Pacific Journal of Mathematics

INTERSECTIONS OF TERMS OF POLYCENTRAL SERIES OF FREE GROUPS AND FREE LIE ALGEBRAS

TED HURLEY

Vol. 82, No. 1

January 1979

INTERSECTIONS OF TERMS OF POLYCENTRAL SERIES OF FREE GROUPS AND FREE LIE ALGEBRAS

T. C. HURLEY

This paper is concerned with deriving bases for the lower central factors of a free group modulo the intersection of certain terms of the polycentral series with the lower central series, and with the identification of the intersections themselves. The results from the group case are used to derive analogous results for the free Lie Algebra.

Let G be an arbitrary group. The commutator group [A, B]of the subsets A and B of G is the subgroup generated by all commutators $[a, b] = a^{-1}b^{-1}ab$, $a \in A$, $b \in B$. The lower central series of G is the chain of normal subgroups

$$G = G_1 \geqq G_2 \geqq \cdots \geqq G_i \geqq G_{i+1} \geqq \cdots$$

where $G_{i+1} = [G_i, G]$.

Let m_1, \dots, m_n, \dots be a sequence of positive integers. For n = 1 we define G_{m_1} , as above, to be the m_1 th term of the lower central series of G and then define the *polycentral series*,

$$G \ge G_{m_1} \ge \cdots \ge G_{m_1, \cdots, m_i} \ge G_{m_1, \cdots, m_i, m_{i+1}} \ge \cdots$$

of G relative to this sequence by the rule that $G_{m_1,\dots,m_{i+1}}$ is the m_{i+1} th term of the lower central series of G_{m_1,\dots,m_i} .

Let L be a Lie Algebra. By analogy to the group case we define the polycentral series of L relative to the sequence m_1, \dots, m_i, \dots

$$L \geq L_{m_1} \geq \cdots \geq L_{m_1, \cdots, m_i} \geq L_{m_1, \cdots, m_i, m_{i+1}} \geq \cdots$$

in the following way: $L_1 = L$ and $L_{i+1} = L_i$. L (where in L, A.B = the ideal generated by all $a.b, a \in A, b \in B$ and . denotes the multiplication in L). Then, inductively, define

$$L_{m_1,\dots,m_{i+1}} = (L_{m_1,\dots,m_i})_{m_{i+1}}$$

A group G is nilpotent iff $G_n = 1_G$ for some n and polynilpotent iff $G_{m_1,\dots,m_n} = 1_G$ for some (finite) sequence m_1,\dots,m_n . Similarly define a nilpotent Lie Algebra and a polynilpotent Lie Algebra. The nth lower central factor of G is G_n/G_{n+1} . A group G is said to be residually-P, where P is some group property, iff for each $g \in G$, $g \neq 1$, there exists a normal subgroup N_g of G such that G/N_g has the property P and $g \notin N_g$.

If F is a free group, then $F/F_{m_1,\dots,m_n}$ is the free polynilpotent group relative to the sequence m_1, \dots, m_n . Similarly define $\mathscr{L}/\mathscr{L}_{m_1,\dots,m_n}$, the free polynilpotent Lie Algebra, where \mathscr{L} is a free Lie Algebra.

Free polynilpotent groups have been studied extensively by Gruenberg [1], who shows for example that they are residually finite p-groups for every prime p, and by Smelkin [5] who determines their lower central factors and also obtains bases for the additive groups of free polynilpotent Lie Algebras. Results along these lines have also been obtained by Ward [6].

It is a consequence of Gruenberg's result that $G = F/(F_{m_1,m_2,...,m_n} \cap F_{m_1m_2...m_n+r})$, $(r \ge 0)$, is residually nilpotent and it may also be verified that for $s \ge m_1m_2\cdots m_n + r$ the sth lower central factor of G and of $F/F_{m_1,m_2,...,m_n}$ are isomorphic—see Lemma 7 below. In this paper, all the lower central factors of $F/(F_{m,n} \cap F_{mn+r})(r \ge 0)$, are computed (Theorem E below). This includes Smelkin's result on $F_{m,n}$ (the case r = 0) and the proof here is independent of his relying solely on computations with basic group commutators. Also a classification of $F_{m,n} \cap F_{mn+r}$ as a product of certain commutator subgroups of F is obtained (Theorem A)—see Ward [6, Theorem 17.2] for the case n = 2. The lower central factors of $F'/(F_{m,n} \cap F_{mn+r})$ turn out to be free abelian and consequently this group is residually torsion-free-and-nilpotent and therefore by Gruenberg [1] is residually a finite p-group for all primes p.

