

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

CHAIN CONDITIONS IN FREE PRODUCTS OF LATTICES WITH INFINITARY OPERATIONS

GEORGE GRÄTZER, ANDRAS HAJNAL AND DAVID C. KELLY

CHAIN CONDITIONS IN FREE PRODUCTS OF LATTICES WITH INFINITARY OPERATIONS

G. GRÄTZER, A. HAJNAL AND DAVID KELLY

There are many facts known about the size of subsets of certain kinds in free lattices and free products of lattices. Examples: every chain in a free lattice is at most countable; every "large" subset contains an independent set; if the free product of a set of lattices contains a "long" chain, so does the free product of a finite subset of this set of lattices. Here we investigate these problems in the setting of a variety V of m -lattices, where m is an infinite regular cardinal. An m -lattice L is a lattice in which for any nonempty set S with $|S| < m$, the meet and join exist in L . We obtain generalizations of many finitary results to the m -complete case. Our basic set-theoretic tool is the Erdős-Rado theorem.

1. Preliminaries. Lower-case German letters denote cardinals. Lower-case Greek letters denote ordinals; cardinals are identified with initial ordinals.

A family $(S_i | i \in I)$ of sets is a Δ -system with kernel D iff $S_i \cap S_j = D$ whenever $i \neq j$ and $i, j \in I$. The cardinal n is *strongly m -inaccessible* iff $b^a < n$ whenever $a < m$ and $b < n$. For example, $(2^m)^+$ is strongly m -inaccessible [2, Lemma 1.26], where $2^m = \Sigma(2^a | a < m)$. Note that $2^m \geq m$, and equality holds if the Generalized Continuum Hypothesis (G.C.H) is assumed. Under G.C.H., if $n > m$ is the successor of a regular cardinal, then it is strongly m -inaccessible.

Let $n > m$ be regular and strongly m -inaccessible. The *Erdős-Rado theorem* [3, Lemma 1] states that for any family $(S_\alpha | \alpha < n)$ of sets with $|S_\alpha| < m$ whenever $\alpha < n$, there is $N \subseteq n$ with $|N| = n$ such that $(S_\alpha | \alpha \in N)$ is a Δ -system.

In this paper, m is an infinite regular cardinal. The prefix " m -" is consistently used to extend concepts from the usual case of finitary joins and meets; for further details, see [6] and [7].

A *variety* V of m -lattices or *m -variety* is a class of m -lattices that is closed under m -homomorphic images, m -sublattices and products. V shall always denote a nontrivial m -variety.

The V -free m -product L of a family $(L_i | i \in I)$ of m -lattices in V is the m -lattice $L \in V$ (unique up to isomorphism) that contains each L_i ($i \in I$) as an m -sublattice and is m -generated by $X = \bigcup (L_i | i \in I)$ (disjoint union) such that any family $\varphi_i: L_i \rightarrow K$ of m -homomorphisms into any $K \in V$ can be extended to an m -homomorphism

of L into K . In particular, if each L_i ($i \in I$) is a one-element lattice, then L is the V -free m -lattice generated by X . We omit mention of V if it is the variety L_m of all m -lattices. We also omit m if $m = \aleph_0$.

Let $X = \{x_\alpha \mid \alpha < m\}$ be a set of variables. The m -polynomials in X , defined in [6], are built up using formal joins and meets of less than m elements, starting from X . The set $P_m(X)$ of all m -polynomials in X has cardinality 2^m . Let L be an m -lattice that is m -generated by a set X . We can express any element $a \in L$ as $a = p(\bar{a})$ where $p \in P_m(X)$, $Y \subset X$ is the set of variables appearing in p , and \bar{a} is a mapping from Y to X . By induction on the rank of p (see [6]), it is easily shown that any $a \in L$ has such a representation with \bar{a} one-to-one (that is, distinct variables are substituted by distinct elements of X); such a representation is called *proper*. A subset Y of an m -lattice is *m -irredundant* iff the following condition and its dual hold: whenever $a \leq \bigvee B$ with $a \in Y$, $B \subseteq Y$ and $0 < |B| < m$, it follows that $a \in B$. In particular, an m -irredundant subset is an antichain.

2. The results. In a V -free lattice, every chain is countable. This result is proved in F. Galvin and B. Jónsson [4] in a much sharper form. Our first result generalizes their sharper form.

