

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

KO -EQUIVALENCES AND EXISTENCE OF NONSINGULAR BILINEAR MAPS

KEE YUEN LAM

KO-EQUIVALENCES AND EXISTENCE OF NONSINGULAR BILINEAR MAPS

KEE YUEN LAM

We show how to use homotopy theoretic methods to construct maps between various truncated projective spaces that induce isomorphisms in KO cohomology theory. We then use these maps to establish the existence of new families of nonsingular bilinear maps.

1. Introduction. Bilinear maps $f: R^a \times R^b \rightarrow R^c$ with the *non-singular property* that $f(x, y) = 0$ implies $x = 0$ or $y = 0$ have been of interest for several reasons: (1) they generalize the multiplication map of the classical division algebras over R ; (2) they provide estimates for the geometric dimension of vector bundles over real projective spaces [10], and are hence instrumental in the study of immersions of such spaces into R^n ; (3) those maps with the additional property that $|f(x, y)| = |x| \cdot |y|$ can sometimes be used to produce essential harmonic maps between Euclidean spheres, in the sense of Eells and Sampson [5]. See [14] and [9, Theorem 4.2].

Furthermore, it has been realized for some time that there is an interesting relationship between nonsingular bilinear maps and stable homotopy theory [8], [9]. The purpose of this article is to further explore that relationship. Whereas [9] deals with *known* bilinear maps and their implications in homotopy theory, the present paper describes, in § 5, how homotopy theory could in turn be used to establish the existence of *new* families of nonsingular bilinear maps. These new families are distinct from the classical examples of Hurwitz and Radon [7], and yet exhibit the same “Clifford periodicity” phenomenon which is characteristic of the Hurwitz-Radon family.

Since the Hurwitz-Radon family can be used to produce essential harmonic maps between Euclidean spheres, it would be interesting to ask whether there exist families of nonsingular bilinear maps, occurring in the same dimension ranges as the ones established in this paper, that will yield further examples of essential harmonic maps.

The main homotopy tools employed in the paper are certain maps between truncated projective spaces called KO -equivalences. Roughly, a KO -equivalence is a map inducing an isomorphism in KO -cohomology. The methods in §§ 3, 4 for constructing KO -equivalences follow closely the techniques of [6, § 4]. Such constructions might have some independent interest, and could perhaps be read on their own right.

2. Some homotopy lemmas. A finite connected CW complex X in which all cells apart from the base point occur in dimensions between m and n is written $X = X_m^n$. A typical example is the real truncated projective space $P_m^n = P^n/P^{m-1}$. We call X_m^n *stable* if $n \leq 2m - 1$, in which case X_m^n is a suspension. We say that X is *2-torsion*, if $\tilde{H}^q(X; Z)$ is a 2-torsion group for all q . We shall also need the following standard notations:

\mathcal{A} = the mod 2 Steenrod algebra;

\mathcal{A}_0 = the subalgebra of \mathcal{A} generated by Sq^i ;

$\phi(n)$ = the number of integers in the interval $[1, n]$ congruent to 0, 1, 2 or 4 modulo 8.

LEMMA 2.1. *Suppose $X = X_m^n$ is a stable 2-torsion complex and that $\tilde{H}^*(X; Z_2)$ is free over \mathcal{A}_0 . If $f: X \rightarrow X$ can be factored into a composite $f_p \cdots f_2 f_1$, where $p > \phi(n - m)$ and each f_i is a map $X \rightarrow X$ with induced homomorphism $f_i^* = 0$ on $\tilde{H}^*(X; Z_2)$, then f is homotopic to zero.*

Proof. Since $\tilde{H}(X; Z_2)$ is \mathcal{A}_0 -free, one can apply Adams' vanishing theorem [1, Thm. 2.1] to conclude that

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{s,t}(\tilde{H}^*(X; Z_2), Z_2) = 0 \text{ for } s > \phi(t - s - m).$$