Using the results from the group case, $\mathscr{L}_{m,n} \cap \mathscr{L}_{mn+r}$ is identified (Theorem A') and a basis for the additive group of $\mathscr{L}/(\mathscr{L}_{m,n} \cap \mathscr{L}_{mn+r})$ is obtained (Theorem F).

2. Further notation and preliminary lemmas. Define, for any group G, with $n \ge 2$,

$$G(m, n; r) = \prod [G_{m+r_1}, G_{m+r_2}, \cdots, G_{m+r_n}]$$

where the product is over all nonnegative integers r_1, \dots, r_n with $r_1 + r_2 + \dots + r_n = r$.

If n = 1, define $G(m, l; r) = G_{m+r}$, (for $m \ge 1$, $r \ge 0$). Then it is clear that

Then it is clear that

$$G(m, n; 0) = G_{m,n}$$
.

THEOREM A. $F_{m,n} \cap F_{mn+r} = F(m, n; r)$.

The special case

$$F_{m,2} \cap F_{2m+r} = \prod_{r_1+r_2=r} [F_{m+r_1}, F_{m+r_2}]$$

has been shown by Ward [6, Theorem 17.2].

To prove this and to describe the lower central factors of F/F(m, n; r) I need some properties of *free groups*, *free Lie Algebras* and *basic commutators*, and the reader is referred to either Magnus, Karrass and Solitar [4, Chapter 5] or to M. Hall [2, Chapter 11]. An excellent account may also be found in P. Hall's notes [3, Chapter 5].

For a precise formulation of *bracket arrangement* β^s see [5, § 5.2]; imprecisely $\beta^s(A_1, \dots, A_s)$ means the commutator subgroup obtained by bracketing A_1, \dots, A_s in a certain fixed defined way. Thus, for example, if $\beta^4 = ((), ())$ then $\beta^4(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4) = [[A_1, A_2], [A_3, A_4]].$

The bracket arrangement is used only in Lemma 1 below, which is probably well-known and is in any case a simple application of P. Hall's 3-subgroup lemma [5, Theorem 5.2].

LEMMA 1. Let A_1, \dots, A_s be normal subgroups of a group G, β^s a bracket arrangement of weight s and ρ a (fixed) permutation of 1, 2, ..., s. Then

$$eta^{s}(A_{
ho_{(1)}},\,A_{
ho_{(2)}},\,\cdots,\,A_{
ho_{(s)}}) \subseteq \prod\limits_{\sigma} \left[A_{\sigma_{(1)}},\,A_{\sigma_{(2)}},\,\cdots,\,A_{\sigma_{(s)}}
ight]$$

where the product is over all permutations σ of 1, 2, \cdots , s.

Proof. It is clear that we may assume ρ is the identity permutation.

The cases s = 2 and s = 3 are obvious. Now use induction on s. Let s > 3 and suppose $\beta^s = \beta^t \beta^q$ so that

$$eta^s(A_1,\,\cdots,\,A_s)=\left[eta^t(A_1,\,\cdots,\,A_t),\,eta^q(A_{t+1},\,\cdots,\,A_s)
ight].$$

By induction $\beta^t(A_1, \dots, A_t) \subseteq \prod_{\sigma} [A_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, A_{\sigma(t)}]$ and

$$eta^q(A_{t+1},\,\cdots,\,A_s) \subseteq \prod_{
ho} \left[A_{
ho(t+1)},\,\cdots,\,A_{
ho(s)}
ight]$$

and so it is only necessary to show that

$$(1) \qquad [[A_{\sigma(1)}, \cdots, A_{\sigma(t)}], \ [A_{\rho(t+1)}, \cdots, A_{\rho(s)}]] \subseteq \prod_{\sigma} [A_{\sigma(1)}, \cdots, A_{\sigma(s)}]$$

since for normal subgroups $[AB, C] \subseteq [A, C][B, C]$.

