Pacific Journal of Mathematics

GENERAL PEXIDER EQUATIONS. I. EXISTENCE OF INJECTIVE SOLUTIONS

M. A. MCKIERNAN

Vol. 82, No. 2

February 1979

GENERAL PEXIDER EQUATIONS (PART I): EXISTENCE OF INJECTIVE SOLUTIONS

M. A. MCKIERNAN

Given open connected Ω , $\widetilde{\Omega} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and given $T: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ continuous, $F: \widetilde{\Omega} \to \mathbb{R}$ strictly monotonic, in each variable separately. The equation is $h \circ T = F \circ \pi$ for the unknowns $h: T(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}$, $\pi: \Omega \to \widetilde{\Omega}$ with $\pi = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ a product mapping e.g., $h\{T(x, y)\} = F\{f(x), g(y)\}$. If T is one-one in each variable, then any continuous solution π must be injective or constant on Ω ; conversely, if an injective solution π exists then T must be one-one in each variable separately.

1. Introduction. Given a subset $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ for $n \geq 2$, let Ω_i denote its projection on the *i*th coordinate axis. By a product mapping $\pi: \Omega \to \widetilde{\Omega} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is understood the restriction to Ω of a map $(f_1, \dots, f_n): X_1^n \Omega_i \to \mathbb{R}^n$ defined by *n* functions $f_i: \Omega_i \to \widetilde{\Omega}_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. For given $T: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ and $F: \widetilde{\Omega} \to \mathbb{R}$, equations of the form

$$(1) h\{T(x_1, \dots, x_n)\} = F\{f_1(x_1), \dots, f_n(x_n)\}$$

for the unknowns $h: T(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\pi: \Omega \to \widetilde{\Omega}$ are generalizations of Pexider equations¹. For the most part the literature concerns the case in which T and F are specified, usually the sum and/or product of the arguments. In [3] C. T. Ng recently gave a uniqueness theorem for continuous solutions π , assuming T continuous but with $F(u_1, \dots, u_n) = u_1 + \dots + u_n$; a generalization to certain topological spaces appears in Ng [4] and [2]. A simple case of (1) was used by J. Lester and the author [5] to characterize Lorentz transformations in \mathbb{R}^n .

2. Formulation of results. Given $\Omega, \widetilde{\Omega} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ for $n \geq 2$ and given $T: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}, F: \widetilde{\Omega} \to \mathbb{R}$. Henceforth assume:

(A-1) T continuous in each variable separately,

(A-2) F one-to-one in each variable separately,

(A-3) Ω open and connected.

THEOREM 1. With (A-1, 2, 3) assume $T \circ h = F \circ \pi$ satisfied on Ω , where $h: T(\Omega) \to \mathbf{R}$ and where $\pi: \Omega \to \widetilde{\Omega}$ is an injective product mapping. Then T must be strictly monotonic in each variable separately on Ω .

The existence of an injective solution π then places a severe ¹ For literature see [1]; J. V. Pexider studied h(x+y)=f(x)+g(y). condition on T; the following theorems indicate that if continuous solutions π are to exist, injectivity or at least some local one-toone property of π is to be expected. A function will be called *locally nonconstant* if it is not constant on any open set.

THEOREM 2. If in addition to (A-1, 2, 3), T is locally nonconstant in each variable separately then for any continuous product map $\pi: \Omega \to \widetilde{\Omega}$ and corresponding $h: T(\Omega) \to \mathbf{R}$ satisfying $h \circ T = F \circ \pi$ on Ω , either π is also locally nonconstant in each variable separately or π is constant on Ω .

The following theorem is a partial converse to Theorem 1.

THEOREM 3. If in addition to (A-1, 2, 3), both T and F are strictly monotonic in each variable separately, then for any continuous product map, $\pi: \Omega \to \tilde{\Omega}$ and corresponding h: $T(\Omega) \to \mathbf{R}$ satisfying $h \circ T = F \circ \pi$ on Ω , either π is injective or π is constant on Ω .

3. Proof of Theorem 1. By symmetry it suffices to consider T in its first variable for all choices of the remaining variables, denoted by $X = (x_2, \dots, x_n)$. If (a, X) and (b, X) are elements of Ω with $a \neq b$, then by (A-2), T(a, X) = T(b, X) implies $\pi(a, X) = \pi(b, X)$ for product functions $\pi; \pi$ would not be injective. Hence each X determines a line λ parallel to the x_1 axis and $T(\cdot; X)$ is one-to-one on $\lambda \wedge \Omega$. Hence T is one-to-one and continuous in each variable separately. Since Ω was not assumed convex, the domain of $T(\cdot;X)$ for given X need not be connected (in R) and it remains to prove that T is in fact strictly monotonic in each variable for all choices of the remaining variables (either increasing for all, or decreasing for all). For each point $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \Omega$, some open ball around this point is contained in Ω and define $V: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$ by $V(x_1, \dots, x_n) =$ $(\pm 1, \dots, \pm 1)$ according as T is strictly increasing (+1) or decreasing (-1) in each variable within that open ball. Since V is constant on some neighborhood of each point in Ω , V is continuous on Ω and all of the 2n sets $V^{-1}(\pm 1, \dots, \pm 1)$ are closed and disjoint. Since Ω is connected, all but one of these sets must be empty.

