Pacific Journal of Mathematics

GENERALIZATION OF LENTIN'S THEORY OF PRINCIPAL SOLUTIONS OF WORD EQUATIONS IN FREE SEMIGROUPS TO FREE PRODUCT OF COPIES OF POSITIVE REALS UNDER ADDITION

MOHAN S. PUTCHA

Vol. 83, No. 1

March 1979

GENERALIZATION OF LENTIN'S THEORY OF PRINCIPAL SOLUTIONS OF WORD EQUATIONS IN FREE SEMIGROUPS TO FREE PRODUCT OF COPIES OF POSITIVE REALS UNDER ADDITION

MOHAN S. PUTCHA

Word equations in free semigroups have been studied by many authors. However, word equations in geometrically arising semigroups lead to word equations in free product of copies of positive reals under addition (see "Word equations in some geometric semigroups" by Putcha). In this paper we generalize Lentin's theory of word equations in free semigroups to free product of copies of positive reals under addition.

1. Preliminaries. Throughout this paper $Z_0, Z^+, Z, Q_0, Q^+, Q, R_0, R^+, R$ will denote the sets of nonnegative integers, positive integers, integers, nonnegative rational numbers, positive rational numbers, rational numbers, nonnegative real numbers, positive real numbers and reals, respectively. If S is a semigroup without an identity element, then $S^1 = S \cup \{1\}$ with obvious multiplication. If $a, b \in S$, then $a|_f b$ (a is a final segment of b) if b = xa for some $x \in S^1$.

If Γ is a nonempty set, then let $\mathscr{F} = \mathscr{F}(\Gamma)$ denote the free semigroup on Γ . If $w \in \mathscr{F}$, then let l(w) = length of w. Let $\mathscr{F}_{\mathbf{R}} = \mathscr{F}_{\mathbf{R}}(\Gamma)$ denote the set of all nonempty finite sequences (also called words) of the type $w = A_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots A_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}$ where $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}, \alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n} \in$ $\mathbf{R}^{+}, A_{1}, \cdots, A_{n} \in \Gamma$ and $A_{i} \neq A_{i+1}$ for $i, i+1 \in \{1, \cdots, n\}$. We define e(w) = n and $l(w) = \alpha_{1} + \cdots + \alpha_{n}$. Let $w_{1}, w_{2} \in \mathscr{F}_{\mathbf{R}}$. Suppose $w_{1} =$ $A_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots A_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}, w_{2} = B_{1}^{\beta_{1}} \cdots B_{m}^{\beta_{m}}$. Then we define

$$w_1w_2 = egin{pmatrix} A_1^{lpha_1}\cdots A_n^{lpha_n+eta_1}B_2^{eta_2}\cdots B_m^{eta_m} & ext{if} \quad A_n = B_1\ A_1^{lpha_1}\cdots A_n^{lpha_n}B_1^{eta_1}\cdots B_m^{eta_m} & ext{if} \quad A_n
eq B_1\ .$$

Now of course expressions of the type $w = A_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots A_n^{\alpha_n}(\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}^+; A_1, \cdots, A_n \in \Gamma)$ make sense even when $A_i = A_{i+1}$ for some i, $i + 1 \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. But note that if n = e(w), then $A_i \neq A_{i+1}$ for any $i, i + 1 \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. In such a case we call $A_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots A_n^{\alpha_n}$, the standard form of w. $\mathscr{F}_R(\Gamma)$ is a semigroup and is just the free product of $|\Gamma|$ copies of \mathbb{R}^+ under addition (see for example [3; p. 411]). If $w \in \mathscr{F}_R^{-1}$, then we let $w^0 = 1$. Let $\overline{\Gamma} = \{A^{\alpha} | A \in \Gamma, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+\}$. If $u, v \in \overline{\Gamma}$, define $u \sim v$ if $u = A^{\alpha}, v = A^{\beta}$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^+, A \in \Gamma$. Let $w \in \mathscr{F}_R$,

 $w = A_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots A_n^{\alpha_n}$ in standard form. Then we say that w starts with A_1 and ends with A_n . Let $A \in \Gamma$. Then A appears in w if $A = A_i$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. A appears integrally in w if for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $A_i = A$ implies $\alpha_i \in Z^+$. Otherwise A appears nonintegrally in w. Note that if A does not appear in w, then A appears integrally in w. Note that if A does not appear in w, then A appears integrally in w. A appears rationally in w if for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $A_i = A$ implies $\alpha_i \in Q^+$. Let $\mathscr{F}_Q(\Gamma) = \{w | w \in \mathscr{F}_R(\Gamma), A$ appears rationally in w for each $A \in \Gamma\}$. If $A \subseteq \Gamma$, then let $\mathscr{F}_R(\Gamma | A) = \{w | w \in \mathscr{F}_R(\Gamma), A$ appears integrally in w for each $A \in \Gamma\}$. If $A \subseteq \Gamma$, then let $\mathscr{F}_R(\Gamma | A) = \mathscr{F}_R(\Gamma)$, and $\mathscr{F}_R(\Gamma | Q) = \mathscr{F}_R(\Gamma)$. Also let $\mathscr{F}_Q(\Gamma | A) = \mathscr{F}_R(\Gamma | A) \cap \mathscr{F}_Q(\Gamma)$.

Let $\Lambda_1 \subseteq \Gamma_1, \Lambda_2 \subseteq \Gamma_2, \phi: \Gamma_1 \to \mathscr{F}_{\mathbf{R}}(\Gamma_2|\Lambda_2)$ such that $\phi(\Gamma_1|\Lambda_1) \subseteq \overline{\Gamma_2|\Lambda_2}$. Then we can extend ϕ to a homomorphism $\hat{\phi}: \mathscr{F}_{\mathbf{R}}(\Gamma_1|\Lambda_1) \to \mathscr{F}_{\mathbf{R}}(\Gamma_2|\Lambda_2)$ by letting $\hat{\phi}(A^{\alpha}) = [\phi(A)]^{\alpha}$ for $A \in \Gamma_1 \setminus \Lambda_1, \alpha \in \mathbf{R}^+$. We call $\hat{\phi}$ the natural extension of ϕ . Let $\psi: \mathscr{F}_{\mathbf{R}}(\Gamma_1|\Lambda_1) \to \mathscr{F}_{\mathbf{R}}(\Gamma_2|\Lambda_2)$ be a homomorphism. Let $A \in \Gamma_1 \setminus \Lambda_1$. Then A and hence $\psi(A)$ has nth roots for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. It follows that $\psi(A) \in \overline{\Gamma_2 \setminus \Lambda_2}$. We say that ψ is a natural homomorphism if $\psi(A^{\alpha}) = \psi(A)^{\alpha}$ for all $A \in \Gamma_1 \setminus \Lambda_1, \alpha \in \mathbf{R}^+$. In such a case $\psi = \hat{\phi}$ where ϕ is the restriction of ψ to Γ_1 . In this paper we only consider natural homomorphisms.

DEFINITION 1.1. By a word equation in variables X_1, \dots, X_n we mean $\{w_1, w_2\}$ where $w_1 = w_1(X_1, \dots, X_n), w_2 = w_2(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in \mathscr{F}(X_1, \dots, X_n)^1$. It is not necessary that each X_i appears in w_1w_2 . Let S be a semigroup and $a_1, \dots, a_n \in S$. Then (a_1, \dots, a_n) is a solution of $\{w_1, w_2\}$ if $w_1(a_1, \dots, a_n) = w_2(a_1, \dots, a_n)$.

Detailed study of word equations leads to the concept of a generalized word equation defined below. This concept is similar but not identical to the concept of a constrained word equation defined in [5].

DEFINITION. By a generalized word equation in variables X_1, \dots, X_n we mean $\mathscr{W} = \{w_1, w_2; T_1, \dots, T_s\}$ where $w_1 = w_1(X_1, \dots, X_n)$, $w_2 = w_2(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in \mathscr{F}(X_1, \dots, X_n)^1$ and T_1, \dots, T_s are pairwise disjoint nonempty subsets of $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$. (s = 0 means that \mathscr{W} is the word equation $\{w_1, w_2\}$.) Let $X \in \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$. Then X is a constrained variable if $X \in T_i$ for some *i*. Otherwise X is a free variable of \mathscr{W} .

Let $u_1, \dots, u_n \in \mathscr{F}_R(\mathcal{Q})$. Then $\mu = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$, is a solution of \mathscr{W} if (i) $w_1(u_1, \dots, u_n) = w_2(u_1, \dots, u_n)$, (ii) $X_i \in T_j$ implies $e(u_i) = 1$, and (iii) $X_i, X_k \in T_j$ implies $u_i \sim u_k$. Let $\mathcal{Q}_1 = \{A \mid A \in \mathcal{Q}, A \text{ appears in } u_1 \dots u_n\}$ and $\mathcal{Q}_2 = \{A \mid A \in \mathcal{Q}, A \text{ appears in itegrally in each } u_i, i = 1, \dots, n\}$. We define the symbol $\mathcal{Q}_1 \mid \mathcal{Q}_2$ to be the alphabet of μ . If $\mathcal{Q}_1 = \mathcal{Q}_2$ we also write \mathcal{Q}_1 instead of $\mathcal{Q}_1 \mid \mathcal{Q}_1$. Clearly $u_1, \dots, u_n \in \mathscr{F}_R(\mathcal{Q}_1 \mid \mathcal{Q}_2)$. In this paper we only consider solutions of (generalized)

word equations in $\mathscr{F}_{R}(\Gamma)$, Γ a nonempty set.

