Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON THE ORDER OF DIRICHLET L-FUNCTIONS

GRIGORI ABRAMOVICH KOLESNIK

Vol. 82, No. 2 February 1979

ON THE ORDER OF DIRICHLET L-FUNCTIONS

G. Kolesnik

1. Introduction. Let $L(s, \chi)$ be a Dirichlet L-function, where χ is a nonprincipal character \pmod{q} and $s = \sigma + it$. A standard estimate for $L(s, \chi)$ based on bounds for $\zeta(s, w)$, is

$$|L(s,\chi)| \leq C_1(arepsilon) \cdot au^{\sigma(1-\sigma)+arepsilon} q^{1-\sigma}, \;\; rac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq 1 \;,$$

where $\tau = |t| + 2$, c = 1/6 (see, for example, Prachar [5, (4.12)]), and in fact, c can be replaced by a constant < 1/6. An immediate application of Richert's work [6] gives

$$|\,L(s,\,\chi)\,|\, \leq C_1 au^{_{100(1-\sigma)\,3/2}}q^{_{1-\sigma}}\log^{_{2/3}}\! au\,,\quad rac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq 1$$
 ,

which is better than (1) if σ is near 1.

Another estimate can easily be obtained from $|L(1+it,\chi)| \le C_2 \log \tau q$ and the functional equation of $L(s,\chi)$ as follows. First,

$$egin{align} |L(it, oldsymbol{\chi})| &= 2 \cdot |(2\pi)^{it-1} q^{1/2-it} \ & imes \cos rac{1}{2} \, \pi \, \Big(1 - it + rac{1}{2} - rac{1}{2} \, \overline{\chi}(-1) \Big) arGamma(1 - it) L(1 - it, \overline{\chi})| \ &\leq C_s \sqrt{ au g} \log au g \; . \end{split}$$

Now the convexity principle yields for

$$\begin{array}{ll} (\ 3\) & |L(s,\ \raisebox{2pt}{χ})| \leqq (C_{\scriptscriptstyle 3} \sqrt[]{\tau q} \log \tau q)^{\scriptscriptstyle 1-\sigma} {\boldsymbol{\cdot}} (C_{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \log \tau q)^{\scriptscriptstyle \sigma} \leqq C_{\scriptscriptstyle 4} (\tau q)^{\scriptscriptstyle 1/2(1-\sigma)} \\ & \times \log \tau q,\ 0 \leqq \sigma \leqq 1 \ . \end{array}$$

Neglecting dependence on τ , Davenport [2], improved (3):

$$|L(s, \chi)| \leq C_2(\tau)q^{1/2(1-\sigma)}, \quad 0 \leq \sigma \leq 1.$$

Also, Burgess [1] improved (4) by establishing

$$|L(s, \chi)| \leq C_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(arepsilon, au) q^{_{\scriptscriptstyle 3/8(1-\sigma)+arepsilon}}$$
 , $rac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq 1$.

By examining Burgess' proof, it can be seen that the constant $C(\varepsilon, \tau)$ can be taken to be $C_2(\varepsilon)\pi^{2(1-\sigma)}$ and his result can be further sharpened to yield

$$|L(s, \chi)| \leq C_{
m e} au^{2(1-\sigma)} q^{3/8(1-\sigma)} C^{\omega} \log au, \;\; rac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq 1$$
 ,

where $\omega = \log q/\log \log q$. The estimates (3), (4), and (5) are better than (1) if q is large compared to τ .

For $\sigma=1/2$, the previous estimates were improved by Fujii, Gallagher and Montgomery, [3], who showed that if P is a fixed set of primes and q is composed only of primes in P, then

$$\left| L\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi\right) \right| \leq C(\varepsilon, P) (\tau q)^{1/6+\varepsilon}.$$

In this paper we prove two more estimates which imply (1), (4), and (5) and which are better than (2), (3), and (6) in some range of σ , τ , and q. We prove:

THEOREM 1. Let χ be a nonprincipal character (mod q). Let $1/2 \leq \sigma \leq 1, \tau = |t| + 2$ and $\omega = \log q/\log\log q$. Then

$$|L(s,\chi)| \ll au^{-\sigma}q^{3/8(1-\sigma)}C^{\omega}\log au$$
 ,

where C is some absolute constant.

Theorem 2. Let χ be a character (mod q). Let $1/2 \leq \sigma \leq 1$ and $\tau = |t| + 2$. Then

$$|L(s,\chi)| \ll au^{35/108(1-\sigma)} q^{1-\sigma} \log^3 au q$$
 .

