

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

CONCORDANCE AND HOMOTOPY. I. FUNDAMENTAL GROUP

MAURICIO A. GUTIÉRREZ

CONCORDANCE AND HOMOTOPY, I: FUNDAMENTAL GROUP

M. A. GUTIERREZ

We study the effect of a concordance on the fundamental group of the manifolds involved.

DEFINITION (A). Two submanifolds X, Y of M^n are said to be concordant if there is an embedding $c: X \times I \rightarrow M \times I$ ($I = [0, 1]$) which is transversal on $M \times \partial I$ and $c^{-1}(M^n \times \partial I) = X \times \partial I$, $c(X \times 0) = X = 0$, $c(X \times 1) \approx Y \times 1$.

In [11], a similar definition—that of I -equivalence—is given for subcomplexes X, Y of a complex M by simply dropping all smoothness hypotheses from definition (A) and replacing them with cellularity hypotheses.

Let now G_1 be a group and G_i its lower central series (cf. § 1). Define $G_\infty = \cap G_i$ and $G = G_1/G_\infty$ (“group G_1 made residually nilpotent”). Observe $\{G_i/G_i, p_i\}$ is an inverse system where $p_i: G_1/G_{i+1} \rightarrow G_1/G_i$ is the obvious map. Let \tilde{G} be its limit (nilpotent completion) which is, in general, uncountable. There is a natural inclusion $G \rightarrow \tilde{G}$. In particular, if S is a space, define $\pi(S) = \pi_1(S)/[\pi_1(S)]_\infty$ and $\tilde{\pi}(S) = [\pi_1(S)]^\sim$.

DEFINITION (B). Two (finitely generated) groups are I -equivalent if their nilpotent completions are isomorphic.

Let now X, Y be subcomplexes of M . If we have some sort of Alexander duality (v. gr. M a manifold), so that we can prove $H_q(M - X) \approx H_q(M - Y)$, then [11], *If X and Y are I -equivalent so are $\pi(M - X)$ and $\pi(M - Y)$* . The moral here is that we might as well work with residually nilpotent groups. *This we shall assume hereafter* so that we have no need of writing “ G_1 ” for a group G . We have in mind extending the above results to concordances: let be the free group in letters x_1, \dots, x_r . Define $G(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ (or $G(x)$) as the free product $G * \Phi$. Let $\partial_i: G(x) \rightarrow Z$ be the map defined by $\partial_i|G = 0$, $\partial_i(x_j) = \delta_{ij}$. Let now $W = \{w_1, \dots, w_r\}$ be an r -element subset of $G(x)$, and let NW be the smallest normal subgroup of $G(x)$ containing W . Assume the integral matrix $\|\partial_i w_j\|$ satisfies

$$(1) \quad \det \|\partial_i w_j\| = \pm 1.$$

Define $G(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r)_1$ (or $G(\xi)_1$) as the quotient $G(x)/NW$. Let $G(\xi) = G(\xi)_1/G(\xi)_\infty$, a residually nilpotent group. If $i: G \rightarrow G(\xi)$ is the map $G \rightarrow G(x) \rightarrow G(x)/NW \rightarrow G(\xi)_1/G(\xi)_\infty$, we prove i is monic and

$G(\xi) \subseteq \tilde{G}$. If we identify G with $i(G)$, $G(\xi)$ is generated in \tilde{G} by G and the $\xi_j = x_j \cdot NW$. By analogy we say $G(\xi)$ is an algebraic extension of G . For residually nilpotent groups, the Artin approach, as outlined in [6; VI, §§ 1, 2] shows the existence of an algebraic closure \bar{G} which, as in field theory, is countable if G is. It is easy to see that since $G(\xi) \subseteq \tilde{G}$, for all systems $\{w_i\}$ satisfying (1), then $\bar{G} \subseteq \tilde{G}$. This process resembles the algebraic and analytic closures of the field Q if we write \bar{Q} = algebraic closure of Q (countable) and $\tilde{Q} = C$, the complex numbers (uncountable).

It turns out that if we use the following,

DEFINITION (C). Two (finitely presented) groups are *concordant* if their algebraic closures are isomorphic, then we can prove

If X and Y are concordant submanifolds of M , then $\pi(M - X)$ and $\pi(M - Y)$ are also concordant.

Actually something stronger holds: let $\bar{\mu}_1, \dots, \bar{\mu}_n$ be generators of $H_1(M - X)$ and let $\mu_i \in \pi(M - X)$ project on $\bar{\mu}_i (1 \leq i \leq n)$. Then $G = \pi(M - X)$ and $H = \pi(M - Y)$ have a common finite algebraic extension K , obtained from G (or H) by adding roots to equations of the form $v^{-1}\mu_{i,j}v = x_j (v \in G(x_1, \dots, x_r), j = 1, \dots, r)$. We do not know if all algebraic extensions are of this form, so we make

DEFINITION (D). Two groups G and H are *simply concordant* if we can choose $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r \in G, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_r \in H$ so that $G/G_2 \approx H/H_2$ is generated by the μ_j (or the ν_j) and if G and H have a common algebraic extension $G(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_s) \approx H(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_t)$ where the ξ and η are roots to equations

$$x_j = v_j \mu_{i,j} v_j^{-1} \text{ and } y_k = w_k \nu_{i,k} w_k^{-1},$$

$$1 \leq j \leq s, 1 \leq k \leq t, 1 \leq i_j, i_k \leq r, v_j \in G(x), w_k \in H(y).$$

For PL concordances of submanifolds X, Y the groups $\pi(M - X)$ and $\pi(M - Y)$ are simply concordant.

We describe this in §1 and §2; the generators and relations added to G correspond to the minima and saddle points of the concordance. Those generators and relations added to H (or removed from $G(\xi)$) correspond to singularities of index n and $n - 1$ (maxima and saddle points). In §3 we study the case G = free and we give an application to links in §4. In [4] we study a generalization of the algebraic problem.

We use the following conventions: \hat{x} means x is to be deleted, $\#$ is the connected sum of manifolds, $+$ their disjoint union. All homology is integral.

This is the first of two articles; in part II we hope to study the homotopy system of $M - X$ in the sense of [13].

1. **Algebraic extensions.** Let G_1 be a group and let A and B be subsets of it. Define NA (or $N_G A$ if the context is not clear) to be the intersection of all normal subgroups of G_1 containing A . The group NA is called the *normal closure* of A . If C is the subset $\{[a, b] = aba^{-1}b^{-1} : a \in A, b \in B\}$, we write $[A, B]$ for NC . Notice $[A, B]$ is a normal subgroup even if A or B are not groups.

Inductively the lower central series of G_1 is defined by $G_i = [G_1, G_{i-1}]$ and $G_\infty = \bigcap G_i$. We say G_1 is *residually nilpotent* if $G_\infty = 1$. We work with residually nilpotent groups. For any group we write $G = G_1/G_\infty$ and G is *always* residually nilpotent. If G_1 has a presentation $\langle x_i : r_j \rangle$, we write $\langle x_i : r_j \rangle_\rho$ for a presentation of G of the form $\langle x_i : r_j, s_k \rangle$, where $G_\infty = N_{G_1}\{s_k\}$. If S is an arc connected CW -complex, $\pi(S)$ is $\pi_1(S)/[\pi_1(S)]_\infty$.