This means, in particular, that if

$$X = E_0 \longleftarrow E_1 \longleftarrow E_2 \longleftarrow \cdots \longleftarrow E_s \longleftarrow \cdots$$

is a modified Postnikov tower over X modelled on a minimal \mathcal{A} -free resolution of $\tilde{H}^*(X; Z_2)$, as explained in [13, Chapter 18], then each k -invariant of dimension $\leq n$ is located in $H^*(E_s; Z_2)$ for some $s \leq \phi(n - m)$. On the other hand, the hypothesis on f_i^* allows one to prove inductively on p that $f_p \cdots f_2 f_1$ lifts to E_p . If $p > \phi(n - m)$, then all subsequent k -invariants will have dimension exceeding $n (= \dim X)$, and hence constitute no further obstruction to lifting $f_p \cdots f_2 f_1$ arbitrarily high into the tower. Since the connectivity of E_s eventually increases beyond any bound as $s \rightarrow \infty$, such lifting is enough to imply $f \simeq 0$.

COROLLARY 2.2. *Let ι be the identity map of X where X is as in Lemma 2.1. Then the order of ι , considered as an element in the track group $[X, X]$, is a divisor of $2^{\phi(n-m)+1}$.*

COROLLARY 2.3. *Let $k\iota$ denote k times the identity map ι in the track group $[X, X]$. If X is as in Lemma 2.1, and $n - m \leq 7$, then $16\iota \simeq 0$.*

It goes without saying that by taking a closer look into the Postnikov tower of an individual X , it is sometimes possible to improve upon Corollary 2.2. A typical example is given by

PROPOSITION 2.4. *If X is the truncated projective space P_m^{m+5} , where $m \geq 9$ is congruent to 1 modulo 4, then $8\epsilon \cong 0$.*

The proof is practically the same as Lemma 2.1, except that in the present case, $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}^{s,t}(H^*(P_m^{m+5}; \mathbb{Z}_2), \mathbb{Z}_2)$ has somewhat better vanishing properties than enunciated by Adams' vanishing theorem. Alternatively, it is a routine exercise to write down a Postnikov tower for P_m^{m+5} explicitly [13], and to check that $8\epsilon = (2\epsilon)(2\epsilon)(2\epsilon)$ lifts arbitrarily high into that tower.

Many of Toda's results in [15] on the "order of the identity class" of a suspension space are straightforward consequences of the above results.

3. Construction of KO -equivalences.

DEFINITION 3.1. A map $g: Y \rightarrow Z$ between two connected complexes is called a KO -equivalence if $g^*: KO(Z) \rightarrow KO(Y)$ is an isomorphism.

NOTATION 3.2. If L is a skeleton of a truncated projective space P_m^n , the collapsing map from P_m^n to P_m^n/L will always be denoted by c . The inclusion map $L \rightarrow P_m^n$ will be denoted by i, i', i'', j , etc., or by an unmarked arrow.

THEOREM 3.3. *Let m be odd and n be even. If $m + 9 \leq n \leq 2m - 10$, then there exists a KO -equivalence $g: P_{m+8}^{n+8} \rightarrow P_m^n$ such that the composition*

$$(*) \quad P_m^{n+8} \xrightarrow{c} P_{m+8}^{n+8} \xrightarrow{g} P_m^n \xrightarrow{i} P_m^{n+8}$$

is equal to 16ϵ .

Proof. Note that the hypotheses on m and n guarantee that all complexes appearing in $(*)$ are 2-torsion and stable, and that their mod 2 reduced cohomology rings are \mathcal{A}_0 -free. If we make $16\epsilon: P_m^{n+8} \rightarrow P_m^{n+8}$ cellular, and then collapse the n -skeleton of both its domain and range to a point, we get a map $P_{n+1}^{n+8} \rightarrow P_{n+1}^{n+8}$ which, being 16 times the identity, is trivial on account of Corollary 2.3. It follows that one can homotopically deform 16ϵ into a map $g_0: P_m^{n+8} \rightarrow P_m^n$. Since $m + 7 < n$ by hypothesis, we can further

assume that throughout the course of the deformation, P_m^{m+7} stays within P_m^n . It follows that the composite

$$P_m^{m+7} \xrightarrow{i} P_m^{n+8} \xrightarrow{g_0} P_m^n$$

is just a deformation of $P_m^{m+7} \xrightarrow{16\iota} P_m^{m+7} \xrightarrow{i} P_m^n$, which is trivial by Corollary 2.3. Hence g_0 factors through the cofiber P_{m+8}^{n+8} of i , and the resulting map is taken as g in (*).