In particular, (7) and (8) imply

$$\left| \ L \Big(rac{1}{2} + it, \ \chi \ \Big|
ight) \ll \sqrt{\ au} \ q^{\scriptscriptstyle 3/16} C^{\,\omega} \log au$$

and

$$\left| \ L \Big(rac{1}{2} + it, \
angle \
ight)
ight| \ll au^{35/216} \sqrt{\ q} \ \log^3 au q \ .$$

The estimates of $L(s, \chi)$ for $\sigma \in [0, 1/2]$ can be obtained by using (7) or (8) and the functional equation of $L(s, \chi)$.

The author expresses his gratitude to Professors P. X. Gallagher and Lowell Schoenfeld for valuable suggestions.

2. Notation.

$$egin{aligned} e(f(x)) &= \exp\left(2\pi i f(x)
ight) \ \omega &= \log q/\!\log\log q \ . \ s &= \sigma + i t, \ rac{1}{2} \leqq \sigma \leqq 1 \ . \ & au &= |t| + 2 \ . \end{aligned}$$

C denotes some appropriate absolute constant, not always the same.

3. Application of the estimate of Burgess. In this section we will show that

$$|L(s,\chi)| \ll \pi^{\scriptscriptstyle 1-\sigma} q^{\scriptscriptstyle 3/8(1-\sigma)} C^{\scriptscriptstyle \omega} \log^{\scriptscriptstyle 3}\! au$$
 .

We need the following result of E. Bombieri:

LEMMA. Let N and m be nonnegative integers. Let α_j , β_j be numbers such that $|\alpha_j - \beta_j| \leq (2\pi m N^j)^{-1}$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$, and let $f(x) = \alpha_1 x + \cdots + \alpha_m x^m$, $g(x) = \beta_1 x + \cdots + \beta_m x^m$. Let c_1, c_2, \cdots be complex, and let

$$S(\bar{lpha},\,N)=\max_{\mathbf{1}\leq N_1< N}|\sum_{\mathbf{1}\leq n\leq N_1}c_{\scriptscriptstyle n}e(f(n))|$$
 ,

where $\bar{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$. Then $S(\bar{\beta}, N) \leq 6S(\bar{\alpha}, N)$.

Proof. For every $N_1 \in [1, N]$ we have:

$$\begin{split} \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N_1} c_n e(g(n)) &= \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N_1} c_n e(f(n)) \prod_{j=1}^m e((\beta_j - \alpha_j) n^j) \\ &= \sum_{k_1, \cdots, k_m = 0}^{\infty} \bigg(\prod_{j=1}^m \frac{\{2\pi i (\beta_j - \alpha_j)\}^{k_j}}{k_j!} \bigg) \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N_1} c_n n^{mk_m + \cdots + k_1} e(f(n)) \ . \end{split}$$

Using Abel's summation formula, we obtain:

$$egin{aligned} S(\overline{eta},\,N) & \leq \sum\limits_{k_1,\,\ldots,\,k_m=0}^\infty \prod\limits_{j=1}^m rac{|2\pi(eta_j-lpha_j)|^{k_j}}{k_j\,!} \cdot N^{mk_m+\ldots+k_1} \cdot 2S(\overline{lpha},\,N) \ & \leq 2S(\overline{lpha},\,N) \cdot \sum\limits_{k_1,\,\ldots,\,k_m=0}^\infty \prod\limits_{j=1}^m rac{|(2\pi(eta_j-lpha_j)N^j|^{k_j}}{k_j\,!} \ & \leq 2S(\overline{lpha},\,N) \left(\sum\limits_{k=0}^\infty m^{-k}\!/\!k\,!
ight)^m \leq 6S(\overline{lpha},\,N) \;. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2. Let $q \ge 2$ and let M, N be integers. Let χ be a primitive character \pmod{q} . Then

$$|\sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} |\lambda(n+M)| \leq \sqrt{N} q^{3/16} C^{\omega}$$
 .

This lemma can be proven similarly to Theorem 2, [1].

LEMMA 3. Let q and N be integers such that $q \ge 2$ and $N \le \tau q$. Let χ be a primitive character (mod q). Then

$$|S| = \max_{N \leq N_1 \leq 2N} |\sum_{N+1 \leq n \leq N_1} \chi(n) n^{-it}| \ll \sqrt{N au \log au \cdot} q^{3/16} C^{\omega}$$
 .