THEOREM 1. *Let V be a nontrivial m -variety, and let n be a regular cardinal that is greater than m and strongly m -inaccessible. If a set of cardinality n is a subset of a V -free m -lattice, then it contains an m -irredundant subset of the same cardinality.*

COROLLARY 1. *Every V -free m -lattice satisfies the $(2^m)^+$ -chain condition, that is, it has no chain of cardinality $(2^m)^+$.*

A subset S of a lattice is *quasidisjoint* iff $a \wedge b = c \wedge d$ whenever $a, b, c, d \in S$ with $a \neq b$ and $c \neq d$. A lattice satisfies the n -*quasidisjointness condition* iff it contains no quasidisjoint set of cardinality n . Since no m -irredundant set with more than two elements can be quasidisjoint, we have

COROLLARY 2. *Every V -free m -lattice satisfies the $(2^m)^+$ -quasidisjointness condition.*

A subset Y of a free m -lattice L is *m -independent* iff the m -sublattice of L m -generated by Y is (isomorphic to) the free m -lattice generated by Y . Since m -irredundancy is equivalent to m -independency for subsets of a free m -lattice [6], we obtain a

result due to F. Galvin and B. Jónsson [4] in the $m = \aleph_0$ case.

COROLLARY 3. *Let κ be a regular cardinal that is greater than m and strongly m -inaccessible. If a set of cardinality κ is a subset of a free m -lattice, then it contains an m -independent subset of the same cardinality.*

B. Jónsson [9] proved that the V -free product of lattices $(L_i | i \in I)$ satisfies the m -chain condition (m is regular and $> \aleph_0$) iff for all finite $I' \subseteq I$, the V -free product of $(L_i | i \in I')$ satisfies the m -chain condition. Our next result generalizes this.

THEOREM 2. *Let V be an m -variety. Let κ be a regular cardinal that is greater than m and strongly m -inaccessible. Let L be the V -free m -product of the m -lattices $L_i \in V, i \in I$. If, for all $J \subseteq I$ with $|J| < m$, the free m -product of $(L_i | i \in J)$ satisfies the κ -chain condition, then so does L .*

If κ is singular and cofinal with \aleph_0 , then there are two lattices satisfying the κ -chain condition whose V -free product does not satisfy the κ -chain condition. If κ is cofinal with \aleph_0 , then there are countably many chains of cardinality $< \kappa$, whose V -free product does not satisfy the κ -chain condition (B. Jónsson [9] and G. Grätzer and H. Lakser [8]). The next two results are the analogues for m -lattices.

D_m will denote the smallest nontrivial variety of u -lattices (generated by 2, the two-element m -lattice).

THEOREM 3. *Let κ be a strongly m -inaccessible singular cardinal whose cofinality is greater than 2^m . Then there are two Boolean m -algebras in D_m satisfying the κ -chain condition such that their V -free m -product does not satisfy the κ -chain condition.*

THEOREM 4. *If $\kappa > m$ is an infinite cardinal of cofinality m_0 with $m_0 \leq m$, then there are m_0 complete chains of cardinality less than κ whose V -free m -product does not satisfy the κ -chain condition.*

Some open problems are listed in § 6.

3. **Proof of Theorem 1.** Let κ be as in the statement of the theorem, let L be the V -free m -lattice generated by a set X , and let Y be a subset of L with $|Y| = \kappa$. Since κ is regular, $2^m < \kappa$.

Hence, we can assume that each element of Y has a proper representation $a = p(\bar{a})$, where the same m -polynomial p is used for each element of Y . For notational simplicity, we further assume that, for some cardinal $m_0 < m$, $\bar{a} = \langle x_\alpha^a | \alpha < m_0 \rangle$ whenever $a \in Y$, where $x_\alpha^a \in X$ for all $\alpha < m_0$. (Note that $x_\alpha^a \neq x_\beta^a$ for $\alpha \neq \beta$.)

Consider the sets $S_a = \{x_\alpha^a | \alpha < m_0\}$ for $a \in Y$. By the Erdős-Rado theorem, there is a subset $Y' \subseteq Y$ with $|Y'| = n$ such that $(S_a | a \in Y')$ is a Δ -system, whose kernel we denote by D . For each $a \in Y'$, the inclusion $D \subseteq S_a$ induces a map $\psi_a: D \rightarrow m_0$ in the obvious way. Since $|\{\psi_a | a \in Y'\}| \leq m_0^{m_0} = 2^{m_0} < n$, we can assume that ψ_a is the same map for all $a \in Y'$. This means that if $x_\alpha^a \in D$ ($a \in Y'$, $\alpha < m_0$), then $x_\alpha^a = x_\beta^b$ for all $b \in Y'$.