The \widetilde{KO} groups of the complexes occurring in (*) are finite cyclic and 2-torsion. Since i^* is onto and cokernel c^* has order 16, and $(igc)^*$ is multiplication by 16, g^* must be an isomorphism in KO theory. This concludes the proof.

REMARK 3.4. If m and n satisfy the hypothesis of the theorem, so would $m+8$ and $n+8$. Hence there is an infinite sequence of KO -equivalences

$$\dots \xrightarrow{g} P_{m+8k}^{n+8k} \xrightarrow{g} \dots \xrightarrow{g} P_{m+16}^{n+16} \xrightarrow{g} P_{m+8}^{n+8} \xrightarrow{g} P_m^n.$$

REMARK 3.5. It is possible to use $2^r\iota$, ($r > 4$), rather than 16ι , to construct KO -equivalences between truncated projective spaces. The approach is similar.

4. Further construction of KO -equivalences. The method of § 3 can sometimes be adapted in order to construct a KO -equivalence $P_{m+8}^{n+8} \rightarrow P_m^n$, even if m and n do not satisfy the technical condition $m+9 \leq n$ imposed in Theorem 3.3. However, a case by case presentation of such adaptations will be inelegant and unnecessary. For if $n-m=1, 3, 5$ or 7 , P_{m+8}^{n+8} is homeomorphic to $\Sigma^8 P_m^n$ [4]. Now Adams and Luz have a unified procedure for constructing KO -equivalences $\Sigma^N X \rightarrow X$ when X is a suitable 2-torsion finite complex and N is a suitable power of 2 [2], [11]. Such a procedure applies nicely when $X = P_m^n$ with $n-m \leq 7$, m odd, n even, provided that m is not too small.

In this section we therefore confine our efforts to show how the ideas developed in § 3 can be used to prove Theorem 4.1 below. This theorem has previously been obtained by Adams in [2, § 12], but we believe that it is of some interest to compare the two different proofs.

THEOREM 4.1. *For any odd integer $m \geq 9$ there is a KO -equivalence $g: P_{m+8}^{m+9} \rightarrow P_m^{m+1}$.*

Proof. For $m \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ and $m > 9$, we construct g by imita-

ting the proof of Theorem 3.3. Thus we “compress” $16c: P_m^{m+9} \rightarrow P_m^{m+9}$ into a map $g_0: P_m^{m+9} \rightarrow P_m^{m+1}$, using a cellular homotopy. The following two lemmas imply that g_0 can be chosen such that its restriction to P_m^{m+7} is trivial.

LEMMA 4.2. *For $m \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ and $m > 9$, the compression map g_0 can be chosen such that*

$$P_m^{m+7} \xrightarrow{i'} P_m^{m+9} \xrightarrow{g_0} P_m^{m+1} \xrightarrow{i} P_m^{m+7}$$

is a trivial composite.

LEMMA 4.3. *Assume $m \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ and $m > 9$. Then a map $f: P_m^{m+7} \rightarrow P_m^{m+1}$ is trivial if and only if its composition with the inclusion map $P_m^{m+1} \xrightarrow{i} P_m^{m+7}$ is trivial.*

Postponing the proofs of these lemmas to the end of the section, we now form the cofiber map $g: P_{m+8}^{m+9} \rightarrow P_m^{m+1}$ induced by g_0 . As in Theorem 3.3, g is a KO -equivalence. In fact, it is not difficult to see that g is at the same time a KU -equivalence and a KS_F -equivalence.