We can assume, since [A, B] = [B, A], that $t \ge q$, and (1) can be proved by induction on q. If q = 1, then t = s - 1 and (1) is obvious. If q > 1 then by the 3-subgroup lemma

$$\begin{split} & [[A_{\sigma(1)}, \, \cdots, \, A_{\sigma(t)}], \, [A_{\rho(t+1)}, \, \cdots, \, A_{\rho(s)}]] \\ & \subseteq [[A_{\sigma(1)}, \, \cdots, \, A_{\sigma(t)}, \, A_{\rho(s)}], \, [A_{\rho(t+1)}, \, \cdots, \, A_{\rho(s-1)}]] \\ & \times [[[A_{\sigma(1)}, \, \cdots, \, A_{\sigma(t)}], \, [A_{\rho(t+1)}, \, \cdots, \, A_{\rho(s-1)}]], \, A_{\rho(s)}] \, . \end{split}$$

Applying the inductive hypothesis to the groups on the right hand side of the above gives the required result.

G(m, n; r) as defined presents some notational difficulties and so in what follows I shall use the convention that \prod_r (where the expression after the product is expressed as a function of r_1, \dots, r_n) to mean the product over all nonnegative integers r_1, \dots, r_n with $r_1 + \dots + r_n = r$.

LEMMA 2. (i) $G(m, n; r) \subseteq G(m, n; r-1), (r \ge 1).$ (ii) $[G(m, n; r), G(m, p; s)] \subseteq G(m, n + p; r + s).$ (iii) $[G(m, n; r), G(m, n; s)] \subseteq G(m, n; r + s + 1).$ (iv) $G(m, n + 1; r) \subseteq G(m, n; r + 1).$

Proof. (i) is obvious.

(ii):- $[G(m, n; r), G(m, p; s)] = [\prod_r [G_{m+r_1}, \dots, G_{m+r_n}], \prod_s [G_{m+s_1}, \dots, G_{m+s_p}]]$. (If n = 1 interpret $\prod_r = G_{m+r}$ and similarly if p = 1). Hence $[G(m, n; r), G(m, p; s)] \subseteq \prod_{r,s} [[G_{m+r_1}, \dots, G_{m+r_n}], [G_{m+s_1}, \dots, G_{m+s_p}]]$ since for normal subgroups, $[AB, C] \subseteq [A, C][B, C]$ and $[A, BC] \subseteq [A, B][A, C]$. Now use Lemma 1 to complete the proof. (iii):- $[G(m, n; r), G(m, n; s)] \subseteq \prod_{r,s} [[G_{m+r_1}, \dots, G_{m+r_n}], [G_{m+s_1}, \dots, G_{m+s_n}]]$

 $(111):= [G(m, n; r), G(m, n; s)] \subseteq \prod_{r,s} [[G_{m+r_1}, \cdots, G_{m+r_n}], [G_{m+s_1}, \cdots, G_{m+s_n}]].$

If
$$n = 1$$
, then $\prod_{r,s} = [G_{m+r}, G_{m+s}]$
 $\subseteq G_{m+r+m+s}$.
 $\subseteq G_{m+r+s+1} = G(m, n; r + s + 1).$

If
$$n > 1$$

$$[G_{m+r_1}, \cdots, G_{m+r_n}] \subseteq [G_{m+r_1+m+r_2}, G_{m+r_3}, \cdots, G_{m+r_n}]$$
$$\subseteq [G_{m+r_1+r_2+1}, G_{m+r_3}, \cdots, G_{m+r_n}],$$

and so

$$[G(m, n; r), G(m, n; s)]$$

$$\subseteq \prod_{r,s} [[G_{m+r_1+r_2+1}, G_{m+r_3}, \cdots, G_{m+r_n}], [G_{m+s_1}, \cdots, G_{m+s_n}]]$$

$$\subseteq \prod_t [G_{m+t_1}, \cdots, G_{m+t_{2n-1}}], \quad t = r + s + 1.$$

The last step comes, as before, from Lemma 1. (iv):- The proof is similar to (iii) and is omitted.

LEMMA 3. Let A, B, C be normal subgroups of the group G. Suppose $a \in A, b \in B, c \in C$. Then

$$[a, b, c][b, c, a][c, a, b] \equiv 1 \text{ modulo } [[A, B], [A, C]] .$$
$$[[A, B], [B, C]] . \quad [[A, C], [B, C]] .$$

Proof. By well-known commutator identities (see e.g., [4, Theorem 5.1]),

$$\begin{split} & [a, b, c^{a}][c, a, b^{c}][b, c, a^{b}] = 1 \\ & \longrightarrow [a, b, c[c, a]][c, a, b[b, c]][b, c, a[a, b]] = 1 \\ & \longrightarrow [a, b, [c, a]][a, b, c][a, b, c, [c, a]][c, a, [b, c]] \\ & \times [c, a, b][c, a, b, [b, c]][b, c, [a, b]][b, c, a][b, c, a, [a, b]] = 1 . \end{split}$$