DEFINITION 1.2. Let $\nu = (a_1, \dots, a_n), \mu = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ be solutions of a generalized word equation \mathscr{W} such that ν has alphabet $\Gamma_1|\Lambda_1$ and μ has alphabet $\Gamma_2|\Lambda_2$. Then $\nu \leq \mu$ (μ follows from ν) if there exists a natural homomorphism $\psi \colon \mathscr{F}_R(\Gamma_1|\Lambda_1) \to \mathscr{F}_R(\Gamma_2|\Lambda_2)$ such that $\psi(a_i) = b_i, i = 1, \dots, n$. We write $\psi \colon \nu \leq \mu$ if we want to take into account the corresponding homomorphism $\psi \colon u \approx \nu$ if $\mu \leq \nu \leq \mu$.

REMARK 1.3. \leq is transitive in the class of all solutions of a given generalized word equation \mathscr{W} . When we restrict the above definition to solutions in free semigroups of word equations, we are led to Lentin's concepts in [1] (some of the incorrect concepts in [1] are corrected in [2]).

2. Principal solutions. We now generalize Lentin's concept of a principal solution [1, 2].

DEFINITION 2.1. Let μ be a solution of a generalized word equation \mathscr{W} . Then μ is a principal solution if for any solution ν of \mathscr{W} , $\nu \leq \mu$ implies $\nu \approx \mu$.

Let $\mu = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ be a solution of a word equation \mathscr{W} . Then $\mu \in \mathscr{S}$ (as a solution of \mathscr{W}) if there exists a solution $\nu = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ of \mathscr{W} such that the following properties are true:

(2.2) There exists a unique $f: \nu \leq \mu$.

(2.3) If δ is a solution of \mathscr{W} such that $\delta \leq \mu$, then $\nu \leq \delta$.

(2.4) Let $\Gamma_1|\Gamma_2$ be the alphabet of ν . Then for each $A \in \Gamma_1$, there exists $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that a_i ends with A.

REMARK 2.5. ν above is necessarily principal. We will show (Theorem 2.19) that if μ is a solution of any generalized word equation: then $\mu \in \mathscr{S}$. We will also show (Theorem 2.23) that any principal solution ν satisfies both (2.4) and its right-left dual.

In Lemmas 2.6-2.18 we develop the machinery for replacing the solution of a given word equation with a simpler solution of a related word equation.

LEMMA 2.6. Let $\mathscr{W} = \{w_1, w_2; T_1, \dots, T_s\}$ be a generalized word equation in variables $X_1, \dots, X_n, n > 1$. Suppose X_1 is a free variable not appearing in w_1w_2 . Let $\mu = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$ be a solution of \mathscr{W} . Let $\mathscr{W}' = \{w_1, w_2; T_1, \dots, T_s\}$ considered as a generalized word equation in variables X_2, \dots, X_n . Then $\mu' = (u_2, \dots, u_n)$ is a solution of \mathscr{W}' . If $\mu' \in \mathscr{S}$, then $\mu \in \mathscr{S}$. Proof. Suppose $\mu' \in \mathscr{S}$. Correspondingly there exists a solution ν' of \mathscr{W} satisfying (2.2), (2.3), (2.4). Let $\nu' = (v_2, \dots, v_n)$ with alphabet $\Gamma' | \Lambda'$. There exists unique $f': \nu' \leq \mu'$. Let $A \notin \Gamma'$, set $\Gamma = \Gamma' \cup \{A\}, \Lambda = \Lambda' \cup \{A\}$. Let $v_1 = A, \nu = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$. Then ν is a solution of \mathscr{W} and has alphabet $\Gamma | \Lambda$. Also $f: \nu \leq \mu$ where f is an extension of f' with $f(A) = u_1$. Suppose $g: \nu \leq \mu$. Let g' be the restriction of g to $\mathscr{F}_R(\Gamma' | \Lambda')$. Then $g': \nu' \leq \mu'$. So f' = g'. Since $f(A) = f(v_1) = g(v_1) = g(A), f = g$. Let $B \in \Gamma$. If B = A, then u_1 ends with A. Otherwise $B \in \Gamma'$ and some $u_i, i > 1$ ends with B. Finally suppose δ is a solution of $\mathscr{W}, \delta \leq \mu$. Let $\delta = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$. Set $\delta' = (a_2, \dots, a_n)$. Then δ' is a solution of \mathscr{W}' . So there exists $g': \nu' \leq \delta'$. g' extends to $g: \nu \leq \delta$ where $g(A) = a_1$. This proves that $\mu \in \mathscr{S}$.

LEMMA 2.7. Let $\mathscr{W} = \{uw_1, uw_2; T_1, \dots, T_s\}$ be a generalized word equation in variables X_1, \dots, X_n . Suppose μ is a solution of \mathscr{W} . Then μ is a solution of $\mathscr{W}' = \{w_1, w_2; T_1, \dots, T_s\}$ in variables X_1, \dots, X_n . If $\mu \in \mathscr{S}$ as a solution of \mathscr{W}' , then $\mu \in \mathscr{S}$ as a solution of \mathscr{W} .

Proof. This follows trivially since the solutions of \mathcal{W} are exactly the same as the solutions of \mathcal{W}' .

LEMMA 2.8. Let $\mathscr{W} = \{w_1, w_2; T_1, \dots, T_s\}$ be a generalized word equation in variables X_1, \dots, X_n . Suppose $T_1 = \{X_1, \dots, X_k\}$, $u, v \in \mathscr{F}(T_1)$. Let $\mu = (b_1, \dots, b_n), \nu = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ be solutions of $\mathscr{W}, \nu \leq \mu$. Let $c_1 = u(b_1, \dots, b_k), c_2 = v(b_1, \dots, b_k), d_1 = u(a_1, \dots, a_k),$ $d_2 = v(a_1, \dots, a_k)$. Then $c_1 = c_2$ if and only if $d_1 = d_2$; $l(c_1) < l(c_2)$ if and only if $l(d_1) < l(d_2)$.

Proof. Let $f: \nu \leq \mu$. Let $\Gamma | \Lambda$ be the alphabet of ν . There exists $A \in \Gamma$ such that $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \overline{A}$. So $c_1, c_2 \in \overline{A}$. Suppose $l(c_1) = l(c_2)$. Then $c_1 = c_2$ and so $d_1 = f(c_1) = f(c_2) = d_2$. Next suppose $l(c_1) < l(c_2)$. Then $c_2 = c_1 x$ for some $x \in \mathscr{F}_R(\Gamma | \Lambda)$. So $d_2 = f(c_2) = f(c_1)f(x) = d_1 f(x)$. Thus $l(d_1) < l(d_2)$. Similarly $l(c_2) < l(c_1)$ implies $l(d_2) < l(d_1)$. Since these are mutually exclusive cases, we are done.

LEMMA 2.9. Let $\mathscr{W} = \{uw_1, vw_2; T_1, \dots, T_s\}$ be a generalized word equation in variables X_1, \dots, X_n such that $uv \in \mathscr{F}(T_1)$. Suppose $\mu = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ is a solution of \mathscr{W} such that $u(b_1, \dots, b_n) =$ $v(b_1, \dots, b_n)$. Then μ is a solution of $\mathscr{W}' = \{w_1, w_2; T_1, \dots, T_s\}$ in variables X_1, \dots, X_n . Moreover, if $\mu \in \mathscr{S}$ as a solution of \mathscr{W}' , then $\mu \in \mathscr{S}$ as a solution of \mathscr{W} . *Proof.* Let $\mathscr{A} = \nu | \nu$ is a solution of \mathscr{W} , $\nu \leq \mu$ }, $\mathscr{B} = \{\nu | \nu \text{ is a solution } \mathscr{W}', \nu \leq \mu$ }. It suffices to show that $\mathscr{A} = \mathscr{B}$. First let $\nu = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathscr{A}$. Then by Lemma 2.8, $u(a_1, \dots, a_n) = v(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and so $\nu \in \mathscr{B}$. Conversely if $\nu \in \mathscr{B}$, then the same argument shows that $\nu \in \mathscr{A}$.

LEMMA 2.10. Let $\mathscr{W} = \{uw_1, vX_jw_2; T_1, \dots, T_s\}$ be a generalized word equation in variables X_1, \dots, X_n such that $uv \in \mathscr{F}(T_1)$, $X_j \in T_2$. Let $\mu = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ be a solution of \mathscr{W} such that $l(v(b_1, \dots, b_n)) < l(u(b_1, \dots, b_n))$. Then μ is a solution of $\mathscr{W}' = \{uw_1, vX_jw_2; T_1 \cup T_2, T_3, \dots, T_s\}$. If $\mu \in \mathscr{S}$ as a solution of \mathscr{W}' , then $\mu \in \mathscr{S}$ as a solution of \mathscr{W} .

Proof. Let $c_1 = u(b_1, \dots, b_n), c_2 = v(b_1, \dots, b_n)$. Then $c_1 \sim c_2$, $l(c_2) < l(c_1), c_1 \dots = c_2 b_j \dots$. Since X_j is constrained, it follows that $e(b_j) = 1$ and $b_j \sim c_1 \sim b_1$. So for all $X_k \in T_2$, $b_k \sim b_j \sim b_1$. It follows that μ is a solution of \mathscr{W}' . It is clear that every solution of \mathscr{W}' is a solution of \mathscr{W} . So it suffices to show that if ν is a solution \mathscr{W} and $\nu \leq \mu$, then ν is a solution of \mathscr{W}' . Let $\nu = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$. By Lemma 2.8, $l(v(a_1, \dots, a_n)) < l(u(a_1, \dots, a_n))$ and the above argument shows that ν is a solution of \mathscr{W}' .

LEMMA 2.11. Let $\mathscr{W} = \{w, w; T_1, \dots, T_s\}$ be a generalized word equation in variables X_1, \dots, X_n . Let $\mu = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$ be a solution of \mathscr{W} . Then $\mu \in \mathscr{S}$.

Proof. Since the solutions of \mathscr{W} are the same as those of $\{1, 1; T_1, \dots, T_s\}$ we can assume without loss of generality that w = 1. First assume s = 0. Then by Lemma 2.6, we can assume that n = 1. Introducing a new symbol A we see that $\nu = (A)$ is a solution of \mathscr{W} and satisfies (2.2), (2.3), and (2.4).