The inclusions $G_{i+1} \subseteq G_i$ induce maps $p_i : G_1/G_{i+1} \rightarrow G_1/G_i$ and $\{G_i/G_i; p_i\}$ is an inverse system. Let \tilde{G} be its limit. We justify this notation: a typical element of \tilde{G} is a sequence $(g_i G_i)_{i \geq 2}$ of cosets $\bar{g}_i = g_i G_i \in G_1/G_i$ subject to the condition that $p_i(\bar{g}_{i+1}) = \bar{g}_i$. Let $J_n = \{(\bar{g}_i) \in \tilde{G} : g_i \in G_{n-1} \text{ for } i \geq 2\}$. Then J_n is a central series (i.e., $\tilde{G} = J_1$, $[J_1, J_{n-1}] \subseteq J_n$) and so $\tilde{G}_n \subseteq J_n$ because $\{\tilde{G}_n\}$ is the *lower* central series [7; Ch. 5]. Since $\bigcap J_n = 1$, \tilde{G} is residually nilpotent. Notice $g \rightarrow (\bar{g}, \bar{g}, \dots)$ defines a homomorphism $G_1 \rightarrow \tilde{G}$ with kernel G_∞ so $G \subseteq \tilde{G}$. Further, if G is finitely generated then $(\tilde{G})_n = (G_n)^\sim = J_n$ and $G_1/G_n \approx \tilde{G}/\tilde{G}_n$. A proof can be found in [O].

In our applications we deal with fundamental groups of compact complexes and so, unless otherwise specified, all our groups are finitely generated. In particular \tilde{G} need not be finitely generated if G is, in fact \tilde{G} tends to be of a cardinality bigger than that of G .

If A_1 is any group and if we have a family $\alpha_i : G_1/G_n \rightarrow A_1/A_n$ of isomorphisms which commute with the p_i then the α_i define an embedding $\alpha : A \rightarrow \tilde{G}$ with $G \subseteq \alpha(A)$. The converse however, is not true.

If $A \subseteq \tilde{G}$ is a subgroup and $\Sigma \subseteq \tilde{G}$ is a subset, let $A\{\Sigma\}$ be the subgroup generated by A and Σ .

LEMMA 1. *Let G be a residually nilpotent group and let $W = \{w_1, \dots, w_r\} \subseteq G(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ satisfy (1). Then the map $G \rightarrow G(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r)$ is monic.*

Proof. Sequence $1 \rightarrow NW \rightarrow G(x) \xrightarrow{j} G(\xi)_1 \rightarrow 1$ gives rise to a homology sequence

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} H_2 G(x) & \xrightarrow{j''} & H_2 G(\xi)_1 & \longrightarrow & NW/[W, G(x)] & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & H_1 G(x) \\ & & & & \xrightarrow{j'} & & H_1 G(\xi)_1 \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

(j', j'' are induced maps) (cf. [11; (2.1)]). Let $i: G \rightarrow G(\xi)_1$ be the map $j|G$. Since $H_2G(x) = H_2G$ and $H_1G(x) = H_1G \oplus Z^r$, and $j'' = i''$, $j' = i' + 0(0: Z^r \rightarrow 0)$, sequence (2) becomes

$$H_2G \xrightarrow{i''} H_2G(\xi)_1 \longrightarrow NW/[W, G(x)] \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} Z^r \longrightarrow 0.$$

If $v \in NW$, by definition we may write $v = \prod_k v_k w_{l_k}^{\eta_k} v_k^{-1}$. Then, if $\varepsilon_l = \sum_{l_k=l} \eta_k$, $v \equiv w_1^{\varepsilon_1} \cdots w_r^{\varepsilon_r} \pmod{[W, G(x)]}$ and then $\varepsilon(v) = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_r)$ is an isomorphism. Thus, by (2), $i'': H_2G \rightarrow H_2G(\xi)_1$ is onto. On the other hand, by (1), $i': H_1G \rightarrow H_1G(\xi)_1$ is an isomorphism. In that fashion $l: G \rightarrow G(\xi)$ satisfies the hypothesis of [11; Thm. (3.1)] and so $G \subseteq G(\xi)_1/[G(\xi)]_\infty$ and so $G \subseteq G(\xi)$.

Hereafter we deal with $G(\xi)$ only.

We say the ξ_1, \dots, ξ_r are solutions of the system of equations $w_1 = 1, \dots, w_r = 1$. If $\xi \in \tilde{G}$ we use isomorphism $\tilde{G}/\tilde{G}_k \approx G/G_k$ to define $\xi^{(k)}$ as $\xi \tilde{G}_k \in G/G_k$. If $\xi, \eta \in \tilde{G}$, $\xi = \eta$ iff $\xi^{(k)} = \eta^{(k)}$ for all k .

Consider $W = \{w_i\}$, $W' = \{w'_i\}$ ($i = 1, \dots, r$) subsets of $G(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ satisfying (1). We say that W is equivalent to W' if we can get from one to the other by finitely many steps involving

- (i) A permutation of the x_i ,
- (ii) A permutation of the w_j ,
- (iii) Replace x_i by $x_i x_j^\varepsilon$ ($i \neq j$, $\varepsilon = \pm 1$),
- (iv) Replace w_i by $w_i w_j^\varepsilon$ ($i \neq j$, $\varepsilon = \pm 1$),

or their inverses. (cf. [7; § 3.3].)

Clearly the operations described above establish a Nielsen transformation (loc. cit.) of $G(\xi)$ into $G(\xi')$ which is, in particular, an isomorphism. Matrix $\|\partial_i w_j\|$ is a permutation matrix times a product of elementary matrices. By an operation of type (ii) we change $\|\partial_i w_j\|$ to a product of elementary matrices and operations of type (iv) finally reduce it to the identity, that is we may assume our system is equivalent to one of the form $\{x_i v_i^{-1}\}$, where $\partial_k v_i = 0$ for all i, k . Generally we write this as

$$(3) \quad w_i: x_i = v_i(x_\alpha) \quad (i, \alpha = 1, \dots, r).$$

If $\partial_k v_i = 0$, we may write v_i as $\prod_j M_{ji}(g_j, x_\alpha) \cdot g_i$, where the M_{ji} are commutators involving one of the x_α and $g_i \in G$ (see [7; p. 352 eq. (7) Thm. 5.14]).

LEMMA 3. *Let $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r\}$ and $\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_r\}$ be elements of \tilde{G} which are solutions to (3). Then $\xi_i = \eta_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, r$).*

Proof. For any $k \geq 1$, consider $\xi_i^{(k)}$ and $\eta_i^{(k)}$ in \tilde{G}/\tilde{G}_k . Elements $\xi_i^{(k)}$ and $\eta_i^{(k)}$ are solutions to (3) in G/G_k too; as a result

$$\xi_i^{(k)} = \prod_j M_{ji}(g_\nu, v_\mu(\xi_\alpha^{(k)}))g_i \bmod G_k.$$

Now, each $M_{ji}(g_\nu, v_\mu)$ is a product $N_{ji}^{(1)}(g_\sigma) \prod_\beta M'_{ji\beta}(g_\nu, x_\mu)$, where $N_{ji}^{(1)} \in G_2$ and $M'_{ji\beta} \in G(x)_3$.

By repeating this procedure k times, we obtain

$$(4) \quad \xi_i^{(k)} = \prod_j N_{ji}^{(1)} \cdots \prod_l N_{li}^{(k-1)} \bmod G_k,$$

where $N_{ij}^{(\alpha)} \in G_{\alpha+1}$ and so $\xi_i^{(k)}$ equals (4) in G/G_k . A similar reasoning applied to η_i shows $\eta_i^{(k)}$ is also given by expression (4) and so $\xi_i^{(k)} = \eta_i^{(k)}$ for all k .

We now repeat some of the results of [6; VII §1] for algebraic extensions adapted to the present context. We say that $\xi \in \tilde{G}$ is an algebraic element if we can find a system (3) with solutions ξ_1, \dots, ξ_r and $\xi = \xi_1$. Let $G \subseteq A \subseteq \tilde{G}$; we say that A is an algebraic extension of G if there exists a set Σ of algebraic elements such that $A = G(\Sigma)$. If Σ is finite we say A is a finite extension. If A and B are algebraic extensions of G , any homomorphism $A \rightarrow B$ leaving G fixed is said to be *over* G .