Now since

 $[a, b, [c, a]] \text{ and } [a, b, c, [c, a]] \in [[A, B], [A, C]],$ $[c, a, [b, c]] \text{ and } [c, a, b, [b, c]] \in [[A, C], [B, C]],$ $[b, c, [a, b]] \text{ and } [b, c, a, [a, b]] \in [[A, B], [B, C]],$

and the groups are all normal we have that

$$[a, b, c][b, c, a][c, a, b] \in [[A, B], [A, C]] .$$
$$[[A, B], [B, C]] \cdot [[A, C], [B, C]] .$$

This lemma can be thought of as a generalization of the Jacobi identity for groups.

COROLLARY. Suppose $a \in G(m, n; r)$, $b \in G(m, p; s)$, $c \in G(m, q; t)$. Then

 $[a, b, c][b, c, a][c, a, b] \equiv 1 \mod G(m, n + p + q; r + s + t + 1)$.

Proof. Let A = G(m, n; r), B = G(m, p; s), C = G(m, q; t). Then by Lemma 2,

$$egin{aligned} & [[A,\,B],\,[A,\,C]] \subseteq [G(m,\,n\,+\,p;\,r\,+\,s),\,G(m,\,n\,+\,q;\,r\,+\,t)] \ & \subseteq G(m,\,n\,+\,p\,+\,q;\,r\,+\,s\,+\,r\,+\,t) \ & \subseteq G(m,\,n\,+\,p\,+\,q;\,r\,+\,s\,+\,t\,+\,1) \ . \end{aligned}$$

Similarly for [[A, B], [B, C]] and [[A, C], [B, C]].

3. Bases. Let c be a basic commutator with $c = [c_1, c_2]$ for

basic commutators c_1, c_2 . Say c is structurally contained in $F(m, 1; r) = F_{m+r}$ iff $c \in F_{m+r}$. Suppose it is defined what is meant by saying that c is structually contained in F(m, t; r) for all $1 \leq t < n$ and for all r. Then say c is structurally contained in F(m, n; r) iff c_1 is structurally contained in $F(m, s; r_1)$, and c_2 is structurally contained in $F(m, t; r_2)$ for some $s, t \geq 1$ and some $r_1, r_2 \geq 0$ with s + t = n and $r_1 + r_2 = r$. (Note: If $s \geq 2$, then automatically $c_2 \in F_m$ since $[c_1, c_2]$ is basic.)

For a basic commutator c, write $c \in F(m, n; r)$ iff c is structurally contained in F(m, n; r).

The following lemmas are easily verified.

LEMMA 4. If $c \in F(m, n; r)$ then $c \in F(m, n; r)$.

LEMMA 5. (i) If $c \in F(m, n; r)$ then (ii) $c \in F(m, n-1; r+m)$, $(n \ge 2)$. $c \in F(m, n; r-1)$. $(r \ge 1)$.

Proofs. These come directly from the definition and Lemma 2.

LEMMA 6. Suppose b, c are basic commutators such that $b \in F(m, s; i)$, and $c \in F(m, t; k)$, with s + t = n and i + k = r. Then [b, c] is congruent, modulo F(m, n; r + 1), to a product of basic commutators, each of which is structurally contained in F(m, n; r).

Proof. We may assume that F is finitely generated since b and c only involve a finite number of generators of F.

We may also assume that b > c since $[b, c] = [c, b]^{-1}$. If b is a generator of F then clearly [b, c] is a basic commutator. If $b = [b_1, b_2]$ where $b_2 \leq c$ then again [b, c] is a basic commutator and there is nothing to be shown.

Define the *difference number* (d.n.) of a commutator [b, c] where b and c are basic commutators with b > c, in the following way: Let b have weight w and suppose there exists v basic commutators of weight $\leq w$. Let c be the *l*th basic commutator. Then l < v and define

d.n.
$$[b, c] = v - l$$
.

Now use induction on d.n. [b, c]. (This is a type of "backward induction").

Suppose $b = [b_1, b_2]$ where b_1, b_2 are basics and $b_2 > c$. Then weight $b_2 \ge$ weight c, giving that $b_2 \in F_m$ and consequently $b_1 \in F_m$.

