

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

PERIODIC POINTS ON TORI

BENJAMIN RIGLER HALPERN

PERIODIC POINTS ON TORI

BENJAMIN HALPERN

We prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. *Given a continuous map $f: T^n \rightarrow T^n$ of the n -dimensional torus into itself. Each map homotopic to f has an infinite number of periodic points if and only if the Lefschetz numbers of the iterates $L(f^m)$, $m=1, 2, \dots$, are unbounded.*

The "if" direction of Theorem 1 follows from a theorem of Brooks, Brown, Pak, and Taylor [1]. Let $N(f)$ denote the Nielsen number of the map f . Recall that each map homotopic to f must have at least $N(f)$ distinct fixed points.

THEOREM 2. *(Brook, Brown, Pak, and Taylor [1]). If $f: T^n \rightarrow T^n$ is a continuous map, then $N(f) = |L(f)|$.*

The converse direction of Theorem 1 is deduced from the more precise result, Theorem 3.

DEFINITION 1. Given a map $f: T^n \rightarrow T^n$. Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ be the characteristic values of $H_1(f): H_1(T^n) \rightarrow H_1(T^n)$. If λ_i is not a root of unity, then set $a_{im} = |1 - \lambda_i^m|$. If λ_i is a root of unity, then let N be such that $\lambda_i^N = 1$ and $\lambda_i^m \neq 1$ for $1 \leq m < N$ (i.e., λ_i is a primitive N th root of unity), and set

$$a_{im} = \begin{cases} |1 - \lambda_i^m| & \text{if } m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{N} \\ \sum_{q|N} |1 - \lambda_i^q| & \text{if } m \equiv 0 \pmod{N}. \end{cases}$$

Set $a_m(f) = \prod_{i=1}^n a_{im}$.

THEOREM 3. *For each map $f: T^n \rightarrow T^n$ there exists a smooth map g homotopic to f such that for $m \geq 1$,*

$$\#\{x \in T^n \mid g^m(x) = x\} \leq a_m(f).$$

Since $L(f^m) = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - \lambda_i^m)$, we see that $\#\{x \in T^n \mid g^m(x) = x\} = N(f)$ for all m such that $\lambda_i^m \neq 1$ for all i . From Theorems 2 and 3, one may also deduce similarities between the asymptotic behaviors of $P_m = \#\{x \in T^n \mid g^m(x) = x\}$ and $Q_m = \max\{N(f^r) \mid 1 \leq r \leq m\}$.

In the process of proving Theorem 3 we establish a general result, Theorem 4, which concerns periodic points for maps homotopic to

periodic maps.

THEOREM 4. *Given a smooth compact connected manifold M of dimension $m \geq 2$, and a smooth map $f: M \rightarrow M$ such that $f^N = 1_M$ for some $N \geq 2$, and $f(x_0) = x_0$ for some $x_0 \in M$. Also suppose $P = \{x \in M \mid f^r(x) = x \text{ for some } r, 1 \leq r < N\}$ is finite. Then there exists a smooth map $g: M \rightarrow M$ which is homotopic to f and such that $P =$ the set of all periodic points of g , and $g|P = f|P$.*

When Theorem 4 is specialized to tori, it gives a map g homotopic to the given periodic map $f: T^n \rightarrow T^n$, whose numbers of periodic points of various periods are exactly the lower bounds implied by Theorem 2. Theorem 3 for an arbitrary map $f: T^n \rightarrow T^n$ is proved by homotoping f to a map g which with a "change of coordinates" takes the form $g: T^n = T^k \times T^{n-k} \rightarrow T^k \times T^{n-k} = T^n$, $g(x, y) = (a(x), r(x, y))$ where $a: T^k \rightarrow T^k$ is periodic. We homotopy a to an \bar{a} according to Theorem 4 and then, using an induction hypothesis we homotopy r on the sets $\{x\} \times T^{n-k}$ for x a periodic point of \bar{a} . This gives a map whose periodic points are the same as a map of the form $\bar{a} \times \bar{b}: T^k \times T^{n-k} \rightarrow T^k \times T^{n-k}$ where $\bar{a}: T^k \rightarrow T^k$ and $\bar{b}: T^{n-k} \rightarrow T^{n-k}$. This is sufficient to prove Theorem 3 by induction, but it gives a map with possibly more periodic points than the lower bound set in Theorem 2. In special cases the lower bound in Theorem 2 can be achieved by refinements in the technique outlined above. So we make the following conjecture.

Conjecture. Given a map $f: T^n \rightarrow T^n$. Then there exists a smooth map g homotopic to f such that $\#\{x \in T^n \mid x \text{ is a periodic point of } g \text{ of least period } m\} = r_m$ where $r_1 = |L(f)|$ and for $q \geq 2$

$$r_q = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } L(f^q) = 0 \\ |L(f^q)| - \sum_{\substack{m < q \\ m|q}} r_m & \text{if } L(f^q) \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

This work was motivated by a question of Shub and Sullivan which appears on page 140 of Hirsch [3]. Shub and Sullivan ask whether every map homotopic to $g: T^2 \rightarrow T^2$ must have an infinite number of periodic points where g is the map covered by the linear map $\bar{g}: \mathbf{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$ whose matrix is $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Since the Lefschetz numbers $L(g^n)$ are easily seen to be unbounded, a positive answer follows from the theorem of Brooks, Brown, Pak, and Taylor, Theorem 2. An elementary, transparent proof of a special case of Theorem 2 is presented in Proposition 1.

2. Preliminaries. Denote the integers by \mathbf{Z} , the rationals by

\mathbf{Q} , and the reals by \mathbf{R} . For each $a \in \mathbf{R}^n$ let $T_a: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ denote the translation by a , $T_a b = b + a$ for $b \in \mathbf{R}^n$. Set $\mathcal{T} = \{T_a \mid a \in \mathbf{Z}^n\}$. Let $\pi: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow T^n$ denote the usual covering map which identifies T^n with \mathbf{R}^n/\mathcal{T} . Recall that an $n \times n$ matrix A is unimodular provided it has integer entries and $\det A = \pm 1$, or equivalently, it has integer entries and an inverse with integer entries. Clearly, the rows of a matrix A with integer entries form a basis for the module \mathbf{Z}^n over \mathbf{Z} if and only if A is unimodular.

We will use the form of Nielsen fixed point theorem which states that if $f: X \rightarrow X$ is a continuous map of a compact manifold X into itself, then each map g homotopic to f must have at least $N(f)$ fixed points, where $N(f)$ is the Nielsen number of f . Furthermore, $N(g) = N(f)$, (Brown [2]). The Nielsen number $N(f)$ is defined as follows. First an equivalence relation \sim is defined on the set F of fixed points of f . Two fixed points $x, y \in F$ are equivalent, $x \sim y$, provided there is a path γ in X from x to y such that $f \circ \gamma$ is end points fixed homotopic to γ . The set of equivalence classes F/\sim is known to be finite and each equivalence class is compact.