The case $m = 9$ was excluded from the above, because P_m^{m+9} fails to be stable when $m = 9$. To handle this case, begin with a KO -equivalence $g: P_{25}^{26} \rightarrow P_{17}^{18}$, and consider its restriction $g': S^{25} \rightarrow P_{17}^{18}$. The Freudenthal theorem allows one to desuspend g' into a map $g'': S^{17} \rightarrow P_9^{10}$. But it is not hard to show that $\pi_{17}(P_9^{10})$ is a direct sum of four copies of Z_2 , so that g'' extends to a map $\hat{g}: P_{17}^{18} \rightarrow P_9^{10}$. The eight-fold suspension $\Sigma^8 \hat{g}: P_{25}^{26} \rightarrow P_{17}^{18}$ agrees with g on the bottom sphere S^{25} , and must therefore be a KO -equivalence because g is such. By Bott periodicity, \hat{g} is also a KO -equivalence.

We conclude by remarking that, in case the odd number k is not congruent to $1 \pmod{8}$, a KO -equivalence $P_{k+8}^{k+9} \rightarrow P_k^{k+1}$ can still be constructed by suitably suspending one of the previously constructed KO -equivalences $g: P_{m+8}^{m+9} \rightarrow P_m^{m+1}$, with $m \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$.

REMARK 4.4. For a comparison between these KO -equivalences and those constructed by Adams in [2, § 12], the following observation is pertinent. While our construction involves a number of technical homotopy lemmas, it avoids Toda brackets and e -invariant considerations. Moreover, it falls into the general construction pattern of § 3, and yields KO -equivalences $g: P_{m+8}^{m+9} \rightarrow P_m^{m+1}$ with the *special property* that

$$P_m^{m+9} \xrightarrow{c} P_{m+8}^{m+9} \xrightarrow{g} P_m^{m+1} \xrightarrow{i} P_m^{m+9}$$

is exactly equal to 16ζ .

It is now time to prove Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3. The proof of 4.2 is based on the factorization $16\zeta = (2\zeta)(8\zeta)$. Consider the following homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & p_m^{m+1} & & \\
 & & & g_2 \swarrow & \downarrow & \searrow i & \\
 & & p_m^{m+3} & \xrightarrow{2\zeta} & p_m^{m+3} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & p_m^{m+7} \\
 & \swarrow g_1 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \swarrow & \\
 p_m^{m+7} & \xrightarrow{i'} & p_m^{m+9} & \xrightarrow{8\zeta} & p_m^{m+9} & \xrightarrow{2\zeta} & p_m^{m+9}
 \end{array}$$

Here, g_1 exists because 8ζ followed by the collapsing map $c: P_m^{m+9} \rightarrow P_{m+4}^{m+9}$ is trivial (cf. Proposition 2.4). There are two obstructions to compressing 2ζ into the map g_2 . The first is $(2\zeta)^*x$, where x generates $H^{m+2}(P_m^{m+3}; \mathbb{Z}_2)$. This obstruction obviously vanishes. The second obstruction is the functionalization of Sq^2 on x with respect to 2ζ . This vanishes because of indeterminacy.

We now pick $g_0 = g_2 g_1$ to be our compression of 16ζ , and proceed to show that $ig_2 g_1 i'$ is trivial. To this end, notice that there is an alternative way to factorize $ig_2 g_1 i'$, as given in the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 p_m^{m+7} & \xrightarrow{i'} & p_m^{m+9} & \xrightarrow{g_1} & p_m^{m+3} & \xrightarrow{g_2} & p_m^{m+1} \longrightarrow p_m^{m+3} \\
 \downarrow 8\zeta = (2d)^5 & & & & \downarrow & & \searrow i \quad \downarrow \\
 & & & & p_m^{m+7} & \xrightarrow{2\zeta} & p_m^{m+7} \\
 & & & & \downarrow i_0 & & \swarrow h \\
 p_m^{m+7} & \xrightarrow{i_0} & p_m^{m+8} & \xrightarrow{i''} & p_m^{m+9} & &
 \end{array}$$

Since the two ways of going around the big square become homotopic when composed with i'' , the big square itself is homotopy commutative for dimensional reasons. The dotted map h exists because P_m^{m+8} is obtained from P_m^{m+7} via an attaching map of order 2 (cf. [6, p. 58, bottom diagram]). Commutativity now gives $ig_2 g_1 i' = h i_0 (8\zeta) = (2\zeta)(8\zeta) = 16\zeta$, which is therefore trivial by Theorem 2.1.