Thus, we may assume $s \ge 2$ and therefore suppose

$$b_1 \in F(m, s_1; i_1)$$
, $b_2 \in F(m, s_2; i_2)$,

with $s_1 + s_2 = s$, $i_1 + i_2 = i$, $(s_1, s_2 \ge 1, i_1, i_2 \ge 0)$. Then by corollary to Lemma 3,

$$(3) \quad [b, c] = [b_1, b_2, c] \equiv [b_1, c, b_2][b_2, c, b_1]^{-1} \text{ modulo } F(m, n; r+1) .$$

Now d.n. $[b_i, c] < d.n. [b, c]$ and so by the inductive hypothesis $[b_i, c]$ is congruent, modulo $F(m, s_1 + t; i_1 + k + 1)$, to a product, $e_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots e_q^{\alpha_q}$ say $(\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z})$, of basic commutators e_i with each $e_i \in F(m, s_1 + t; i_1 + k)$.

Then using the commutator identity [xy, z] = [x, z][x, z, y][y, z]and Lemmas 2 and 3, we see that

(4)
$$[b_1, c, b_2] = \prod_{j=1}^q [e_j, b_2]^{\alpha_j} \text{ modulo } F(m, n; r+1).$$

Now for each j, $1 \leq j \leq q$, weight $e_j \leq$ weight b. Also $b_2 > c$ and hence d.n. $[e_j, b_2] < d.n. [b, c]$. Therefore by induction each $[e_j, b_2]$, and consequently $[b_1, c, b_2]$, can be written, modulo F(m, n; r + 1), as a product of basic commutators structurally contained in F(m, n; r).

Also d.n. $[b_2, c] < d.n. [b, c]$ and therefore $[b_2, c]$ is congruent, modulo $F(m, s_2 + t; i_2 + k + 1)$, to a product, $f_1^{\beta_1} \cdots f_k^{\beta_k} \operatorname{say} (\beta_i \in \mathbb{Z})$, of basic commutators f_i such that $f_i \in F(m, s_2 + t; i_2 + k)$.

For a particular f_i , note that there are two possibilities, either $f_i \ge b_1$ or $f_i < b_1$. In any case, as above, get

(5)
$$[b_2, c, b_1] \equiv \prod_{j=1}^{h} [f_j, b_1]^{\beta_j} \text{ modulo } F(m, n; r+1).$$

Now consider the two cases.

Case 1. $f_j \ge b_1$. Now weight $f_j \le$ weight b and also $b_1 > b_2 > c$ giving that d.n. $[f_j, b_1] < d.n. [b, c]$.

Case 2. $b_1 > f_j$. Now weight $b_1 <$ weight b and also, since f_j has bigger weight than $c, f_j > c$ giving that d.n. $[b_1, f_j] < d.n. [b, c]$.

Hence, by induction, each $[f_j, b_1]$ is congruent, modulo F(m, n; r + 1), to a product of basic commutators structurally contained in F(m, n; r) and therefore from (5), so is $[b_2, c, b_1]$. Thus $[b_1, c, b_2]$ and $[b_2, c, b_1]^{-1}$ are products, modulo F(m, n; r + 1), of basic commutators of the required form and using (3) gives the required result.

THEOREM B. F(m, n; r)/F(m, n; r + 1) is free abelian, freely

generated by those basic commutators c of weight mn + r such that $c \in F(m, n; r)$.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 2 that the group is abelian. Also since $F(m, n; r) \subseteq F_{mn+r}$, and $F(m, n; r+1) \subseteq F_{mn+r+1}$ the freeness will follow from the Basis Theorem for Basic Commutators [4, Theorem 5.13A or 2, Theorem 11.2.4].

Therefore it is only necessary to show that the stated basic commutators generate the group.

If n = 1, this is equivalent to showing that F_{m+r}/F_{m+r+1} is generated by basic commutators of weight m + r and this is part of the Basis Theorem. Let n > 1 and proceed by induction on n. If $a \in F(m, n; r)$ then a is a product of elements of the form

$$b = [b_1, \dots, b_n], b_i \in F_{m+r_i}$$
 with $r_1 + \dots + r_n = r$.

By induction $[b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}]$ is congruent, modulo $F(m, n-1; r-r_n+1)$ to a product of basic commutators structurally contained in $F(m, n-1; r-r_n)$ and b_n is also congruent modulo F_{m+r_n+1} to a product of basic commutators contained in F_{m+r_n} . Now from Lemma 2,

$$[F(m, n-1; r-r_n+1), F_{m+r_n}] \subseteq F(m, n; r+1)$$

and

$$[F(m, n-1; r-r_n), F_{m+r_n+1}] \subseteq F(m, n; r+1)$$

and so the problem reduces to showing that [a, b], with $a \in F(m, n-1; r - r_n)$ and $b \in F(m, 1; r_n)$, is congruent, modulo F(m, n; r + 1), to a product of basic commutators of weight mn + r each of which is structurally contained in F(m, n; r). Lemma 6 does this for us.