Using a fixed point index I , such as defined in [2] we may assign an index $i(A)$ to each $A \in F/\sim$ by setting $i(A) = I(U)$ for any open set U such that $F \cap U = A$. The Nielsen number $N(f)$ is the number of $A \in F/\sim$ such that $i(A) \neq 0$. If A is a singleton $\{x\}$, then $i\{x\}$ is the usual index of an isolated fixed point of f and consequently if f is differentiable and $1 - df_x$ is nonsingular, then $i\{x\} = \pm 1$ as $\det(1 - df_x)$ is positive or negative.

Let $e^1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$, $e^2 = (0, 1, \dots, 0)$, etc., denote the standard basis for \mathbf{R}^n . Set $\beta_i(t) = \pi(te^i)$ and $\alpha_i = [\beta_i] \in \pi_1(T^n, *)$, where $* = \pi(0)$. Then $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ form a basis for $\pi_1(T^n, *)$. Since the Hurewitz homomorphism $\rho: \pi_1(T^n, *) \rightarrow H_1(T^n)$ is an isomorphism, we can identify $\pi(T^n, *)$ with $H_1(T^n)$ via ρ and consider $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ as a basis for $H_1(T^n)$, which we shall call the standard basis of $H_1(T^n)$. If $L: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ is a linear map, we denote its matrix with respect to the standard basis by \bar{L} and define it by $L(e^i) = \sum_j \bar{L}_{ji} e^j$. Throughout this paper we will consider \mathbf{R}^n to be a space of column vectors. Then \bar{L} satisfies $L(v) = \bar{L}v$ for all v in \mathbf{R}^n .

Consider the case where $\bar{L}_{jk} \in \mathbf{Z}$ for all j, i . Then for $a \in \mathbf{Z}^n$, $La = b \in \mathbf{Z}^n$. Since $L \circ T_a = T_b \circ L$, we see that L induces a map $L': T^n \rightarrow T^n$. We say that L covers L' . It is a straightforward verification that the matrix of $H_1(L'): H_1(T^n) \rightarrow H_1(T^n)$ with respect to the standard basis is equal to \bar{L} . Since T^n is covered by \mathbf{R}^n , T^n is an Eilenberg-MacLane space, $T^n = K(\mathbf{Z}^n, 1)$. Hence the homotopy class of a map $f: T^n \rightarrow T^n$ is determined by the homomorphism $H_1(f): H_1(T^n) \rightarrow H_1(T^n)$. We sum up these observations in the following lemma.

LEMMA 1. *Each map $f: T^n \rightarrow T^n$ is homotopic to a map $g: T^n \rightarrow T^n$ which is covered by a linear map $\bar{g}: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ whose matrix is the same as the matrix of $H_1(f): H_1(T^n) \rightarrow H_1(T^n)$.*

LEMMA 2. *If $f: T^n \rightarrow T^n$ is covered by a linear map $A: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$, $f \circ \pi = \pi \circ A$, and 1 is not a characteristic root of A , then the fixed points are isolated, they all have the same index, and the number of them is $|L(f)|$.*

Proof. Let x be a fixed point of f . Using an appropriate restriction of $\pi: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow T^n$ for a coordinate system about x , we see that df_x expressed in these coordinates is A . Since $\det(1 - A) = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - \lambda_i)$, where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are the characteristic roots of A , we see that $\det(1 - A) \neq 0$. Hence x is an isolated fixed point. Therefore, $i(x) = \pm 1$ as $\det(1 - A)$ is positive or negative, and so $i(x)$ is independent of x . The Lefschetz fixed point formula asserts that the sum of the $i(x)$ as x ranges over the fixed points of f is $L(f)$. Hence the number of fixed points is $|L(f)|$.

PROPOSITION 1. *Given a map $f: T^n \rightarrow T^n$ such that 1 is not a characteristic root of $H_1(f): T^n \rightarrow T^n$. Then $N(f) = |L(f)|$.*

Proof. By Lemma 1 and the homotopy invariance of $N(f)$, we see that we may assume that f is covered by a linear map $A: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ and that 1 is not a characteristic root of A . From Lemma 2 we know that the set F of fixed points of f satisfies $\#F = |L(f)|$, and $i(x) \neq 0$ for each $x \in F$. To prove the present proposition it is sufficient to show that if $x, y \in F$, and $x \neq y$, then x is not Nielsen equivalent to y . For then, each $\{x\}$ with $x \in F$ will be a distinct Nielsen equivalence class and their number, $\#F = |L(f)|$, will be equal to $N(f)$ by the definition of $N(f)$.

Assume $x, y \in F$, $x \neq y$, and $x \sim y$. Then there is a path γ in T^n from x to y such that γ is end points fixed homotopic to $f \circ \gamma$. Let $\tilde{\gamma}: I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ be a lift of γ , $\pi \circ \tilde{\gamma} = \gamma$, going from $\tilde{\gamma}(0) = \tilde{x}$ to $\tilde{\gamma}(1) = \tilde{y}$. Then $A \circ \tilde{\gamma}$ covers $f \circ \gamma$, since $\pi \circ A = f \circ \pi$. Set $a = \tilde{x} - A(\tilde{\gamma}(0)) = \tilde{x} - A(\tilde{x})$. Since $\pi(A(\tilde{x})) = f(\pi(\tilde{x})) = f(x) = x$ and $\pi(\tilde{x}) = x$ we deduce that $a \in \mathbf{Z}^n$. Then $\pi \circ T_a = \pi$ and so $T_a \circ A \circ \tilde{\gamma}$ is also a lift of $f \circ \gamma$, $\pi \circ T_a \circ A \circ \tilde{\gamma} = \pi \circ A \circ \tilde{\gamma} = f \circ \pi \circ \tilde{\gamma} = f \circ \gamma$. Also note that $T_a \circ A \circ \tilde{\gamma}(0) = A(\tilde{\gamma}(0)) + a = \tilde{x} = \tilde{\gamma}(0)$. Since γ is end point fixed homotopic to $f \circ \gamma$, we have $T_a \circ A \circ \tilde{\gamma}(1) = \tilde{\gamma}(1)$. Therefore $\tilde{\gamma}(1) - \tilde{\gamma}(0) = T_a A \tilde{\gamma}(1) - T_a A \tilde{\gamma}(0) = (A \tilde{\gamma}(1) + a) - (A \tilde{\gamma}(0) + a) = A(\tilde{\gamma}(1) - \tilde{\gamma}(0))$. From $x \neq y$ and $\pi \tilde{\gamma}(0) = x$ and $\pi(\tilde{\gamma}(1)) = y$, we conclude that $\tilde{\gamma}(0) \neq \tilde{\gamma}(1)$. Hence $\tilde{\gamma}(1) - \tilde{\gamma}(0)$ is an eigenvector of A with eigenvalue 1, a contradiction.

2. Algebraic lemmas.

LEMMA 3. Given $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $n \geq 1$, such that $\text{g.c.d.}(v_1, \dots, v_n) = 1$, where g.c.d. stands for greatest common divisor. Then there exist $v^2, v^3, \dots, v^n \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that v, v^2, \dots, v^n form a basis for \mathbb{Z}^n .

