . If $f \in L^p$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$), write $E(f)$ for the support set of f . The following lemma is well known [10] and the proof is easy.

LEMMA 1. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$,

$$\int_X |E^{\mathcal{B}}(f)|^p dm \leq \int_X |f|^p dm \quad f \in L^p.$$

For f in L^∞ , $\|E^{\mathcal{B}}(f)\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty$, where $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is an essential sup-norm in L^∞ . Moreover $E^{\mathcal{B}}$ is a weak-*continuous linear operator from L^∞ into L^∞ .

LEMMA 2. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $E^{\mathcal{B}}(H^p) = [E^{\mathcal{B}}(A)]_p$ and $I^p = [I]_p$.

The proof is clear by Lemma 1.

PROPOSITION 1. Suppose $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

(1) I is an ideal of A and I^p is a closed (for $p = \infty$ weak-*closed) invariant subspace of L^p .

(2) I is a maximum ideal with the property that if J is an ideal of A which contains I , then $J = E^{\mathcal{A}}(J) + I$ and $E^{\mathcal{A}}(J)$ is an ideal of $E^{\mathcal{A}}(A)$.

(3) I^p is a maximum invariant subspace with the property that if J^p is a closed invariant subspace of H^p with $I^p \subseteq J^p \subseteq H^p$, then $J^p = \chi_E E^{\mathcal{A}}(H^p) \oplus I^p = \chi_E H^p \oplus (1 - \chi_E) I^p$ where χ_E belongs to $[E^{\mathcal{A}}(A)]_{\infty}$ and \oplus denotes algebraic direct sum.

(4) I (or I^{∞}) is a maximum ideal of A (or H^{∞}) which is contained in $A_0 = \left\{ f \in A: \int_X f dm = 0 \right\}$ (or $H_0^{\infty} = \left\{ f \in H^{\infty}: \int_X f dm = 0 \right\}$).

Proof. Since $E^{\mathcal{A}}(fg) = E^{\mathcal{A}}(f)E^{\mathcal{A}}(g)$ for all f and g in A , (1) is clear.

(2) It is clear that if J is an ideal of A which contains I , then $J = E^{\mathcal{A}}(J) + I$ and $E^{\mathcal{A}}(J)$ is an ideal of $E^{\mathcal{A}}(A)$. Suppose I' is an ideal with the above property, then $\ker E^{\mathcal{A}}|_{I'} \subseteq I$. $E^{\mathcal{A}}(I') + I \supseteq I'$ and $E^{\mathcal{A}}(I') + I$ is an ideal of A . By the assumption on I' , $E^{\mathcal{A}}(I') + I = E^{\mathcal{A}}(I') + I'$ and hence $E^{\mathcal{A}}(I') + I = I'$. Thus $I' \supseteq I$.

(3) can be shown as in the proof of (2), using Lemma 2. For $E^{\mathcal{A}}(A) \cdot E^{\mathcal{A}}(J^p) \subseteq E^{\mathcal{A}}(J^p) \subseteq [E^{\mathcal{A}}(A)]_p = L^p(X, \mathcal{B}, m)$ and so $E^{\mathcal{A}}(J^p) = \chi_E [E^{\mathcal{A}}(A)]_p$ for some χ_E in $[E^{\mathcal{A}}(A)]_{\infty} = L^{\infty}(X, \mathcal{B}, m)$.

(4) Set $J = \left\{ f \in A: \int_X f g dm = 0 \text{ for all } g \text{ in } A \right\}$, then J is a maximum ideal of A which is contained in A_0 . We shall show $J = I$. Since $J \supseteq I$, by (2), $J = E^{\mathcal{A}}(J) + I$. If $f \in E^{\mathcal{A}}(J)$, then $\bar{f} \in A$ and hence $\int_X |f|^2 dm = 0$. Thus $E^{\mathcal{A}}(J) = \{0\}$ and $I = J$. The proof for I^{∞} is similar to the above.

LEMMA 3. $E^{\mathcal{A}}(A) = A \cap \bar{A}$ and for $p \geq 2$, $E^{\mathcal{A}}(H^p) = H^p \cap \bar{H}^p$ and hence $[A \cap \bar{A}]_p = H^p \cap \bar{H}^p$.

Proof. By Lemma 2, $E^{\mathcal{A}}(H^p) \subseteq H^p \cap \bar{H}^p$. We shall show that $H^p \cap \bar{H}^p \subseteq E^{\mathcal{A}}(H^p)$. If $f \in H^p \cap \bar{H}^p$, then both $f - E^{\mathcal{A}}(f)$ and $\bar{f} - \overline{E^{\mathcal{A}}(f)}$ lie in I^p . Since $p \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X |f - E^{\mathcal{A}}(f)|^2 dm &= \int_X E^{\mathcal{A}}\{(f - E^{\mathcal{A}}(f))(\bar{f} - \overline{E^{\mathcal{A}}(f)})\} dm \\ &= \int_X E^{\mathcal{A}}(f - E^{\mathcal{A}}(f)) \overline{E^{\mathcal{A}}(f - E^{\mathcal{A}}(f))} dm = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and so $f = E^{\mathcal{A}}(f)$ a.e.. The proof for $E^{\mathcal{A}}(A) = A \cap \bar{A}$ is similar to

the above.

Let \mathcal{L}^∞ be a commutative von Neumann algebra of operators on L^2 which is contained in L^∞ and let \mathcal{B} be the σ -algebra of measurable subsets E of X for which the characteristic functions χ_E lie in \mathcal{L}^∞ . Then \mathcal{B} is a sub σ -algebra of \mathcal{A} and $\mathcal{L}^\infty = L^\infty(\mathcal{B}) = L^\infty(X, \mathcal{B}, m)$. We say $E^\mathcal{B}$ is the conditional expectation for \mathcal{L}^∞ (or \mathcal{B}).

PROPOSITION 2. *Let A be a weak-*closed algebra of L^∞ such that (i) the constant functions lie in A ; (ii) $A + \bar{A}$ is weak-*dense in L^∞ . Let $E^\mathcal{B}$ be the conditional expectation for $A \cap \bar{A}$ and let $K = L^2 \ominus H^2$ where ' \ominus ' denotes the orthogonal complement of H^2 in L^2 . Then $E^\mathcal{B}$ is multiplicative on A if and only if $H^2 \cap \bar{H}^2 = [A \cap \bar{A}]_2$ and $\bar{K} \subset H^2$.*

Proof. Suppose $E^\mathcal{B}$ is multiplicative on A . Then Lemma 3 implies $H^2 \cap \bar{H}^2 = [A \cap \bar{A}]_2$. Since $H^2 = H^2 \cap \bar{H}^2 \oplus I^2$ and $A + \bar{A}$ is weak-*dense in L^∞ , $L^2 = H^2 \oplus \bar{I}^2$ and so $K = \bar{I}^2$.

Suppose $H^2 \cap \bar{H}^2 = [A \cap \bar{A}]_2$ and $\bar{K} \subset H^2$. Then $H^2 = H^2 \cap \bar{H}^2 \oplus \bar{K}$. Since $H^2 \cap \bar{H}^2 = [A \cap \bar{A}]_2$ and $E^\mathcal{B}(A) = A \cap \bar{A}$, $E^\mathcal{B}(H^2) = [E^\mathcal{B}(A)]_2 = [A \cap \bar{A}]_2 = H^2 \cap \bar{H}^2$ and hence $\ker E^\mathcal{B}|_{H^2} = \bar{K}$. By the definition of K , $\bar{K} \cap L^\infty$ and so $(\ker E^\mathcal{B}|_{H^2}) \cap L^\infty$ is an ideal of $B = H^2 \cap L^\infty$. Since $\ker E^\mathcal{B}|_B = (\ker E^\mathcal{B}|_{H^2}) \cap L^\infty$, $\ker E^\mathcal{B}|_B$ is an ideal and hence $E^\mathcal{B}$ is multiplicative on A .

Later in § 5 we shall use this proposition to show that an algebra, consists of analytic functions defined by a flow, is an (extended) weak-*Dirichlet algebra.