The proof of Lemma 4.2 can be accomplished by showing that the corresponding dual statement is true. The Spanier-Whitehead duals of P_m^{m+7} and P_m^{m+1} can be taken as P_t^{t+7} and P_{t+6}^{t+7} respectively,

where $t + m + 8$ is a large power of 2 (see [4]), so that $t \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ in the present context. From the hypothesized triviality of the composite

$$P_t^{t+7} \xrightarrow{c} P_{t+6}^{t+7} \xrightarrow{Df} P_t^{t+7},$$

we must now argue for the triviality of Df , the dual of f . It suffices to show that Df lifts arbitrarily high into the modified Postnikov tower

$$P_t^{t+7} \longleftarrow E_1 \longleftarrow E_2 \longleftarrow E_3 \longleftarrow \dots$$

over P_t^{t+7} . The following lists all the k -invariants of dimension $\leq t + 7$ arising in this tower, together with their defining relations, compare [12, p. 60]. (We use a subscript to indicate the dimension of a k -invariant.)

(0) primary k -invariant:

the generator $x_t \in H^t(P_t^{t+7}; Z_2)$;

(1) k -invariants in $H^*(E_1; Z_2)$:

$$k_{t+2}: Sq^2 Sq^1 x_t = 0,$$

$$k_{t+4}: Sq^4 Sq^1 x_t = 0,$$

$$k_{t+5}: Sq^4 Sq^2 x_t = 0,$$

$$k_{t+7}: Sq^8 x_t = 0;$$

(2) k -invariants in $H^*(E_2; Z_2)$:

$$\kappa_{t+3}: Sq^2 k_{t+2} = 0$$

$$\kappa_{t+4}: Sq^1 k_{t+4} + Sq^2 Sq^1 k_{t+2} = 0,$$

$$\kappa_{t+7}: Sq^4 k_{t+4} + Sq^8 k_{t+2} = 0;$$

(3) k -invariant in $H^*(E_3; Z_2)$:

$$\theta_{t+4}: Sq^1 \kappa_{t+4} + Sq^2 \kappa_{t+3} = 0.$$

With this list, it is no longer difficult to check that if any of these k -invariants constitute an obstruction to trivializing Df , then it is actually an obstruction to trivializing $(Df)c$. In this way Lemma 4.3 is obtained.

5. Existence of nonsingular bilinear maps. It has been remarked by M. Mahowald that KO -equivalences can be used to estimate the geometric dimension of vector bundles over projective

spaces, via a procedure which is also known to J. F. Adams. Specifically, consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & P^{n+8k} & & P^{n+8} & & P^n & & BO(d) \\
 & \downarrow c & & \downarrow c & & \downarrow c & \nearrow \zeta & \downarrow \\
 (\#) \quad & \cdots \xrightarrow{g} P_{m+8k}^{n+8k} \xrightarrow{g} \cdots \xrightarrow{g} P_{m+8}^{n+8} \xrightarrow{g} P_m^n \xrightarrow{\zeta} BO & & & & & &
 \end{array}$$

where m, n are fixed integers, the g 's are KO -equivalences, ζ represents the generator of $\widetilde{KO}(P_m^n)$, and d is the geometric dimension of ζ , that is, the smallest integer for which a lifting $\hat{\zeta}$ of ζ into $BO(d)$ exists. According to the computation of [3], $\widetilde{KO}(P_m^n)$ is cyclic of order $2^{\phi(m, n)}$, where $\phi(m, n) = \phi(n) - \phi(m-1)$. It follows that $\zeta g^k c = \zeta(g \cdots g)c$ can be interpreted as a stable vector bundle over P^{n+8k} represented by $(2^{\phi(n+8k)}/2^{\phi(m, n)})\xi = 2^{4k+\phi(m-1)}\xi$, where ξ denotes the Hopf line bundle. This stable bundle has geometric dimension $\leq d$, due to the existence of $\hat{\zeta}$. As long as $2^{\phi(m-1)} > n$, the fact can be re-stated as:

(†) $2^{4k+\phi(m-1)}\xi$ over P^{n+8k} has at least $2^{4k+\phi(m-1)} - d$ everywhere independent sections.