Proof. We use induction on n . For $n = 1$ we must show that $\{v\}$ is a basis for \mathbb{Z}^1 , i.e., that $v = \pm 1$. But this follows from the fact that $\text{g.c.d.}(v) = 1$.

Now suppose $n > 1$ and that the lemma holds for $n - 1$. If $v_1 = v_2 = \dots = v_{n-1} = 0$, then $v_n = \pm 1$ and the lemma obviously holds. So suppose that not all v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-1} are 0. Let $d = \text{g.c.d.}(v_1, \dots, v_{n-1})$. Then $\text{g.c.d.}(d, v_n) = 1$ and so we may find $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\alpha v_n + \beta d = 1$. Apply the induction hypotheses to the vector $w = (v_1/d, \dots, v_{n-1}/d) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$ and obtain vectors $w^2, \dots, w^{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$ such that w, w^2, \dots, w^{n-1} form a basis for \mathbb{Z}^{n-1} . Thus the matrix A with rows w, w^2, \dots, w^{n-1} is unimodular, and so $\det A = \pm 1$. Let B be the matrix with rows $dw, w^2, w^3, \dots, w^{n-1}$. Then $\det B = d(\det A)$ and the first row of B is $dw = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-1})$. Set $w^i = (w^i_1, \dots, w^i_{n-1})$ for $2 \leq i \leq n - 1$. Form the matrix C indicated below.

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 & \cdot & \cdot & v_{n-1} & v_n \\ w^2_1 & \cdot & \cdot & w^2_{n-1} & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ w^{n-1}_1 & \cdot & \cdot & w^{n-1}_{n-1} & 0 \\ \frac{i\alpha v_1}{d} & \cdot & \cdot & \frac{i\alpha v_{n-1}}{d} & (\det A)\beta \end{bmatrix}$$

where $i = -\det A$. Then expanding $\det C$ on the last column we find $\det C = \alpha v_n + \beta d = 1$. Thus the last $n - 1$ rows satisfy the lemma.

LEMMA 4. If $v^1, v^2, \dots, v^r \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $n \geq 1$, then there is a unimodular matrix A with rows A^1, \dots, A^r such that $\text{sp}\{A^1, \dots, A^r\} = \text{sp}\{v^1, \dots, v^r\}$, where $\text{sp}V =$ the linear span in \mathbb{R}^n for $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, and $q = \dim \text{sp}\{v^1, \dots, v^r\}$.

Proof. We may suppose v^1, \dots, v^r are linearly independent in \mathbb{R}^n . We will use induction on r . For $r = 1$, Lemma 3 with $v = d^{-1}v^1$ where $v^1 = (v^1_1, \dots, v^1_n)$ and $d = \text{g.c.d.}(v^1_1, \dots, v^1_n)$ gives the desired conclusion.

Suppose now that $r \geq 2$ and that Lemma 4 holds for $r - 1$. Apply this supposition to v^1, \dots, v^{r-1} and obtain a unimodular matrix

B such that its rows B^1, \dots, B^n satisfy $\text{sp}\{B^1, \dots, B^{r-1}\} = \text{sp}\{v^1, \dots, v^{r-1}\}$. Note that $\text{sp}\{B^1, \dots, B^{r-1}, v^r\} = \text{sp}\{v^1, \dots, v^{r-1}, v^r\}$.

By considering the linear transformation from \mathbf{R}^n to \mathbf{R}^n whose matrix is B it is easily seen that it is sufficient to prove the lemma in the special case where $B^i = e^i$. Now let $v^r = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, and set

$$w = (0, \dots, 0, \alpha_r, \alpha_{r+1}, \dots, \alpha_n) = v^r - (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r-1}, 0, \dots, 0).$$

Then $\text{sp}\{B^1, \dots, B^{r-1}, v^r\} = \text{sp}\{B^1, \dots, B^{r-1}, w\}$. Since v^r is independent of B^1, \dots, B^{r-1} , not all of $\alpha_r, \alpha_{r+1}, \dots, \alpha_n$ can vanish. Set $d = \text{g.c.d.}\{\alpha_r, \alpha_{r+1}, \dots, \alpha_n\}$, and $u = d^{-1}w$. Then $u \in \{0\} \times \mathbf{Z}^{n-r+1}$ and the greatest common divisor of its coordinates is 1. Hence by Lemma 3 there is an $(n - r + 1) \times (n - r + 1)$ unimodular matrix C such that the first row is $\alpha_r/d, \dots, \alpha_n/d$. Set

$$A = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} I^{(r-1) \times (r-1)} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & C \end{array} \right].$$

Then A is unimodular, and its first r rows are B^1, \dots, B^{r-1}, u which have the same span in \mathbf{R}^n as does v^1, \dots, v^r .

Suppose $f: T^n \rightarrow T^n$, $n \geq 1$, is a map and A is the matrix of $H_1(f): H_1(T^n) \rightarrow H_1(T^n)$. It is shown in [1] that $L(f) = \det(1 - A^t) = \det(1 - A)$, where A^t is the transpose of A = the matrix of $H^1(f): H^1(T^n) \rightarrow H^1(T^n)$. Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ be the characteristic roots of A . Then $L(f) = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - \lambda_i)$. Since $\lambda_1^m, \dots, \lambda_n^m$ are the characteristic roots of A^m we have proved the following formula.

$$(*) \quad L(f^m) = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - \lambda_i^m).$$

LEMMA 5. Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ be complex numbers, none of them 1, such that the set $\{\prod_{i=1}^n |1 - \lambda_i^m| \mid m = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is bounded, then $|\lambda_i| \leq 1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Proof. Suppose not. Divide $\{1, \dots, n\}$ into four sets I, J, K , and L by setting $I = \{i \mid |\lambda_i| > 1\}$, $J = \{i \mid |\lambda_i| < 1\}$, $K = \{i \mid \lambda_i$ is a root of unity $\}$, and $L = \{i \mid |\lambda_i| = 1$ and $\lambda_i^q \neq 1$ for all $q \geq 1\}$. For any $U \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$, set $U_m = \prod_{i \in U} |1 - \lambda_i^m|$, with the convention that if $U = \emptyset$, then $U_m = 1$ for all m . Formula (*) gives $|L(f^m)| = I_m J_m K_m L_m$. Since $I \neq \emptyset$ we clearly have

$$(1) \quad I_m \longrightarrow \infty.$$

Also

$$(2) \quad J_m \longrightarrow 1.$$

For each $i \in K$, let $q_i \geq 1$ be such that $\lambda_i^{q_i} = 1$ and $\lambda_i^m \neq 1$ for $1 \leq m < q_i$. If $K \neq \emptyset$, set $q = \prod_{i \in K} q_i$. If $K = \emptyset$, set $q = 1$. In either case, for $p \geq 0$,

$$(3) \quad K_{qp+1} = K_1 > 0.$$

Here we have used the hypothesis that $\lambda_i \neq 1$ for all i .

Set $N = \#L$. From the definition of L we see that $1 \notin \{\lambda_i^{qr} \mid i \in L, r = 1, \dots, N\}$, and hence we can find an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $|1 - \lambda_i^{qr}| > 2\varepsilon$ for $i \in L$ and $1 \leq r \leq N$.