We first show that Y' is an antichain in L . Supposing otherwise, there are $a, b \in Y'$ with $a < b$. We define an m -homomorphism $\varphi: L \rightarrow L$ as follows: $\varphi(x_\alpha^a) = x_\alpha^b$ and $\varphi(x_\alpha^b) = x_\alpha^a$ whenever $\alpha < m_0$; otherwise, if $x \in X$, $\varphi(x) = x$. Then, $\varphi(a) = b$ and $\varphi(b) = a$. Applying φ to the inequality $a < b$, we conclude that $b \leq a$, a contradiction.

Let $a \leq \bigvee B$ with $a \in Y'$, $B \subseteq Y'$ and $0 < |B| < m$. Suppose that $a \notin B$. Fix $c \in B$. We define an m -homomorphism $\varphi: L \rightarrow L$ as follows: $\varphi(x_\alpha^b) = x_\alpha^c$ whenever $b \in B$ and $\alpha < m_0$; otherwise, if $x \in X$, $\varphi(x) = x$. Then $\varphi(a) = a$ and $\varphi(b) = c$ whenever $b \in B$.

Applying φ to the inequality $a \leq \bigvee B$, we conclude that $a < c$, contradicting that Y' is an antichain. This completes the proof of the theorem.

4. Proof of Theorem 2. We prepare the proof of Theorem 2 by

LEMMA 1. Let L be the V -free m -product of m -lattices L_0, L_1, L_2 ; let L_3 be an m -lattice and let $e \in L_3$; and let $p = p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ and $q = q(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ be m -polynomials whose variables are $\mathbf{x} = \langle x_\alpha | \alpha < \beta \rangle$ and $\mathbf{y} = \langle y_\alpha | \alpha < \gamma \rangle$. Let \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} be β -sequences of elements of L_0 ; let \mathbf{c} and \mathbf{d} be γ -sequences of elements of L_1 and L_2 respectively, and let \mathbf{e} be the γ -sequence with constant entry e . If

$$p(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}) \leq q(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d})$$

in L and

$$p(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{e}) = q(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{e})$$

in the V -free product K of L_0 and L_3 , then

$$p(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}) = q(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d})$$

in L .

Proof. Let $L^b = L \cup \{0, 1\}$, the m -lattice formed by adding a new zero and one to L . It is easily seen that $L^b \in V$. Further, let 0 and 1 be the γ -sequences with constant entry 0 and 1 , respectively. We are assuming that (i) $p(a, c) \leq q(b, d)$ in L and (ii) $p(a, e) = q(b, e)$ in K . By considering the m -homomorphism from L to L^b that maps L_0 identically, everything in L_1 to 1 , and everything in L_2 to 0 , we conclude from (i) that $p(a, 1) \leq q(b, 0)$ in L^b . Using (ii) and the obvious m -homomorphisms from K to L^b , we also conclude that $p(a, 0) = q(b, 0)$ and $p(a, 1) = q(b, 1)$ in L^b . Thus, $q(b, 1) \leq p(a, 0)$ in L^b . It is easily shown by induction on the rank that $p(a, 0) \geq p(a, c)$ and $q(b, d) \leq q(b, 1)$ in L^b . Therefore, $q(b, d) \geq p(a, c)$ in L , the desired conclusion.

Let n be as in the statement of Theorem 2, let L be the V -free m -product of the family $(L_i | i \in I)$ of m -lattices, and let $X = \bigcup (L_i | i \in I)$, a subset of L . Suppose that C is a chain in L of cardinality n . As in the proof of Theorem 1, we can assume that there is a single m -polynomial p and a cardinal $m_0 < m$ such that each element a of C has a representation $a = p(\langle x_\alpha^a | \alpha < m_0 \rangle)$, where $x_\alpha^a \in X$ for all $\alpha < m_0$. For $x \in X$, $i(x)$ denotes the element j of I such that $x \in L_j$. Since there are less than n equivalence relations on m_0 , we can further assume that, whenever $\alpha, \beta < m_0$, if the equality $i(x_\alpha^a) = i(x_\beta^a)$ holds for some $a \in C$, then it holds for all $a \in C$.