Next assume $s \ge 1$. Then by Lemma 2.6, we can assume that \mathscr{W} has no free variables. Let $\mathcal{Q} = \{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$ where $A_i = A_k$ if and only if X_i, X_k lie in same T_j . We define a solution $\nu = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ of \mathscr{W} as follows: Let $T_j = \{X_{j(1)}, \dots, X_{j(t)}\}$. Let the alphabet of $\mu = \Gamma_1 | \Gamma_2$. There exist $A \in \Gamma_1, r_1, \dots, r_t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $u_{j(t)} = A^{r_t}$. We wish to distinguish two cases. First suppose there exists $d \in \mathbb{R}^+, k_1, \dots, k_t \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $(r_1, \dots, r_t) = d(k_1, \dots, k_t)$. Then we can assume k_1, \dots, k_t are relatively prime. Also, if $r_1, \dots, r_t \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, then $d \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. In such a case set $v_{j(t)} = C^{k_t}$ where $C = A_{j(1)} = \cdots = A_{j(t)}, \phi(C) = A^d, i = 1, \dots, t$. Next assume there is no such d. Then set $v_{j(t)} = A_{j(t)}^{r_t}, \phi(A_{j(t)}) = A, i = 1, \dots, t$. Then $\nu = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ is a solution of \mathscr{W} . Let $\mathcal{Q}_1 = \{A_i | A_i$ appear integrally in each $v_j, j = 1, \dots, n\}$. Then $\phi(\mathcal{Q} \setminus \mathcal{Q}_1) \subseteq \overline{\Gamma_1 \setminus \Gamma_2}$. Also if ϕ is the natural extension of ϕ

to $\mathscr{F}_{\mathsf{R}}(\Omega|\Omega_1)$, then $\hat{\phi}: \nu \leq \mu$. Since $e(u_i) = e(v_i) = 1$ for all *i*, it is easy to see that $\hat{\phi}$ is unique. Also it is clear that for each $B \in \Omega$ there exists v_i such that v_i ends with B.

Now let $\delta = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ be a solution of \mathscr{W} , with alphabet $\Lambda_1|\Lambda_2, g: \delta \leq \mu$. We wish to define $\hat{\psi}: \nu \leq \delta$. Let $T_j = \{X_{j(1)}, \dots, X_{j(t)}\}, u_{j(t)} = A^{r_i}, a_{j(t)} = B^{p_i}, i = 1, \dots, t, v_{j(t)} = C^{k_i}, C \in \Omega$. Let $\phi(C) = A^d$, $g(B) = A^q$. Then $d(k_1, \dots, k_t) = (r_1, \dots, r_t) = q(p_1, \dots, p_t)$. Define $\psi(C) = B^{d/q}$. We must show that if $B \in \Lambda_2$, then $d/q \in Z^+$. So let $B \in \Lambda_2$. Then $p_1, \dots, p_t \in Z^+$. Then by above, $k_1, \dots, k_t \in Z^+$ and are relatively prime. Also $p_i/k_i = d/q, i = 1, \dots, t$. There exist $\pi_1, \dots, \pi_t \in Z$ such that $\pi_1 k_1 + \dots + \pi_t k_t = 1$. So $d/q = \pi_1 k_1 d/q + \dots + \pi_t k_t d/q = \pi_1 p_1 + \dots + \pi_t p_t \in Z$. So $d/q \in Z^+$. It is clear that if $\hat{\psi}$ is the natural extension of ψ to $\mathscr{F}_R(\Omega | \Omega_1)$, then $\hat{\psi}: \nu \leq \delta$. So ν satisfies (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4); proving the lemma.

LEMMA 2.12. Let $\mathscr{W} = \{w_1, w_2; T_1, \dots, T_s\}$ be a generalized word equation in variables X_1, \dots, X_n . Suppose \mathscr{W} has no free variables and $\mu = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ is a solution of \mathscr{W} . Then $\mu \in \mathscr{S}$.

Proof. We prove by induction on $l(w_1w_2) + s$. If $w_1 = w_2 = 1$, then we are done by Lemma 2.11. So assume $w_1 \neq 1$ (and hence $w_2 \neq 1$). Without loss of generality we can assume that w_1 starts with a letter in T_1 . We can write $w_1 = u_1u_2$ such that $u_1 \in \mathscr{F}(T_1)$, $l(u_1)$ maximal. Similarly write $w_2 = v_1v_2$ such that $v_1 \in \mathscr{F}(T_1)^1$, $l(v_1)$ maximal. If $u_1(b_1, \dots, b_n) = v_1(b_1, \dots, b_n)$, then we are done by Lemma 2.9 and the induction hypothesis. So by symmetry assume $l(v_1(b_1, \dots, b_n)) < l(u_1(b_1, \dots, b_n))$. Let $v_2 = X_2v_3$. Without loss of generality we can assume $X_2 \in T_2$. We are again done by the induction hypothesis and Lemma 2.10.

LEMMA 2.13. Let $\mathscr{W} = \{uw_1, vw_2; T_1, \dots, T_s\}$ be a generalized word equation in variables X_1, \dots, X_n . Let $\mu = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$, $\nu = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ be solutions of $\mathscr{W}, \nu \leq \mu$. Set $c_1 = u(a_1, \dots, a_n)$, $c_2 = v(a_1, \dots, a_n)$, $d_1 = u(b_1, \dots, b_n)$, $d_2 = v(b_1, \dots, b_n)$. Then $c_1 = c_2$ if and only if $d_1 = d_2$; $l(c_1) < l(c_2)$ if and only if $l(d_1) < l(d_2)$.

Proof. Let $f: \nu \leq \mu$. Then $f(c_1) = d_1$, $f(c_2) = d_2$. Now $c_1 \cdots = c_2 \cdots$. If $l(c_1) = l(c_2)$, then $c_1 = c_2$ and $d_1 = d_2$. If $l(c_1) < l(c_2)$; then $c_2 = c_1c'_2$ for some $c'_2 \neq 1$ and so $d_2 = d_1d'_2$ where $d'_2 = f(c'_2) \neq 1$. Hence $l(d_1) < l(d_2)$. Similarly $l(c_2) < l(c_1)$ implies $l(d_2) < l(d_1)$. Since these are mutually exclusive cases, we are done.

LEMMA 2.14. Let $\mathscr{W} = \{uw_1, vX_jw_2; T_1, \dots, T_s\}$ be a generalized word equation in variables X_1, \dots, X_n such that $uv \in \mathscr{F}(T_1)$ and X_j is a free variable. Let $\mu = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ be a solution of \mathscr{W} . Set $c_1 = u(b_1, \dots, b_n), c_2 = v(b_1, \dots, b_n)$. Suppose $l(c_2b_j) \leq l(c_1)$. Let $\mathscr{W}' = \{uw_1, vX_jw_2; T_1 \cup \{X_j\}, T_2, \dots, T_s\}$ in variables X_1, \dots, X_n . Then μ is a solution of \mathscr{W}' . If $\mu \in \mathscr{S}$ as a solution of \mathscr{W}' , then $\mu \in \mathscr{S}$ as a solution of \mathscr{W} .

Proof. $l(c_2b_j) \leq l(c_1)$ and $c_1 \cdots = c_2b_j \cdots$, and so $e(b_j) = 1$, $b_j \sim c_1$. It follows that μ is a solution of \mathscr{W}' . It is also clear that every solution of \mathscr{W}' is a solution of \mathscr{W} . We need only show that if ν is a solution of \mathscr{W} and $\nu \leq \mu$, then ν is a solution of \mathscr{W}' . So let $\nu = (a_1, \cdots, a_n) \leq \mu$. Let $d_1 = u(a_1, \cdots, a_n)$, $d_2 = v(a_1, \cdots, a_n)$. Then by Lemma 2.13, $l(d_2a_j) \leq l(a_1)$. So by the above argument ν is a solution of \mathscr{W}' .

LEMMA 2.15. Let $\mathscr{W} = \{uw_1, vX_jw_2; T_1, \dots, T_s\}$ be a generalized word equation in variables X_1, \dots, X_n such that $uv \in \mathscr{F}(T_1)$ and X_j is a free variable. Let $\mu = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ be a solution of \mathscr{W} . Set $c_1 = u(b_1, \dots, b_n), c_2 = v(b_1, \dots, b_n)$. Suppose $l(c_2b_j) > l(c_1) > l(c_2)$. Then there exist $b_{n+1}, b'_j \neq 1$ such that $b_{n+1} \sim c_1 \sim c_2, b_j = b_{n+1}b'_j$ and $c_1 = c_2b_{n+1}$. Introduce new variables X'_j, X_{n+1} . Set $T'_1 = T_1 \cup \{X_{n+1}\}$. Let w'_1, w'_2 be the words obtained by replacing X_j by $X_{n+1}X'_j$ in w_1, w_2 respectively. Let \mathscr{W}' be the generalized word equation $\{w'_1, X'_jw'_2; T'_1, T_2, \dots, T_s\}$ in variables $X_1, \dots X_{j-1}, X'_j, X_{j+1}, \dots, X_n, X_{n+1}$. Then $\mu' = (b_1, \dots, b_{j-1}, b'_j, b_{j+1}, \dots, b_n, b_{n+1})$ is a solution \mathscr{W}' . Moreover, if $\mu' \in \mathscr{S}$, then $\mu \in \mathscr{S}$.