Claim. For each $i \in L$, and each positive integer a , at most one member of the sequence λ_i^{qm+1} , where $a(N + 1) < m \leq (a + 1)(N + 1)$, satisfies

$$|1 - \lambda_i^{qm+1}| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Suppose not. Then there is an $i \in L, m$ and r such that $1 \leq r \leq N$, and $|1 - \lambda_i^{qm+1}| \leq \varepsilon$, and $|1 - \lambda_i^{q(m+r)+1}| \leq \varepsilon$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 2\varepsilon &\geq |\lambda_i^{q(m+r)+1} - \lambda_i^{qm+1}| = |\lambda_i^{qm+1}| |\lambda_i^{qr} - 1| \\ &= |\lambda_i^{qr} - 1| > 2\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. This proves the claim.

Since the number of m 's which satisfy $a(N + 1) < m \leq (a + 1)(N + 1)$ is $N + 1$ and $N = \#L$, for each a there is an m such that $a(N + 1) < m \leq (a + 1)(N + 1)$, and $|1 - \lambda_i^{qm+1}| > \varepsilon$ for all $i \in L$. Hence $L_{mq+1} \geq \varepsilon^N$ for an infinite number of m 's. Note that this also holds when $N = 0$. Combining this with (1), (2), and (3) we see that $|L(f^m)|$ is unbounded, a contradiction. Hence $|\lambda_i| \leq 1$ for all i .

LEMMA 6. *Given a map $f: T^n \rightarrow T^n, n \geq 1$, such that 1 is not a characteristic root of $H_1(f): H_1(T^n) \rightarrow H_1(T^n)$, and $L(f^m), m = 1, 2, \dots$, are bounded. Then each nonzero characteristic root of $H_1(f)$ is a root of unity.*

Proof. Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ be the characteristic roots of $H_1(f)$. By Lemma 5 we know that $|\lambda_i| \leq 1$ for all i .

Next we will show that for each $i, |\lambda_i| = 0$ or 1. Suppose not. Let $U = \{i \mid \lambda_i \neq 0\}$, and $q = \#U$. Let

$$P(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^n (\lambda - \lambda_i) = \lambda^n + a_1 \lambda^{n-1} + \dots + a_n$$

be the characteristic polynomial of $H_1(f)$. Then $a_q = \prod_{i \in U} (-\lambda_i) \neq 0$.

Since a_q is an integer, we have

$$1 \leq |a_q| = \prod_{i \in U} |\lambda_i| < 1$$

because $|\lambda_i| \leq 1$ for all i , and $0 < |\lambda_i| < 1$ for some i . This contradiction shows that for each i , $|\lambda_i| = 0$ or 1 .

Note that $a_m = 0$ for $m > q$, and so

$$P(\lambda) = \lambda^{n-q}(\lambda^q + a_1\lambda^{q-1} + \cdots + a_q) = \lambda^{n-q}Q(\lambda).$$

All the roots of $Q(\lambda)$ have unit modulus. It is known, [4] page 122, that if all the roots of a monic polynomial with integer coefficients have unit modulus, then they all are roots of unity. This completes the proof.

4. Geometric lemmas.

LEMMA 7. *Suppose the finite group G acts smoothly on a compact manifold M , and that $P = \{x \in M \mid gx = x \text{ for some } g \in G, g \neq 1\}$ is finite. Then there exists a Morse function $\varphi: M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that $\varphi \circ g = \varphi$ for all $g \in G$. Furthermore, each $x \in P$ is a critical point of φ .*

Proof. Following Milnor [5], we will say that a smooth map $f: M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is “good” on a set $S \subset M$ if f has no degenerate critical points on S .

We begin by obtaining a first approximation, a smooth map $\Psi: M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, which is invariant (i.e., $\Psi \circ g = \Psi$ for all $g \in G$) and is good on a neighborhood V of P . Then we perturb Ψ equivariantly to the desired Morse function φ .

It is easy to define a smooth map $h: M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that each $x \in P$ is a nondegenerate critical point of index zero, i.e., in a local coordinate system about x the first partial derivatives vanish and the matrix of second partial derivatives is positive definite at x . These same conditions also hold for each $h \circ g$, $g \in G$, and consequently $\Psi = \sum_{g \in G} h \circ g$ is a first approximation as desired. Clearly, the set V where Ψ is good, is open, contains P , and satisfies $g(V) = V$ for all $g \in G$.

Note that $gx \neq x$ for all $x \in M - V$ and $g \in G$, $g \neq 1$. Now a rather straightforward equivariant version of the argument used in Milnor [5], Theorem 2.7, to prove the existence of Morse functions serves to show that Ψ can be perturbed to an equivariant Morse function φ . A sketch of this equivariant version follows.

We may find coordinate neighborhoods U_1, \dots, U_r such that

$$M - V \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^r U_i, \quad P \cap \text{cl}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^r U_i\right) = \emptyset, \quad \text{and} \quad U_i \cap g(U_i) = \emptyset$$

for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $g \in G, g \neq 1$. Then $g'(U_i) \cap g(U_i) = \emptyset$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $g, g' \in G, g \neq g'$. We can also find compact sets $C_i \subset U_i$ such that C_1, \dots, C_r cover $M - V$. We may alter Ψ in stages so that at the i th stage, the new Ψ is still equivariant and is good on

$$V \cup \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^i \bigcup_{g \in G} g(C_j) \right).$$

At the i th step we simply apply the procedure used in the proof of Theorem 2.7 of [5] to U_i and then alter Ψ on $g(U_i)$, for $g \in G, g \neq 1$, so as to preserve the property $\Psi \circ g = g$ for all $g \in G$.

LEMMA 8. *Suppose the finite group G acts smoothly on a compact connected m -dimensional manifold $M, m \geq 2$, and that $P = \{x \in M \mid gx = x \text{ for some } g \in G, g \neq 1\}$ is finite. Given a finite set $S \subset M - P$ and a point $x_0 \in M$ such that $gx_0 = x_0$ for all $g \in G$. Then there exists a smooth embedding $\Psi: D^m \rightarrow (M - P) \cup \{x_0\}$ such that $\Psi(0) = x_0, S \subset \Psi(\text{int } D^m)$, and $g(\Psi(D^m)) = \Psi(D^m)$ for all $g \in G$. Furthermore, for each $g \in G, \Psi^{-1} \circ g \circ \Psi: D^m \rightarrow D^m$ is the restriction to D^m of an orthogonal linear map.*

Proof. We will use induction on $*S$. First suppose $S = \emptyset$. It is an easy matter to embed M into \mathbf{R}^n for some n such that for each $g \in G$ the map $x \rightarrow gx, x \in M$, is the restriction to M of an orthogonal map $L_g: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$. Just start with a smooth embedding $h: M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^k$, for some k . Set $E_g = \mathbf{R}^k$ for each $g \in G$, and define $e: M \rightarrow \prod_{g \in G} E_g = \mathbf{R}^{k*G}$ by $e(x) = \prod_{g \in G} h(gx)$, for all $x \in M$. Then the maps $e(x) \rightarrow e(gx), x \in M$ are restrictions of maps $L_g: \mathbf{R}^{k*G} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{k*G}$ which simply permute the coordinates of \mathbf{R}^{k*G} .