DEFINITION 2. By Jensen's inequality, we mean the following statement:

$$E^\mathcal{B}(\log |f|) \geq \log |E^\mathcal{B}(f)|$$

for every f in A , where $E^\mathcal{B}(\log |f|)$ is defined by $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} E^\mathcal{B}\{\log(|f| + \varepsilon)\}$.

If $E^\mathcal{B}(A) = \{1\}$, then $E^\mathcal{B}(w) = \int_X w dm$ and hence $\int_X \log |f| dm \geq \log \left| \int_X f dm \right|$. Then it is known [15, Corollary 2.4.6.] that m is a Jensen measure.

LEMMA 4. *Let B be $\{1\} + I$, then B is a subalgebra of A and for all f and g in B ,*

$$\int_X f g dm = \int_X f dm \int_X g dm.$$

The proof is clear.

PROPOSITION 3. $E^{\mathcal{A}}(A) = A \cap \bar{A}$ and for $p \geq 1$, $E^{\mathcal{A}}(H^p) = H^p \cap \bar{H}^p$ and hence $[A \cap \bar{A}]_p = H^p \cap \bar{H}^p$.

Proof. If $f \in B = \{1\} + I$, then $E^{\mathcal{A}}(f) = \int_X f dm$. By Theorem 2 in §3, Jensen's inequality is valid for A . By the definition of Jensen's inequality, it follows that for all f in B ,

$$\int_X \log |f| dm \geq \log \left| \int_X f dm \right|.$$

If g in $[B]_1$ is a real-valued function, then it must be a constant [5, p. 140]. Hence if $f \in H^p \cap \bar{H}^p$, then both $f - E^{\mathcal{A}}(f)$ and $\bar{f} - \overline{E^{\mathcal{A}}(f)}$ lie in $I^p(\subseteq [B]_1)$ and so $f = E^{\mathcal{A}}(f)$ a.e.. Thus $E^{\mathcal{A}}(H^p) \supseteq H^p \cap \bar{H}^p$ and by Lemma 2 $E^{\mathcal{A}}(H^p) = H^p \cap \bar{H}^p$.

PROPOSITION 4. Suppose $1 < p \leq \infty$. Then

$$H^p \oplus \bar{I}^p = H^p \cap \bar{H}^p \oplus I^p \oplus \bar{I}^p = L^p.$$

Proof. Since $A + \bar{A}$ is weak-*dense in L^∞ , by Lemma 3, $E^{\mathcal{A}}(A) + I + \bar{I}$ is weak-*dense in L^∞ . By Lemma 1, $[E^{\mathcal{A}}(A)]_p \oplus [I + \bar{I}]_p = L^p$. By Theorem 2 in §3, m is a Jensen measure for $B = \{1\} + I$. Hence by [9] and Lemma 4, $[I + \bar{I}]_p = I^p \oplus \bar{I}^p$.

$[E^{\mathcal{A}}(A)]_\infty$ is a commutative von Neumann algebra as operators on L^2 .

LEMMA 5. Let $E^{\mathcal{C}}$ be a conditional expectation for $[E^{\mathcal{A}}(A)]_\infty$, then $E^{\mathcal{C}} = E^{\mathcal{A}}$. Hence $\mathcal{B} = \{X, \phi\}$ if and only if $E^{\mathcal{A}}(A) = \{1\}$.

Proof. For all f in A $E^{\mathcal{C}}(f) = E^{\mathcal{C}}(E^{\mathcal{A}}(f)) = E^{\mathcal{A}}(f)$. For $E^{\mathcal{A}}(f) \in [E^{\mathcal{A}}(A)]_\infty$. Since $A + \bar{A}$ is weak-*dense in L^∞ , it follows that $E^{\mathcal{C}} = E^{\mathcal{A}}$.

Now we shall show the main lemma which is used later and is trivial for weak-*Dirichlet algebras, i.e., $E^{\mathcal{A}}(A) = \{1\}$. We do not use Jensen's inequality to show it.

LEMMA 6. Suppose $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $v \in L^p$. If for all f and g in I , $\int_X v(f + \bar{g}) dm = 0$, then v lies in $E^{\mathcal{A}}(H^p) = [E^{\mathcal{A}}(A)]_p$.

Proof. Since $A + \bar{A}$ is weak-*dense in L^∞ and so $E^{\mathcal{A}}(A) + I + \bar{I}$ is weak-*dense in L^∞ , by Lemma 1, it follows that $[E^{\mathcal{A}}(A)]_p \oplus [I + \bar{I}]_p = L^p$. Let $E^{\mathcal{C}}$ be a conditional expectation for $[E^{\mathcal{A}}(A)]_\infty$ then $E^{\mathcal{C}} = E^{\mathcal{A}}$ by Lemma 5. Hence $E^{\mathcal{A}}(L^p) = E^{\mathcal{A}}(H^p)$ and so $\ker E^{\mathcal{A}}|_{L^p} = [I + \bar{I}]_p$.

If $v \in L^p$ annihilates $I + \bar{I}$, i.e., $\int_X v(g + \bar{f})dm = 0$ for all f and g in I , then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X (v - E^{\mathcal{B}}(v))(g + \bar{f})dm &= - \int_X E^{\mathcal{B}}(v)(g + \bar{f})dm \\ &= - \int_X E^{\mathcal{B}}(v)E^{\mathcal{B}}(g + \bar{f})dm = 0, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $v - E^{\mathcal{B}}(v)$ annihilates $I + \bar{I}$ too. Since $v - E^{\mathcal{B}}(v)$ lies in $[I + \bar{I}]_p$, it follows that $v = E^{\mathcal{B}}(v)$ a.e.. For if $k \in L^q$ with $1/p + 1/q = 1$, since $v - E^{\mathcal{B}}(v)$ annihilates $I + \bar{I}$ and it lies in $[I + \bar{I}]_p$,

$$\int_X k(v - E^{\mathcal{B}}(v))dm = \int_X E^{\mathcal{B}}(k)(v - E^{\mathcal{B}}(v))dm = 0.$$

Thus for any k in L^q , $\int_X k(v - E^{\mathcal{B}}(v))dm = 0$ and so $v = E^{\mathcal{B}}(v)$ a.e.

3. Invariant subspaces and Jensen's inequality. Let A be an extended weak-*Dirichlet algebra of L^∞ with respect to $E^{\mathcal{B}}$. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, a closed subspace M of L^p is called invariant if $f \in M$ and $g \in A$, then $fg \in M$.

DEFINITION 3. Let M be a closed invariant subspace of L^p for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. (i) M is called type I if

$$\chi_E M \supseteq \chi_E [IM]_p$$

for every nonzero $\chi_E \in [E^{\mathcal{B}}(A)]_\infty$ so that $\chi_E M \neq \{0\}$. (ii) M is called type II if M^\perp is type I where $M^\perp = \left\{ f \in \chi_r L^s : \int_X f g dm = 0 \text{ for all } g \in M \right\}$ and F is a support set of M and $1/p + 1/s = 1$, and if M contains no nontrivial invariant subspace of type I. (iii) M is called type III if $M = [IM]_p$ and $M^\perp = [IM^\perp]_s$ where $1/p + 1/s = 1$.

If $\mathcal{B} = \{X, \phi\}$ or $E^{\mathcal{B}}(A) = \{1\}$, then an invariant subspace of type I is a simply invariant subspace [15], for then $[E^{\mathcal{B}}(A)]_\infty$ is the complex field.

PROPOSITION 5. Suppose $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and M is an invariant subspace of L^p . Then

$$M = \chi_{E_1} M \oplus \chi_{E_2} M \oplus \chi_{E_3} M$$

where χ_{E_1} , χ_{E_2} , and χ_{E_3} belongs to $[E^{\mathcal{B}}(A)]_\infty$, $\chi_{E_1} + \chi_{E_2} + \chi_{E_3} = 1$. $\chi_{E_1} M$ is type I, $\chi_{E_2} M$ is type II and $\chi_{E_3} M$ is type III. This decomposition is unique.

The proof is parallel to [12, Theorem 1] and we omit it.