REMARKS (1). Let G be a finitely generated residually nilpotent group and let $A \subseteq \tilde{G}$ contain G . Then the following are equivalent

(ALG 1) A is an algebraic extension of G ,

(ALG 2) $N_A G = A$, and

(ALG 3) $\text{incl}_*: H_1(G; Z) \rightarrow H_1(A; Z)$ is an isomorphism.

Clearly (1) implies (2). Assume (2); let $a_i \in A$ be generators for A . Then there exist $g_{ij} \in G$, $\alpha_{ij} \in A$ (finite j for a fixed i) such that $a_i = \prod_j \alpha_{ij} g_{ij} \alpha_{ij}^{-1}$. Let $\bar{a}_i = \prod_j g_{ji} \in G$. Since $a_i = (a_i \bar{a}_i^{-1}) \cdot \bar{a}_i$, $a_i \equiv \bar{a}_i \bmod A_2$ and $G/G_2 \rightarrow A/A_2$ is an isomorphism. Finally if (3) holds, let $a \in A$. Then $H_1(G) \rightarrow H_1(G\langle a \rangle)$ is an isomorphism. Let x be a letter and consider the epimorphism over G , $G(x) \rightarrow G\langle a \rangle$ which sends x to a . Let K be its kernel. By hypothesis there exists $r \in K$ with $\partial_a r = 1$. Then $K = N_{G(x)}\{r, s_k\}$ for some $s_k \in G(x)$ with $\partial_a s_k = 0$. Consider $G(\xi) = [G(x)/N_{G(x)}r]_\rho \subseteq \tilde{G}$. By Lemma (3) $G(\xi)$ is isomorphic to $G\langle a \rangle$ ([11; (3.4)]). Thus a is algebraic.

(2) If we work with finitely generated A , conditions (ALG 2) and (ALG 3) are equivalent to “ A is a finite extension of G ”.

(3) The above remarks show that a finite extension can be obtained from a finite sequence of simple extensions. We can also define algebraic elements intrinsically.

DEFINITION. We say $\xi \in \tilde{G}$ is *algebraic* if $\xi \in N_{G(\xi)}G$. If not we say ξ is *transcendental*.

(4) A residually nilpotent and finitely generated group A is a finite extension of G if and only if there exist isomorphisms $\theta_i: G/G_i \rightarrow A/A_i$ for all i which commute with the $p_i: X/X_{i+1} \rightarrow X/X_i$. In fact, if the θ_i exist they define an embedding $A \rightarrow \tilde{G}$ containing G and A satisfies (ALG 3). Conversely, if $G \subseteq A \subseteq \tilde{G}$ satisfies (ALG 3) [4; Lemma 7] implies that $H_2G \rightarrow H_2A$ is onto and [11, (3.4)] guarantees that inclusion induces isomorphisms $G/G_i \rightarrow A/A_i$ which, being canonical, commute with the p_i .

DEFINITION. A class \mathcal{C} of extensions $A \subseteq B (G \subseteq A, B \subseteq \tilde{G})$ is said to be *distinguished* if it satisfies the following conditions:

(i) Let $G \subseteq A \subseteq B$ be a tower of subgroups of \tilde{G} ; extension $G \subseteq B$ is in \mathcal{C} if and only if $G \subseteq A$ and $A \subseteq B$ are in \mathcal{C} .

(ii) If $G \subseteq A$ is in \mathcal{C} and if B is any subgroup of \tilde{G} containing G , then $B \subseteq B\{A\}$ is in \mathcal{C} .

LEMMA 4. *The class \mathcal{C} of algebraic extensions is distinguished.*

Proof. (i) If B is algebraic and $A \subseteq B$, it follows from the above remarks that all the elements of A are algebraic. Conversely, if A is algebraic and B is algebraic over A , then $G/G_2 \rightarrow A/A_2 \rightarrow B/B_2$ is an isomorphism and B is algebraic over G .

(ii) If $a \in A$, then $a \in N_{G(a)}G \subseteq N_{B(a)}B$ and a is algebraic over B .

Let \bar{G} be the set of all algebraic elements of \tilde{G} .

PROPOSITION (5). *\bar{G} is a subgroup of \tilde{G} and both \bar{G} and \tilde{G} are algebraically closed.*

Proof. \bar{G} is closed by Lemma 1. This facilitates the proof of the closure of G (which is obviously a group): $\xi, \eta \in \bar{G}$ implies they are elements of a finite algebraic extension A of G . Thus $\xi\eta^{-1} \in A$. Any finite system $W \subseteq \bar{G}(x)$ lies in some $G(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_s)(x)$. By Lemma 4 the solutions ξ_i for it are algebraic over G .

Finally a curious note: Lemma 3 implies algebraic extensions are "purely inseparable" [6]. Thus it is not surprising that "primitive elements" [6, VII. 6] do not exist; that is, given $A = G(\xi, \eta)$ there does not necessarily exist a ζ such that $A = G(\zeta)$. The reason for this is topological in nature as can be seen in the proof of Theorem 6 below. On the other hand the fact that A is the top of a tower of simple extensions $G \subseteq G(\xi) \subseteq G(\xi, \eta)$ is explained topo-

logically by the “handle exchange lemma”. This is of course, just an analogy (but an interesting one).

2. Concordances. We now prove our main result:

THEOREM 6. *Let X, Y be two concordant submanifolds of S^n ; then $\pi(S^n - X)$ and $\pi(S^n - Y)$ are simply concordant ($n \geq 5$).*

Proof. If the codimension is not 2, there is nothing to prove. Notice we may prove the same result on any simply connected manifold instead of S^n . For the sake of simplicity we prove 6 only for S^n .

Secondly, as remarked by Giffen (6) holds for PL I -equivalences since any PL concordance fails to be locally flat at finitely many points where cell replacements in the sense of [10] take place. They do not affect $\pi(M - X)$ (ibid.) and so the algebra for the PL case is the same as that of the PL locally flat case where Morse theory can be defined.

Let now $c: X \times I \rightarrow S^n \times I$ be a concordance. A point $(x, t) \in S^n \times I$ is a *regular* point of c if either $(x, t) \notin \text{Im } c$ or there is a neighborhood J of t in I , a manifold X' and a level preserving embedding $e: X' \times J \rightarrow S^n \times J$ onto a neighborhood of (x, t) in $\text{Im } c$ [8; § 2]. A value $t \in I$ is *regular* if (x, t) is a regular point for all $x \in S^n$. If t is not regular we say t is a *critical* value.

If there are manifolds $X_0(t)$ and $X_1(t)$; neighborhoods J_0 and J_1 of t in $[0, t]$ and $[t, 1]$ respectively and isotopies $g: X_\varepsilon \times J_\varepsilon \rightarrow S^n \times J_\varepsilon$ ($\varepsilon = 0, 1$) such that $\text{Im } c \cap \{S^n \times (J_0 \cup J_1)\} = \text{Im } g_0 \cup \text{Im } g_1 \cup h^p$, where $h^p \subset S^n \times \{t\}$, we say t is a *standard critical value of index p* ($0 \leq p \leq n - 1$) if we have a smooth (or PL locally flat) isomorphism

$$(h^p, h^p \cap \text{Im } g_0, X \cap \text{Im } g_1) \longrightarrow (D^p \times D^r, \partial D^p \times D^r, D^p \times \partial D^r),$$

where D^p is the p -disk, $\partial D^p = S^{p-1}$ and $p + r = n - 1$, and where the intersection $\text{Im } g_0 \cap \text{Im } g_1$ is the closure of $\text{Im } g_\varepsilon - h$ for $\varepsilon = 0, 1$. The result is a p handle attached to X_0 in $S^n \times \{t\}$ defining a surgery to X_1 . See [8; pp. 433-434] especially Figure 1.