Set $n = k*G$, and identify M with $e(M)$ via e . Let TM_x be the tangent space of M at x , considered as a subspace of \mathbf{R}^n . Let $T = x_0 + TM_{x_0}$ be the geometric tangent space through the point x_0 . Let $N: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow T$ be the orthogonal projection onto T . Then $L_g(T) \subset T$ for all $g \in G$, and so $L_g \circ N = N \circ L_g$ for all $g \in G$. The restriction of N to a neighborhood of x_0 in M is a diffeomorphism onto a neighborhood W of x_0 in T . The desired Ψ is now easily constructed from the restriction of $(N|W)^{-1}$ to a ball about x_0 .

Now assume that $\Psi: D^m \rightarrow M$ satisfies Lemma 8 as stated. We will show that for any $x \in M - (P \cup S)$, Ψ can be altered so as to satisfy Lemma 8 with S replaced by $S \cup \{x\}$. We will use a connectedness argument. Since $\dim M \geq 2$ and $P \cup S$ is finite, the space $M - P \cup S$ is connected. Let $V = \{x \in M - P \cup S \mid \text{Lemma 8 holds with } S \text{ replaced by } S \cup \{x\}\}$.

The set V is clearly open in $M - (P \cup S)$. We will show that $(M - (P \cup S)) - V$ is also open. Let $x \in (M - (P \cup S)) - V$. If $gx \in S$

for some $g \in G$, then Ψ already satisfies $S \cup \{x\} \subset \Psi(\text{int } D^m)$. Hence $gx \notin S$ for all $g \in G$. Since $gx \neq x$ for all $g \in G$, $g \neq 1$, we may find a coordinate neighborhood U of x which is diffeomorphic to an open m -ball and such that $g(U) \subset M - (P \cup S)$, and $g(U) \cap U = \emptyset$ for all $g \in G$, $g \neq 1$. Then $g(U) \cap h(U) = \emptyset$ for $g, h \in G$, $g \neq h$. We claim that $U \subset (M - (P \cup S)) - V$. Suppose not. Then we can find a $y \in U \cap V$. Let $k: M \rightarrow M$ be a diffeomorphism which is fixed outside of U and $k(y) = x$. Define $\bar{k}: M \rightarrow M$ by

$$\bar{k}(p) = \begin{cases} p & \text{if } p \notin \bigcup_{g \in G} g(U) \\ gkg^{-1}(p) & \text{if } p \in g(U). \end{cases}$$

Then \bar{k} is a well defined diffeomorphism, and Lemma 8 is satisfied with Ψ replaced by $\bar{k} \circ \Psi$ and S replaced by $S \cup \{x\}$. In fact, for each $g \in G$, $\bar{k} \circ g = g \circ \bar{k}$ and so $(\bar{k} \circ \Psi)^{-1} \circ g \circ (\bar{k} \circ \Psi) = \Psi^{-1} \circ g \circ \Psi$. This proves the claim, and hence $(M - (P \cup S)) - V$ is open.

Since $V \neq \emptyset$, we have $V = M - (P \cup S)$, and the induction step is complete.

5. Proofs of the theorems.

Proof of Theorem 4. First recall that a flow on M is a smooth map $F: M \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow M$ such that with the notation $F_t(x) = F(x, t)$ we have $F_s \circ F_t = F_{s+t}$ for all $s, t \in \mathbf{R}$, and $F_0 = 1_M$. An orbit of F is a function of the form $F^x: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow M$ where $x \in M$ and $F^x(t) = F(x, t)$ for all $t \in \mathbf{R}$. An orbit F^x is periodic if $F^x(s) = F^x(0)$ for some $s \neq 0$. Thus the constant orbits are considered to be periodic.

The idea of the proof is to obtain a flow $H_t: M \rightarrow M$ such that the orbits H^x for $x \in P$ are constants and these are the only periodic orbits, and $H_t \circ f = f \circ H_t$. Then $g = H_1 \circ f$ will satisfy the conclusions of the theorem. The desired flow H_t is obtained in several steps.

Since $f^N = 1$, we have a smooth action of $\mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z}$ on M . Let $\varphi: M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be the Morse function given by Lemma 7. It is easy to obtain an equivariant Riemannian metric on M . Just average over $\mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z}$ any Riemannian metric. Then the gradient of φ with respect to this equivariant Riemannian metric is an equivariant vector field v . The vector field v determines a flow F on M which satisfies $F_t(f(x)) = f(F_t(x))$ for all $(x, t) \in M \times \mathbf{R}$. The flow also satisfies $\varphi(F_t(x)) > \varphi(x)$ whenever x is not a critical point of φ and $t > 0$. Hence the only periodic orbits of F are the constant orbits at critical points of φ .

Let $S = \{x \in M \mid x \text{ is a critical point of } \varphi\} - P$. Let $\Psi: D^m \rightarrow M$ be given by Lemma 8. Pick $r \in (0, 1)$ such that $S \subset \Psi(D_r^m)$, where $D_r^m = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^m \mid \|x\| \leq r\}$. Let $b: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a smooth map satisfying

$b(t) = 0$ for $t \leq r$, $b(t) > 0$ for $t > r$, and $b(t) = 1$ for $t \geq (1 + r)/2$. Define $\bar{b}: M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by

$$\bar{b}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \notin \Psi(D_{(r+1)/2}^m) \\ b(\|\Psi^{-1}(x)\|) & \text{if } x \in \Psi(D^m). \end{cases}$$

It is clear that \bar{b} is well defined and smooth. Define a new vector field w by $w(x) = \bar{b}(x)v(x)$. Then w is equivariant under f and determines an equivariant flow G_t . The orbits of G , which are just the integral curves of w , are reparameterizations of portions of orbits of F . The orbits G^x for $x \in \Psi(D_r^m) \cup P$ are constant. All the other orbits are reparameterizations of portions of nonperiodic orbits of F by reparameterization functions which are strictly monotone increasing functions. Hence the orbits G^x for $x \in \Psi(D_r^m) \cup P$ are the only periodic orbits. Let $\theta: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a smooth map satisfying $\theta(t) = r + t$ for $t \leq 1/3(1 - r)$, $\theta'(t) > 0$ for all t , and $\theta(t) = t$ for $t \geq r + 2/3(1 - r)$. We will use later the obvious fact that $\theta^{-1}: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ exists and is smooth. Define $h: M - \{x_0\} \rightarrow M - \Psi(D_r^m)$ by

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \notin \Psi(D^m) \\ \frac{\theta(\|x\|)x}{\|x\|} & \text{if } x \in \Psi(D^m), \end{cases}$$

where we have identified $\Psi(D^m)$ with D^m via Ψ . Define $H_t(x)$ by

$$H_t(x) = \begin{cases} h^{-1}(G_t(h(x))) & \text{for } x \neq x_0 \\ x_0 & \text{for } x = x_0. \end{cases}$$