THEOREM 1. *Let M be an invariant subspace of L^2 .*

(1) *M is type I if and only if*

$$M = \chi_E q H^2$$

where χ_E belongs to $[E^\mathcal{E}(A)]_\infty$ and q is unimodular. If $M = \chi_E q' H^2$ with another unimodular q' , then $\chi_E q' = \chi_E F q$ where F is a unimodular function in $[E^\mathcal{E}(A)]_\infty$.

(2) *If M is type II, then*

$$M = \chi_E q I^2$$

where χ_E belongs to $[E^\mathcal{E}(A)]_\infty$ and q is unimodular.

The proof is almost parallel to [12, Theorem 2] if we use Lemma 6. The proof of the part of 'only if' is only nontrivial by that $\bar{I}^2 = L^2 \ominus H^2$. We shall give a sketch of the proof.

Let M be type I and let $R = M \ominus [IM]_E$. Observe that for any f in R ,

$$\int_X g |f|^2 dm = 0 \quad (g \in I).$$

Then by Lemma 6, it follows that $|f|^2$ lies in $E^\mathcal{E}(H^1)$. By Lemma 2 and Lemma 5, $E^\mathcal{E}(H^1) = L^1(X, \mathcal{B}, m)$. Hence $|f|$ lies in $E^\mathcal{E}(H^1)$ and $\chi_{E(f)} \in [E^\mathcal{E}(A)]_\infty$. Let E be the support set of R , then there exists f_0 in R with $E(f_0) = E$. Define

$$q(x) = \begin{cases} f_0(x)/|f_0(x)| & x \in E \\ 1 & x \notin E, \end{cases}$$

then $\chi_E q$ lies in M . By the assumption on M and that $H^2 \oplus \bar{I}^2 = L^2$, it follows that $M = \chi_E q H^2$.

COROLLARY 1. [15, Theorem 2.2.1]. *Suppose $\mathcal{B} = \{X, \phi\}$, M is a simply invariant subspace in L^2 if and only if $M = q H^2$, where q is unimodular and the q is unique up to multiplication by a constant of absolute value 1.*

In the proofs of Propositions 3 and 4, we used Jensen's inequality for A . We now prove it. Let $w \in L^1$, $w \geq 0$ and ε is any positive number. Define $E^\mathcal{E}(\log w)$ by $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} E^\mathcal{E}\{\log(w + \varepsilon)\}$.

THEOREM 2. *Jensen's inequality is valid for H^∞ .*

Proof. Let f be an invertible element in H^∞ , then $\log |f| \in L^\infty$. Let $E^\mathcal{E}$ be an conditional expectation for $[E^\mathcal{E}(A)]_\infty$, then by Lemma 5 $E^\mathcal{E} = E^\mathcal{E}$. Since $L^\infty = E^\mathcal{E}(L^\infty) \oplus [I + \bar{I}]_\infty$, $E^\mathcal{E}(\log |f|) \in E^\mathcal{E}(L^\infty)$ and

$\log |f| - E^\mathscr{E}(\log |f|) \in [I + \bar{I}]_\infty$. Hence $\log |f| - E^\mathscr{E}(\log |f|)$ lies in the uniqueness subspace of $[B]_\infty = [\{1\} + I]_\infty$ by Lemma 4 and [5, p. 103]. By [5, p. 103], there exists f_2 in $[B]_\infty$ such that $\log |f| - E^\mathscr{E}(\log |f|) = \log |f_2|$. Set $f_1 = \exp E^\mathscr{E}(\log |f|)$, then $f_1 \in E^\mathscr{E}(H^\infty)$. Since both f_1 and f_2 are invertible in H^∞ , $f_1 f_2$ is in H^∞ too and

$$\begin{aligned}\log |f| &= E^\mathscr{E}(\log |f|) + \log |f| - E^\mathscr{E}(\log |f|) \\ &= \log |f_1| + \log |f_2| = \log |f_1 f_2|.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $f = q f_1 f_2$ for some unimodular q in $E^\infty(H^\infty)$, $\log |f_1| = \log |q f_1|$ and $E^\mathscr{E}(f) = q f_1 E^\mathscr{E}(f_2)$. Since $E^\mathscr{E}(\log |f_2|) = 0$,

$$\int_X \log |f_2| dm = \log \left| \int_X f_2 dm \right| = 0$$

and so $f_2 = c + f_{2,0}$ for a constant c of absolute value 1 and for $f_{2,0} \in [I]_\infty$. Thus for any invertible f in H^∞ ,

$$\begin{aligned}E^\mathscr{E}(\log |f|) &= \log |f_1| = \log |c q f_1| \\ &= \log |E^\mathscr{E}(f)|.\end{aligned}$$

For all f in H^∞ and for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $E^\mathscr{E}\{\log(|f| + \varepsilon)\} \geq \log |E^\mathscr{E}(f)|$. For $\log(|f| + \varepsilon) \in L^\infty$ and so there exists an invertible g in H^∞ with $\log(|f| + \varepsilon) = \log |g|$, using Theorem 1 as in the proof of [15, Lemma 2.4.3]. Now we can use the method of Hoffman [6, Theorem 4.1]. Let $h = f g^{-1}$, then $|h| = |f|/|g| = |f|/(|f| + \varepsilon) \leq 1$. By Lemma 1, $|E^\mathscr{E}(h)| \leq 1$ and so $|E^\mathscr{E}(f)| |E^\mathscr{E}(g)|^{-1} \leq 1$,

$$\log |E^\mathscr{E}(f)| \leq \log |E^\mathscr{E}(g)|.$$

Since g is invertible in H^∞ , by the first half of this proof, $\log |E^\mathscr{E}(g)| = E^\mathscr{E}(\log |g|) = E^\mathscr{E}\{\log(|f| + \varepsilon)\}$. Thus

$$E^\mathscr{E}\{\log(|f| + \varepsilon)\} = \log |E^\mathscr{E}(g)| \geq \log |E^\mathscr{E}(f)|.$$

COROLLARY 2. *For every f in A ,*

$$(1) \quad \int_X \log |f| dm \geq \int_X \log |E^\mathscr{E}(f)| dm$$

$$(2) \quad \int_X \exp E^\mathscr{E}(\log |f|) dm \geq \int_X |E^\mathscr{E}(f)| dm$$

(1) of this corollary is known [1, Corollary 4.4.6]. Our proof is different.

COROLLARY 3. *For every f in H^1 ,*

$$E^\mathscr{E}(\log |f|) \geq \log |E^\mathscr{E}(f)|$$

and so

$$\int_X \log |f| \, dm \geq \int_X \log |E^{\mathcal{D}}(f)| \, dm$$

$$\int_X \exp E^{\mathcal{D}}(\log |f|) \, dm \geq \int_X |E^{\mathcal{D}}(f)| \, dm .$$

Proof. Using Fatou's lemma for the conditional expectation (easily shown), as in the proof of [3, p. 122], we can show this corollary.

4. Szegő's theorem and factorization theorems. Let A be an extended weak-*Dirichlet algebra of L^∞ with respect to $E^{\mathcal{D}}$. In this section we shall show Szegő's theorem which is different from that in Arveson [1, p. 611].

DEFINITION 4. A function h in H^1 is called outer if $[hA]_1 = H^1$.

If h is outer, then $|h| > 0$ a.e. and $|E^{\mathcal{D}}(h)| > 0$ a.e.; in particular, $\chi_E h \notin [hI]_1$ for every nonzero χ_E in $[E^{\mathcal{D}}(A)]_\infty$. If h, h' are outer and $|h| = |h'|$, then $h = qh'$ for some unimodular q in $[E^{\mathcal{D}}(A)]_\infty$.

LEMMA 7. If $f \in L^2$ and $\chi_E f \notin [fI]_2$ for every χ_E in $[E^{\mathcal{D}}(A)]_\infty$ with $\chi_E f \neq 0$, then $f = \chi_{E(f)} qh$ where h is outer and q is unimodular.

Proof. Our assumption implies that $[fA]_2$ is an invariant subspace of type I, and hence by Theorem 1, $[fA]_2 = \chi_{E(f)} qH^2$ for some unimodular q . Now this lemma is clear.