Applying the Erdős-Rado theorem to the sets $S_a = \{i(x_\alpha^a) | \alpha < m_0\}$ for $a \in C$, we obtain a subset $C' \subseteq C$ with $|C'| = n$ such that $(S_a | a \in C')$ is a Δ -system with kernel D . Again as in Theorem 1, we can assume that if $i(x_\alpha^a) \in D$ ($a \in C', \alpha < m_0$), then $i(x_\alpha^a) = i(x_\alpha^b)$ for all $b \in C'$. We will consider only the case that $I - D \neq \emptyset$. Choose $k \in I - D$, set $J = D \cup \{k\}$, and let K be a V -free m -product of $(L_i | i \in J)$. Further, choose $e \in L_k$. Let $\varphi: L \rightarrow K$ be the m -homomorphism that maps L_i identically if $i \in D$, and maps everything in L_i to e if $i \in I - D$. If $a < b$ in C' , then Lemma 1 guarantees that $\varphi(a) \neq \varphi(b)$. Therefore, $\{\varphi(a) | a \in C'\}$ is a chain of cardinality n in K , completing the proof.

Note that Corollary 1 of Theorem 1 also follows from Theorem 2.

5. **Proofs of Theorems 3 and 4.** In order to develop a proof of Theorem 3, we will generalize the concepts and results in §5 of G. Grätzer and H. Lakser [8]. Let $(P_i | i \in I)$ be a family of posets with 0 and 1 . Let $k = 0$ or 1 . For each x in the direct product $\Pi(P_i | i \in I)$, $sp_k(x) = \{i \in I | x_i \neq k\}$. Also, $\Pi_m^k(P_i | i \in I)$ is the set of all $x \in \Pi(P_i | i \in I)$ for which $|sp_k(x)| < m$. The m -weak direct product of $(P_i | i \in I)$ is defined as

$$\Pi_m(P_i | i \in I) = \Pi_m^0(P_i | i \in I) \cup \Pi_m^1(P_i | i \in I) .$$

LEMMA 2. *Let κ be a strongly m -inaccessible cardinal whose cofinality is greater than 2^m . If $(P_i | i \in I)$ is a family of posets with 0 and 1 satisfying the κ -chain condition, then $\Pi_m(P_i | i \in I)$ satisfies the κ -chain condition.*

Proof. Suppose C is a chain in $\Pi_m(P_i | i \in I)$ of cardinality κ , where each P_i satisfies the κ -chain condition. There is no loss in generality in assuming that $C \subseteq \Pi_m^0(P_i | i \in I)$. For $x \in C$, the sets $sp_0(x)$ each have cardinality less than m and form a chain under inclusion; therefore, by the Erdős-Rado theorem (a proof without appeal to this theorem is not difficult), $|\{sp_0(x) | x \in C\}| \leq 2^m$. Thus, there is a chain $C' \subseteq C$ of cardinality κ and a set $J \subseteq I$ of cardinality $m_0 < m$ such that $sp_0(x) = J$ whenever $x \in C'$. For $i \in J$, let $C_i = \pi_i(C')$, where $\pi_i: \Pi(P_i | i \in I) \rightarrow P_i$ is the projection map; since each C_i is a chain in P_i , $|C_i| < \kappa$. Choose $n_0 < \kappa$ such that $|C| \leq n_0$ whenever $i \in J$. Since C' can be embedded in $\Pi(C_i | i \in J)$, we obtain $|C'| \leq n_0^{m_0} < \kappa$. With this contradiction, the proof is complete.

LEMMA 3. *Let κ be a strongly m -inaccessible cardinal whose cofinality is greater than 2^m . There is a Boolean m -algebra in D_m that satisfies the κ -chain condition but contains a chain of cardinality κ' for every $\kappa' < \kappa$.*

Proof. Any successor ordinal, considered as a (complete) chain, is isomorphic to an m -sublattice of a power set. For each $\alpha < \kappa$, let B_α be a Boolean m -algebra in D_m that is m -generated inside a Boolean m -algebra A in D_m by $C \cup \{0, 1\} \cup \{c' | c \in C\}$, where C is a successor ordinal of cardinality α and c' denotes the complement of c in A . An m -polynomial in which $m_0 < m$ variables appear can represent at most α^{m_0} elements of B_α . Since $\alpha^{m_0} < \kappa$ and there are 2^m m -polynomials, it follows that $|B_\alpha| < \kappa$. Then $B = \Pi_m(B_\alpha | \alpha < \kappa)$ is a Boolean m -algebra in D_m and, by Lemma 2, B satisfies the κ -chain condition.