4. Proof of Theorem 2. Consider the two dimensional case $h\{T(x, y)\} = F\{f(x), g(y)\}$, valid on some open connected $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$; Ω_x, Ω_y denote the projections of Ω on the x and y axes, f and g are continuous on Ω_x and Ω_y respectively. Let $N_{\epsilon}(x) := |x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon|$, the open interval.

LEMMA 2. For (x_0, y_0) in Ω , if f is constant on some $N_{\epsilon}(x_0)$

then g is also constant on some $N_{\delta}(y_0)$ and conversely.

Proof. Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small so that $N_{\varepsilon}(x_0) \times N_{\varepsilon}(y_0) \subset \Omega$ with f(x) = k constant on $N_{\varepsilon}(x_0)$. Since $T(\cdot, y_0)$ is locally nonconstant and continuous, $T(N_{\varepsilon}(x_0), y_0)$ contains an open interval I; since $h\{T(x, y_0)\} = F\{k, g(y_0)\}$ is also constant, h must be constant on I. With x_1 chosen in $N_{\varepsilon}(x_0)$ such that $T(x_1, y_0)$ is in I, so also is $T(x_1, y)$ in I for all y in some $N_{\delta}(y_0)$; hence $h\{T(x_1, y)\} = F\{k, g(y)\}$ is constant, that is, g(y) is constant by (A-2) for y in $N_{\delta}(y_0)$. Similarly for the converse.

LEMMA 3. If f is constant on some closed interval $[a, b] \subset \Omega_x$, a < b, then for some $\delta > 0$, f is also constant on $]a - \delta$, $b + \delta [\subset \Omega_x$. Similarly for g relative to intervals in Ω_y .

Proof. With $b \in \Omega_x$ so also $(b, y_0) \in \Omega$ for some y_0 and since Ω is open, $[b - \varepsilon, b + \varepsilon] \times [y_0 - \varepsilon, y_0 + \varepsilon] \subset \Omega$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Choose $x_0 \in]b - \varepsilon, b[=N(x_0)$, a neighbourhood of x_0 on which f is constant; by Lemma 2, g is constant on some $N(y_0)$. But again $(b, y_0) \in \Omega$ with g constant on $N(y_0)$ implies f constant on some N(b), thus extending [a, b] to $[a, b + \delta]$. Similarly for the end point a and for g relative to Ω_y .

If f is constant on some open interval, so also on the closure in Ω_x of the maximal extension of the interval on which f is constant; this maximal extension must also be open in Ω_x by Lemma 3. Since Ω_x is connected, f must be constant on Ω_x itself. In view of Lemma 2, g will be constant on Ω_y . A similar argument applied to any two of the arguments of π in \mathbb{R}^n proves the theorem.

5. Proof of Theorem 3². With T strictly monotonic in each variable separately, T is locally nonconstant in each variable also; the results of §4 are therefore applicable and it remains only to prove that if π is not injective on Ω , then some f_i is constant on some open set in Ω_i . Consider again the \mathbb{R}^2 case using f, g as before. If f(a) = f(b) for some a < b, then for some a < c < b, f(c) extremizes f (choosing max. or min. as required) on [a, b] and in every $N_{\epsilon}(c)$ two points x_0, x_2 can be found satisfying $f(x_0) = f(x_2)$. With $c \in \Omega_x$ so also $(c, y_0) \in \Omega$ for some $y_0 \in \Omega_y$, and for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ so also $N_{\epsilon}(c) \times \{y_0\} \subset \Omega$. Hence $f(x_0) = f(x_2)$ with $[x_0, x_2] \times \{y_0\} \subset \Omega$; for this x_0, x_2 choose x_1 in the open interval $]x_0, x_2[$ such that $f(x_1)$ extremizes f on $[x_0, x_2]$. Assume $f(x_1) \ge f(x)$ for all

 $^{^{2}}$ A similar argument may be found in [3].