As we noted in the proof of Theorem 2, H^∞ is a logmodular algebra on the maximal ideal space of L^∞ by Lemma 7. In general, m is not multiplicative on H^∞ . However $E^{\mathcal{D}}$ is multiplicative on H^∞ . Moreover if we use the method of Srinivasan and Wang [15, pp. 230-231], it is easy to show the following.

- (a) $H^1 = \left\{ f \in L^1 : \int_X f g \, dm = 0 \text{ for all } g \text{ in } I \right\}$.
- (b) $H^\infty = H^1 \cap L^\infty$.

If D is a subalgebra such that $D \supseteq H^\infty$ and it is an extended weak-*Dirichlet algebra with respect to $E^{\mathcal{D}}$, then $D = H^\infty$. For $I^\infty \subseteq \ker E^{\mathcal{D}}|_D$ and by Proposition 4 $[\ker E^{\mathcal{D}}|_D]_2 \subseteq I^2$. So $[\ker E^{\mathcal{D}}|_D]_2 = I^2$ and $[D]_2 = H^2$ by Proposition 4. By (b), it follows that $D = H^\infty$.

THEOREM 3. Let $w \in L^1$, $w \geq 0$. Then

$$\inf_{g \in I} \int_X |1 - g|^2 w \, dm = \int_X \exp E^{\mathcal{D}}(\log w) \, dm ,$$

where $E^{\mathcal{E}}(\log w)$ is defined by $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} E^{\mathcal{E}}\{\log(w + \varepsilon)\}$.

Proof. We shall use the method of Srinivasan and Wang [15, Theorem 2.5.5]. We can show the inequality of arithmetic and geometric means for conditional expectation. So if v is a real function in L^1 and $\exp v \in L^1$, then $\exp E^{\mathcal{E}}(v) \leq E^{\mathcal{E}}(\exp v)$. Fix $w \in L^1$, $w \geq 0$. Hence for any g in I and any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X |1 - g|^2(w + \varepsilon) dm &\geq \int_X \exp E^{\mathcal{E}}\{\log |1 - g|^2(w + \varepsilon)\} dm \\ &= \int_X \exp E^{\mathcal{E}}(\log |1 - g|^2) \exp E^{\mathcal{E}}\{\log(w + \varepsilon)\} dm. \end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 3,

$$\int_X |1 - g|^2(w + \varepsilon) dm \geq \int_X \exp E^{\mathcal{E}}\{\log(w + \varepsilon)\} dm.$$

As $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X |1 - g|^2 w dm &\geq \int_X \exp \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} E^{\mathcal{E}}\{\log(w + \varepsilon)\} dm \\ &= \int_X \exp E^{\mathcal{E}}(\log w) dm \end{aligned}$$

for all g in I , which is one half of theorem.

Fix any $\varepsilon > 0$.

$$\inf_{g \in I} \int_E |1 - g|^2(w + \varepsilon) dm > 0$$

for all nonzero χ_E in $[E^{\mathcal{E}}(A)]_{\infty}$. For by the first half of theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{g \in I} \int_X |1 - g|^2 \chi_E(w + \varepsilon) dm \\ \geq \int_X \exp E^{\mathcal{E}}\{\log \chi_E(w + \varepsilon)\} dm \gneq 0. \end{aligned}$$

For let $E^{\mathcal{E}_1}$ be a conditional expectation for $\chi_E[E^{\mathcal{E}}(A)]_{\infty}$ and let $E^{\mathcal{E}_2}$ be a conditional expectation for $(1 - \chi_E)[E^{\mathcal{E}}(A)]_{\infty}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} E^{\mathcal{E}}\{\log \chi_E(w + \varepsilon)\} &= \lim_{0 < \delta \rightarrow 0} E^{\mathcal{E}}[\log \{\chi_E(w + \varepsilon) + \delta\}] \\ &= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} (\chi_E E^{\mathcal{E}_1}[\log \{\chi_E(w + \varepsilon) + \delta\}] + (1 - \chi_E) E^{\mathcal{E}_2}[\log \{\chi_E(w + \varepsilon) + \delta\}]) \\ &= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} [E^{\mathcal{E}_1}\{\log(w + \varepsilon) + \delta\} + E^{\mathcal{E}_2}(\log \delta)] \\ &= \chi_E E^{\mathcal{E}_1}\{\log(w + \varepsilon)\} + \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} (1 - \chi_E) \log \delta \neq -\infty. \end{aligned}$$

So $\chi_E(w + \varepsilon)^{1/2} \notin [(w + \varepsilon)^{1/2} I]_2$ for all nonzero χ_E in $[E^{\mathcal{E}}(A)]_{\infty}$ and hence

by Lemma 6, there exists an outer function h_ε in H^2 with $|h_\varepsilon|^2 = w + \varepsilon$. Hence if $w \in L^1$, by Corollary 3,

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf_{g \in I} \int_X |1 - g|^2 w dm \\ & \leq \inf_{g \in I} \int_X |1 - g|^2 (w + \varepsilon) dm \\ & = \inf_{g \in I} \int_X |1 - g|^2 (w + \varepsilon) dm = \int_X |E^{\mathcal{B}}(h_\varepsilon)|^2 dm \\ & \leq \int_X \exp E^{\mathcal{B}}(\log |h_\varepsilon|^2) dm = \int_X \exp E^{\mathcal{B}}\{\log (w + \varepsilon)\} dm . \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

REMARK. We shall state Szegő's theorem in Arveson [1, pp. 611-615]. Let $w \in L^1$, $w \geq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf \left\{ \int_X |u - g|^2 w dm; g \in I, u \in E^{\mathcal{B}}(A) \text{ and } \int_X \log |u| dm \geq 0 \right\} \\ & = \exp \int_X \log w dm . \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 4. [15, Theorem 2.5.5.] Suppose $\mathcal{B} = \{X, \phi\}$. Let $w \in L^1$, $w \geq 0$. Then

$$\inf_{g \in I} \int_X |1 - g|^2 w dm = \exp \int_X \log w dm .$$

Proof. Since $[E^{\mathcal{B}}(A)]_\infty$ is the complex field, $\int_X \exp E^{\mathcal{B}}(\log w) dm = \exp \int_X \log w dm$ and so Theorem 3 implies this corollary. This corollary can be shown by Szegő's theorem in Arveson, too.

COROLLARY 5. $h \in H^1$ is outer if and only if $|E^{\mathcal{B}}(h)| >_3 0$ and

$$\int_X \exp E^{\mathcal{B}}(\log |h|) dm = \int_X |E^{\mathcal{B}}(h)| dm .$$

In particular, if $\mathcal{B} = \{X, \phi\}$, then $h \in H^1$ is outer if and only if

$$\exp \int_X \log |h| dm = \int_X h dm \Big| > 0 .$$

Proof. If $h \in H^1$ is outer, then there exists h_1 in H^2 , which is outer, such that $h = h_1^2$. Then by Theorem 3,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_X |E^{\mathcal{D}}(h)| dm &= \int_X |E^{\mathcal{D}}(h_1)|^2 dm = \inf_{g \in I} \int_X |1 - g|^2 |h_1|^2 dm \\ &= \int_X \exp E^{\mathcal{D}}(\log |h_1|^2) dm = \int_X \exp E^{\mathcal{D}}(\log |h|) dm.\end{aligned}$$

To prove the 'if' part, if $|E^{\mathcal{D}}(h)| > 0$, a.e. then $h = qh_1^2$ by Lemma 7 for $h_1 \in H^2$ is outer and $q \in H^\infty$ is unimodular. Then our condition gives

$$\begin{aligned}\int_X \exp E^{\mathcal{D}}(\log |h|) dm &= \int_X |E^{\mathcal{D}}(q)| |E^{\mathcal{D}}(h_1^2)| dm \\ &\leq \int_X |E^{\mathcal{D}}(h_1^2)| dm = \int_X \exp E^{\mathcal{D}}(\log |h_1^2|) dm.\end{aligned}$$

Thus $|E^{\mathcal{D}}(q)| = E^{\mathcal{D}}(q)$ a.e.. Since $|q| = 1$ a.e.,

$$E^{\mathcal{D}}(|q - E^{\mathcal{D}}(q)|^2) = 0,$$

and hence $q = E^{\mathcal{D}}(q)$. This shows that h is outer.