By [8; Lemma 2], we may assume there are finitely many critically values (all standard). Reordering, addition and cancellation of embedded handles are possible and the corresponding results are proved in [8; § 2] under the hypothesis $n \geq 5$. Let $t_1 < \dots < t_r$ be the critical values of c . Write $t_0 = 0$, $t_{r+1} = 1$ and let $0 < \varepsilon = 1/2 \min |t_i - t_{i-1}|$. Assume $\text{Index } t_i \leq \text{Index } t_{i+1}$. If $p: S^n \times I \rightarrow I$ is the natural projection, let $Z_i = S^n - (\text{Im } c \cap p^{-1}(t_i))$. If $t, t' \in (t_i, t_{i+1})$, then Z_i and $Z_{i'}$ are diffeomorphic. Let $G_{(t)} = \pi(Z_i)$; then

$G_{(t)}$ changes only at the critical values of index 0, 1, $(n-3)$ or $n-2$. By duality (turning c upside down) it suffices to describe the effects of passing through values of index 0 and 1.

The contention is that these changes are algebraic in the sense of §1. In fact we prove that for some $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$ in \tilde{G} , $G_{(0)}\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s\} \cong G_{(r+1)}\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n\}$ is an algebraic extension of $G_{(0)}$ and $G_{(r+1)}$. As we shall see the equations for α and β are of the form described in Definition D.

If Index $t_i = 0$, let $H = G_{(t_i-\varepsilon)}$, $H' = G_{(t_i+\varepsilon)}$. At t_i we introduce a handle h^0 of index 0 or a minimum. This means $Z_{t_i+\varepsilon} = Z_{t_i-\varepsilon} - \sigma_i$, where σ_i is an unknotted sphere in codimension two in $Z_{t_i-\varepsilon}$. As a result $H' = H(y_i)$, where y_i is represented by a small loop in $Z_{t_i-\varepsilon}$ with linking number 1 with σ_i .

We assume Index $t_i \leq \text{Index } t_{i+1}$; let s be the largest subscript with Index $t_s = 0$. For convenience, let $Z = Z_{t_s+\varepsilon}$, $Z' = Z_{t_{s+1}+\varepsilon}$ and let K, K' be the corresponding groups. Then

$$(5) \quad K = G_0(y_1, \dots, y_s).$$

Let now v be the largest number such that Index $t_{s+v} = 1$. Clearly $v \geq s$ since at least s handles of index 0 must be cancelled. Let X_i be the connected components of X , then $Z = S^n - [X_1 + \dots + X_m + \sigma_1 + \dots + \sigma_s]$ (t is disjoint union). Z' then can be of two forms ($\#$ is connected sum)

$$(a) \quad Z' = S^n - \{X_1 + \dots + (X_i \# \sigma_{j_i}) + \dots + X_m + \sigma_1 + \dots + \hat{\sigma}_{i_i} + \dots + \sigma_s\},$$

or

$$(b) \quad Z' = S^n - \{X_1 + \dots + X'_i + \dots + X_m + \sigma_1 + \dots + \sigma_s\},$$

where X'_i is obtained from X_i by removing two small disks and identifying the boundaries by a tube in Z . These are the two standard forms of a saddle point since possibilities $X_i \# X_j$ and $\sigma_i \# \sigma_l$ are excluded.

Now $\pi_1(Z') = \pi_1(Z)/R$, where R is the normal closure of an element of the form

$$(6) \quad wa_i w^{-1} y_j^{-1} \text{ if (a) is the case}$$

or

$$(7) \quad wa_i w^{-1} a_i^{-1} \text{ if (b) is the case,}$$

where $w \in \pi_1(Z)$ and a_i is represented by a fiber of the circle bundle of $X_i \subset S^n$ (appropriately based).

It follows from (5) and (6) that in case (a), K' is of the form

$G_{(0)}(y_1, \dots, \hat{y}_{j_i}, \dots, y_s)\{\eta_{j_i}\}$, where η_{j_i} is the root of equation (5) which is of form (3). For case (b) by Alexander duality $H_2(Z) = \Sigma H^{n-3}(X_j)$ and $H_2(Z') = \Sigma_{j \neq i} H^{n-3}(X_j) + H^{n-3}(X'_i)$.

Recall X'_i is obtained from X_i by attaching a 1-handle, $X'_i = X_i \# S^{n-3} \times S^1$. Thus $H_1(X'_i) = H^{n-3}(X_i) + \mathbb{Z}a$. Let $\bar{a} \in H_2 Z'$ be the element that corresponds to a via duality.

We know that the attached 2-handle must be cancelled by a 3-handle since X is diffeomorphic to Y and that this 3-handle must be attached by a map representing \bar{a} which must, therefore, be a spherical element of $H_2 Z'$. It follows that $\pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \pi_1(Z')$ induces an isomorphism of abelianization and an epimorphism of second homologies because under the map $H_2 Z' \rightarrow H_2 \pi_1(Z')$ defined by Hopf, \bar{a} goes to zero. By [11; (2.1)], $K = K'$ in case (b).

In conclusion $G_{(s+v+\varepsilon)} = G_{(0)}(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_s)$ where the η_i are roots of equations (6). Clearly then \bar{G}_0 is isomorphic to \bar{G}_r .

COROLLARY 7. *If $X, Y \subset S^n$ are concordant, $G = \pi(S^n - X)$, $G' = \pi(S^n - Y)$, then $G\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_s\} = G'\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r\}$ where the η, ξ are roots of equations $y_i = w_i a_i w_i^{-1}$, $x_j = w'_j b_j (w'_j)^{-1}$ and the a_i, b_j generate $H_1 G$ and $H_1 G'$ respectively. In other words G and G' are simply concordant.*

3. Algebraic extensions of free groups. Let Φ be the free group $\langle x_1, \dots, x_m \rangle$ and $h: \Phi \rightarrow G_1$ a homomorphism into a finitely presented group G_1 which induces isomorphisms $H_q(\Phi) \rightarrow H_q(G_1)$ for $q = 1, 2$. In particular G_1 has a preabelian presentation [7] of the form

$$(8) \quad \langle x'_1, \dots, x'_m, b_1, \dots, b_p; b_1 = B_1, \dots, b_p = B_p, C_1 = 1, \dots, C_q = 1 \rangle$$

where the B_j, C_k are in the commutator of $\Psi = \Phi'(b_1, \dots, b_p)$ ($\Phi' = \langle x'_1, \dots, x'_m \rangle$) and where $h(x_i) = v_i x'_i v_i^{-1}$ for some $v_i \in \Psi$.

Also (8) defines a group $G_1^\circ = \langle x'_i, b_j; b_j = B_j \rangle$ ($1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq p$) which also satisfies the hypotheses above [5; p. 106]. Further, the natural epimorphism $e: G_1^\circ \rightarrow G_1$ induces [11; § 2] isomorphisms $G^\circ \rightarrow G$ and $\tilde{G}^\circ \rightarrow \tilde{G}$ and h induces (loc. cit.) an isomorphism $\tilde{\Phi} \rightarrow \tilde{G}$. Equations $b_j = B_j$ satisfy (1) and so G is an algebraic extension of Φ .

Let now $\mathcal{R}_i = N_G\{x'_1, \dots, \hat{x}'_i, \dots, x'_m\}$ and $J_i G = \mathcal{J}_i = G/\mathcal{R}_i$.