We wish to show that $H_t(x)$ is a smooth flow by showing that $H_t(x)$ is determined by a smooth vector field. Since $H_s \circ H_t = H_{s+t}$, and $H_0 = 1_M$, it is sufficient to show that $\eta(x) = d/dt H_t(x)$ at $t = 0$ is a smooth vector field. It is clear that $\eta(x)$ is well defined for all $x \in M$ and $\bar{\eta} = \eta | M - \{x_0\}$ is smooth. Since $\eta(x_0) = 0$, it is sufficient to show that $\eta(x)$ and all its derivatives approach 0 as $x \rightarrow x_0$. We calculate for $x \neq x_0$

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(x) &= \frac{d}{dt}(H_t(x))|_{t=0} = dh^{-1}|_{G_0(h(x))} \frac{d}{dt}(G_t(h(x)))|_{t=0} \\ &= dh^{-1}|_{h(x)} w(h(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Since w and all its derivatives vanish on D_r^m , Taylor expansions show that for each derivative $\alpha(x)$ of a component of $w(x)$ and each $n \geq 1$ there is a constant c such that

$$(5) \quad |\alpha(x)| \leq c \| \|x\| - r \|^n \quad \text{for } x \in \Psi(D^m).$$

The form of $h(x)$ for $x \in \Psi(D^m) - \{x_0\}$ and $\|x\| \leq (1 - r)/3$, is

$$h(x) = \frac{(r + \|x\|)x}{\|x\|}$$

and hence if $u(x)$ is a derivative of a component of h of order n , then there is a constant e such that

$$(6) \quad |u(x)| \leq e \|x\|^{-n-1} \quad \text{for } 0 < \|x\| \leq \frac{1 - r}{3}.$$

The map $h^{-1} | (\Psi(D^m) - \Psi(D_r^m))$ has a smooth extension $\bar{h}^{-1}: \varphi(D^m) - \{x_0\} \rightarrow \varphi(D^m)$ given by $\bar{h}^{-1}(y) = \theta^{-1}(\|y\|)y/\|y\|$. Consequently $dh^{-1}|_y$ and all its derivatives are bounded. Using this and (5) and (6) we see that $\eta(x) = dh^{-1}|_{h(x)} w(h(x))$ and all its derivatives approach 0 as $x \rightarrow x_0$. Hence $\eta(x)$ is smooth and therefore so is $H_t(x)$.

It is clear from the definition of $H_t(x)$ and the properties of $G_t(x)$ that the only periodic orbits of H are the constant orbits H^x for $x \in P$. It is also clear from the fact that $x \rightarrow \Psi^{-1}(f(\Psi(x)))$ is the restriction of a orthogonal linear map to D^m , that $f \circ h = h \circ f$ and hence $f \circ H_t = H_t \circ f$ for all t . The map $(x, t) \rightarrow H_t(f(x))$ is a homotopy from $H_0 \circ f = f$ to the smooth map $g = H_1 \circ f$. It is easy to see that the set of periodic points of $H_1 \circ f$ is P and that $H_1 \circ f | P = f | P$. This completes the proof.

LEMMA 9. *Suppose there is given a map $g: T^k \rightarrow T^k$, $k \geq 2$, which is covered by a linear map $A: \mathbf{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^k$, and an integer $N \geq 2$, such that $g^N = 1_{T^k}$, and $\lambda^m \neq 1$ for λ a characteristic root of A and $1 \leq m < N$. Then there exists a smooth map \bar{g} homotopic to g such that $P = \{x \in T^k | g^m(x) = x \text{ for some } m, 1 \leq m < N\}$ = the set of all periodic points of \bar{g} , $\bar{g} | P = g | P$, and for $m \geq 1$ $\#\{x \in T^k | \bar{g}^m(x) = x\} \leq a_m(g)$.*

Proof. We wish to apply Theorem 4. It follows from Lemma 2 that $P = \{x \in T^k | g^r(x) = x \text{ for some } r, 1 \leq r < N\}$ is finite. Since $N \geq 2$, $\lambda_i^1 \neq 1$ for all characteristic roots λ_i and hence

$$L(g) = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - \lambda_i) \neq 0$$

by formula (*). Hence, we can find an $x_0 \in T^k$ such that $g(x_0) = x_0$. Therefore Theorem 4 gives a smooth map \bar{g} homotopic to g such that P = the set of periodic points of \bar{g} , and $\bar{g} | P = g | P$. It follows from Lemma 2 applied to g , and formula (*), that for $1 \leq m < N$,

$$\#\{x \in T^k | \bar{g}^m(x) = x\} = \#\{x \in T^k | g^m(x) = x\} = |L(g^m)| = a_m(g).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \# \{x \in T^k \mid \bar{g}^N(x) = x\} \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{m < N \\ m \mid N}} \# \{x \in T^k \mid x \text{ is a periodic point of } \bar{g} \text{ of period } m\} \\
 &\leq \sum_{m \mid N} |L(g^m)| \\
 &= \sum_{m \mid N} \left| \prod_{i=1}^k (1 - \lambda_i^m) \right| \\
 &\leq \prod_{i=1}^k \sum_{m \mid N} |1 - \lambda_i^m| \\
 &\leq \prod_{i=1}^k a_{iN} \\
 &= a_N(g) .
 \end{aligned}$$

To complete the proof it is sufficient to show that if $m \geq 1$, $q \geq 1$, and $m \equiv q \pmod N$, then

$$\{x \in T^k \mid \bar{g}^m(x) = x\} = \{x \in T^k \mid \bar{g}^q(x) = x\} .$$

We may assume $m < q$ and so $q = m + pN$ for some $p \geq 1$. Assume $\bar{g}^m(x) = x$. Then $x \in P$ and so $\bar{g}^N(x) = g^N(x) = x$. Consequently $\bar{g}^q(x) = \bar{g}^{m+pN}(x) = \bar{g}^m(x) = x$. The reverse implication, “ $\bar{g}^q(x) = x$ implies $\bar{g}^m(x) = x$ ” follows similarly. This completes the proof.

REMARK. In our application of Lemma 9 in the proof of Theorem 3, Lemma 9 needs to be augmented by the following observation. Lemma 9 also holds when $k = 1$ and $N \geq 1$. We verify this as follows. It is easy to deduce that $A = 1_{R^1}$ or $A = -1_{R^1}$. In the first case, $A = 1_{R^1}$, we can homotopy $g = 1_{T^1}$ to a rotation \bar{g} of the circle $S^1 = T^1$ by an angle which has an irrational ratio to 2π . Such a \bar{g} has no periodic points and Lemma 9 is verified in this case.

In the second case, $A = -1_{R^1}$, g is a reflection and there are exactly two fixed points x_0 and x_1 . It is easy to homotopy g to a map \bar{g} which leaves x_0 and x_1 fixed, and moves all other points away from x_0 and closer to x_1 . Then x_0 and x_1 will be the only periodic points of \bar{g} . It is easy to calculate that $a_m(g) = 2$ for all $m \geq 1$, and so Lemma 9 holds in this case also.