If $f \in H^\infty$, by (2) in Corollary 2

$$\begin{aligned}\int_X \exp E^{\mathcal{D}}(\log |f|) dm &\geq \exp \int_X \log |f| dm \\ &\geq \exp \int_X \log |E^{\mathcal{D}}(f)| dm\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\int_X \exp E^{\mathcal{D}}(\log |f|) dm &\geq \int_X |E^{\mathcal{D}}(f)| dm \\ &\geq \exp \int_X \log |E^{\mathcal{D}}(f)| dm.\end{aligned}$$

If f is invertible in H^∞ , then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_X \exp E^{\mathcal{D}}(\log |f|) dm &= \int_X |E^{\mathcal{D}}(f)| dm \\ &\geq \exp \int_X \log |f| dm = \exp \int_X \log |E^{\mathcal{D}}(f)| dm.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover if $|E^{\mathcal{D}}(f)| = \text{constant}$ a.e., then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_X \exp E^{\mathcal{D}}(\log |f|) dm &= \int_X |E^{\mathcal{D}}(f)| dm = \exp \int_X \log |f| dm \\ &= \exp \int_X \log |E^{\mathcal{D}}(f)| dm.\end{aligned}$$

In general,

$$\int_X \exp E^{\mathcal{D}}(\log |f|) dm \geq \exp \int_X \log |f| dm$$

and

$$\int_X |E^{\mathcal{D}}(f)| dm \geq \exp \int_X \log |E^{\mathcal{D}}(f)| dm.$$

THEOREM 4.

(1) Every f in H^1 with $\int_E \exp E^{\mathcal{D}}(\log |f|) dm > 0$, for any $\chi_E \in [E^{\mathcal{D}}(A)]_{\infty}$ so that $\chi_E f \neq 0$, is a product of two H^2 functions.

(2) A function f in H^1 is a product $\chi_{E(f)} q F$ of an inner function q (i.e., $q \in H^{\infty}$ with $|q| = 1$ a.e.) and an outer function F if and only if $\int_E \exp E^{\mathcal{D}}(\log |f|) dm > 0$ for any $\chi_E \in [E^{\mathcal{D}}(A)]_{\infty}$ so that $\chi_E f \neq 0$.

(3) A nonnegative function w in L^1 is of the form $\chi_{E(w)} |h|$ for some outer h in H^1 if and only if $\int_E \exp E^{\mathcal{D}}(\log w) dm > 0$ for any $\chi_E \in [E^{\mathcal{D}}(A)]_{\infty}$ so that $\chi_E f \neq 0$.

Proof. (1) By Theorem 3, for every nonzero $\chi_E \in [E^{\mathcal{D}}(A)]_{\infty}$ so that $\chi_E f \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{g \in I} \int_X |1 - g|^2 \chi_E |f| dm &= \int_X \exp E^{\mathcal{D}}(\log \chi_E |f|) dm \\ &= \int_E \exp E^{\mathcal{D}}(\log |f|) dm > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence if $M_w = [wA]_2$ and $w = \sqrt{|f|}$, then M_w is an invariant subspace of type I. By Theorem 1, $M_w = \chi_{E(w)} q H^2$ and so $|f| = w^2 = \chi_{E(f)} q^2 h^2$ where $|q| = 1$ a.e. and $h \in H^2$. This implies (1). (2) and (3) follows as in the proof of [15, Theorem 2.5.9] and (1).

We can write Theorem 4 in another form.

THEOREM 4'.

(1) Every f in H^1 with $\chi_{E(f)} E^{\mathcal{D}}(\log |f|) > -\infty$ a.e. on $E(f)$, is a product of two H^2 functions.

(2) A function f in H^1 is a product $\chi_{E(f)} q f$ of an inner function q and an outer function F if and only if $\chi_{E(f)} E^{\mathcal{D}}(\log |f|) > -\infty$ a.e. on $E(f)$.

(3) A nonnegative function w in L^1 is of the form $|h|$ for some outer h in H^1 if and only if $\chi_{E(w)} E^{\mathcal{D}}(\log w) > -\infty$ a.e. on $E(w)$.

If $\mathcal{B} = \{X, \phi\}$, then Theorems 4 and 4' implies [15, Theorem 2.5.9].

5. Some theorems concerning L^p . We wish to extend some of our theorems in §§ 3, 4 from L^2 to L^p to general p , i.e., Theorems 1, 3, and 4. However if we use the method of Srinivasan and Wang [15, pp. 242-247], they follow easily. So we omit the proofs. But

we shall give two important invariant subspace theorems, known when $\mathcal{B} = \{X, \phi\}$ [12, Lemma 1].

THEOREM 5. *Suppose $1 \leq p < q \leq \infty$. There is a one-to-one correspondence between invariant subspaces M_p of L^p and (weak-*closed for $q = \infty$) invariant subspaces M_q of L^q , such that $M_q = M_p \cap L^q$, and M_p is the closure in L^p of M_q .*

Proof. If $w \in L^1$, $w \geq 0$ and $\log w \in L^1$, then $w = |g|^2$ with outer g in H^2 . For then $E^{\mathcal{B}}(\log w) > -\infty$ a.e. and so we can apply Theorem 4'. We shall show that $M_p \cap L^\infty$ is dense in M_p . Let f be in M_p . We shall use the well known method [6, p. 12]. For each n let $k_n = \min(1, n|f|^{-1})$, then $0 \leq k_n \leq 1$, $k_n \leq k_{n+1} \leq \dots \rightarrow 1$ a.e., and $\log k_n \in L^1$. For each k_n , there exists an outer g_n in H^∞ with $k_n = |g_n|$. Moreover we can assume that $E^{\mathcal{B}}(g_n) > 0$ a.e.. For $|E^{\mathcal{B}}(g_n)| > 0$ a.e., let $q_n = \operatorname{sgn} E^{\mathcal{B}}(g_n)$, then $E^{\mathcal{B}}(\bar{q}_n g_n) = \bar{q}_n E^{\mathcal{B}}(g_n) > 0$ a.e.. Again $\bar{q}_n g_n$ is outer with $k_n = \bar{q}_n g_n$. Write $\bar{q}_n g_n$ as g_n again. We shall show that g_n tends to the constant function in norm, and on a subsequence almost everywhere. Fix n , then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a h in I such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X E^{\mathcal{B}}(g_n) dm + \varepsilon &= \inf_{g \in I} \int_X |1 - g|^2 |g_n| dm + \varepsilon > \int_X |1 - h|^2 |g_n| dm \\ &\geq \exp \int_X \log |1 - h|^2 dm \times \exp \int_X \log |g_n| dm. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2 and as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, for each n ,

$$\int_X E^{\mathcal{B}}(g_n) dm \geq \exp \int_X \log |g_n| dm.$$

By Fatou's lemma, it follows that $\exp \int_X \log |g_n| dm \rightarrow 1$ and hence $\int_X g_n dm = \int_X E^{\mathcal{B}}(g_n) dm \rightarrow 1$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X |g_n - 1|^2 dm &= \int_X |g_n|^2 dm + 1 - 2 \operatorname{Re} \int_X g_n dm \\ &\leq 2 - 2 \int_X g_n dm \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

There exists a subsequence $\{g_{n_k}\}$ such that $g_{n_k} \rightarrow 1$ a.e.. Since $g_{n_k} f \in M_p \cap L^\infty$, f is a limit of bounded functions in M_p . Since $M_p \cap L^\infty$ is dense in M_p , it is clear that $M_p \cap L^q$ is dense in M_p . By the first half of theorem, as in the proof of [6, p. 12], we can show that $[M_q]_p \cap L^q = M_q$.

PROPOSITION 6. *If M is an invariant subspace of $L^p(m)$ ($1 \leq p$*

$\leq \infty$), then $\chi_{E(M)}q \in M$ for some unimodular q and the support set $E(M)$ of M . Moreover

$$|M| = \chi_{E_0} \cdot \chi_{E(M)} |H^p(m)| + (1 - \chi_{E_0}) \chi_{E(M)} |L^p(m)|,$$

where $\chi_{E_0}M$ is the largest subspace that contains no nontrivial reducing subspace of L^∞ and $\chi_{E_0}M \subseteq M$ and $|M| = \{|f|; f \in M\}$.