LEMMA (8). *Let G be a group which is simply concordant to Φ and assume (i) $\mathcal{J}_i = \mathbb{Z}x'_i$ for all i , and (ii) $h_*: H_1(\Phi_2) \rightarrow H_1(G_2)$ is an isomorphism. Then $x_i \rightarrow v_i x'_i v_i^{-1}$ defines an isomorphism $\Phi \rightarrow G$ for some $v_i \in G$.*

Proof. By hypothesis there exist letters $y_1, \dots, y_r, z_1, \dots, z_s$ and equations $y_k = w_k x'_{i_k} w_k^{-1}$ ($w_k \in G(y)$), $z_l = u_l x_{i_l} u_l^{-1}$ ($u_l \in \Phi(z)$) such that $G(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_r) \approx \Phi(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_s)$. In fact, G itself is of the form $\Phi(w_1, \dots, w_t)$ for if $\mathcal{J}_i = Zx'_i$ then $B_j \in N_G\{x'_j: j \neq i\}$ for all i . As a result the B_j have the form

$$(9) \quad B_j = \prod_l w_{l_j} [x_{i_l}, x_{k_l}]^{\varepsilon_l} w_{l_j}^{-1}.$$

In fact recall $\Psi = \Phi'(b_1, \dots, b_p)$; let $K = \ker(\Psi \rightarrow G)$ the kernel of the map described by (8). Then, if R_j is the expression on the right hand side of (9) $B_j \equiv R_j \bmod K$, that is

$$B_j = R_j \cdot D$$

for some $D \in K$.

In D the words $b_l B_l^{-1}$ ($l = 1, \dots, p$) must appear with zero exponent sum, that is, $D \in [K, \Psi]$ modulo the C_k , which in turn lie in $[\Psi, K] = K \cap \Psi_2$ since $H_2(G_1) = 0$.

If G'_1 is

$$\langle \Psi: b_l B_l^{-1}, C_1, \dots, C_q, D \rangle$$

then G'_1 is an epimorphic image of G_1° and since $D \in [K, \Psi]$, $H_2(G_1^\circ) \rightarrow H_2(G'_1)$ is an epimorphism (both are zero) and $G^\circ \rightarrow G'$ is an isomorphism. Thus we may assume B_j has the desired form R_j since D is a relation in G° . Thus we may present G as $\langle x'_i, c_{l_j}: c_{l_j} = w'_{l_j} [x'_{i_l}, x'_{k_l}]^{\varepsilon_l} w_{l_j}^{-1} \rangle_\rho$, where the w'_{l_j} are obtained from the w_{l_j} of (9) by substituting b_j by $\prod c_{l_j}$. As in § 1, $\langle \dots \rangle_\rho$ indicates that the presentation includes the relations $G_\infty = 1$.

Since $w'_{l_j} [x'_{i_l}, x'_{k_l}] (w'_{l_j})^{-1} = [w'_{l_j} x'_{i_l}, x'_{k_l}] \cdot [x'_{k_l}, w'_{l_j}]$, we may alter the above presentation to

$$(10) \quad G = \langle x'_i, d_{l_j}, e_{l_j}: d_{l_j} = [w''_{l_j} x'_{i_l}, x'_{k_l}], e_{l_j} = [x_{k_l}, w''_{l_j}] \rangle_\rho$$

where the w'' are obtained from the w' by writing $c_{l_j} = (d_{l_j} e_{l_j})^{\varepsilon_l}$.

Finally, let $d'_{l_j} = d_{l_j} x'_{k_l}$, $e'_{l_j} = x_{k_l}^{-1} e_{l_j}$. Then (10) has the form

$$(10a) \quad \langle x'_i, d'_{l_j}, e'_{l_j}: d'_{l_j} = w''_{l_j} x_{i_l} (w'''_{l_j})^{-1}, e'_{l_j} = (w'''_{l_j}) x_{k_l} (w'''_{l_j})^{-1} \rangle_\rho$$

where transformation $d \rightarrow d' x^{-1}$, $e \rightarrow x e'$ throws w'' onto w''' .

Clearly (10a) has the desired form and G has a presentation (after relabeling everything)

$$\langle x'_1, \dots, x'_m, z_1, \dots, z_p: z_j = w_j x'_{i_j} w_j^{-1}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq p \rangle_\rho$$

or

$$G = \langle x'_i, z_j: z_j = w_j x'_{i_j} w_j^{-1}, r_i \rangle,$$

where $r_i = r_i(x, z)$ are the remaining relations, $N_{G_1}\{r_i\} = G_\infty$. Clearly,

if $\Psi = \Phi'(z)$, $r_i \in \Psi_2$.

Writing $w_j = u_j x_{i_j}^a$, relation $z_j = w_j x_{i_j}' w_j^{-1}$ becomes $z_j = u_j x_{i_j}' u_j^{-1}$ that is, we may assume $a = 0$ or $w_j \in \mathcal{R}_{i_j}$.

Transformations of the form $z_j \rightarrow x_k^\alpha z_j x_k^{-\alpha}$ ($k \neq i_j$) are Tietze transformations and by use of appropriate values of α we may assume w_j has zero exponent sum on x_k as well, that is $w_j \in \mathcal{R}_k$.

Our hypothesis (i) implies $G_2 = \cap \mathcal{R}_i$. Let $N = N_{G_1}\{\Phi_2\}$ then by (ii) $H_1\Phi_2 \rightarrow N/[N, N] \rightarrow G_2/[N, N] \rightarrow H_1G_2$ is the identity and in particular $G_2 = N_{G_1}\{\Phi_2\}$.

Write $y_j = z_j(x_{i_j}')^{-1}$. By Tietze transformations, (10a) can be changed to

$$(10b) \quad \langle x'_1, \dots, x'_m, y_1, \dots, y_p; y_p = [w'_j, x'_{i_j}], r' \rangle$$

and $w'_j \in \cap \mathcal{R}_i$ so we may write $w_j = \Pi c_{ij} k_{ij} c_{ij}^{-1}$, ($k_{ij} \in \Phi_2$). We may assume $c_{ij} \in N_{G_1}\{y_1, \dots, y_p\}$, otherwise $c_{ij} = d \cdot e$, $d \in N\{y_j\}$, $e \in \Phi$. Redefine c_{ij} as d and k_{ij} as $ek_{ij}e^{-1}$. In fact we may assume the c_{ij} have zero exponent sum on the y . If not use Lemma 3 to change the equation $y_j = [w'_j, x'_{i_j}]$ to $y_j = [w''_j, x'_{i_j}]$ where w'' is obtained from w' by changing y_j to $[w'_j, x'_{i_j}]$. Since the solutions of the first set of equations are also solutions of the second set, Lemma 3 implies this change is allowable. Finally write $y'_j = y_j[\Pi k_{ij}, x'_{i_j}]^{-1}$. Then (10b) becomes

$$(10c) \quad \langle x'_1, \dots, x'_m, y'_1, \dots, y'_p; y'_j = [\bar{v}_j, x_{i_j}], r'' \rangle$$

and the $\bar{v}_j = \Pi(\bar{c}_{ij} k_{ij} \bar{c}_{ij}^{-1}) \cdot (\Pi k_{ij})^{-1}$ where the \bar{c} are obtained from the c by the substitution $y_j = y'_j[\Pi k_{ij}, x'_{i_j}]$. Since the c have zero exponent sum on the y_j , the \bar{c} have zero exponent number on the y ; in particular $\bar{c}_{ij} \in N_{G_1}\{y_1, \dots, y_p\}$.