Proof of Theorem 3. We prove Theorem 3 by induction on n . By convention R^0 and T^0 are singletons. Hence the case $n = 0$ holds trivially. Now assume that $n > 0$ and the theorem holds for all $m < n$. Let $f: T^n \rightarrow T^n$ be a map.

By Lemma 1 we may assume that f is covered by a linear map $F: R^n \rightarrow R^n$. By Lemma 5 we have

$$L(f^m) = \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \lambda_j^m)$$

where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are the characteristic roots of F .

First consider the case where $\lambda_i^m \neq 1$ for all $m \geq 1$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then the theorem follows from Lemmas 2 and 5.

Consider now the remaining case where $\lambda_i^m = 1$ for some $m \geq 1$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let N be the smallest such m . Let \bar{F}^t denote the transpose of \bar{F} . Since $(\bar{F}^t)^N$ has integer entries, we may find a $w \in \mathbf{Z}^n$ such that $w \neq 0$ and $(\bar{F}^t)^N w = w$. Set

$$W = \text{sp}_{\mathbf{R}^n} \{ (\bar{F}^t)^m w \mid 0 \leq m < N \} .$$

Then $\dim W \geq 1$, $\bar{F}^t u \in W$ for all $u \in W$, and $(\bar{F}^t)^N x = x$ for all $x \in W$. Set $k = \dim W$. By Lemma 4, we can find a basis w^1, w^2, \dots, w^n for \mathbf{Z}^n such that w^1, w^2, \dots, w^k form a basis for W . Let $K: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ be the linear transformation whose matrix satisfies $\bar{K}^t e^i = w^i$. Then, both \bar{K} and \bar{K}^{-1} have integer entries. Thus, both K and K^{-1} induce maps $K': T^n \rightarrow T^n$, and $K'^{-1} = K^{-1}: T^n \rightarrow T^n$. We “change coordinates” by noting that it is sufficient to prove the theorem for

$$g = K' \circ f \circ K'^{-1}$$

in place of f . The map g is covered by

$$M = K \circ F \circ K^{-1}: \mathbf{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^n .$$

From $\bar{K}^t e^i = w^i$ and $\bar{F}^t u \in W$ for $u \in W$, it follows that the matrix \bar{M} of M has the form

$$\bar{M} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \bar{A} & 0 \\ \hline \bar{C} & \bar{B} \end{array} \right)$$

where $\bar{A}, \bar{B}, \bar{C}$, and 0 are $k \times k$, $(n - k) \times (n - k)$, $(n - k) \times k$ and $n \times (n - k)$ matrices, and all entries of 0 are zero. It follows from $\bar{F}^t x = x$ for all $x \in W$, that $\bar{A}^N = 1$. Since $\bar{M} = \bar{K} \cdot \bar{F} \cdot \bar{K}^{-1}$ is similar to \bar{F} , $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are the characteristic roots of \bar{M} . Hence, we may renumber the λ_i 's so that $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ and $\lambda_{k+1}, \dots, \lambda_n$ are the characteristic roots of \bar{A} and \bar{B} respectively. Let

$$B: \mathbf{R}^{n-k} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n-k}$$

be the linear map whose matrix is \bar{B} . Then B induces a map $b: T^{n-k} \rightarrow T^{n-k}$. Since $k = \dim W \geq 1$, we may apply our induction hypothesis to b and find a smooth map \bar{b} homotopic to b such that

$$\# \{ x \in T^{n-k} \mid \bar{b}^m(x) = x \} \leq a_m(b) = \prod_{i=k+1}^n a_{im} .$$

Let $A: \mathbf{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^k$ be the linear map whose matrix is \bar{A} . Let $a: T^k \rightarrow T^k$ be the map induced by A . Since $\bar{A}^N = 1$, we have $a^N = 1_{T^k}$. Because we choose N so that $\lambda_i^m \neq 1$ for $1 \leq m < N$ and all i , and $N = 1$ implies $k = 1$, we see that Lemma 9 or the remark which follows it applies. Hence we can find a smooth map \bar{a} homotopic to a such that $P = \{x \in T^k \mid a^m(x) = x \text{ for some } m, 1 \leq m < N\}$ = the set of all periodic points of \bar{a} , $\bar{a} \mid P = a \mid P$, and for $m \geq 1$,

$$\#\{x \in T^k \mid \bar{a}^m(x) = x\} \leq a_m(a) = \prod_{i=1}^k a_{i_m} .$$

If we write $\mathbf{R}^n = \mathbf{R}^k \times \mathbf{R}^{n-k}$, then M has the form

$$M(x, y) = (\bar{A}x, \bar{C}x + \bar{B}y) .$$

Consequently, if we write $T^n = T^k \times T^{n-k}$, then $g(u, v) = (a(u), r(u, v))$ where $r: T^k \times T^{n-k} \rightarrow T^k$ is the map induced by the map $R: \mathbf{R}^k \times \mathbf{R}^{n-k} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^k$ which is given by $R(x, y) = \bar{C}x + \bar{B}y$. The homotopy from a to \bar{a} gives rise to a homotopy from g to \bar{g} where

$$\bar{g}(u, v) = (\bar{a}(u), r(u, v)) .$$

The periodic points of \bar{g} must have the form

$$(u, v) \in T^k \times T^{n-k} \quad \text{where } u \in P .$$

Partition P into orbits under \bar{a} . Let

$$X = \{u_i = \bar{a}^i(u_0) \mid i = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1\}$$

be one such orbit consisting of m distinct point, where $1 \leq m < N$ and $\bar{a}^m(u_0) = u_0$. Consider the maps

$$g_i = \bar{g} \mid u_i \times T^{n-k}: u_i \times T^{n-k} \longrightarrow u_{i+1} \times T^{n-k} ,$$

which are covered by the maps

$$M_i = M \mid x_i \times \mathbf{R}^{n-k}: x_i \times \mathbf{R}^{n-k} \longrightarrow x_{i+1} \times \mathbf{R}^{n-k}$$

where $u_m = \bar{a}^m(u_0) = u_0$ and x_0 is chosen so that $\pi(x_0) = u_0$, and $x_i = \bar{A}^i x_0$ for $i \geq 1$, (recall that $\bar{a} \mid P = a \mid P$). Making the obvious identifications of $u_i \times T^{n-k}$ with T^{n-k} , and $x_i \times \mathbf{R}^{n-k}$ with \mathbf{R}^{n-k} we see that

$$M_i(y) = \bar{C}x_i + \bar{B}y$$

for all $y \in \mathbf{R}^{n-k}$. Define

$$M_{ii}(y) = t\bar{C}x_i + \bar{B}y .$$

Then $M_{i1} = M_i$ and M_{i0} has \bar{B} as its matrix. Because \bar{B} has integer entries and $t\bar{C}x_i$ does not depend on y , the homotopy M_{ii} induces a

homotopy g_{it} from $g_{i1} = g_i$ to the map induced by M_{i0} , which is b . Since b is homotopic to \bar{b} , each g_i is homotopic to \bar{b} . Since both g_i and \bar{b} are smooth, we may find a smooth homotopy $h_i: T^{n-k} \times I \rightarrow T^{n-k}$ such that for some $\varepsilon > 0$, $h_i(v, t) = \bar{b}(v)$ for all $t < \varepsilon$, and $h_i(v, t) = g_i(v) = r(u_i, v)$ for all $t > 1 - \varepsilon$.