Proof. By Theorem 4, if u is a real-valued function in L^∞ , then there is $h \in H^\infty$ such that $e^u = |h|$ and $h^{-1} \in H^\infty$. Hence by [14, Theorem] and Theorem 5, the former half of this proposition follows. The latter half can be shown as in the proof of [14, Corollary 5].

6. Weak-*Dirichlet algebras. Let A be a weak-*Dirichlet algebra of L^∞ , i.e., it is an extended weak-*Dirichlet algebra with respect to $E^\mathscr{E}$ which is a conditional expectation for \mathscr{B} with $\mathscr{B} = \{X, \phi\}$. Then m is multiplicative on A . Suppose B^∞ is any weak-*closed subalgebra of L^∞ which contains A . The measure m was called in [12] quasi-multiplicative on B^∞ if $\int_X f^2 dm = 0$ for every f in B^∞ such that $\int_E f dm = 0$ for all χ_E in B^∞ . It is a consequence of the definition of a weak-*Dirichlet algebra that if f is in H^∞ and $\int_E f dm = 0$ for all χ_E in H^∞ , then $\int_X f^2 dm = 0$. Let

$$B_0^\infty = \left\{ f \in B^\infty : \int_X f dm = 0 \right\}$$

and let I_B^∞ be a maximum weak-*closed ideal of B^∞ in B_0^∞ [12, Lemma 2]. I_B^∞ is given by $\left\{ f \in B^\infty : \int_X f g dm = 0 \text{ for all } g \text{ in } B^\infty \right\}$. Let \mathscr{L}_B^∞ be a self-adjoint part of B^∞ . Suppose $E^\mathscr{E}$ is a conditional expectation for \mathscr{L}_B^∞ .

PROPOSITION 7. Suppose B^∞ is any weak-*closed subalgebra of L^∞ which contains A . Then the following are equivalent.

- (1) m is quasi-multiplicative on B^∞ .
- (2) $[B^\infty \cap \bar{B}^\infty]_2 = [B^\infty]_2 \cap [\bar{B}^\infty]_2$.
- (3) $E^\mathscr{E}$ is multiplicative on B^∞ .
- (4) B^∞ is an extended weak-*Dirichlet algebra with respect to $E^\mathscr{E}$.

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) is known in [12, Theorem 4]. Since $B^\infty + \bar{B}^\infty$ is weak-*dense in L^∞ , (3) \Leftrightarrow (4) is clear.

(2) \Leftrightarrow (3). Let $K = L^2 \ominus [B^\infty]_2$, then $[I_B^\infty]_2 = \bar{K}$ by [12, Lemma 2] and so $K \subset [B^\infty]_2$. Proposition 2 implies this equivalence.

By Proposition 7, [12, Theorem 2] is a corollary of Theorem 1. For w in L^1 with $w \geq 0$, $\log w \in L^1$ if and only if $w = |g|$ for some outer function g in H^1 [15, Theorem 2.5.9]. Since g is outer, $\exp \int_X \log |g| dm = \left| \int_X g dm \right| > 0$. We want to know when $\log w \notin L^1$. Suppose B^∞ is any weak-*closed subalgebra of L^∞ which contains H^∞ properly and on which $E^\mathcal{B}$ is multiplicative. Even if $\log w \notin L^1$, it can happen that $E^\mathcal{B}(\log w) > -\infty$ a.e.. Then by Theorem 4', $w = |g|$ for some g in $[B]_1$ with $[gI_B^\infty]_1 = [I_B^\infty]_1 \subset H^1$. If $g \in H^1$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left| \int_X g dm \right| = \exp \int_X \log |g| dm = \exp \int_X E^\mathcal{B}(\log |g|) dm \\ &\leq \int_X \exp E^\mathcal{B}(\log |g|) dm = \int_X |E^\mathcal{B}(g)| dm, \end{aligned}$$

and $[gA]_1 \subsetneq H^1$. In general, $[gA]_1 = q[B^\infty]_1$ for some unimodular q in H^∞ or $H^\infty = \{h \in L^\infty: h[gA]_1 \subseteq [gA]_1\}$ and $[gA]_1$ is type III for H^∞ .

Set $A_0 = \{f \in A: \int f dm = 0\}$, then Szegő's theorem implies

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad \inf_{g \in A_0} \int_X |1 - g|^2 w dm &= \inf_{g \in H_0^\infty} \int_X |1 - g|^2 w dm \\ &= \exp \int_X \log w dm. \end{aligned}$$

When $B^\infty \supsetneq H^\infty$ and $E^\mathcal{B}$ is multiplicative on B^∞ , $H_0^\infty \supsetneq I_B^\infty$. By Theorem 3

$$(2) \quad \inf_{g \in I_B^\infty} \int_X |1 - g|^2 w dm = \int_X \exp E^\mathcal{B}(\log w) dm.$$

If $f \in \mathcal{L}_B^\infty \cap H^\infty$ and $g \in I_B^\infty$, then by Theorem 2,

$$\int_X \log |f + g| dm \geq \int_X \log |f| dm \geq \log \left| \int_X f dm \right|.$$

Now we shall show other versions of Szegő's theorem.

$$(3) \quad \inf_{u \in H_0^\infty \cap \mathcal{L}_B^\infty} \int_X |1 - u|^2 w dm = \exp \int_X \log |E^\mathcal{B}(w)| dm.$$

For since $H^\infty = H^\infty \cap \mathcal{L}_B^\infty + I_B^\infty$ [12], it follows that $H^\infty \cap \mathcal{L}_B^\infty$ is a weak-*Dirichlet algebra of \mathcal{L}_B^∞ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\inf_{u \in H_0^\infty \cap \mathcal{L}_B^\infty} \int_X |1 - u|^2 w dm \\ &= \inf \int_X E^\mathcal{B}(|1 - u|^2 w) dm = \inf \int_X |1 - u|^2 E^\mathcal{B}(w) dm \\ &= \exp \int_X \log E^\mathcal{B}(w) dm. \end{aligned}$$

Fix $v \in \mathcal{L}_B^\infty$ with v^{-1} in \mathcal{L}_B^∞ .

$$(2)' \quad \inf_{g \in I_B^\infty} \int_X |v - g|^2 w dm = \int_X \exp E^\mathcal{D}(\log w) |v|^2 dm.$$

For the $L^2(|v|^2 w dm)$ -closure of $v^{-1}I_B^\infty$ contains I_B^∞ and so by (2)

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf_{g \in I_B^\infty} \int_X |1 - v^{-1}g|^2 |v|^2 w dm \\ &= \inf_{g \in I_B^\infty} \int_X |1 - g|^2 |v|^2 w dm = \int_X \exp E^\mathcal{D}(\log |v|^2 w) dm \\ &= \int_X \exp E^\mathcal{D}(\log w) |v|^2 dm. \end{aligned}$$

The following is Szegő's theorem by Arveson [1, pp. 611-615]. We shall give another proof to connect (4) with (2) and (2)'.

$$\begin{aligned} (4) \quad & \inf \left\{ \int_X |v - g|^2 w dm; g \in I_B^\infty, v \in \mathcal{L}_B^\infty \text{ and } \right. \\ & \left. \int_X \log |v| dm \geq 0 \right\} \\ &= \inf \left\{ \int_X \exp E^\mathcal{D}(\log w) |v|^2 dm; v \in \mathcal{L}_B^\infty \text{ and } \right. \\ & \left. \int_X \log |v| dm \geq 0 \right\} \\ &= \exp \int_X \log w dm. \end{aligned}$$

For

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp \int_X \log w dm \\ &= \inf \left\{ \int_X e^u w dm; u \in L_R^\infty \text{ and } \int_X u dm = 0 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 7 and Theorem 2, there exists f in $(H^\infty)^{-1}$ such that $E^\mathcal{D}(\log |f|) = p^{-1}E^\mathcal{D}(u) = \log |E^\mathcal{D}(f)|$ and so $\int_X \log |E^\mathcal{D}(f)| dm = 0$. So