Let now η_j be the image of y_j in G . We wish to prove that $\eta_j \in G_n$. By (10c) this is so for $n = 2$. If $\eta_k \in G_n$ then $\bar{c}_{ij} \in G_n$ and then $\bar{v}_j \in G_{n+1}$ so that $\eta'_j = [\bar{v}_j, x_{i_j}] \in G_{n+2}$. By induction $\eta_j \in G_\infty = 1$ and so h is an isomorphism. This argument is an adaptation of the proof found in [14; p. 152].

4. An example. Let X be the disjoint union ΣX_i of m copies of the n sphere S^n ($n \geq 3$). A link is an embedding $\mathcal{L}: X \rightarrow S^{n+2}$. The knots $\mathcal{L}_i = \mathcal{L}|X_i$ are called the components of \mathcal{L} and $\mathcal{L}(X) = L$ is sometimes used instead of \mathcal{L} . The normal bundle of $L \subseteq S^{n+2}$ is trivial and so we can extend \mathcal{L} to an embedding $\bar{\mathcal{L}}: X \times D^2 \rightarrow S^{n+2}$. Let $U = U_L$ be the closure of $S^{n+2} - \bar{L}$ which is a compact manifold with boundary $X \times S^1$. As a result $\pi_1 = \pi_1(U)$ is finitely presented. Similarly, let U_i be the closure of $S^{n+2} - \bar{L}_i$ (the meaning of \bar{L}_i , \bar{L} is, hopefully, clear); $U = \bigcap_{i=1}^m U_i$. We say U is the

complement of \mathcal{L} .

Inclusion $X \times S^1 \rightarrow U$ induces a homomorphism $h: \Phi \rightarrow \pi_1$ of fundamental groups; let $p_i \in X_i$. The loops $\mu_i = \{p_i\} \times S^1$ (attached by simple arcs γ_i to a basepoint) are generators of a free group Φ' in π_1 , and the image of h is in Φ' . Naturally h depends on the choice of the γ_i . At any rate h satisfies the hypothesis of [11; (3.1)] and by §3, $\pi = \pi(U)$ is an algebraic extension of Φ ; further

PROPOSITION 9. *The group π is simply concordant to Φ .*

Proof. (Kervaire [5]). By Theorem 3 of [5] it is possible to find a link \mathcal{L}' , concordant to the trivial link with $\pi = \pi(U_{\mathcal{L}'})$. From Corollary 7 it follows that π is simply concordant to $\pi(U_{\mathcal{L}_0}) = \Phi$, where \mathcal{L}_0 is the trivial link. Homomorphism $h: \Phi \rightarrow \pi$ defines a subgroup $h(\Phi)$ of Φ' and $\Phi'/h(\Phi)$ is a simple algebraic extension and so is π/Φ' .

COROLLARY 10. *Let \mathcal{L} be a link, $\pi = \pi(U_{\mathcal{L}})$. If $\pi_i(U_i) = Z$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$, and if $h_*: H_1\Phi_2 \rightarrow H_1[\pi_1, \pi_1]$ is an isomorphism, then π is free generated by loops $\mu_i = \{p_i\} \times S^1$ attached to a basepoint by suitable γ_i .*

Proof. Immediate from Lemma 8 and Proposition 9.

LEMMA 11. *Let \mathcal{L} be an arbitrary link; then \mathcal{L} is concordant to a link satisfying the hypothesis of Corollary 10.*

Proof. We can write $\partial U = \Sigma X_i \times S^1$. Let A be the space obtained from ∂U by joining the $X_i \times S^1$ by means of arcs γ_i to a basepoint (cf. [3]). Consider diagram

$$(11) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & U & \\ i \uparrow & \text{---} q \text{---} & \\ A & \xrightarrow{p} & S^1 \end{array}$$

where $p(X_j \times S^1)$ is the basepoint for $j \neq 1$ and $p|X_1 \times S^1$ is the projection on the second coordinate. Triangle (11) can be completed if and only if

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \pi_1(U) & \\ i_* \uparrow & \text{---} q_* \text{---} & \\ \Phi & \xrightarrow{p_*} & Z \end{array}$$

can be completed. The latter is obvious (by using $q_*: \pi_1(U) \rightarrow$

$H_1(U) \approx \sum_{k=1}^m Zx_k \rightarrow Zx_i$) and so we can find $q: U \rightarrow S^1$ extending p . Let z_0 be a regular value of q ; then $V_i = q^{-1}(z_0)$ is a compact framed $(n+1)$ -submanifold of U with boundary $p^{-1}(Z_0) = X_i \times z_0 \subset \partial U$. Clearly V_i is not unique and $V_i \cap V_j \neq \emptyset$ (unless $\pi(U) = \emptyset$ by Lemma 2 of [3]). We say the V_i are Seifert manifolds for \mathcal{L} .

For simplicity we assume $i = 1$, $m = 2$. Observe the surgeries performed below do not affect L_2 so if we reduce $\pi_1(U_1)$ to Z the same argument can then be used to reduce $\pi_1(U_2)$. The case $m \geq 3$ is similar.

Let $\lambda: V_1 \rightarrow I$ be a smooth map with $\lambda^{-1}(0) = \partial V_1$. Define $W_1 = \{(v, t) \in V_1 \times I \mid 0 \leq t \leq \lambda(t)\}$. W_1 is a manifold with boundary $V_1(0) \cup V_1(1)$, where $V_1(\varepsilon) = \{(v, t) \mid t = \varepsilon\lambda(v)\}$, $\varepsilon = 0, 1$. Also W_1 has a corner along $V_1(0) \cap V_1(1) = \partial V_1 = X_1$. Each $V_1(\varepsilon)$ is diffeomorphic to V_1 . Let $\bar{V}_1(\varepsilon)$ be the complement in $V_1(\varepsilon)$ of an open smooth collar of $\partial V_1(\varepsilon)$. With the aid of the framing of V_1 we may embed W_1 so that $V_1(0) = V_1$. Let T_1 be the closure of $U - W_1$ with boundary $\partial W_1 + (X_2 \times S^1)$. We define maps $\nu_1^{(\varepsilon)}: V_1 \rightarrow T_1$ ($\varepsilon = 0, 1$) by $V_1 \cong V_1(\varepsilon) \subset \partial T_1 \subset T_1$. Let $G_1 = \pi_1(T_1)$, $H_1 = \pi_1(V_1)$. Then we have induced homomorphisms $\nu_1^{(\varepsilon)}: H_1 \rightarrow G_1$.

Notice that if we identify $\bar{V}_1(0)$ to $\bar{V}_1(1)$ in T_1 , we obtain U so $\pi_1 = \pi_1(U)$ is an HNN extension [9; § 5.1],

$$\langle G_1, x_1: x_1\nu_1^{(1)}(h)x_1^{-1} = \nu_1^{(0)}(h), \quad h \in H_1 \rangle.$$

Define $l: H_1 \rightarrow Z$ by $l(\alpha) = l(\alpha, X_2)$, the linking number of α and X_2 in S^{n+2} . If $l \equiv 0$, $h: \Phi \rightarrow \pi$ is an isomorphism, where $h(x_i) = \mu_i$ for some choice of arcs γ_i [3]. If $l \neq 0$, let $K = \ker l$, α a generator of H_1/K . Since H_1 is the semidirect product $K \times (H_1/K)$, we may assume $\alpha \in H_1$. Define $\delta(h) = \lambda_1^{(1)}(h)\lambda_1^{(0)}(h^{-1})$, and let $R = N_{\pi_1}\{i\nu_1^{(0)}(h): h \in H_1\}$ where $i: T_1 \subset U$ induces $i: G_1 \rightarrow \pi_1$.