Proof. Now $c_1 \cdots = c_2 b_j \cdots$ and so the existence of b_{n+1}, b'_j follows. It is clear that μ' is a solution of \mathcal{W}' . Suppose $\mu' \in \mathcal{S}$. Then there exists a solution $\nu' = (a_1, \dots, a_{j-1}, a'_j, a_{j+1}, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1})$ of \mathcal{W}' , $f: \nu' \leq \mu'$ such that (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) are true. Let $a_j = a_{n+1}a'_j, \nu =$ $(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n)$. By Lemma 2.8, ν' is a solution of the word equation $\{u, vX_{n+1}\}$. It now follows easily that ν is a solution of \mathcal{W} . Let $\Gamma | \Lambda =$ alphabet of ν' . Then alphabet of $\nu = \Gamma | \Lambda$ and $f: \nu \leq \mu$. If $g: \nu \leq \mu$, then by Lemma 2.13 $g: \nu' \leq \mu'$ and so g = f. Let $A \in \Gamma$. If a_{n+1} ends with A, then so does a_k where $X_k \in T_1$. If a'_j ends with A, then so does a_i . It follows that ν satisfies (2.4). Finally let $\delta = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$ be a solution of \mathscr{W} , $h: \delta \leq \mu$. Let $c_3 = u(d_1, \dots, d_n)$, $c_4 = v(d_1, \dots, d_n)$. By Lemma 2.13, $l(c_4d_j) > l(c_3) > l(c_4)$. As above there exist $d_{n+1}, d'_j \neq 1$, such that $d_{n+1} \sim c_3 \sim c_4, d_j = d_{n+1}d'_j, c_3 = c_4d_{n+1}$. It follows that $\delta' = (d_1, \dots, d_{j-1}, d'_j, d_{j+1}, \dots, d_n, d_{n+1})$ is a solution \mathscr{W}' . It is also easily seen that $h: \delta' \leq \mu'$. So there exist $h_1: \nu' \leq \delta'$. It follows as above that $h_1: \nu \leq \delta$. Thus $\mu \in \mathscr{S}$, proving the lemma.

LEMMA 2.16. Let $\mathscr{W} = \{w_1, w_2; T_1, \dots, T_s\}$ be a generalized

word equation in variables X_1, \dots, X_n . Suppose X_j is a free variable of \mathscr{W} . Let $\mu = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ be a solution \mathscr{W} such that for any solution $\nu = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ of $\mathscr{W}, \nu \leq \mu$ implies $e(a_j) = 1$. Let \mathscr{W}' be the generalized word equation $\{w_1, w_2; T_1, \dots, T_s, \{X_j\}\}$ in variables X_1, \dots, X_n . Then μ is a solution of \mathscr{W}' . If $\mu \in \mathscr{S}$ as a solution of \mathscr{W}' , then $\mu \in \mathscr{S}$ as a solution of \mathscr{W} .

Proof. Clearly, every solution of \mathscr{W}' is a solution of \mathscr{W} . Let ν be a solution of \mathscr{W} such that $\nu \leq \mu$. Then by hypothesis ν is a solution \mathscr{W}' . Then lemma now follows trivially.

LEMMA 2.17. Let $\mathscr{W} = \{X_i w_i, X_j w_2; T_1, \dots, T_s\}$ be a generalized word equation in variable X_1, \dots, X_n such that X_j is a free variable. Let $\mu = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ be a solution of \mathscr{W} such that $l(b_i) < l(b_j)$. Then there exist $b'_j \neq 1$ such that $b_j = b_i b'_j$. Introduce a new variable X'_j and let w'_1, w'_2 be the words obtained by replacing X_j by $X_i X'_j$. Let $\mathscr{W}' = \{w'_1, X'_j w'_2; T_1, \dots, T_s\}$ in variables X_1, \dots, X_n . Then $\mu' = (b_1, \dots, b_{j-1}, b'_j, b_{j+1}, \dots, b_n)$ is a solution of \mathscr{W}' . Let $\mathscr{A} = \{\nu | \nu \text{ is a solution of } \mathscr{W}, \nu \leq \mu\}, \mathscr{B} = \{\nu' | \nu' \text{ is a solution of}$ $\mathscr{W}', \nu' \leq \mu'\}$. Let $\nu = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathscr{A}$. Then there exists $a'_j \neq 1$ such that $a_j = a_i a'_j$. If $\Phi(\nu)$ is obtained by replacing a_j by a'_j in ν , then $\Phi(\nu) \in \mathscr{B}$. If $f: \nu \leq \mu$, then $f: \Phi(\nu) \leq \mu'$. Let $\nu' = (a_1, \dots, a'_j,$ $\dots, a_n) \in \mathscr{B}$. If $f: \nu' \leq \mu'$, then $f: \Psi(\nu') \leq \mu$. Moreover $\Psi = \Phi^{-1}$. Finally $\mu \in \mathscr{S}$ if and only if $\mu' \in \mathscr{S}$.

Proof. Suppose $\nu = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathscr{N}$. By Lemma 2.13, $l(a_i) < l(a_j)$ and so there exists $a'_j \neq 1$ such that $a_j = a_i a'_j$. So Φ makes sense. Let $\nu' = \Phi(\nu)$. It is clear that ν satisfies (2.4) if and only if ν' does. Rest of the assertions are now fairly obvious.

LEMMA 2.18. Let $\mathscr{W} = \{X_1w_1, X_2w_2; T_1, \dots, T_s\}$ be a generalized word equation in variables X_1, \dots, X_n such that X_1, X_2 are free variables. Suppose $\mu = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ is a solution of \mathscr{W} . Suppose $b_1 = b_2$. Let w'_1, w'_2 be obtained by replacing X_1 by X_2 in w_1, w_2 , respectively. Let \mathscr{W}' be the generalized word equation $\{w'_1, w'_2;$ $T_1, \dots, T_s\}$ in variables X_2, \dots, X_n . Then $\mu' = (b_2, \dots, b_n)$ is a solution of \mathscr{W}' . Moreover, if $\mu' \in \mathscr{S}$, then $\mu \in \mathscr{S}$.

Proof. Let $\mathscr{A} = \{ \boldsymbol{\nu} | \boldsymbol{\nu} \text{ is a solution of } \mathscr{W}, \boldsymbol{\nu} \leq \mu \}, \ \mathscr{B} = \{ \boldsymbol{\nu} | \boldsymbol{\nu} \text{ is a solution of } \mathscr{W}', \boldsymbol{\nu} \leq \mu' \}.$ If $\boldsymbol{\nu} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathscr{A}$, then by Lemma 2.13, $a_1 = a_2$. So $\Phi(\boldsymbol{\nu}) = (a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathscr{B}$. Also, $f: \boldsymbol{\nu} \leq \mu$ implies $f: \Phi(\boldsymbol{\nu}) \leq \Phi(\mu) = \mu'$. It is also clear that $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ satisfies (2.4) if and only if $\Phi(\boldsymbol{\nu})$ does. If $\boldsymbol{\nu}' = (a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathscr{B}$, then let $\Phi(\boldsymbol{\nu}') = \boldsymbol{\nu} = (a_2, \dots, a_n)$.

It is clear that $\nu \in \mathscr{N}$. Also $g: \nu' \leq \mu'$ implies $g: \nu \leq \mu$. Finally, it is obvious that $\Psi \Psi, \Psi \Phi$ are identity maps of \mathscr{B}, \mathscr{N} respectively. So $\Psi = \Phi^{-1}$. This correspondence yields the result.

THEOREM 2.19. Let $\mathscr{W} = \{w_1, w_2; T_1, \dots, T_s\}$ be a generalized word equation in variables X_1, \dots, X_n and let $\mu = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ be a solution of \mathscr{W} . Then $\mu \in \mathscr{S}$.

Proof. Let d denote the number of free variables of \mathcal{W} . We prove by induction on d. If d = 0, we are done by Lemma 2.12. So assume d > 0. We now (for a given d) proceed by induction on s. If $w_1 = w_2$, we are done by Lemma 2.11. So assume $w_1 \neq w_2$. Let w_1 start with X_i , w_2 start with X_i . By Lemma 2.7, we can assume that $X_i \neq X_j$. Next suppose X_i , X_j are both constrained, $X_i \in T_1$. If $X_j \notin T_1$, we are done by Lemma 2.10 and our induction hypothesis on s. So let $X_j \in T_1$. Now $w_1 = uw_3$, $w_2 = vw_4$ such that $u, v \in \mathscr{F}(T_1), l(uv)$ maximal. Let $c_1 = u(b_1, \dots, b_n), c_2 = v(b_1, \dots, b_n).$ By Lemma 2.9 and an easy induction on $l(w_1w_2)$, we can assume that $l(c_1) \neq l(c_2)$. By symmetry assume $l(c_2) < l(c_1)$. If w_4 starts with a constrained variables we are done by Lemma 2.10 and our induction hypothesis on s. So assume w_4 starts with a free variable. Thus by Lemmas 2.14 and 2.15, we can assume, without loss of generality, that either X_i or X_i is free. By Lemma 2.6 and our induction hypothesis on d, we can assume that each free variable occurs in w_1w_2 .

We now assume $u \in \mathscr{S}$ and obtain a contradiction. Let $\mathscr{C} = \{r | X_r \in T_q \text{ for some } q\}$. Let $\mu^{(0)} = \mu$, $b_r^{(0)} = b_r$, $r = 1, \dots, n$, $\mathscr{W}^{(0)} = \mathscr{W}$, $w_1^{(0)} = w_1, w_2^{(0)} = w_2, X_r^{(0)} = X_r, r = 1, \dots, n$. Also let $\mathscr{Z}^{(0)} = \{p_{\alpha}^{(0)} = (a_1^{\alpha,0}, \dots, a_n^{\alpha,0}) | \alpha \in \Omega\}$ denote the class of all solutions of \mathscr{W} such that $\nu \leq \mu$. Let $u^{(0)} = w_1 w_2(X_1, \dots, X_n)$, $m_r^{(0)}$ the number of times X_r appears in $u^{(0)}$. Then $m_r^{(0)} > 0$ for $r \notin \mathscr{C}$. Let $b = u^{(0)}(b_1, \dots, b_n)$ and for $\alpha \in \Omega$, let $a_{\alpha} = u^{(0)}(a_1^{\alpha,0}, \dots, a_n^{\alpha,0})$. We will construct a sequence of generalized word equations $\mathscr{W}^{(k)}$ with particular solutions $\mu^{(k)} = (b_1^{(k)}, \dots, b_n^{(k)})$ such that the following conditions are true for all $k \in Z$. Intuitively we are looking at the sequence of word equations obtained by always truncating on the left.