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp \int_X \log w dm \\ &= \inf \left\{ \int_X |f|^2 w dm; f \in (H^\infty)^{-1} \text{ and } \int_X \log |E^\mathcal{D}(f)| dm = 0 \right\} \\ &\geq \inf \left\{ \int_X |v - g|^2 w dm; g \in I_B^\infty, v \in \mathcal{L}_B^\infty \text{ and } \int_X \log |v| dm \geq 0 \right\} \\ &= \inf \left\{ \int_X \exp E^\mathcal{D}(\log |v - g|^2) \exp E^\mathcal{D}(\log w) dm; g \in I_B^\infty, \right. \\ & \quad \left. v \in \mathcal{L}_B^\infty \text{ and } \int_X \log |v| dm \geq 0 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \inf \left\{ \int_X \exp E^{\mathcal{P}}(\log w) \cdot |v|^2 dm; v \in \mathcal{L}_B^\infty \text{ and} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \int_X \log |v| dm \geq 0 \right\} \\
&\geq \inf \left\{ \exp \int_X \log w dm \exp \int_X \log |v|^2 dm; v \in \mathcal{L}_B^\infty \text{ and} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \int_X \log |v| dm \geq 0 \right\} \\
&\geq \exp \int_X \log w dm .
\end{aligned}$$

7. Applications.

(I) Let G be a compact abelian group dual to a discrete group Γ . The Haar measure m on G is finite, and normalized so that $m(G) = 1$. Suppose a semigroup P is given in Γ such that $\Gamma = P \cup (-P)$, i.e., P orders Γ . Let A be the set of all trigonometric polynomials f on G the form $f = \sum \alpha_\lambda \chi_\lambda$ ($\lambda \in P$). Let \mathcal{L}^∞ be the weak-*closed linear span of $\sum \alpha_\lambda \chi_\lambda$ ($\lambda \in P \cap (-P)$) and let $E^{\mathcal{P}}$ be the conditional expectation for \mathcal{L}^∞ . Then A is an extended weak-*Dirichlet algebra with respect to $E^{\mathcal{P}}$.

In particular, when $P \cap (-P) = \{0\}$, it is called that P orders Γ totally. Then A is a weak-*Dirichlet algebra. Let P_α be a semigroup of Γ which contains P properly. Let H_α be the weak-*closed linear span of all trigonometric polynomials f on G of the form $f = \sum \alpha_\lambda \chi_\lambda$ ($\lambda \in P_\alpha$). Define $\mathcal{L}^\infty = \mathcal{L}_\alpha^\infty$ and $E^{\mathcal{P}} = E^{\mathcal{P}(\alpha)}$ as the above. Then H_α is not a weak-*Dirichlet algebras, but it is an extended one with respect to $E^{\mathcal{P}}$. Let I_α be the weak-*closed linear span of all trigonometric polynomials f on G of the form $f = \sum \alpha_\lambda \chi_\lambda$ ($\lambda \notin -P_\alpha$). Then $I_\alpha = \ker E^{\mathcal{P}} \mid H_\alpha = I_{H_\alpha}^\infty$.

(II) Let (X, \mathcal{A}, m) be a probability measure space and $\{T_t; t \in R\}$ be a flow. Suppose m is invariant under T_t . The action of R on X induces a weak-*continuous, one-parameter group $\{T_t\}_{t \in R}$ of automorphism of $L^\infty = L^\infty(m)$. They are defined by

$$\int_X T_t f(x) g(x) dm(x) = \int_X f(T_{-t} x) g(x) dm(x)$$

for f in L^∞ and g in L^1 . For each element f in L^∞ and a function ϕ in $L^1(R)$, we define the convolution $f * \phi$ in M by

$$f * \phi = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(t) T_t f dm .$$

The above integral exists in the sense that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_X f * \phi g dm &= \langle f * \phi, g \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(t) \left(\int T_t f g dm \right) dt \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(t) \langle T_t f, g \rangle dt\end{aligned}$$

for g in L^1 [2, Proposition 1.6]. Define the ideals of $L^1(R)$ $J(f)$ by

$$J(f) = \{\phi \in L^1(R) : f * \phi = 0\}.$$

The hull of the ideal $J(f)$ is said to be the spectrum of f and is denoted by spf . A is defined to be the set of all f in L^∞ with $\text{spf} \subseteq [0, \infty)$.

Let $d\nu = dt/\pi(1 + t^2)$ and $L^\infty(R \times X) = L^\infty(\nu \times m)$, where $\nu \times m$ is a completion of the product measure of ν and m . Set $F(t, x) = T_t f(x)$ for f in L^∞ , then $F(t, x) \in L^\infty(R \times X)$. Set $q = (1 - it)(1 + it)^{-1}$, then $q \in H^\infty(R)$ and there exists $\sum_{-N}^N f_n^N q^n$ such that

$$\iint \left| F(t, x) - \sum_{-N}^N f_n^{(N)} q^n \right|^2 d\nu dm \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $f_n^N \in L^\infty(m)$ and $H^\infty(R)$ is the class of all functions ϕ in $L^\infty(R)$ such that $\text{sp } \phi \subseteq [0, \infty)$. If $\text{spf} \subseteq [0, \infty)$, then it is easy to show that $\int_X T_t f g dm \in H^\infty(R)$ for every g in L^1 and hence it follows that

$$\iint \left| F(t, x) - \sum_0^N f_n^{(N)} q^n \right|^2 d\nu dm \longrightarrow 0.$$

Thus $T_t f(x) = F(t, x) \in H^\infty(R)$ a.e. $x(m)$. If $T_t f(x) = F(t, x) \in H^\infty(R)$ a.e. $x(m)$, then it is clear that $\int_X T_t f g dm \in H^\infty(R)$ for every g in L^1 and hence $\text{spf} \subseteq [0, \infty)$. This implies that A is a weak-*closed subalgebra of L^∞ which contains the constants. Let $\mathcal{L}^p = \{f \in L^p : T_t f = f\}$ for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $E^\mathcal{L}$ be a conditional expectation for \mathcal{L}^∞ .

THEOREM 6. [11] [8]. *A is an extended weak-*Dirichlet algebra with respect to $E^\mathcal{L}$. If the flow is ergodic, then A is a weak-*Dirichlet algebra.*

We shall give the proof in which spectral condition (cf. [2] [8]) is not used but Proposition 2 is used.

LEMMA 8 [11]. *Suppose $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then*

$$\{f \in L^p : \text{sp } f \subseteq \{0\}\} = \{f \in L^p : T_t f = f \text{ a.e.}\}.$$

Proof. If $T_t f = f$, since $\langle f * \phi, g \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle \hat{\phi}(0)$ for every g in L^q , then $\text{sp } f \subseteq \{0\}$. If $\text{sp } f \subseteq \{0\}$, set $F(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} T_t f g dm$. Then we can

show as in the proof of [4, p. 50] that $\text{sp } F \subseteq -\text{sp } f$. Hence F is a constant a.e. on R and $T_t f = f$ a.e..

LEMMA 9 [4, Proposition 2]. Suppose $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then if $f \in L^p$

$$\int_x f g d m = 0 \quad \text{for all } g \text{ in } A,$$

then $\text{sp } f \subseteq [0, \infty)$.

Proof. For any h in L^∞ and any ϕ in $L^1(R)$, $\langle f * \phi, h \rangle = \langle f, h * \tilde{\phi} \rangle$ where $\tilde{\phi}(t) = \phi(-t)$. Hence if $\hat{\phi}(s) = 1$ for $s < 0$ with $\text{supp } \hat{\phi} \subseteq (-\infty, 0)$, it follows that $f * \phi = 0$. This implies $\text{sp } f \subseteq [0, \infty)$.