Since $[\nu_1^{(1)}(k), x_1^{-1}] = \delta(k)x_1^{-1}(x_1\nu_1^{(0)}(k)x_1^{-1}\nu_1^{(1)}(k))x_1$, it follows that $\delta(k) \in [R, \pi_1]$ ($k \in K$).

Every element of G_1 is a product of conjugates of $\delta(k)$ ($k \in K$), $\delta(\alpha)$ and $h(x_2)$. Also $i\delta(\alpha) = [i\nu_1^{(1)}(\alpha), x_1]$. In particular, $\nu_1^{(0)}(k)$ is a product of conjugates of the above elements. Since $l(k) = 0$, $h(x_2)$ and $\delta(\alpha)$ occur with zero exponent sum and so $i\nu_1^{(0)}(k) \in [R, \pi_1]$, $R/[R, \pi_1]$ is then 0 and by [11; (2.1)], $H_2(\pi_1/R) = 0$.

Consider $U \times I$; attach 2-handles to $U \times \{1\}$ a long representatives of the $i\nu_1^{(0)}(k)$. It is necessary to attach finitely many handles because K is the normal closure of finitely many elements in H_1 . In fact, both H_1 and H_1/K are finitely presented [7; I]. The resulting space $M' = U \times I \cup \Sigma(h_i^2)$ has fundamental group π_1/R . Since $H_2(\pi_1/R) = 0$ all its second homology (which is free abelian generated by the handles (h_i^2)) is spherical [5; § 1] that is the generators of

the 2nd homology can be represented by spheres which, since $n \geq 3$, can be taken to be embedded.

Attach 3-handles along these embedded spheres to obtain $M'' = U \times I \cup \Sigma(h_i^3) \cap \Sigma(h_i^3)$ which by standard arguments is the complement in $S^{n+2} \times I$ of a concordance between L and a link L'' which admits Seifert manifolds V_1'', V_2'' and $\pi_1(V_1'') = Z$, $V_2'' \approx V_2$. Repeating this argument for V_2 we may assume L is concordant to a link L' with manifolds V_1', V_2' with infinite cyclic fundamental groups generated by α_1 and α_2 respectively. Represent α_i by a loop $a_i: S^1 \rightarrow V_i'$.

We may assume a_i extends to an embedding $D^2 \rightarrow U_i$ which misses V_i' although it will intersect $X_j (j \neq i)$, since $l(\alpha_i) \neq 0$.

If a_i does not intersect, let β be a loop in U with linking number $-l(\alpha_i, X_2)$ with X_2 and linking number zero with X_1 . We may assume $\beta \cap V_1 = \emptyset$. Let τ be a tubular neighborhood of β , $\tau \cong \beta \times D^{n+1}$. We may alter V_1' to $V_1 \# \partial\tau$ the connected sum of V_1' and $\partial\tau$ along a tube that joins them and that is disjoint with X_2 . Now $\pi_1(V_1 \# \partial\tau)$ is free in two generators α_1 and β and $\alpha_1\beta$ has zero linking number with X_2 so it can be eliminated as before.

The new link admits a Seifert manifold with infinite cyclic fundamental group generated by β . Since β bounds a disk in S^{n+2} disjoint from X_1 the assumption on a_i is possible. A similar process for V_2 .

Clearly if a_i extends to a disk in U_i , $\pi_1(U_i) = Z$. To complete the proof we have to fulfill condition (ii) of Lemma 8. We work with $m = 2$ and use the technique of [5, p. 246] to construct an infinite cyclic cover \mathfrak{U}_1 of U by taking a quotient of the disjoint union of copies Y_n of U cut along V_1 with identifications $(V_1^+)_n = (V_1^-)_{n+1}$ (loc. cit.). This cover is associated to \mathcal{R}_1 and $H_1\mathfrak{U}_1 \approx Z[x_1, x_1^{-1}]$. Let \bar{V}_2 be the lift of V_2 to U_1 . By cutting \mathfrak{U}_1 along \bar{V}_2 and repeating the construction we obtain a cover \mathfrak{U} of U associated to $\mathcal{R}_1 \cap \mathcal{R}_2 = [\pi_1, \pi_1]$ and $H_1\mathfrak{U}$ contains $A = Z[x_1, x_2, x_1^{-1}, x_2^{-1}] \approx H_1\Phi_2$ as a direct summand. In fact $H_1\mathfrak{U} = H_1([\pi_1, \pi_1]) = H_1(\Phi_2) \oplus M$ for a certain A -module M . Since $H_2(U) = 0$ we obtain from the spectral sequence for \mathfrak{U} that $M \otimes_A Z = 0$ and so every element of M is of the form $m = (x_1 - 1)m_1 + (x_2 - 1)m_2$. If y is a loop in U representing m then y is of the form $[x_1, y_1][x_2, y_2]$ for y_1, y_2 loops representing elements in M . Thus if we attach 2-handles to $U \times \{1\}$ to kill M we observe that the resulting homology is spherical since the new relations are products of commutators of themselves. This means our surgical argument can be repeated once more to insure that $h: H_1(\Phi_2) \rightarrow H_1([\pi_1, \pi_1])$ is an isomorphism.

In the remaining part of § 4 we assume $m = 2$ although similar results hold for all m .

COROLLARY 12. *Every link \mathcal{L} is concordant to one \mathcal{L}' which has mutually disjoint Seifert manifolds.*

Proof. We may assume that if $U' = U_L$, then $h: \Phi \rightarrow \pi(U')$ is an isomorphism, where \mathcal{L}' is the link found by Lemma 11. Consider

$$(12) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & U' & \\ i \uparrow & \searrow q & \\ \partial U & \xrightarrow{p} & S^1 \vee S^1 \end{array}$$

where $p|: X_j \times S^1 \rightarrow S^1 \vee S^1$ projects onto the j th circle, $j = 1, 2$. Triangle (12) extends if and only if the corresponding triangle of groups

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \pi_1(U') & \\ h \uparrow & \searrow q_* & \\ \Phi & \xrightarrow{id.} & \Phi \end{array}$$

extends, that is, if there exists an epimorphism $q_*: \pi_1(U') \rightarrow \Phi$ which is a retract of h . By [11; (3.1)] q_* induces an isomorphism $q: \pi(U') \rightarrow \Phi$ which must be the inverse of h . Thus (12) extends iff $h: \Phi \rightarrow \pi(U')$ is an isomorphism. Using regular values of $q: U' \rightarrow S^1 \vee S^1$ we may construct disjoint Seifert manifolds by the same method used in the proof of Lemma 11.

We say a link \mathcal{L} is *simple* if we can find Seifert manifolds $V_i^{n+1} \subseteq U$ which are q -connected for $n = 2q$ or $n = 2q + 1$. If $n = 2q$ ($q \geq 2$) the V_i are $(2q + 1)$ -discs [5; III].

THEOREM 13. *Every link of dimension $n \geq 3$ is concordant to a simple link.*

This is a consequence of the results of [3]. Similar definitions and results for $m \geq 3$. For $n = 2q$, Theorem 13 generalizes [5; III. 6].

REMARKS. (1) In [1] it is shown that group $\rho = \langle x_1, x_2, b: b = [x_1^i, b][x_1^j, x_2] \rangle$ is residually nilpotent and not free if $ij \neq 0$. Map $h: \Phi \rightarrow \rho$ defined by $h(x_k) = x_k$ is monic and $\rho = N_\rho h(\Phi)$. By [5] $\rho = \pi_1(U_L)$ for some link \mathcal{L} . Observe $\mathcal{L}_1 = Z$ but $\mathcal{L}_2 = \langle x_1, b: b = [x_1^i, b] \rangle \neq Z$ if $i \neq 0$ as expected from Lemma 8.