 $x_0 \leq x \leq x_2$ and note that $x_0 < x_1 < x_2$. Since T is strictly monotonic in each variable assume $T(x_0, y_0) < T(x_1, y_0) < T(x_2, y_0)$ and define $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma_3 \subset \Omega_y$ as follows: $\Gamma_1 = \{y \mid T(x_0, y_0) < T(x_1, y) < T(x_2, y_0)\}, \Gamma_2 = \{y \mid T(x_0, y) < T(x_1, y_0)\}, \text{ and } \Gamma_3 = \{y \mid T(x_1, y_0) < T(x_2, y)\}$. By continuity each Γ_i is open and $y_0 \in \Gamma_1 \land \Gamma_2 \land \Gamma_3$ thus defining a neighborhood $N(y_0)$ of y_0 . For every $y \in N(y_0)$ follows $T(x_0, y_0) < T(x_1, y) < T(x_2, y_0)$ and $T(x_0, y) < T(x_1, y_0) < T(x_2, y)$; therefore there exist points $\alpha, \beta \in [x_0, x_1[$ satisfying $T(\alpha, y_0) = T(x_1, y)$ and $T(\beta, y) = T(x_1, y_0)$. The equation $h \circ T = F \circ \pi$ then implies $F\{f(\alpha), g(y_0)\} = F\{f(x_1), g(y)\}$ and $F\{f(\beta), g(y)\} = F\{f(x_1), g(y_0)\}$. But $f(x_1) \geq f(\alpha)$ and $\geq f(\beta)$ and since F is now strictly monotonic in each variable, $g(y) = g(y_0)$ follows. Hence g is constant on $N(y_0)$, and by § 4, g is constant on Ω_y and f is constant on Ω_x . When applied to any two arguments of the original equation in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 2$, the theorem follows.

References

1. J. Aczel, Lectures on Functional Equations and their Applications, Academic Press, New York, 1966.

2. J. L. Denny, Cauchy's equation and sufficient statistics on arcwise connected spaces, Ann. Math. Statistics, **41** (1970), 401-411.

3. C. T. Ng, Local boundedness and continuity for a functional equation on topological spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **39-3** (1973), 525-529.

4. _____, On the functional equation $f(x) + \sum g_i(y_i) = h\{T(x, y_1, \dots, y_n)\}$, Annales Pol. Math., 27 (1973), 329-336.

5. J. A. Lester, and M. A. McKiernan, On null cone preserving mappings, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., 81 (1977), 455-462.

Received March 13, 1978 and in revised form October 20, 1978.

UNIVERSITY OF WATERLOO WATERLOO, ONTARIO, N2L 3G1 CANADA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California Los Angeles, CA 90024

HUGO ROSSI University of Utah Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ANDREW OGG University of California Berkeley, CA 94720

J. Dugundji

Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. Wolf

K. Yoshida

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. **39**. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints to each author are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$84.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$42.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Older back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.). 8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

> Copyright © 1979 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 82, No. 2 February, 1979

Krishnaswami Alladi and Paul Erdős, On the asymptotic behavior of large prime	295
factors of integers	293
Alfred David Andrew, A remark on generalized Haar systems in L_p ,	317
1	
John M. Baker, A note on compact operators which attain their norm	319
Jonathan Borwein, Weak local supportability and applications to	222
approximation	323
Tae Ho Choe and Young Soo Park, <i>Wallman's type order compactification</i>	339
Susanne Dierolf and Ulrich Schwanengel, <i>Examples of locally compact</i>	240
noncompact minimal topological groups	349
Michael Freedman, A converse to (Milnor-Kervaire theorem) $\times R$ etc	357
George Golightly, <i>Graph-dense linear transformations</i>	371
H. Groemer, Space coverings by translates of convex sets	379
Rolf Wim Henrichs, Weak Frobenius reciprocity and compactness conditions in	
topological groups	387
Horst Herrlich and George Edison Strecker, Semi-universal maps and universal	
initial completions	407
Sigmund Nyrop Hudson, On the topology and geometry of arcwise connected, finite-dimensional groups	429
K. John and Václav E. Zizler, On extension of rotund norms. II	451
Russell Allan Johnson, Existence of a strong lifting commuting with a compact	
group of transformations. II	457
Bjarni Jónsson and Ivan Rival, <i>Lattice varieties covering the smallest nonmodular</i>	
variety	463
Grigori Abramovich Kolesnik, On the order of Dirichlet L-functions	479
Robert Allen Liebler and Jay Edward Yellen, In search of nonsolvable groups of	
central type	485
Wilfrido Martínez T. and Adalberto Garcia-Maynez Cervantes, Unicoherent plane	
Peano sets are σ -unicoherent	493
M. A. McKiernan, General Pexider equations. I. Existence of injective	
solutions	499
M. A. McKiernan, General Pexider equations. II. An application of the theory of	
webs	503
Jan K. Pachl, <i>Measures as functionals on uniformly continuous</i> functions	515
Lee Albert Rubel, Convolution cut-down in some radical convolution algebras	523
Peter John Slater and William Yslas Vélez, <i>Permutations of the positive integers</i>	
with restrictions on the sequence of differences. II	527
Raymond Earl Smithson, A common fixed point theorem for nested spaces	533
Indulata Sukla, Generalization of a theorem of McFadden	539
Jun-ichi Tanaka, A certain class of total variation measures of analytic	
measures	547
Kalathoor Varadarajan, <i>Modules with supplements</i>	559
Robert Francis Wheeler, <i>Topological measure theory for completely regular spaces</i>	
and their projective covers	565