Proof. We can obviously suppose that $\tau \log \tau q \leq N$ since otherwise the estimate is trivial. Taking $H = [N(\tau \log \tau q)^{-1}]$ and $m = [\log \tau q]$, and dividing the sum in S into $\leq 2NH^{-1}$ subsums, we obtain:

$$\mid S \mid \leq 2NH^{-1}\max_{N \leq M \leq 2N}\max_{1 \leq H_1 \leq H} \mid \sum_{M+1 \leq n \leq M+H_1} \chi(n)n^{-it} \mid$$
 .

For every M and H_1 in the above range, we get

$$\begin{array}{ll} (6) & \sum\limits_{M+1 \leq n \leq M+H_{1}} \chi(n) n^{-it} | = \Big| \sum\limits_{1 \leq n \leq H_{1}} \chi(n+M) \left(\frac{n+M}{M} \right)^{-it} \Big| \\ & \leq \Big| \sum\limits_{1 \leq n \leq H_{1}} \chi(n+M) e \left(-\frac{t}{2\pi} \left\{ \frac{n}{M} - \frac{n^{2}}{2M^{2}} + \cdots + \frac{(-1)^{m} \cdot n^{m}}{mM^{m}} \right\} \right) \Big| \\ & + \frac{|t| H^{m+2}}{M^{m+1}} . \end{array}$$

Let $\beta_j = 0$ and $\alpha_j = (-1)^j t / 2\pi j M^j$. Then for $1 \le j \le m |\alpha_j - \beta_j| = |t| \cdot (2\pi j M^j)^{-1} \le (2\pi m H^j)^{-1}$. Applying Lemmas 1 and 2, we obtain:

$$egin{aligned} |S| & \leq |2NH^{-1} \max_{N \leq M \leq 2N} \max_{1 \leq H_1 \leq H} |\sum_{1 \leq n \leq H} |\chi(n+M)| + |2| rac{ au H^{m+1}}{N^m} \ & \ll NH^{-1} \sqrt{H} q^{3/16} C^\omega + N au(au \log au q)^{-\log au q} \ & \ll \sqrt{N \! \cdot \! au \log au q} q^{3/16} C^\omega \; . \end{aligned}$$

From this, the result is easily obtained.

Now we can prove Theorem 1. First, we suppose that χ is primitive. Let $N=[\tau q]$, $M=[\tau q^{3/8}]$, $L=\log{(N/M)/\log{2}}$, $N_l=M2^l(l=0,\cdots,L)$. Using Abel's formula, the Polya-Vinogradov estimate for character sums and Lemma 3, we get:

$$egin{aligned} |L(s,\mathcal{X})| & \leq \sum\limits_{n < M} n^{-\sigma} + |\sum\limits_{M \leq n \leq N} \chi(n) n^{-\sigma - it}| + |\sum\limits_{n > N} \chi(n) n^{-s}| \ & \ll M^{1-\sigma} \log M + \sum\limits_{l = 0}^{L} \max_{N_l \leq N_l^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 2N_l} |\sum\limits_{N_l \leq n \leq N_l^{\frac{1}{l}}} \chi(n) n^{-\sigma - it}| \ & + \sum\limits_{n > N} au n^{-\sigma - 1} |\sum\limits_{N \leq x \leq n} \chi(n)| \ & \ll M^{1-\sigma} \log M + \sum\limits_{l = 0}^{L} N_l^{1-\sigma} \max_{N_l \leq N_l^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 2N_l} |\sum\limits_{N_l \leq n \leq N_l^{\frac{1}{l}}} \chi(n)^{-it}| \ & + au \sqrt{q} \, N^{-\sigma} \log q \ & \ll M^{1-\sigma} \log M + \sum\limits_{l = 0}^{L} N_l^{1/2-\sigma} \sqrt{ au} \, q^{3/16} C^\omega \sqrt{\log au} + au \sqrt{q} \, N^{-\sigma} \log q \ & \ll M^{1-\sigma} \log M + L M^{1/2-\sigma} \sqrt{ au} \, q^{3/16} C^\omega \sqrt{\log au} + au \sqrt{q} \, N^{-\sigma} \log q \ & \ll au^{1-\sigma} q^{3/8(1-\sigma)} C^\omega \log au \; . \end{aligned}$$

If X is not primitive, then there is a $q_1|q$ and a primitive

character $\chi_1 \pmod{q_1}$, associated with χ , such that we can write (see, for example, [5, (6.12)]):

$$|L(s,\chi)| = |L(s,\chi_{\scriptscriptstyle 1})| \prod\limits_{p \mid q} \left| 1 - rac{\chi_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(p)}{p^s}
ight| \leqq |L(s,\chi_{\scriptscriptstyle 1})| \cdot \prod\limits_{p \mid q} 2 \leqq |L(s,\chi_{\scriptscriptstyle 1})| \cdot 2^\omega \; ,$$

and the theorem follows.