Pick coordinate charts (U_i, φ_i) about the points u_i such that $\{u_i\} = U_i \cap P$, $\varphi_i(U_i) = B_1(0) \subset \mathbf{R}^k$, and $\varphi_i(u_i) = 0$. Using the natural group structure on T^{n-k} we define

$$r_t: T^k \times T^{n-k} \longrightarrow T^{n-k} \quad \text{for } t \in [0, 1]$$

by

$$r_t(u, v) = \begin{cases} r(u, v) + h_i(v, t \|\varphi_i(u)\| + 1 - t) - r(u_i, v) & \text{if } u \in U_i \\ r(u, v) & \text{if } u \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^m U_i. \end{cases}$$

Using r_t we obtain a homotopy

$$\bar{g}_i(u, v) = (\bar{a}(u), r_t(u, v))$$

from $\bar{g}_0 = \bar{g}$ to \bar{g}_1 , where $\bar{g}_1(u, v) = (\bar{a}(u), r_1(u, v))$. Note that $r_1(u_i, v) = \bar{b}(v)$ for each $i = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1$. Proceed similarly with the other orbits in P and call the final map \tilde{g} .

The map \tilde{g} will be smooth and homotopic \bar{g} and hence homotopic to g . For all $(u, v) \in T^k \times T^{n-k}$, $\tilde{g}(u, v) \in (\bar{a}(u), \tilde{r}(u, v))$ for some map $\tilde{r}: T^k \times T^{n-k} \rightarrow T^{n-k}$ which satisfies $\tilde{r}(u, v) = \bar{b}(v)$ for all $u \in P$.

Now suppose $\tilde{g}^m(u, v) = (u, v)$. Then $\bar{a}^m(u) = u$ and so $u \in P$. Hence $\bar{a}^i(u) \in P$ for all i and so by an easy induction $\tilde{g}^i(u, v) = (\bar{a}^i(u), \bar{b}^i(v))$. Applying this with $i = m$ we see that $\bar{b}^m(v) = v$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \# \{(u, v) \in T^k \times T^{n-k} \mid \tilde{g}^m(u, v) = (u, v)\} \\ & \leq \# \{u \in T^k \mid \bar{a}^m(u) = u\} \times \# \{v \in T^{n-k} \mid \bar{b}^m(v) = v\} \\ & \leq \prod_{i=1}^k a_{im} \cdot \prod_{i=k+1}^n a_{im} = a_m. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1. The “if” direction follows from the Nielsen fixed point theorem and Theorem 2.

Next we prove the converse direction. Assume that $L(f^m)$, $m = 1, 2, \dots$, are bounded. We may assume $n \geq 1$. Let g be the map given by Theorem 3. If 1 is a characteristic root of $H_1(f): H_1(T^n) \rightarrow H_1(T^n)$, then g has no periodic points because $a_m(f) = 0$ for all $m \geq 1$. So assume that 1 is not a characteristic root of $H_1(f)$. Now from Lemma 6 we have $|\lambda_i| \leq 1$ for all i , where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are the characteristic roots of $H_1(f)$. Consequently, there exists a B such that

$a_{i_m} \leq B$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, and $m \geq 1$. Thus $a_m(f) \leq B^n$ for all $m \geq 1$.

We will show that the number of periodic points of g is bounded by B^n . Suppose on the contrary that $S = \{x_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq B^n + 1\}$ is a set of $B^n + 1$ distinct periodic points such that x_i has period m_i . Set

$$m = \prod_{i=1}^{B^n+1} m_i .$$

Then $S \subset \{x \in T^n \mid g^m(x) = x\}$. But, by Theorem 3,

$$\#\{x \in T^n \mid g^m(x) = x\} \leq a_m(f) \leq B^n ,$$

a contradiction. This completes the proof.

REFERENCES

1. R. Brooks, R. Brown, J. Pak, and D. Taylor, *Nielsen numbers of maps of tori*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **52** (1975), 398-400.
2. R. Brown, *The Lefschetz Fixed Point Theorem*, Scott, Foresman and Company, 1971.
3. M. Hirsch, *Differential Topology*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976.
4. Hecke E. Leipzig, *Theorie der Algebraischen Zahlen*, Akademische Verlagsgesellschaft, 1923.
5. J. Milnor, *Lectures on the h-cobordism Theorem*, Princeton Math. Notes, Princeton, New Jersey, 1965.

Received February 15, 1978 and in revised form December 4, 1978.

INDIANA UNIVERSITY
BLOOMINGTON, IN 47401

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

HUGO ROSSI

University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ANDREW OGG

University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. FINN AND J. MILGRAM

Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Richard Neal Ball, <i>Topological lattice-ordered groups</i>	1
Stephen Berman, <i>On the low-dimensional cohomology of some infinite-dimensional simple Lie algebras</i>	27
R. P. Boas and Gerald Thomas Cargo, <i>Level sets of derivatives</i>	37
James K. Deveney and John Nelson Mordeson, <i>Splitting and modularly perfect fields</i>	45
Robert Hugh Gilman and Ronald Mark Solomon, <i>Finite groups with small unbalancing 2-components</i>	55
George Grätzer, Andras Hajnal and David C. Kelly, <i>Chain conditions in free products of lattices with infinitary operations</i>	107
Benjamin Rigler Halpern, <i>Periodic points on tori</i>	117
Dean G. Hoffman and David Anthony Klarner, <i>Sets of integers closed under affine operators—the finite basis theorem</i>	135
Rudolf-Eberhard Hoffmann, <i>On the sobrification remainder ${}^s X - X$</i>	145
Gerald William Johnson and David Lee Skoug, <i>Scale-invariant measurability in Wiener space</i>	157
Michael Keisler, <i>Integral representation for elements of the dual of $ba(S, \Sigma)$</i>	177
Wayne C. Bell and Michael Keisler, <i>A characterization of the representable Lebesgue decomposition projections</i>	185
Wadi Mahfoud, <i>Comparison theorems for delay differential equations</i>	187
R. Daniel Mauldin, <i>The set of continuous nowhere differentiable functions</i>	199
Robert Wilmer Miller and Mark Lawrence Teply, <i>The descending chain condition relative to a torsion theory</i>	207
Yoshiomi Nakagami and Colin Eric Sutherland, <i>Takesaki's duality for regular extensions of von Neumann algebras</i>	221
William Otis Nowell, <i>Tubular neighborhoods of Hilbert cube manifolds</i>	231
Mohan S. Putcha, <i>Generalization of Lentin's theory of principal solutions of word equations in free semigroups to free product of copies of positive reals under addition</i>	253
Amitai Regev, <i>A primeness property for central polynomials</i>	269
Saburoou Saitoh, <i>The Rudin kernels on an arbitrary domain</i>	273
Heinrich Steinlein, <i>Some abstract generalizations of the Ljusternik-Schnirelmann-Borsuk covering theorem</i>	285