COROLLARY. Let b be a basic commutator. Then

 $b \in F(m, n; r) \iff b \in F(m, n; r)$.

Proof. We have already seen (Lemma 4) that if $b \in F(m, n; r)$ then $b \in F(m, n; r)$.

Suppose now $b \in F(m, n; r)$. Then b, being a basic commutator, is not 1 and so $b \notin \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i$, since free groups are residually nilpotent. Thus $b \notin \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(m, n; i)$ and suppose $b \in F(m, n; s)$, $b \notin F(m, n; s+1)$. So $s \ge r$ and therefore by Theorem B, b is a unique product, modulo F(m, n; s+1) of basic commutators structually contained in F(m, n; s). Therefore since b is also a basic commutator, this unique product must be precisely b, giving that $b \in F(m, n; s)$ and therefore $b \in (m, n; r)$. THEOREM C. Every element of $F_{m,n}$ can be written uniquely as $c^{\alpha_1} \cdots c^{\alpha_s} \mod F(m, n; r)$,

where c_1, \dots, c_s are the basic commutators of weight < mn + rstructually contained in $F_{m,n}$, $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $c_1 < \dots < c_s$ in the ordering of the basic commutators.

REMARK. This theorem reduces to P. Hall's Basis Theorem for the case n = 1 and m = 1. This then can be considered as a "basis theorem" for certain terms of the polycentral series of F. Whereas in the Basis Theorem uniqueness modulo F_{r+1} is obtained, here F_{r+1} must be replaced by the complicated expression F(m, n; r).

Proof of Theorem C. The proof is immediate from Theorem B. I omit spelling out the details.

THEOREM A.

$$F_{m,n} \cap F_{mn+r} = F(m, n; r) .$$

Proof. The proof is now easy. Use induction on r. The case r = 0 is trivial.

It is clear that $F(m, n; r) \subseteq F_{m,n} \cap F_{mn+r}$. Suppose r > 0 and $a \in F_{m,n} \cap F_{mn+r}$. Then $a \in F(m, n; r - 1)$ by the inductive hypothesis, and by Theorem B, a is a product, modulo F(m, n; r), of certain basic commutators of weight mn + r - 1. Since also $a \in F_{mn+r}$, this product must be 1 by the Basis Theorem, and so $a \in F(m, n; r)$. This proves Theorem A.

It is clear that these arguments work for the free Lie Algebra and so I state without proof the following two theorems.

Let T_i denote the set of basic commutators of weight i.

THEOREM B'. $\mathscr{L}_{m,n}/\mathscr{L}(m, n; r)$ has as additive basis those basic commutators of weight < mn + r which are structually contained in $\mathscr{L}_{m,n}$.

THEOREM A'.

$$\mathscr{L}_{m,n}\cap \mathscr{L}_{mn+r}=\mathscr{L}(m,n;r)$$
.

Theorems A' and B' may also be deduced easily from Theorem F and its corollary below.

THEOREM D. (Smelkin [5]). Let $G = F/F_{m,n}$. Then G_i/G_{i+1} is free abelian, freely generated by the set $S_i = T_i \setminus R_i$, where R_i denotes the basic commutators of weight i structurally contained in $F_{m,n}$.

Proof. Clearly G_i/G_{i+1} is generated by S_i since the basics structurally contained in $F_{m,n}$ vanish in G.

We need only consider $i \ge mn$ and hence suppose i = mn + r, $r \ge 0$.

Suppose a product \prod of elements from S_i is in $F_{i+1}F_{m,n}$. Then

$$\prod = ab$$
 , $a \in {F}_{m,n}$, $b \in {F}_{i+1}$.

Hence $a \in F_{m,n} \cap F_i = F(m, n; r)$ by Theorem A. Therefore by Theorem B, a is a product, modulo $F(m, n; r + 1) (\subseteq F_{i+1})$, of basic commutators of weight *i* which are structurally contained in F(m, n; r). The set of basic commutators structually contained in F(m, n; r) of weight *i* has empty intersection with S_i and so $a \equiv 1$ modulo F_{i+1} and hence $\prod \equiv 1$ modulo F_{i+1} , giving that \prod is identically 1.