4. The proof of Theorem 2. To prove Theorem 2, we need two lemmas.

Lemma 4. Let $t \ge 0$, $0 \le a \le 1$, and let X and X_1 be integers such that $0 < X \le X_1 \le 2X \le \tau^{143/108}$. Then

$$S_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} \equiv \sum\limits_{\scriptscriptstyle X \, \leq \, x \, \leq \, X_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}} e(t \, \log \, (x \, + \, a)) \ll \sqrt{X} au^{\scriptscriptstyle 35/216} \log^2 \! au$$
 .

Proof. If $X \le \sqrt{\tau}$, then the result can be proven similarly to Corollary 2, [4]. The same method yields

(9)
$$\sum_{X \leq x \leq X_1} e(t \log x - ax) \ll \sqrt{\overline{X}} au^{35/216} \log^2 au$$
 ,

for $X \le \sqrt{\pi}$. If $\sqrt{\tau} \le X \le \tau^{143/108}$, then, by Lemma 3 of [4]

$$|S_1| \leq \sum_{t \mid (X_1+a) \leq n \leq t \mid (X+a)} rac{\sqrt{t}}{n} e(t \log n - an)| + 0(X au^{-1/2})$$
 .

Here $t/(X+a) \leq \sqrt{\tau}$. With the use of Abel's inequality, (9) yields the result for $\sqrt{\tau} \leq X \leq \tau^{143/108}$.

LEMMA 5. Let $1/2 \le \sigma \le 1$, $t \ge 1$ and $0 \le a \le 1$. Then

$$\zeta(s, a) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n + a)^{-s} \ll a^{-\sigma} + \tau^{35(1-\sigma)/108} \log^3 \tau$$
 .

Proof. Let $N = \tau^{148/108}$. Using the Euler-Maclaurin formula [see, for example, [5], (1.7), p. 372]), we obtain similarly to [5], (5.8), p. 114:

$$egin{aligned} \zeta(s,a) & -\sum\limits_{n=0}^{N-1} (n+a)^{-s} & = rac{(N+a)^{1-s}}{1-s} - s \! \int_N^\infty \! rac{x-[x]}{(x+a)^{s+1}} dx \ & = rac{(N+a)^{1-s}}{1-s} - rac{1}{2} \, s \, rac{(x-[x])^2}{(x+a)^{s+1}} \! \int_N^\infty + rac{1}{2} \, s (s+1) \int_N^\infty rac{(x-[x])^2}{(x+a)^{s+2}} dx \ & \ll 1 + au^2 \! \int_N^\infty \! u^{-\sigma-2} \! du \leq 1 + au^2 \! \cdot \! N^{-\sigma-1} \ll au^{35(1-\sigma)/108} \; . \end{aligned}$$

If we denote $M=[au^{35/108}]$, $L=[\log{(N/M)}/\log{2}]$, $N_l=M\cdot 2^l$ for $l=0,\,\cdots,\,L$ and $N_{L+1}=N$, then we have

$$S \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (n+a)^{-s} \ll \sum_{0 \leq n \leq M} (n+a)^{-\sigma} + \sum_{0 \leq l \leq L} |\sum_{N_l \leq n < N_{l+1}} (n+a)^{-s}|$$
 .

Using Abel's formula and Lemma 4, we obtain:

$$egin{aligned} S \ll a^{-\sigma} + M^{1-\sigma} \log M + \sum\limits_{0 \leq l \leq L} N_l^{-\sigma} \max_{N_l \leq N_l' \leq N_{l+1}} |\sum_{N_l \leq n \leq N_l'} (n+a)^{-it}| \ \ll a^{-\sigma} + M^{1-\sigma} \log M + \sum_{0 \leq l \leq L} N_l^{1/2-\sigma} \!\cdot\! au^{35/216} \log^2 au \ll a^{-\sigma} \ + au^{35(1-\sigma)/108} \log^s au \; . \end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma.