(2) Similarly in [12] a link $\mathcal{L}: X \rightarrow S^4$ is found with $\pi_1(U) = \Phi$ but $h: \Phi \rightarrow \pi_1(U)$ is not onto. Again $\mathcal{L}_1 = Z$ but $\mathcal{L}_2 = \langle a, b: a^2 = b^3 \rangle$ the trefoil knot group as expected from Corollary 10.

(3) Cappell has pointed out two facts; the first is a

PROPOSITION 14. *Let S_1, S_2 be spaces with the homotopy type of finite CW-complexes and let $f: S_1 \rightarrow S_2$ be a continuous map. If $f_*: H_q(S_1) \rightarrow H_q(S_2)$ is an isomorphism for $q = 1$ and an epimorphism for $q = 2$ then $\pi(S_1)$ is concordant to $\pi(S_2)$.*

The proof is based on the naturality of Hopf's sequence

$$\pi_2(S)_G \longrightarrow H_2(S) \longrightarrow H_2(G) \longrightarrow 0 ,$$

where $G = \pi_1(S)$ (cf [5; p. 106]) which is used to verify that the attached 2-cells that produce 2-homology actually generate spherical homology.

The second is more serious: Lemma 3 of [3] states more that it proves for odd dimensions. Theorem 13 is the best result possible. However Theorem 13 and the results of [2], yield a description of the concordance group for links.

(4) Unfortunately our remarks do not work for links in S^3 ; the presence of longitudes ruins everything. In the Notices of the Amer. Math. Soc. (24 (1977), announcement 77T-G15), J.A. Hilman exhibits a 2-link \mathcal{L} with unknotted components, zero Alexander polynomial (so $\bar{\mu}(i_1, \dots, i_r) = 0$) which satisfies $\Phi \neq \pi(U_L)$. If we try to imitate the construction algebraically we obtain the group

$$G_1 = \langle x_1, x_2, a, b: a = b^{-1}x_2x_1x_2^{-1}b, b = a^{-1}x_1x_2x_1^{-1}a \rangle .$$

Let $c = ax_1^{-1}$, $d = bx_2^{-1}$. Then G_1 has a presentation

$$\langle x_1, x_2, c, d: c = [x_1, x_2^{-1}dx_2], d = [x_2, x_1^{-1}cx_1] \rangle ,$$

eliminate d ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x_1, x_2, c: c &= [x_1, x_2^{-1}[x_2, x_1^{-1}cx_1]x_2] \rangle \\ &= \langle x_1, x_2, c: c = [x_1, [x_1^{-1}cx_1, x_2^{-1}]] \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and $c \in G_\infty$ by the Green-Zeeman argument. Naturally G_1 is not $\pi(U)$ because of the extra relation $[x_1, \lambda] = 1$ (λ = longitude) which causes $H_2\pi_1(U_L)$ to be $\neq 0$, and $\pi_1(U_L)$ is not an algebraic extension of $\Phi = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$. (\mathcal{L} is however, nullconcordant). The key point is that the longitudes λ are nonzero in $H_1([\pi_1, \pi_1])$ and so hypothesis (ii) of Lemma 8 fails.

REFERENCES

0. G. Baumslag and U. Stambach, *On the inverse limit of free nilpotent groups*, Comment. Math. Helv., **52** (1977), 219-234.
1. G. Baumslag, *Groups with the same lower central series as a relatively free group, II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **142** (1969), 507-538.
2. S. Cappell and J. Shaneson, *Fundamental groups, Γ -groups and codimension two*

submanifolds, Comment. Math. Helv., **51** (1976), 437-446.

3. M. Gutierrez, *Unlinking up to cobordism*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **79** (1973), 1299-1302.

4. ———, *Homology and completions*, J. Algebra, **52** (1978), 354-366.

5. M. Kervaire, *Les noeuds de dimensions supérieures*, Bull. Soc. Math. Franch, **93** (1965), 225-271.

6. S. Lang, *Algebra*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., (1965).

7. W. Magnus, A. Karrass and D. Solitar, *Combinatorial Group Theory*, Interscience, New York, (1966).

8. C. P. Rourke, *Embedded handle theory*, in *Topology of Manifolds* (Cantrell and Edwards, eds.) Markham, Chicago, (1970), 431-438.

9. D. Robinson, *Finiteness Conditions and Generalized Soluble Groups*, vol. I, Springer-Verlag, New York, (1972).

10. D. Rolfsen, *Isotopy of links in codimension two*, J. Indian Math. Soc., (N. S.), **36** (1972), 263-278.

11. J. Stallings, *Homology and central series of groups*, J. Algebra, **2** (1965), 170-181.

12. D. W. Summers, *On an unlinking theorem*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., **71** (1972), 1-4.

13. J. H. C. Whitehead, *Combinatorial homotopy, II*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **55** (1949), 453-496.

14. E. C. Zeeman, *Linking spheres*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg, **24** (1960), 149-153.

Received January 29, 1976 and in revised form June 10, 1978. This research was done with support from the Research Foundation of the City of New York (CUNY) while the author was a visitor at Penn State. The author wishes to thank S. Cappell, O. Rothaus, C. Giffen and especially the referee for interesting remarks.

TUFTS UNIVERSITY
MEDFORD, MA 02155

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

HUGO ROSSI

University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ANDREW OGG

University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. FINN AND J. MILGRAM
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Werner Bäni, <i>Subspaces of positive definite inner product spaces of countable dimension</i>	1
Marilyn Breen, <i>The dimension of the kernel of a planar set</i>	15
Kenneth Alfred Byrd, <i>Right self-injective rings whose essential right ideals are two-sided</i>	23
Patrick Cousot and Radhia Cousot, <i>Constructive versions of Tarski's fixed point theorems</i>	43
Ralph S. Freese, William A. Lampe and Walter Fuller Taylor, <i>Congruence lattices of algebras of fixed similarity type. I</i>	59
Cameron Gordon and Richard A. Litherland, <i>On a theorem of Murasugi</i>	69
Mauricio A. Gutiérrez, <i>Concordance and homotopy. I. Fundamental group</i>	75
Richard I. Hartley, <i>Metabelian representations of knot groups</i>	93
Ted Hurley, <i>Intersections of terms of polycentral series of free groups and free Lie algebras</i>	105
Roy Andrew Johnson, <i>Some relationships between measures</i>	117
Oldrich Kowalski, <i>On unitary automorphisms of solvable Lie algebras</i>	133
Kee Yuen Lam, <i>K O-equivalences and existence of nonsingular bilinear maps</i>	145
Ernest Paul Lane, <i>PM-normality and the insertion of a continuous function</i>	155
Robert A. Messer and Alden H. Wright, <i>Embedding open 3-manifolds in compact 3-manifolds</i>	163
Gerald Ira Myerson, <i>A combinatorial problem in finite fields. I</i>	179
James Nelson, Jr. and Mohan S. Putcha, <i>Word equations in a band of paths</i>	189
Baburao Govindrao Pachpatte and S. M. Singare, <i>Discrete generalized Gronwall inequalities in three independent variables</i>	197
William Lindall Paschke and Norberto Salinas, <i>C*-algebras associated with free products of groups</i>	211
Bruce Reznick, <i>Banach spaces with polynomial norms</i>	223
David Rusin, <i>What is the probability that two elements of a finite group commute?</i>	237
M. Shafii-Mousavi and Zbigniew Zielezny, <i>On hypoelliptic differential operators of constant strength</i>	249
Joseph Gail Stampfli, <i>On selfadjoint derivation ranges</i>	257
Robert Charles Thompson, <i>The case of equality in the matrix-valued triangle inequality</i>	279
Marie Angela Vitulli, <i>The obstruction of the formal moduli space in the negatively graded case</i>	281