Now we prove Theorem 3. Let B_1 be a Boolean m -algebra in D_m satisfying the condition of Lemma 3. If \aleph_α is the cofinality of κ , we can write $\kappa = \sum (\aleph_\beta | \beta < \omega_\alpha)$, where $\aleph_\beta < \kappa$ for all $\beta < \omega_\alpha$. For each $\beta < \omega_\alpha$, let $C_\beta \subseteq B_1$ be a chain of cardinality \aleph_β . Let B_2 be a Boolean m -algebra that is Boolean m -generated by the ordinal $\omega_\alpha + 1$ inside a power set; then $|B_2| < \kappa$. Further, let L be the V -free m -product of B_1 and B_2 . For $\beta < \omega_\alpha$, let $C'_\beta = \{(x \vee \beta) \wedge (\beta + 1) | x \in C_\beta\}$; then $C = \bigcup (C'_\beta | \beta < \omega_\alpha)$ is a chain in L . Let $\psi: B_2 \rightarrow 2$

be an m -homomorphism such that $\psi(\beta) = 0$ and $\psi(\beta + 1) = 1$. We now define the m -homomorphism $\varphi: L \rightarrow B_1 \cup \{0, 1\}$ by $\varphi(x)$ if $x \in B_1$, and $\varphi(x) = \psi(x)$ if $x \in B_2$. Since $\varphi((x \vee \beta) \wedge (\beta + 1)) = x$, it now follows that $|C'_\beta| = n_\beta$. Therefore, $|C| = n$, completing the proof.

Theorem 4 is easier to prove. Indeed, if $n \leq m$, the V -free m -lattice with n generators $\{x_\alpha | \alpha < n\}$ contains the chain $\{y_\alpha | \alpha < n\}$ of cardinality n , where $y_\alpha = \bigvee (x_\beta | \beta \leq \alpha)$ whenever $\alpha < n$. If $n > m$, then $n = \Sigma(n_\alpha | \alpha < m_0)$, where $n_\alpha < n$ for all $\alpha < m_0$. Let C and C_α be successor ordinals of cardinality m_0 and n_α , respectively, where $\alpha < m_0$. The proof is completed similarly as in Theorem 3 by showing that each C_α can be embedded into the interval $(\alpha, \alpha + 1)$ in the V -free m -product of C and the C_α ($\alpha < m_0$).

6. Open problems.

Problem 1. Is every V -free m -lattice a union of 2^{\aleph_0} antichains? First we show that this holds for $m = \aleph_0$.

PROPOSITION 1. *Any V -free lattice is a countable union of antichains.*

Proof. Let L be the V -free lattice generated by a set X . Let p be a polynomial in variables x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n and let S be the set of all $a \in L$ that have a proper representation of the form $a = p(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ where $x_i \in X$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. It is enough to show that S is an antichain. Let σ be a permutation of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. For $a = p(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, we write σa for $p(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)})$. If $a \leq \sigma a$, then $\sigma a \leq \sigma^2 a, \dots, \sigma^{n-1} a \leq \sigma^n a = a$, from which it follows that $a = \sigma a$. (F. Galvin and B. Jónsson used similar reasoning in [4].) Now, let $a = p(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $b = p(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ be proper representations with $x_i, y_i \in X$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, and suppose that $a \leq b$. Let $A = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and $B = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$. We can assume there is an integer k with $0 \leq k \leq n$ and there are elements $z_1, \dots, z_k \in X$ such that $A - B = \{z_1, \dots, z_k\}$ and $A \cap B = \{y_{k+1}, \dots, y_n\}$. Applying the obvious endomorphism of L to the inequality $a \leq b$, we obtain $p(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq p(z_1, \dots, z_k, y_{k+1}, \dots, y_n)$; by the previous case, $a = p(z_1, \dots, z_k, y_{k+1}, \dots, y_n)$. Let φ be the endomorphism of L that maps z_i to y_i , and vice-versa ($1 \leq i \leq k$), and maps all other elements of X identically. Applying φ to the inequality $p(z_1, \dots, z_k, y_{k+1}, \dots, y_n) \leq p(y_1, \dots, y_n)$, we obtain $b \leq a$, completing the proof.