Notice that d depends on m and n alone, and is independent of k .

To convert existence of sections into existence of nonsingular bilinear maps, we invoke the result of [8], which asserts that given (†), there is a (large) power of 2, say N_0 , such that for any positive multiple qN_0 , a nonsingular bilinear map $R^a \times R^{c-d} \rightarrow R^c$ exists with $a = 8k + n + 1$ and $c = 2^{4k+\phi(m-1)} + qN_0$ respectively. Letting k run through $0, 1, 2, \dots, l$ and picking N to be the maximum of the N_0 's so incurred, we obtain

THEOREM 5.1. *Let m, n be fixed integers satisfying $2^{\phi(m-1)} > n$, such that there exists a sequence of KO -equivalences*

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{g} P_{m+8k}^{n+8k} \xrightarrow{g} \cdots \xrightarrow{g} P_{m+8}^{n+8} \xrightarrow{g} P_m^n.$$

Then for every $l > 0$ there is a power of 2, denoted by N , corresponding to which there exists a family of nonsingular bilinear maps

$$\phi_k: R^{8k+n+1} \times R^{2^{4k+\phi(m-1)+N-d}} \longrightarrow R^{2^{4k+\phi(m-1)+N}},$$

with $k = 0, 1, \dots, l$. Here d depends only on m and n , and is given by (#) above.

Let $a = 8k + n + 1$, $c = 2^{4k+\phi(m-1)} + N$ and $b = c - d$. The numerical features of the family $\phi_k: R^a \times R^b \rightarrow R^c (0 \leq k \leq l)$ becomes striking if we recall that the classical Hurwitz-Radon maps constitute a nonsingular family

$$\Psi_k: R^\alpha \times R^\beta \longrightarrow R^\gamma$$

where $\alpha = 8k + 2^\varepsilon$, $\beta = \gamma = 2^{4k+\varepsilon}$, with $\varepsilon = 0, 1, 2$ or 3 and k arbitrary. This family has the "Clifford periodicity" in that as β and γ are multiplied by 16 , α increases by 8 . If, by ignoring the constants N and d , we introduce $a' = 8k + n + 1$, $b' = 2^{4k+\phi(m-1)}$, $c' = 2^{4k+\phi(m-1)}$ and call (a', b', c') the *essential ranges* of the family $\{\phi_k\}_{0 \leq k \leq l}$, then we can summarize Theorem 5.1 above by saying that the essential ranges of $\{\phi_k\}$ exhibit the same Clifford periodicity as the dimension ranges (α, β, γ) of Hurwitz-Radon maps.

As an illustration let us specify diagram (#) to the case $m = 9$ and $n = 10$, using the KO -equivalences g constructed in § 4. Using $\pi_9(BO(7)) = Z_2 \oplus Z_2$, it is not difficult to show that the generator $\zeta: P_9^{10} \rightarrow BO$ lifts (optimally) to $BO(7)$. Consequently we take $d = 7$ in (#) to obtain

Special case 5.2. For every $l > 0$ there is a power of 2, denoted by N , corresponding to which there exists a family of nonsingular bilinear maps

$$\phi_{h-1}: R^{8h+3} \times R^{2^{4h+N-7}} \longrightarrow R^{2^{4h+N}}$$

for $h = 1, 2, \dots, l$.

Here for simplicity we have introduced h to stand for $k + 1$ in Theorem (5.1).