(I) $\mathscr{W}^{(k)} = \{w_1^{(k)}, w_2^{(k)}; T_1, \dots, T_s\}$ in variables $X_1^{(k)}, \dots, X_n^{(k)}$ such that $X_r^{(k)} = X_r$ for $r \in \mathscr{C}$.

(II) $w_1^{(k)}$, $w_2^{(k)}$ start with different variables, at least one of which is free.

(III) The class of solutions ν of $\mathscr{W}^{(k)}$ such that $\nu \leq \mu^{(k)}$ can be written as $\mathscr{H}^{(k)} = \{\nu_{\alpha}^{(k)} = (\alpha_1^{\alpha,k}, \cdots, \alpha_n^{\alpha,k}) | \alpha \in \Omega\}.$

(IV) There exists $u^{(k)} \in \mathscr{F}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ such that $b = u^{(k)}(b_1^{(k)}, \dots, b_n^{(k)}), a_{\alpha} = u^{(k)}(a_1^{\alpha,k}, \dots, a_n^{\alpha,k})$ for all $\alpha \in \Omega$. Let $m_r^{(k)}$ denote the number of times X_r appears in $u^{(k)}$. If k > 0, then $m_r^{(k)} \ge m_r^{(k-1)}$,

 $r = 1, \dots, n \text{ and } \sum_{r=1}^{n} m_r^{(k)} > \sum_{r=1}^{n} m_r^{(k-1)}.$

(V) If k > 0, then there exist $u_1^{(k)}, \dots, u_n^{(k)} \in \mathscr{F}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ such that $b_r^{(k-1)} = u_r^{(k)}(b_1^{(k)}, \dots, b_n^{(k)}), a_r^{\alpha, k-1} = u_r^{(k)}(a_1^{\alpha, k}, \dots, a_n^{\alpha, k}), r = 1, \dots, n, \alpha \in \Omega.$

(VI) Suppose k > 0. Then there exists $q \in \{1, \dots, n\}q \notin \mathcal{C}$, such that $X_q^{(k)} \neq X_q^{(k-1)}$ and $X_r^{(k)} = X_r^{(k-1)}$ for all $r \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $r \neq q$. Also there exists $t \in \{1, 2\}$ such that $w_t^{(k)}$ starts with $X_q^{(k)}$ and $w_t^{(k-1)}$ starts with $X_q^{(k-1)}$. If $w_{3t-1}^{(k-1)}$ starts with $X_p^{(k-1)}$, then $m_p^{(k)} > m_p^{(k-1)}$.

(VII) Suppose $k > 0, r \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. If $X_r^{(k)} = X_r^{(k-1)}$, then $b_r^{(k)} = b_r^{(k-1)}, a_r^{\alpha,k} = a_r^{\alpha,k-1}$ for all $\alpha \in \Omega$. If $X_r^{(k)} \neq X_r^{(k-1)}$, then $b_r^{(k)}|_f b_r^{(k-1)}$, $a_r^{\alpha,k}|_f a_r^{\alpha,k-1}$ for all $\alpha \in \Omega$.

(VIII) $\mu^{(k)} \notin \mathscr{S}$.

Clearly $\mathcal{W}^{(0)}$ satisfies (I) to (VIII). We proceed by induction. So, having constructed $\mathscr{W}^{(0)}, \dots, \mathscr{W}^{(k)}$ satisfying (I) to (VIII), we proceed to construct $\mathscr{W}^{(k+1)}$. Let $w_1^{(k)} = X_p^{(k)} w_1', w_2^{(k)} = X_q^{(k)} w_2'$. Then $p \neq q$ and either $X_{x}^{(k)}$ or $X_{a}^{(k)}$ is free. $\mu^{(k)} = (b_{1}^{(k)}, \dots, b_{n}^{(k)})$ is a solution of $\mathscr{W}^{(k)}$. If $l(b_n^{(k)}) = l(b_a^{(k)})$ then $b_n^{(k)} = b_a^{(k)}$ and by Lemmas 2.14 and 2.18, $\mu^{(k)} \in \mathcal{S}$, contradicting (VIII). By symmetry assume $l(b_p^{(k)}) < l(b_q^{(k)}).$ By Lemma 2.14, $X_q^{(k)}$ is free. Also $b_a^{(k)} = b_p^{(k)} b_a^{(k+1)}$ for some $b_q^{(k+1)} \neq 1$. Introduce a new variable $X_q^{(k+)}$ and set $X_r^{(k+1)} =$ $X_r^{(k)}$ for $r \neq q$. Also let $b_r^{(k+1)} = b_r^{(k)}$ for $r \neq q$, $\mu^{(k+1)} = (b_1^{(k+1)}, \dots, b_n^{(k+1)})$. Let $w_1^{(k+1)}$ be the word obtained by replacing $X_q^{(k)}$ by $X_p^{(k)}X_q^{(k+1)}$ in w'_1 and $w'^{(k+1)}_2 = X^{(k+1)}_q w''_2$ where w''_2 is the word obtained by replacing $X_q^{(k)}$ by $X_p^{(k)} X_q^{(k+1)}$ in w'_2 . Then $w_1^{(k+1)}$ does not start with $X_q^{(k+1)}$. Let $\mathcal{W}^{(k+1)} = \{ w_1^{(k+1)}, w_2^{(k+1)}; T_1, \dots, T_s \}$ be the generalized word equation in variables $X_1^{(k+1)}, \dots, X_n^{(k+1)}$. So (I), (II) are satisfied. By Lemma 2.17, $\mu^{(k+1)}$ is a solution $\mathcal{W}^{(k+1)}$ and $\mu^{(k+1)} \notin \mathcal{S}$. So (VIII) is satisfied. If $\alpha \in \Omega$, then by Lemma 2.17, $a_q^{\alpha,k} = a_p^{\alpha,k} = a_p^{\alpha,k} a_q^{\alpha,k+1}$ for some $a_q^{\alpha,k+1} \neq 1$. Set $a_r^{\alpha,k} = a_r^{\alpha,k+1} \text{ for } r \neq q, \, \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\alpha}^{(k+1)} = (a_1^{\alpha,k+1}, \cdots, a_n^{\alpha,k+1}). \text{ Let } \mathscr{X}^{(k+1)} = \{\boldsymbol{\nu}_{\alpha}^{(k+1)} | \, \alpha \in \Omega\}.$ That $\mathscr{H}^{(k+1)}$ satisfies (III) follows from Lemma 2.17. Let $u^{(k+1)}$ be the word obtained by replacing X_q by X_pX_q in $u^{(k)}$. Let $m_r^{(k+1)}$ denote the number of times $X_r^{(k)}$ appears in $u^{(k+1)}$, $r = 1, \dots, n$. Then $m_r^{(k)} = m_r^{(k+1)}$ for $r \neq p$ and $m_p^{(k+1)} = m_p^{(k)} + m_q^{(k)} \ge m_p^{(k)} + m_q^{(0)} > m_p^{(k)}$. It is now easy to see that (IV), (VI), (VII) are satisfied. Let $u_r^{(k+1)} =$ X_r for $r \neq q$, $u_q^{(k+1)} = X_r X_q$. It is then clear that (V) is satisfied. This completes the construction.

Let $\mathscr{B} = \{r \mid m_r^{(k)} \to \infty \text{ as } k \to \infty\}$. By (IV), $\sum_{r=1}^n m_r^{(k)} \to \infty$ and so $\mathscr{B} \neq \emptyset$. Let $\mathscr{A} = \{r \mid \text{ for any } k \in Z^+$, there exists k' > k such that $X_r^{(k')} \neq X_r^{(k'+1)}\}$. We claim that $\mathscr{B} = \mathscr{A}$. First assume $r \in \mathscr{B}$. It follows from (IV) that $l(b_r^{(k)}) \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$. It then follows from (VII) that $r \in \mathscr{A}$. Hence $\mathscr{B} \subseteq \mathscr{A}$. Conversely let $r \in \mathscr{A}$. Suppose $r \notin \mathscr{B}$. Then there exists $k \in Z^+$ such that $m_r^{(k)} = m_r^{(k')}$ for all k' > k. There exists m > k such that $X_r^{(m)} \neq X_r^{(m+1)}$. There exists $\pi \in \mathscr{B}$. So $\pi \neq r$ and by above, $\pi \in \mathscr{A}$. So there exists $\theta \in Z^+$, $\theta > m$ such that $X_{x}^{(\theta)} \neq X^{(\theta+1)}$. By (VI) $X_{r}^{(\theta)} = X_{r}^{(\theta+1)}$. Choose j > m, minimal so that $X_{r}^{(j)} = X_{r}^{(j+1)}$. Then $X_{r}^{(j-1)} \neq X_{r}^{(j)}$. By (VI), there exists $t \in \{1, 2\}$ such that $w_{t}^{(j)}$ starts with $X_{r}^{(j)}$. It then follows again by (VI) that $m_{r}^{(j+1)} > m_{r}^{(j)}$, a contradiction. So $r \in \mathscr{B}$ and $\mathscr{A} = \mathscr{B}$. It follows that $\mathscr{B} \cap \mathscr{C} = \emptyset$.