The following example shows that similar reasoning will not settle the uncountable case. (For notational simplicity, we only deal with the $m = \aleph_1$ case.)

Let V be a nontrivial variety of \aleph_1 -lattices and let L be a V -free lattice generated by an infinite set X . We show that, in contrast with the $m = \aleph_0$ case, permutations of X can create distinct comparable elements in L . Let p and q be \aleph_1 -polynomials in variables $\{x_n \mid n < \omega\}$ such that $p \leq q$ holds in V (for any substitution) but $p = q$ does not (for example, x_0 and $x_0 \vee x_1$). Let x_n^i be distinct elements of X for $i \in Z$ (the integers) and $n < \omega$. Further, let $p_i = p(x_n^i \mid n < \omega)$ and $q_i = q(x_n^i \mid n < \omega)$. If

$$a = \bigvee (p_i \mid i \leq 0) \vee \bigvee (q_i \mid i > 0)$$

and

$$b = \bigvee (p_i \mid i < 0) \vee \bigvee (q_i \mid i \geq 0),$$

then $a \leq b$ and b can be obtained from a by suitably permuting the elements of X . If $a = b$, we obtain $p_0 = q_0$ by mapping each x_n^i ($i \neq 0, n < \omega$) to $\wedge (x_n^0 \mid n < \omega)$. This would mean that $p = q$ holds in V , contrary to assumption. Therefore, $a < b$. In fact, a chain isomorphic to the reals R can be obtained from a by suitable permutations of X . (Let $f: Z \rightarrow Q$ be a bijection, and for $y \in R$, let $a_y = \bigvee (r_i \mid i \in Z)$, where $r_i = p_i$ if $f(i) < y$ and $r_i = q_i$ otherwise.)

Problem 2. Let n be regular and $> m$. Do V -free m -products preserve the n -chain condition?

This problem was answered affirmatively for $m = \aleph_0$ and $V = D$ by G. Grätzer and H. Lakser [6]. For $m = \aleph_0$ and $V = L$, an affirmative answer was found by M. E. Adams and D. Kelly [1] by separately proving the following two statements:

(i) The free product of a family $(L_i \mid i \in I)$ of lattices is isomorphic to a subposet of the completely free lattice generated by the poset $\bigcup (L_i \mid i \in I)$.

(ii) If a poset X satisfies the n chain condition, then so does the completely V -free lattice generated by X .

It is shown in [6] that the statement corresponding to (i) for m -lattices is valid. On the other hand, the following example shows that the analogue of (ii) is false.

Let m and n be uncountable cardinals and consider the poset $X = \{x_n^\alpha \mid n < \omega, \alpha < n\}$ where $x_m^\alpha < x_m^\beta$ iff $m < n$ and $\alpha < \beta$. Then X contains only countable chains but the completely V -free lattice L generated by X contains a chain of cardinality n , where V is an arbitrary nontrivial variety of m -lattices. For $\alpha < n$ let $y_\alpha = \bigvee (x_n^\alpha \mid n < \omega)$; clearly, $\{y_\alpha \mid \alpha < n\}$ is a chain in L . Let $\alpha < \beta < n$. The isotone map $\varphi: X \rightarrow 2$ defined by $\varphi(x_n^\gamma) = 0$ if $\gamma \leq \alpha$ and $\varphi(x) = 1$

for $x \in X$ otherwise extends to an m -homomorphism of L onto 2 that maps y_α to 0 and y_β to 1; thus, $y_\alpha \neq y_\beta$.

Problem 3. Is every m -complete chain contained in a Boolean m -algebra in D_m ?

If $m = n^+$, a Boolean m -algebra in D_m is called n -representable by R. Sikorski [10]. If, for any two distinct elements of an m -lattice L , there is an m -homomorphism from L onto 2 separating the two elements, then L is in D_m . Thus, as observed in the proof of Lemma 3, any successor ordinal is an m -sublattice of a power set. It also follows that D_m contains every m -complete chain. (Replace each element of an m -complete chain C by two elements, forming the chain C' ; then C' is an m -sublattice of a power set and the obvious map from C' to C is an m -homomorphism.) Since the embedding of a chain into the Boolean algebra that it R -generates preserves all existing joins and meets (see [5]), any m -complete chain is an m -sublattice of a Boolean m -algebra. However, the following example shows that m -congruences of maximal chains need not extend to m -congruences of Boolean m -algebras. (Contrast with the $m = \aleph_0$ case in [5].) Let B be the power set of $[0, 1]$ and let C be the maximal chain in B consisting of all intervals of the form $[0, x)$ or $[0, x]$, where $x \in [0, 1]$. The m -homomorphism that only collapses $[0, x)$ and $[0, x]$, $0 \leq x \leq 1$, maps C onto $[0, 1]$. Yet, if $m \geq (2^{\aleph_0})^+$, any m -congruence of B that collapses $[0, x)$ and $[0, x]$, $0 \leq x \leq 1$, collapses all of B since $[0, 1] \subseteq \bigcup ([0, x] - [0, x) \mid 0 \leq x \leq 1)$.