REFERENCES

1. J. F. Adams, *A periodicity theorem in homological algebra*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., **62** (1966), 365-377.
2. ———, *On the groups $J(X)$ -IV*, Topology, **5** (1966), 21-71.
3. ———, *Vector fields on spheres*, Ann. Math., **75** (1962), 603-632.
4. M. F. Atiyah, *Thom complexes*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc., **11** (1961), 291-310.
5. J. Eells and J. H. Sampson, *Harmonic maps of Riemannian manifolds*, Amer. J. Math., **86** (1964), 109-160.
6. S. Feder, S. Gitler and K. Y. Lam, *Composition properties of projective homotopy classes*, Pacific J. Math., **68** (1977), 47-61.
7. A. Hurwitz, *Über die Komposition der quadratischen Formen*, Math. Ann., **88** (1923), 1-25.
8. K. Y. Lam, *Nonsingular bilinear maps and stable homotopy classes of spheres*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., **82** (1977), 419-425.
9. ———, *Some interesting examples of nonsingular bilinear maps*, Topology, **16** (1977), 185-188.

10. K. Y. Lam, *On bilinear and skew-linear maps that are nonsingular*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford, (2) **19** (1968), 281-288.
11. C. G. C. Luz, Doctoral dissertation, Cambridge University, (1976).
12. M. Mahowald, *The metastable homotopy of S^n* , Memoir, Amer. Math. Soc. No. 72 (1967).
13. R. Mosher and M. Tangora, *Cohomology Operations and Applications in Homotopy Theory*, Harper and Row, New York, 1968.
14. R. T. Smith, *Harmonic mappings of spheres*, Amer. J. Math., **97** (1975), 364-385.
15. H. Toda, *Order of the identity class of a suspension space*, Ann. of Math., **78** (1963), 300-325.

Received November 27, 1977. Supported by an NRC grant of Canada.

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

VANCOUVER, B.C. CANADA V6T 1W5

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

HUGO ROSSI

University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ANDREW OGG

University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. FINN AND J. MILGRAM
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Werner Bäni, <i>Subspaces of positive definite inner product spaces of countable dimension</i>	1
Marilyn Breen, <i>The dimension of the kernel of a planar set</i>	15
Kenneth Alfred Byrd, <i>Right self-injective rings whose essential right ideals are two-sided</i>	23
Patrick Cousot and Radhia Cousot, <i>Constructive versions of Tarski's fixed point theorems</i>	43
Ralph S. Freese, William A. Lampe and Walter Fuller Taylor, <i>Congruence lattices of algebras of fixed similarity type. I</i>	59
Cameron Gordon and Richard A. Litherland, <i>On a theorem of Murasugi</i>	69
Mauricio A. Gutiérrez, <i>Concordance and homotopy. I. Fundamental group</i>	75
Richard I. Hartley, <i>Metabelian representations of knot groups</i>	93
Ted Hurley, <i>Intersections of terms of polycentral series of free groups and free Lie algebras</i>	105
Roy Andrew Johnson, <i>Some relationships between measures</i>	117
Oldrich Kowalski, <i>On unitary automorphisms of solvable Lie algebras</i>	133
Kee Yuen Lam, <i>K O-equivalences and existence of nonsingular bilinear maps</i>	145
Ernest Paul Lane, <i>PM-normality and the insertion of a continuous function</i>	155
Robert A. Messer and Alden H. Wright, <i>Embedding open 3-manifolds in compact 3-manifolds</i>	163
Gerald Ira Myerson, <i>A combinatorial problem in finite fields. I</i>	179
James Nelson, Jr. and Mohan S. Putcha, <i>Word equations in a band of paths</i>	189
Baburao Govindrao Pachpatte and S. M. Singare, <i>Discrete generalized Gronwall inequalities in three independent variables</i>	197
William Lindall Paschke and Norberto Salinas, <i>C*-algebras associated with free products of groups</i>	211
Bruce Reznick, <i>Banach spaces with polynomial norms</i>	223
David Rusin, <i>What is the probability that two elements of a finite group commute?</i>	237
M. Shafii-Mousavi and Zbigniew Zielezny, <i>On hypoelliptic differential operators of constant strength</i>	249
Joseph Gail Stampfli, <i>On selfadjoint derivation ranges</i>	257
Robert Charles Thompson, <i>The case of equality in the matrix-valued triangle inequality</i>	279
Marie Angela Vitulli, <i>The obstruction of the formal moduli space in the negatively graded case</i>	281