Since $\mathscr{A} = \mathscr{B}$, it follows from (VII) that there exists $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $l(b_r^{(k)}) \ge \varepsilon$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $r \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \mathcal{B}$. By (IV), it follows that $l(b_r^{(k)}) \to 0$ for all $r \in \mathscr{B}$. Since \mathscr{B} is finite, there exists $M \in Z^+$ such that $l(b_r^{(M)}) < \varepsilon$ for all $r \in \mathscr{B}$. Let m > M. Repeated application (V) shows that there exist $v_1^{(m)}, \dots, v_n^{(m)} \in \mathcal{F}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ such that $a_r^{\alpha,M} = v_r^{(m)}(a_1^{\alpha,m}, \cdots, a_n^{\alpha,m}), r = 1, \cdots, n, \alpha \in \Omega$ and $b_r^{(M)} =$ $v_r^{(m)}(b_1^{(m)}, \dots, b_n^{(m)}), r = 1, \dots, n.$ The choice of M implies that for $r \in \mathscr{B}, v_r^{(m)}$ does not involve X_t for any $t \notin \mathscr{B}$. Thus for $r \in \mathscr{B}$, $v_r^{(m)}$ is a word in $X_t(t \in \mathscr{B})$. Let $\alpha \in \Omega, r \in \mathscr{B}$. We will show that $e(a_r^{\alpha,M}) = 1$. Since $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$, we see by (VI) that there exists N > Msuch that for any $\theta > N$, $w_1^{(\theta)}$, $w_2^{(\theta)}$ do not start with $X_1^{(\theta)}$ for any $j \notin \mathscr{B}$. It follows from (IV) that there exists L > N such that for any $\theta \ge L, t \in \mathscr{B}, e(a_t^{\alpha, \theta}) = 1$. Since $r \in \mathscr{A}$, there exists P > N such that $X_r^{(P)} \neq X_r^{(P+1)}$. So by (VI), there exists $\pi \in \{1, 2\}$, such that $w_{\pi}^{(P)}$ starts with $X_r^{(P)}$. Suppose $w_{3-\pi}^{(P)}$ starts with $X_i^{(P)}$. Then by above $j \in \mathscr{B}$. So $e(a_r^{\alpha,P}) = e(a_j^{\alpha,P}) = 1$ and so $a_j^{\alpha,P} \sim a_r^{\alpha,P}$. We claim that for any $p \ge P$, if either $w_1^{(p)}$ or $w_2^{(p)}$ starts with $X_t^{(p)}$, then $a_t^{\alpha,p} \sim a_r^{\alpha,P}$. We prove the above property for p + 1, assuming it to be true for p. By symmetry, let $w_1^{(p)}$ start with $X_t^{(p)}$, $X_t^{(p)} \neq X_t^{(p+1)}$. Then by (VI) $w_1^{(p+1)}$ starts with $X_t^{(p+1)}$ and by (VII), $a_t^{\alpha,p+1} \sim a_t^{\alpha,p} \sim a_r^{\alpha,p}$. If $w_{2}^{(p+1)}$ starts with $X_{q}^{(p+1)}$, then clearly $a_{q}^{\alpha,p+1} \sim a_{t}^{\alpha,p+1} \sim a_{r}^{\alpha,P}$. Thus the asserted claim is true. Now let $t \in \mathscr{B}$. Then since $t \in \mathscr{A}$, there exists p > P such that $X_t^{(p)} \neq X_t^{(p)}$. So by (VI), either $w_1^{(p)}$ or $w_2^{(p)}$ starts with $X_t^{(p)}$. By above, $a_t^{\alpha,p} \sim a_r^{\alpha,p}$. Since p > L and $e(a_t^{\alpha,L}) = 1$. we see by (VII) that $a_t^{\alpha,L} \sim a_r^{\alpha,P}$. Now $a_r^{\alpha,M} = v_r^{(L)}(a_1^{\alpha,L}, \cdots, a_n^{\alpha,L})$. Since X_t does not appear in $v_r^{(L)}$ for $t \notin \mathscr{B}$, we see by the above that $e(a_r^{\alpha,M}) = 1$. Since *M* is independent of α , we see by Lemma 2.16 (and our induction hypothesis on d) that $\mu^{(\alpha)} \in \mathscr{S}$, contradicting (VIII). This contradiction proves the theorem.

COROLLARY 2.20. Let \mathscr{W} be a generalized word equation and μ, ν_1, ν_2 solutions of \mathscr{W} such that $\nu_1 \leq \mu, \nu_2 \leq \mu$. Then there exists a solution ν of \mathscr{W} such that $\nu \leq \nu_1$ and $\nu \leq \nu_2$. In particular, if ν_1, ν_2 are principal, then $\nu_1 \approx \nu_2$.

LEMMA 2.21. Let \mathscr{W} be a generalized word equation, μ a principal solution of \mathscr{W} such that μ satisfies (2.4). Then μ satisfies the right-left dual of (2.4).

Proof. By the dual of Theorem 2.19, there exists a principal

solution ν of \mathcal{W} satisfying the dual of (2.4) such that $\nu \leq \mu$. Since μ is principal, $\nu \approx \mu$. There exist $f: \nu \leq \mu, g: \mu \leq \nu$. Let the alphabet of $\mu = \Gamma_1 | \Lambda_1$, alphabet of $\nu = \Gamma_2 | \Lambda_2$. Then $f(\Gamma_2 \setminus \Lambda_2) \subseteq \overline{\Gamma_1 \setminus \Lambda_1}$, $g(\Gamma_1 \setminus \Lambda_1) \subseteq \overline{\Gamma_2 \setminus \Lambda_2}$. Let $\nu = (a_1, \dots, a_n), \mu = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$. Let $A \in \Lambda_1$. Then there exists $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $b \in \mathscr{F}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Gamma_1|\Lambda_1)^1$ such that $b_i = bA$. So $a_i = g(b_i) = ag(A)$ where a = g(b). Let $g(b_i)$ end with $B \in \Gamma_2$. We claim that $B \in \Lambda_2$. Suppose not. Now $g(A) = cB^r$ for some $r \in \mathbf{R}^+$, $c \in \mathscr{F}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Gamma_2|\Lambda_2)^1$. Since $B \in \Gamma_2 \setminus \Lambda_2$, $f(B) \in \overline{\Gamma_1 \setminus \Lambda_1}$. Let $f(B) = D^t$, $D \in \Gamma_1 \setminus \Lambda_1$, $t \in \mathbf{R}^+$. But $bA = b_i = f(a_i) = f(a)fg(A) = f(a)f(c)D^{ir}$, a contradiction since $A \in \Lambda_1$, $D \notin \Lambda_1$. Thus $B \in \Lambda_2$ and we can assume that g(A) = cB for some $c \in \mathscr{F}_{R}(\Gamma_{2}|\Lambda_{2})^{1}$. Now $bA = b_{i} = f(a_{i}) = f(ac)f(B)$. So f(B) = dA for some $d \in \mathscr{F}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Gamma_1 | \Lambda_1)^1$. Also the dual of the above argument, applied to B, shows that there exist $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}, x, y \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ $\mathscr{F}_{R}(\Gamma_{2}|\Lambda_{2})^{1}, z \in \mathscr{F}_{R}(\Gamma_{1}|\Lambda_{1})^{1}, C \in \Lambda_{1} \text{ such that } a_{j} = Bx, f(B) = Cz, g(C) = By.$ We claim that C = A. Suppose not. Then since Cz = f(B) = dA, we see that f(B) = CuA for some $u \in \mathscr{F}_{R}(\Gamma_{1} | \Lambda_{1})^{1}$. Then gf(B) =g(C)g(u)g(A) = Byg(u)cB. Thus B appears at least twice in gf(B). An easy induction shows that B appear at least 2^i times in $(gf)^i(B)$. In particular $l((gf)^i(B)) \to \infty$ as $i \to \infty$. However $a_j = (gf)^i(a_j) =$ $(gf)^{i}(B)(gf)^{i}(x)$ for all *i*. This contradiction shows that A = C. So $b_j = f(a_j) = f(B)f(x) = Czf(x) = Azf(x)$ and b_j starts with A.

Next suppose $A \in \Gamma_1 \setminus A_1$. There exists $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that b_i ends with A. So there exists $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $b \in \mathscr{F}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Gamma_1 \setminus A_1)^1$ such that $b_i = bA^r$. Now $g(A) \in \overline{\Gamma_2 \setminus A_2}$. So there exists $k \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $B \in \Gamma_2 \setminus A_2$ such that $g(A) = B^k$. Now $f(B) \in \overline{\Gamma_1 \setminus A_1}$. So there exist $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $C \in \Gamma_1 \setminus A_1$ such that $f(B) = C^t$. Thus

$$bA^{r} = b_{i} = f(a_{i}) = fg(b_{i}) = fg(b)C^{rtk}$$
 .

It follows that C = A. There exists $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that a_j starts with B. Since $f(B) = A^i$, $b_j = f(a_j)$ starts with A. This completes the proof.

LEMMA 2.22. Let \mathscr{W} be a generalized word equation with solutions $\boldsymbol{\nu} = (a_1, \dots, a_n), \ \boldsymbol{\mu} = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ having alphabets $\Gamma_1 | \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_1, \ \Gamma_2 | \ \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_2,$ respectively. Suppose $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ satisfies (2.4) and its dual, and $f: \boldsymbol{\nu} \leq \boldsymbol{\mu},$ $g: \boldsymbol{\mu} \leq \boldsymbol{\nu}.$ Then $g = f^{-1}$ and f, g are unique. If f_1, f_2 are restrictions of f to Λ_1 and $\overline{\Gamma_1/\Lambda_1}$ respectively, then $f_1: \Lambda_1 \to \Lambda_2$ and $f_2: \overline{\Gamma_1/\Lambda_1} \to \overline{\Gamma_2/\Lambda_2}$ are bijections.