THEOREM D'. (Smelkin [5]). The set consisting of those basic commutators which are not structurally contained in $\mathcal{L}_{m,n}$ is an additive basis for $\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{m,n}$.

This may be proved from Theorem A' by analogy to the group case. It is also a trivial consequence of Theorem F below.

The proof of the following theorem includes that of Theorem D.

THEOREM E. Let G = F/F(m, n; r). Then G_i/G_{i+1} is free abelian, freely generated by the set $S_i = T_i \setminus R_i$, where R_i denotes the basic commutators of weight i structurally contained in F(m, n; r).

Proof. Clearly S_i generates G_i/G_{i+1} . It is only necessary to consider $i \ge mn + r$ and hence let i = mn + r + s, $s \ge 0$. Suppose a product \prod of elements from S_i is contained in $F(m, n; r)F_{i+1}$.

Then

$$\prod = ab, a \in F(m, n; r)$$
, $b \in F_{i+1}$.

Therefore $a \in F_i \cap F(m, n; r) = F(m, n; r + s)$, by Theorem A. Thus a, by Theorem B, is a product, modulo F(m, n; r + s + 1), of basic commutators of weight *i* which are structurally contained in F(m, n; r + s). An element of S_i cannot be structurally contained in F(m, n; r + s) for if so it would be constructurally contained in F(m, n; r)—see Lemma 5. Hence \prod is identically 1.

If G is any group then as e.g., in Magnus, Karrass and Solitar [4, Chapter 5] $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} G_i/G_{i+1}$ can be made into a Lie Algebra L by defining addition, +, in L by

$$\sum a_i G_{i+1} + \sum a'_i G_{i+1} = \sum a_i a'_i G_{i+1}$$
, $(a_i, a'_i \in G_i)$

(i.e., using the group multiplication componentwise) and multiplication, \cdot , in L by first defining

$$a_iG_{i+1} \cdot a_jG_{j+1} = [a_i, a_j]G_{i+j+1}, \ (a_i \in G_i, a_j \in G_j)$$

and then using the distributive laws to extend this definition to all of $\bigoplus G_i/G_{i+1}$.

Let G = F/F(m, m; r). Then there is the obvious surjective Lie Algebra homomorphism $\sigma: \mathscr{L}/\mathscr{L}(m, n; r) \to \bigoplus G_i/G_{i+1}$. The basic commutators structurally contained in $\mathscr{L}(m, n; r)$ vanish in $\mathscr{L}/\mathscr{L}(m, n; r)$ and the others are linearly independent in $\bigoplus G_i/G_{i+1}$ by Theorem E. This gives the following theorem.

THEOREM F. The additive group of $\mathscr{L}/\mathscr{L}(m, n; r)$ has as basis the set of those basic commutators which are not structurally contained in $\mathscr{L}(m, n; r)$.

COROLLARY. $\mathscr{L}/\mathscr{L}(m, n; r)$ and $\bigoplus G_i/G_{i+1}$ are isomorphic Lie Algebras under the obvious map.

LEMMA 7. (i) If F/R is residually nilpotent so is $F/(R \cap F_n)$. (ii) The mth lower central factor of F/R is isomorphic to that of $F/(R \cap F_n)$ for any $m \ge n$.

Proof. (i) If F/R is residually nilpotent, then $\bigcap_i F_i R = R$. Now $\bigcap_i F_i(R \cap F_n) \subseteq \bigcap_i F_i R = R$. Also for $i \ge n$, $F_i(R \cap F_n) \subseteq F_n$ giving that $\bigcap_i F_i(R \cap F_n) = R \cap F_n$. Thus $F/(R \cap F_n)$ is residually nilpotent when F/R is.

(ii) Since $F_{m+1}(R \cap F_n) \subseteq F_{m+1}R$ we have a surjective map

 ${F}_{m}(R \cap {F}_{n})/{F}_{m+1}(R \cap {F}_{n}) \longrightarrow {F}_{m}R/{F}_{m+1}R$.

The kernel of this map is $F_m(R \cap F_n) \cap F_{m+1}R = F_{m+1}(R \cap F_n)$, for $m \ge n$, giving that the two groups are isomorphic.

COROLLARY 1. $F/(F_{m_1,\dots,m_n} \cap F_{m_1\dots,m_n+r})$ is residually nilpotent.