REFERENCES

1. M. E. Adams and D. Kelly, *Chain conditions in free products of lattices*, Algebra Universalis, **7** (1977), 235-243.
2. W. W. Confort and S. Negrepontis, *The Theory of Ultrafilters*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1974.
3. P. Erdős and R. Rado, *Intersection theorems for systems of sets II*, J. London Math. Soc., **44** (1969), 467-479.
4. F. Galvin and B. Jónsson, *Distributive sublattices of a free lattice*, Canad. J. Math., **13** (1961), 265-272.
5. G. Grätzer, *General Lattice Theory*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1979.
6. G. Grätzer and D. Kelly, *Free m -product of lattices*, Colloq. Math., to appear.
7. G. Grätzer and D. Kelly, *A normal form theorem for lattices completely generated by a subset*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **67** (1977), 215-218.
8. G. Grätzer and H. Lakser, *Chain conditions in the distributive free product of lattices*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **144** (1969), 301-312.
9. B. Jónsson, *Relatively free products of lattices*, Algebra Universalis, **1** (1972), 362-373.
10. R. Sikorski, *Boolean Algebras*, 3rd edition, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1969.

Received March 21, 1978 and in revised form December 29, 1978. The research of the authors was supported by the National Research Council of Canada.

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

HUGO ROSSI

University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ANDREW OGG

University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. FINN AND J. MILGRAM
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Richard Neal Ball, <i>Topological lattice-ordered groups</i>	1
Stephen Berman, <i>On the low-dimensional cohomology of some infinite-dimensional simple Lie algebras</i>	27
R. P. Boas and Gerald Thomas Cargo, <i>Level sets of derivatives</i>	37
James K. Deveney and John Nelson Mordeson, <i>Splitting and modularly perfect fields</i>	45
Robert Hugh Gilman and Ronald Mark Solomon, <i>Finite groups with small unbalancing 2-components</i>	55
George Grätzer, Andras Hajnal and David C. Kelly, <i>Chain conditions in free products of lattices with infinitary operations</i>	107
Benjamin Rigler Halpern, <i>Periodic points on tori</i>	117
Dean G. Hoffman and David Anthony Klarner, <i>Sets of integers closed under affine operators—the finite basis theorem</i>	135
Rudolf-Eberhard Hoffmann, <i>On the sobrification remainder $^s X - X$</i>	145
Gerald William Johnson and David Lee Skoug, <i>Scale-invariant measurability in Wiener space</i>	157
Michael Keisler, <i>Integral representation for elements of the dual of $ba(S, \Sigma)$</i>	177
Wayne C. Bell and Michael Keisler, <i>A characterization of the representable Lebesgue decomposition projections</i>	185
Wadi Mahfoud, <i>Comparison theorems for delay differential equations</i>	187
R. Daniel Mauldin, <i>The set of continuous nowhere differentiable functions</i>	199
Robert Wilmer Miller and Mark Lawrence Teply, <i>The descending chain condition relative to a torsion theory</i>	207
Yoshiomi Nakagami and Colin Eric Sutherland, <i>Takesaki's duality for regular extensions of von Neumann algebras</i>	221
William Otis Nowell, <i>Tubular neighborhoods of Hilbert cube manifolds</i>	231
Mohan S. Putcha, <i>Generalization of Lentin's theory of principal solutions of word equations in free semigroups to free product of copies of positive reals under addition</i>	253
Amitai Regev, <i>A primeness property for central polynomials</i>	269
Saburou Saitoh, <i>The Rudin kernels on an arbitrary domain</i>	273
Heinrich Steinlein, <i>Some abstract generalizations of the Ljusternik-Schnirelmann-Borsuk covering theorem</i>	285