Proof. Now $f(\Gamma_1 \setminus A_1) \subseteq \overline{\Gamma_2 \setminus A_2}$, $g(\Gamma_2 \setminus A_2) \subseteq \overline{\Gamma_1 \setminus A_1}$. Let $A \in A_1$. Then there exist $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $c, d \in \mathscr{F}_R(\Gamma_1 \setminus A_1)^1$ such that $a_i = AC$, $a_j = dA$. So $Ac = a_i = gf(a_i) = gf(A)gf(c)$. Similarly dA = gf(d)gf(A). Thus gf(A) starts and ends with A. Let f(A) start with B, end with C.

If $B \in \Gamma_1 \setminus \Lambda_2$, then $(B) \in \overline{\Gamma_1 \setminus \Lambda_1}$ contradicting the fact that gf(A) starts with A. So $B \in A_2$. Similarly $C \in A_2$. So f(A) = Bx = yC for some $x, y \in A_2$. $\mathscr{F}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Gamma_2|A_2)^1$. Also gf(A) = g(B)g(x) = g(y)g(C). So g(B) starts with A and g(C) ends with A. So there exist $a, b \in \mathscr{F}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Gamma_1|A_1)^1$ such that g(B) = g(C)Aa, g(C) = bA. We claim that f(A) = B. Otherwise there exists $z \in \mathscr{F}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Gamma_2|\Lambda_2)^1$ such that f(A) = BzC. So gf(A) = AazbA. An easy induction shows that A appears at least 2^{k+1} times in $(qf)^k(A)$ for all $k \in Z^+$. In particular $l(gf)^k(A) \to \infty$. But $a_i = (gf)^k(a^i) = (gf)^k(A)c$ for all $k \in Z^+$. This contradiction shows that f(A) = B. Now g(B) =Aa = bA. We claim that A = g(B). Otherwise there exists $a_1 \in$ $\mathscr{F}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Gamma_1|A_1)^1$ such that $g(B) = Aa_1A$. So $fg(B) = Bf(a_1)B$. As above, this implies that $l((fg)^k(B)) \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$. This contradicts the fact that $(fg)^k(B)$ is a segment of $(fg)^k(b_i) = b_i$ for all $k \in Z^+$. So A = g(B). Thus $f(\Lambda_1) \subseteq \Lambda_2$ and gf is the identity map on Λ_1 . So f is 1-1 on Λ_1 . Let $D \in \Lambda_2$. There exists $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that D appears in b_i . Now $f(\Gamma_1 \setminus A_1) \subseteq \overline{\Gamma_2 \setminus A_2}$. Since $b_i = f(a_i)$ it follows that b_i and hence D lie in the semigroup generated by $\overline{\Gamma_i \setminus \Lambda_i}$ and $f(\Lambda_i)$. Hence $D \in f(\Lambda_1)$. So $f(\Lambda_1) = \Lambda_2$. Let f_1 be the restriction of f to Λ_1 . $f_1: \Lambda_1 \to \Lambda_2$ is a bijection.

Now let $A \in \Gamma_1 \setminus \Lambda_1$. There exists $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that a_i starts with A. So there exist $a \in \mathscr{F}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Gamma_1 | \Lambda_1)^i$, $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$, such that $a_i = A^r a$ and a does not start with A. Then $f(A) \in \overline{\Gamma_2 \setminus A_2}$. So there exists $B \in \Gamma_2 \setminus \Lambda_2, t \in \mathbf{R}^+$ such that $f(A) = B^t$. Now $A^r a = a_i = gf(a_i) =$ $g(B^{rt})gf(a)$. So $g(B) \in \overline{A}$. Thus $gf(A) \in \overline{A}$ for all $A \in \Gamma_1 \setminus \Lambda_1$. It follows that f is 1-1 on $\overline{\Gamma_1 \setminus \Lambda_1}$. Since $gf(\Lambda_1) = \Lambda_1$, it also follows that in the above instance, gf(a) does not start with A. So $g(B^{rt}) = A^r$. Hence $g(B) = A^{1/t}$ and gf is the identity map on $\Gamma_1 \overline{\Lambda_1}$. Let $D \in \Gamma_2 \overline{\Lambda_2}$ Then D appears in b_j for some $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Since $f(a_j) = b_j$ it follows that b_j and hence D is an element of the semigroup generated by $f(\overline{\Gamma_1 \setminus \Lambda_1})$ and Λ_2 . So $D \in f(\overline{\Gamma_1 \setminus \Lambda_1})$. Hence $f(\overline{\Gamma_1 \setminus \Lambda_1}) = \overline{\Gamma_2 \setminus \Lambda_2}$. So if f_2 is the restriction of f to $\overline{\Gamma_1 \setminus A_1}$, then $f_2: \overline{\Gamma_1 \setminus A_1} \to \overline{\Gamma_2 \setminus A_2}$ is a bijection. Also, it now follows that $f: \mathscr{F}_{R}(\Gamma_{1} | \Lambda_{1}) \to \mathscr{F}_{R}(\Gamma_{2} | \Lambda_{2})$ is a bijec-Since gf is the identity map on Λ_1 and $\overline{\Gamma_1 \setminus \Lambda_1}$, it is the identity tion. map on $\mathscr{F}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Gamma_1|A_1)$. So $g = f^{-1}$. If $g': \mu \leq \nu$, then the above argument shows that $g' = f^{-1} = g$. So g is unique. Similarly f is unique.

THEOREM 2.23. Let \mathscr{W} be a generalized word equation and $\mu = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ a principal solution of \mathscr{W} with alphabet $\Gamma | \Lambda$. Then for each $A \in \Gamma$, there exist $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that b_i starts with A and b_j ends with A.

Proof. By Theorem 2.19, there exists a principal solution ν of

W satisfying (2.4) such that $f: \nu \leq \mu$ for some f. By Lemma 2.21, ν satisfies the dual of (2.4). Since μ is principal, there exists $g: \mu \leq \nu$. Thus f has the structure given by Lemma 2.22. It immediately follows that μ satisfies (2.4) and its dual.

Lemma 2.22 and Theorem 2.23 imply the following.

THEOREM 2.24. Let \mathscr{W} be a generalized word equation with principal solutions ν , μ having alphabets $\Gamma_1 | \Lambda_1, \Gamma_2 | \Lambda_2$, respectively. Suppose $f: \nu \leq \mu$. Then $f: \mathscr{F}_R(\Gamma_1 | \Lambda_1) \cong \mathscr{F}_R(\Gamma_2 | \Lambda_2)$ is a natural isomorphism. Moreover f is unique and $f^{-1}: \mu \leq \nu$. If f_1, f_2 are the restrictions of f to Λ_1 and $\overline{\Gamma_1 \setminus \Lambda_1}$ respectively, then $f_1: \Lambda_1 \to \Lambda_2$, $f_2: \overline{\Gamma_1 \setminus \Lambda_1} \to \overline{\Gamma_2 \setminus \Lambda_2}$ are bijections.

REMARK 2.25. Let ν, μ be solutions of a generalized word equation \mathscr{W} such that $\Gamma_1 | \Lambda_1, \Gamma_2 | \Lambda_2$ are the alphabets of ν, μ , respectively. Suppose $f: \nu \leq \mu, g: \mu \leq \nu$. In free semigroups (i.e., if $\Gamma_1 = \Lambda_1, \Gamma_2 = \Lambda_2$) this implies that f, g are unique and $g = f^{-1}$. However, this is not true in general. In fact consider the word equation $\{X, X\}$. Let $\mu = (AB^{1/2})$ with alphabet $\{A, B\}|\{A\}$. Then $f: \mu \leq \mu$ where $f(A) = AB^{1/4}$ and $f(B) = B^{1/2}$. Also $I:_{/}\mu \leq \mu$ where I(A) = Aand I(B) = B.

THEOREM 2.26. Let μ, ν be solutions of a generalized word equation \mathcal{W} . Suppose ν is principal and $g: \nu \leq \mu$. Then g is unique.

Proof. By Theorem 2.19 there exists a principal solution δ of \mathscr{W} , $f: \delta \leq \mu$ such that f is unique and $\delta \in \mathscr{S}$. Since $\delta \in \mathscr{S}$ and ν is principal, $\nu \approx \mu$. By Theorem 2.25, there exists h such that $h: \delta \leq \nu, h^{-1}: \nu \leq \delta$. Then $gh: \delta \leq \mu$. By uniqueness of f, gh = f. So $g = fh^{-1}$. Similarly if $g': \nu \leq \mu$, then $g' = fh^{-1}$. So g is unique.

EXAMPLE 2.27. Theorem 2.26 is not true without the assumption that ν is principal. To see this let $\mathscr{W} = \{X, X\}$. Let $\nu = (AB)$, $\mu = (AB^2)$ in alphabet $\{A, B\}$. Let $f: \mathscr{F}(A, B) \to \mathscr{F}(A, B)$ be given by f(A) = AB, f(B) = B. Let $g: \mathscr{F}(A, B) \to \mathscr{F}(A, B)$ be given by $g(A) = A, g(B) = B^2$. Then $f: \nu \leq \mu, g: \nu \leq \mu$, but $f \neq g$.

DEFINITION 2.28. Let \mathscr{W} be a generalized word equation and $\mu = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ a solution of \mathscr{W} . Then μ is integral if $b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathscr{F}_q(\Gamma)$ for some Γ . μ is rational if $b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathscr{F}_q(\Gamma)$ for some Γ .

OBSERVATION 2.29. Let μ, ν be solutions of a generalized word

equation \mathcal{W} such that $\nu \leq \mu$ and μ is integral. Then ν is integral.

THEOREM 2.30. Let μ, ν be solutions of a generalized word equation \mathscr{W} such that $\nu \leq \mu, \mu$ is rational and ν is principal. Then ν is integral.