COROLLARY 2. $F/(F_{m,n} \cap F_{mn+r})$ is residually torsion-free and nilpotent.

COROLLARY 3. $F/(F_{m,n} \cap F_{mn+r})$ is residually a finite p-group for all primes p.

Proof of corollaries. By Gruenberg [1], $F/F_{m_1,\dots,m_n}$ is residually nilpotent, giving that $F/(F_{m_1,\dots,m_n} \cap F_{m_1\dots,m_n+r})$ is residually nilpotent. By Theorem E, $F/(F_{m,n} \cap F_{mn+r})$ has torsion-free lower central factors and is therefore residually torsion-free-and-nilpotent and hence again by Gruenberg [1] it is residually a finite p-group for all primes p.

It is also a corollary that the sth lower central factor of $F/F_{m_1,\dots,m_n}$ is isomorphic to that of $F/(F_{m_1,\dots,m_n} \cap F_{m_1\dots,m_n+r})$, for $s \ge m_1 \cdots m_n + r$.

References

1. K. W. Gruenberg, Residual properties of infinite soluble groups, Proc. London Math. Soc., (3) 7 (1957), 29-62.

2. M. Hall, The Theory of Groups, Macmillan, New York, 1959.

3. ____, The Edmonton Notes on Nilpotent Groups, Queen Mary College Lecture Notes, London, 1969.

4. W. Magnus, A. Karrass and D. Solitar, *Combinatorial Group Theory*, Interscience, New York-London-Sydney, 1966.

5. A. L. Smelkin, *Free Polynilpotent Groups*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat., **28** (1964), 91-122; English transl., Amer. Math. Soc. Transl., (2) **55** (1966), 270-304.

6. M. A. Ward, *Basic Commutators*, Phil. Trans. Royal Soc. of London, Ser, A, **264** (1969), 343-412.

Received September 13, 1977 and in revised form June 9, 1978.

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE BELFIELD, DUBLIN 4, IRELAND

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

HUGO ROSSI University of Utah

Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ANDREW OGG University of California Berkeley, CA 94720 J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

R. FINN AND J. MILGRAM Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH B. H. NEUMANN

NN F. WOLF

K. Yoshida

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 82, No. 1 January, 1979

Werner Bäni, Subspaces of positive definite inner product spaces of countable	1
	15
Marilyn Breen, The dimension of the kernel of a planar set	15
Kenneth Alfred Byrd, Right self-injective rings whose essential right ideals	22
	23
Patrick Cousoi and Radma Cousoi, Constructive versions of Tarski's fixed	12
Point medicins	43
lattices of algebras of fixed similarity type. I	50
Comparen Conden and Bishard A. Litherland. On a theorem of Munamusi	59
Cameron Gordon and Richard A. Litteriand, <i>On a meorem of Murasugi</i>	09
Mauricio A. Gutterrez, Concoraance and nomotopy. 1. Fundamental	75
Bishard I. Hartlay, Metabolian representations of last ensure	02
Ted Herders Internet from a frame a fr	95
Ted Huffley, Intersections of terms of polycentral series of free groups and free	105
Den Andren Isharen Computationshine hateren anderen	105
COLVER A North Construction of the second se	117
Oldrich Kowalski, <i>On unitary automorphisms of solvable Lie algebras</i>	133
Kee Yuen Lam, K O-equivalences and existence of nonsingular bilinear	145
	145
Ernest Paul Lane, <i>PM-normality and the insertion of a continuous</i>	155
Junction	155
Robert A. Messer and Alden H. Wright, <i>Embedding open 3-manifolds in</i>	162
	105
Gerald Ira Myerson, A combinatorial problem in finite fields. T	179
James Nelson, Jr. and Mohan S. Putcha, <i>Word equations in a band of</i>	100
paths	189
Baburao Govindrao Pachpatte and S. M. Singare, <i>Discrete generalized</i>	107
Gronwall inequalities in three independent variables	197
William Lindall Paschke and Norberto Salinas, C*-algebras associated with	211
	211
Bruce Reznick, Banach spaces with polynomial norms	223
David Rusin, What is the probability that two elements of a finite group	007
	231
M. Shafii-Mousavi and Zbigniew Zielezny, On hypoelliptic differential	240
operators of constant strength	249
Joseph Gail Stampfil, On selfadjoint derivation ranges	257
Robert Charles Thompson, <i>The case of equality in the matrix-valued triangle</i>	070
	279
Marie Angela Vitulli, The obstruction of the formal moduli space in the	001
negatively graded case	281