To prove Theorem 2, we can obviously suppose $t \ge 1$, otherwise the result follows from (1). Using Lemma 5, we obtain:

$$egin{aligned} |L(\mathbf{s}, \chi)| &= |q^{-s} \sum_{m=1}^q \chi(m) \zeta(\mathbf{s}, m/q)| \ &< q^{-\sigma} \sum_{m=1}^q \left((q/m)^\sigma + au^{35(1-\sigma)/108} \log^3 au
ight) \ll au^{35(1-\sigma)/108} q^{1-\sigma} \log^3 au q \;. \end{aligned}$$

Note Added in Proof. We would like to draw attention to a recent paper by D. R. Heath-Brown, "Hybrid bounds for Dirichlet L-function," Inventiones Mathematicae, 44 (1978), 149-170, which contains a better result than our Theorem 7.

REFERENCES

- 1. D. A. Burgess, On character sums and L-series, II, Proc. London Math. Soc., (3), 13 (1963), 524-536.
- 2. H. Davenport, On Dirichlet's L-function, J. London Math. Soc., 6 (1931), 198-202.
- 3. A. Fujii, P. X. Gallagher, H. L. Montgomery, Some hybrid bounds for character sums and Dirichlet L-series, Colloquia Math. Soc. Janos Bolyai, 13 (1974), 41-57.
- 4. G. Kolesnik, On the order of $\zeta(1/2+it)$ and $\Delta(R)$, Pacific J. of Math., submitted.
- 5. K. Prachar, Primzahlverteilung, Springer-Verlag, 1957.
- H. E. Richert, Zur Abschätzung der Riemannschen Zetafunction in der Nähl der Vertikalen σ=1, Math. Ann., 169 (1967), 97-101.

Received January 25, 1977.

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY PASADENA, CA 91125 AND STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT BUFFALO BUFFALO, NY 14214

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California Los Angeles, CA 90024

HUGO ROSSI University of Utah

Salt Lake City, UT 84112 C. C. Moore and Andrew Ogg

University of California Berkeley, CA 94720 J. Dugundji

Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM

Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. Wolf

K. Yoshida

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints to each author are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$84.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$42.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Older back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.). 8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1979 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 82, No. 2

February, 1979

Krishnaswami Alladi and Paul Erdos, On the asymptotic behavior of large prime factors of integers	295
Alfred David Andrew, A remark on generalized Haar systems in L_p ,	
$1 .$	
John M. Baker, A note on compact operators which attain their norm Jonathan Borwein, Weak local supportability and applications to	
approximation	
Tae Ho Choe and Young Soo Park, Wallman's type order compactification	339
Susanne Dierolf and Ulrich Schwanengel, <i>Examples of locally compact</i> noncompact minimal topological groups	349
Michael Freedman, A converse to (Milnor-Kervaire theorem) × R etc	
George Golightly, Graph-dense linear transformations	
H. Groemer, Space coverings by translates of convex sets	
Rolf Wim Henrichs, Weak Frobenius reciprocity and compactness conditions in	319
topological groups	387
Horst Herrlich and George Edison Strecker, Semi-universal maps and universal	307
initial completions	407
Sigmund Nyrop Hudson, On the topology and geometry of arcwise connected,	107
finite-dimensional groups	429
K. John and Václav E. Zizler, On extension of rotund norms. II	451
Russell Allan Johnson, Existence of a strong lifting commuting with a compact	101
group of transformations. II	457
Bjarni Jónsson and Ivan Rival, Lattice varieties covering the smallest nonmodular variety	463
Grigori Abramovich Kolesnik, On the order of Dirichlet L-functions	479
Robert Allen Liebler and Jay Edward Yellen, <i>In search of nonsolvable groups of</i>	413
central type	485
Wilfrido Martínez T. and Adalberto Garcia-Maynez Cervantes, <i>Unicoherent plane</i>	103
Peano sets are σ-unicoherent	493
M. A. McKiernan, General Pexider equations. I. Existence of injective solutions.	499
M. A. McKiernan, General Pexider equations. II. An application of the theory of	422
webs	503
Jan K. Pachl, Measures as functionals on uniformly continuous functions	515
Lee Albert Rubel, Convolution cut-down in some radical convolution algebras	
Peter John Slater and William Yslas Vélez, <i>Permutations of the positive integers</i>	020
with restrictions on the sequence of differences. II	527
Raymond Earl Smithson, A common fixed point theorem for nested spaces	
Indulata Sukla, Generalization of a theorem of McFadden	
Jun-ichi Tanaka, A certain class of total variation measures of analytic	
measures	. 547
Kalathoor Varadarajan, <i>Modules with supplements</i>	
Robert Francis Wheeler, Topological measure theory for completely regular spaces	
and their projective covers	