The proof of Theorem 6. If $f \in L^1$, $\int_x f(k + \bar{h}) d m = 0$ for all h, k in A , then $\text{sp } f \subseteq \{0\}$ by Lemma 9. By Lemma 8, $T_t f = f \in \mathcal{L}^1$ and f annihilates $A \cap \bar{A} = \mathcal{L}^\infty$. Since \mathcal{L}^∞ is dense in \mathcal{L}^1 , $f = 0$ a.e.. Thus $A + \bar{A}$ is weak-*dense in L^∞ . In order to prove that $E^\mathcal{A}$ is multiplicative, by Proposition 2, it is sufficient to show that $K = L^2 \ominus H^2 \subset \bar{H}^2$ and $[A \cap \bar{A}]_2 = H^2 \cap \bar{H}^2$. Set $\mathcal{H}^2 = \{f \in L^2: \text{sp } f \subseteq [0, \infty)\}$, then $\mathcal{H}^2 \supseteq H^2$. Since $\mathcal{H}^2 \cap \bar{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \mathcal{L}^2$ and $A \cap \bar{A} = \mathcal{L}^\infty$, it is clear that $[A \cap \bar{A}]_2 = H^2 \cap \bar{H}^2$. By Lemma 9, $K \subset \bar{\mathcal{H}}^2$. So if $H^2 = \mathcal{H}^2$, the proof is complete. If $f \in \mathcal{H}^2 \ominus H^2$, then $\text{sp } f \subseteq \{0\}$ and hence $f \in \mathcal{L}^2$. While $\mathcal{L}^2 \subset H^2$, this implies $f = 0$ a.e..

(III) Let $C(X_1)$ be the set of all continuous complex-valued functions on a compact Hausdorff space X_1 and let A_2 be a function algebra on a compact Hausdorff space X_2 . Moreover let A_2 be a Dirichlet algebra of $C(X_2)$, i.e., $A_2 + \bar{A}_2$ is uniformly dense in $C(X_2)$. Suppose A is the set of all functions of the form; for $u, v \in C(X_1)$ and $f \in A_2$, $u + v f$. Then A is a subalgebra of $C(X_1 \times X_2)$.

Let m_1 be any probability measure on X_1 and m_2 be a nontrivial representing measure of any complex homomorphism of A_2 . Let \mathcal{A} be the σ -algebra of all Borel sets of $X_1 \times X_2$ and m be the completion of $m_1 \times m_2$. Let \mathcal{B} be the σ -subalgebra of \mathcal{A} consisting of all Borel sets of the form $E_1 \times X_2$ where E_1 is a Borel set of X_1 . Let $E^\mathcal{B}$ denote the conditional expectation for \mathcal{B} . Then A is an extended weak-*Dirichlet algebra of $L^\infty(m)$ with respect to $E^\mathcal{B}$. For it is clear that (i) the constant functions lie in A ; (ii) $A + \bar{A}$ is weak-*dense in L^∞ ; (iv) $E^\mathcal{B}(A) \subseteq A \cap \bar{A}$. For $u, u', v, v' \in C(X_1)$ and $g, g' \in A_2$,

$$\begin{aligned} E^\mathcal{B}(\{u + v g\}\{u' + v' g'\}) \\ &= u u' + u' v \int_{X_2} g d m_2 + u v' \int_{X_2} g' d m_2 + v v' \int_{X_2} g d m_2 \times \int_{X_2} g' d m_2 \\ &= E^\mathcal{B}(u + v g) E^\mathcal{B}(u' + v' g'). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that (iii) for all f and g in A , $E^{\mathcal{D}}(fg) = E^{\mathcal{D}}(f)E^{\mathcal{D}}(g)$. Then $I = \{f \in A: E^{\mathcal{D}}(f) = 0\} = \left\{u + vg: \int_{X_2} g dm_2 = 0 \text{ and } v \in C(X_1), g \in A_2\right\}$.

REFERENCES

1. W. B. Arveson, *Analyticity in operator algebras*, Amer. J. Math., **89** (1967), 578-642.
2. ———, *Operator algebras and measure preserving automorphism*, Acta Math., **118** (1967), 95-109.
3. A. Browder, *Introduction to Function Algebras*, W. A. Benjamin, New York, 1969.
4. F. Forelli, *Analytic and quasi-invariant measures*, Acta Math., **118** (1967), 33-59.
5. T. Gamelin, *Uniform Algebras*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1969.
6. H. Helson, *Analyticity on Compact Abelian Groups, Algebras in analysis*, Williamson, J. H., Academic Press, London, New York, San Francisco, 1975, 1-62.
7. K. Hoffman, *Analytic functions and logmodular Banach algebras*, Acta Math., **108** (1962), 271-317.
8. S. Kawamura and J. Tomiyama, *On subdiagonal algebras associated with flows in operator algebras*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **29** (1977), 73-90.
9. H. König, *On the Marcel Riesz estimation for conjugate functions in the abstract Hardy algebra situation*, Commentationes Math., (to appear).
10. S-T. C. Moy, *Characterization of conditional expectation as a transformation on function spaces*, Pacific J. Math., **4** (1954), 47-63.
11. P. S. Muhly, *Function algebras and flows*, Acta Sci. Math., **35** (1973), 111-121.
12. T. Nakazi, *Invariant subspaces of weak-*Dirichlet algebras*, Pacific J. Math., **69** (1977), 151-167.
13. ———, *Quasi-maximal ideals and quasi-primary ideals of weak-*Dirichlet algebras*, (in preprint).
14. ———, *Helson's existence theorem of function algebras*, (in preprint).
15. T. P. Srinivasan and Ju-Kwei Wang, *Weak-*Dirichlet algebras*, Proc. Internat. Sympos. On Function Algebras (Tulane Univ., 1965), Scott-Foresman, Chicago, Ill., 1966, 216-249.

Received August 17, 1978.

RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF APPLIED ELECTRICITY
HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY
SAPPORO, JAPAN

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

HUGO ROSSI

University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE

University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM

Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints to each author are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Older back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.).

8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1979 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Ersan Akyildiz, <i>Vector fields and equivariant bundles</i>	283
Ehrhard Behrends, <i>The centralizer of tensor products of Banach spaces (a function space representation)</i>	291
Geoffrey R. Burton, <i>Congruent sections of a convex body</i>	303
John Warnock Carlson, <i>H-closed and countably compact extensions</i>	317
Robert Charles Carlson, <i>Eigenfunction expansions for selfadjoint integro-differential operators</i>	327
Robert Damiano, <i>Coflat rings and modules</i>	349
Eric Karel van Douwen and Washek (Vaclav) Frantisek Pfeffer, <i>Some properties of the Sorgenfrey line and related spaces</i>	371
Uri Elias, <i>Necessary conditions and sufficient conditions for difocality and disconjugacy of a differential equation</i>	379
V. L. (Vagn Lundsgaard) Hansen, <i>Polynomial covering spaces and homomorphisms into the braid groups</i>	399
Paul Hess, <i>Dedekind's problem: monotone Boolean functions on the lattice of divisors of an integer</i>	411
Alan Hopenwasser and David Royal Larson, <i>The carrier space of a reflexive operator algebra</i>	417
Kyung Bai Lee, <i>Spaces in which compacta are uniformly regular G_δ</i>	435
Claude Levesque, <i>A class of fundamental units and some classes of Jacobi-Perron algorithms in pure cubic fields</i>	447
Teck Cheong Lim, <i>A constructive proof of the infinite version of the Belluce-Kirk theorem</i>	467
Dorothy Maharam and A. H. Stone, <i>Borel boxes</i>	471
Roger McCann, <i>Asymptotically stable dynamical systems are linear</i>	475
Peter A. McCoy, <i>Approximation and harmonic continuation of axially symmetric potentials in E^3</i>	481
Takahiko Nakazi, <i>Extended weak-*Dirichlet algebras</i>	493
Carl L. Prather, <i>On the zeros of derivatives of balanced trigonometric polynomials</i>	515
Iain Raeburn, <i>An implicit function theorem in Banach spaces</i>	525
Louis Jackson Ratliff, Jr., <i>Two theorems on the prime divisors of zeros in completions of local domains</i>	537
Gloria Jean Tashjian, <i>Cartesian-closed coreflective subcategories of Tychonoff spaces</i>	547
Stephen Edwin Wilson, <i>Operators over regular maps</i>	559