Proof. Let $\mu = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ with alphabet $\Gamma | \Lambda$. Then $b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathscr{F}_Q(\Gamma)$. It follows that there exists a natural automorphism ϕ of $\mathscr{F}_R(\Gamma | \Lambda)$ such that ϕ restricted to $\mathscr{F}(\Lambda)$ is the identity map, and $\phi(b_1), \dots, \phi(b_n) \in \mathscr{F}(\Lambda)$. Let $a_i = \phi(b_i), i = 1, \dots, n$. Then $\mu_1 = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is an integral solution of \mathscr{W} and $\phi^{-1}: \mu_1 \leq \mu$. By Theorem 2.19, there exists a principal solution ν_1 of \mathscr{W} such that $\nu_1 \leq \mu$. By Observation 2.29, ν_1 is integral. Since $\nu_1 \leq \mu, \nu \leq \mu$, Corollary 2.20 implies that $\nu \approx \nu_1$. Again by Observation 2.29, ν is integral.

COROLLARY 2.31. Let μ be a rational, principal solution of a generalized word equation \mathcal{W} . Then μ is integral.

3. Rank of a word equation. We now study the maximum of the cardinalities of the alphabets of the principal solutions of a generalized word equation. For word equations in free semigroups, this number has been studied in some detail by Lentin [2] and others.

DEFINITION 3.1. Let \mathscr{W} be a generalized word equation and let μ be a solution of \mathscr{W} having alphabet $\Gamma \mid \Lambda$. Then rank of $\mu, R(\mu) = \mid \Gamma \mid$, the number of elements in Γ . Rank of $\mathscr{W}, R(\mathscr{W}) =$ Sup. $\{R(\nu) \mid \nu$ is a principal solution of $\mathscr{W}\}$. The integral rank of $\mathscr{W}, I.R(\mathscr{W}) =$ Sup. $\{R(\nu) \mid \nu$ is an integral principal solution of $\mathscr{W}\}$. We take Sup. \varnothing to mean 0.

Let \mathscr{W} be a generalized word equation and μ a principal solution of \mathscr{W} . Consider the following property of μ :

(3.2) There exists an integral principal solution ν of \mathscr{W} such that $R(\nu) \ge R(\mu)$.

Following a procedure similar to that of $\S 2$ one can show that every principal solution of a generalized word equation satisfies (3.2). The following theorem then follows,

THEOREM 3.3. Let \mathscr{W} be a generalized word equation. Then $R(\mathscr{W}) = I \cdot R(\mathscr{W})$.

The following theorems can also be proved in a similar manner.

THEOREM 3.4. Let $\mathscr{W} = \{w_1w_2; T_1, \dots, T_s\}$ be a generalized word equation with r free variables. Then $R(\mathscr{W}) \leq r + s$.

THEOREM 3.5. Let $\mathscr{W} = \{w_1, w_2; T_1, \dots, T_s\}$ be a generalized word equation in variables X_1, \dots, X_n such that $w_1 \neq w_2$. Then $R(\mathscr{W}) \leq n-1$.

References

1. A. Lentin, Equations dans les monoïdes libres, Gauthier-Villars Paris, (1972).

2. _____, Problèmes posés en théorie des permutations par la rèsolution des equations bipermutationnelles dans les monoïdes libres, in: Permutations, Actes du Colloque tenu à Paris en juillet 1972 (Gauthier-Villars, Paris et Mouton, La Haye, 1974), 121-133.

3. E. S. Ljapin, *Semigroups*, Amer. Math. Soc. Translations 1963; translation of Polugruppy (1960).

4. M. S. Putcha, Word equations of paths, J. Algebra, (to appear).

5. _____, Word equations in some geometric semigroups, Pacific J. Math., 75 (1978), 243-266.

Received February 3, 1978 and in revised form September 1, 1978.

NORTH CAROLINA STATE UNIVERSITY RALEIGH, NC 27650

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

HUGO ROSSI

University of Utah Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ANDREW OGG University of California Berkeley, CA 94720 J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

R. FINN AND J. MILGRAM Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH	B. H. NEUMANN	F. Wolf	K. Yoshida
------------------	---------------	---------	------------

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Pacific Journal of MathematicsVol. 83, No. 1March, 1979

infinite-dimensional simple Lie algebras2R. P. Boas and Gerald Thomas Cargo, Level sets of derivatives3James K. Deveney and John Nelson Mordeson, Splitting and modularly perfect fields4Robert Hugh Gilman and Ronald Mark Solomon, Finite groups with small unbalancing 2-components4Robert Hugh Gilman and Ronald Mark Solomon, Finite groups with small unbalancing 2-components5George Grätzer, Andras Hajnal and David C. Kelly, Chain conditions in free products of lattices with infinitary operations10Benjamin Rigler Halpern, Periodic points on tori11Dean G. Hoffman and David Anthony Klarner, Sets of integers closed under affine operators—the finite basis theorem13Rudolf-Eberhard Hoffmann, On the sobrification remainder ${}^{s}X - X$ 14Gerald William Johnson and David Lee Skoug, Scale-invariant measurability in Wiener space15Michael Keisler, Integral representation for elements of the dual of $ba(S, \Sigma)$ 17Wayne C. Bell and Michael Keisler, A characterization of the representable Lebesgue decomposition projections18R. Daniel Mauldin, The set of continuous nowhere differential equations18R. Daniel Mauldin, The set of continuous nowhere differentiable functions22William Otis Nowell, Tubular neighborhoods of Hilbert cube manifolds23Mohan S. Putcha, Generalization of Lentin's theory of principal solutions of word equations in free semigroups to free product of opties of positive regular extensions of von Neumann algebras23Mohan S. Putcha, Generalization of Lentin's theory of principal solutions of word equations in free semigroups to free p	Richard Neal Ball, <i>Topological lattice-ordered groups</i>	1
R. P. Boas and Gerald Thomas Cargo, Level sets of derivatives3James K. Deveney and John Nelson Mordeson, Splitting and modularly perfect fields4Robert Hugh Gilman and Ronald Mark Solomon, Finite groups with small unbalancing 2-components5George Grätzer, Andras Hajnal and David C. Kelly, Chain conditions in free products of lattices with infinitary operations10Benjamin Rigler Halpern, Periodic points on tori11Dean G. Hoffman and David Anthony Klarner, Sets of integers closed under affine operators—the finite basis theorem13Rudolf-Eberhard Hoffmann, On the sobrification remainder ${}^{s}X - X$ 14Gerald William Johnson and David Lee Skoug, Scale-invariant measurability in Wiener space15Michael Keisler, Integral representation for elements of the dual of $ba(S, \Sigma)$ 17Wayne C. Bell and Michael Keisler, A characterization of the representable Lebesgue decomposition projections18R. Daniel Mauldin, The set of continuous nowhere differentiable functions20Yoshiomi Nakagami and Colin Eric Sutherland, Takesaki's duality for regular extensions of von Neumann algebras22William Otis Nowell, Tubular neighborhoods of Hilbert cube manifolds23Mohan S. Putcha, Generalization of Lentin's theory of principal solutions of word equations in free semigroups to free product of capies of positive reals under addition25Amitai Regev, A primeness property for central polynomidls26Saburou Saitoh, The Rudin kernels on an arbitrary domain Heinrich Steinlein, Some abstract generalizations of the27	Stephen Berman, On the low-dimensional cohomology of some	27
James K. Deveney and John Nelson Mordeson, Splitting and modularly perfect fields4Robert Hugh Gilman and Ronald Mark Solomon, Finite groups with small unbalancing 2-components5George Grätzer, Andras Hajnal and David C. Kelly, Chain conditions in free products of lattices with infinitary operations10Benjamin Rigler Halpern, Periodic points on tori11Dean G. Hoffman and David Anthony Klarner, Sets of integers closed under affine operators—the finite basis theorem13Rudolf-Eberhard Hoffmann, On the sobrification remainder ${}^{s}X - X$ 14Gerald William Johnson and David Lee Skoug, Scale-invariant measurability in Wiener space15Michael Keisler, Integral representation for elements of the dual of $ba(S, \Sigma)$ 17Wayne C. Bell and Michael Keisler, A characterization of the representable Lebesgue decomposition projections18Robert Wilmer Miller and Mark Lawrence Teply, The descending chain condition relative to a torsion theory20Yoshiomi Nakagami and Colin Eric Sutherland, Takesaki's duality for regular extensions of von Neumann algebras22William Otis Nowell, Tubular neighborhoods of Hilbert cube manifolds23Mohan S. Putcha, Generalization of Lentin's theory of principal solutions of word equations in free semigroups to free product of copies of positive reals under addition26Saburou Saitoh, The Rudin kernels on an arbitrary domain Heinrich Steinlein, Some abstract generalizations of the27		27
perfect fields 4 Robert Hugh Gilman and Ronald Mark Solomon, Finite groups with small unbalancing 2-components 5 George Grätzer, Andras Hajnal and David C. Kelly, Chain conditions in free products of lattices with infinitary operations 10 Benjamin Rigler Halpern, Periodic points on tori 11 Dean G. Hoffman and David Anthony Klarner, Sets of integers closed under affine operators—the finite basis theorem 13 Rudolf-Eberhard Hoffmann, On the sobrification remainder ⁵ X – X 14 Gerald William Johnson and David Lee Skoug, Scale-invariant measurability in Wiener space 15 Michael Keisler, Integral representation for elements of the dual of ba(S, Σ) 17 Wayne C. Bell and Michael Keisler, A characterization of the representable Lebesgue decomposition projections 18 R. Daniel Mauldin, The set of continuous nowhere differential equations 18 Robert Wilmer Miller and Mark Lawrence Teply, The descending chain condition relative to a torsion theory 20 Yoshiomi Nakagami and Colin Eric Sutherland, Takesaki's duality for regular extensions of yon Neumann algebras 22 William Otis Nowell, Tubular neighborhoods of Hilbert cube nanifolds 23 Mohan S. Putcha, Generalization of Lentin's theory of principal solutions of word equations in free semigroups to free product of copies of positive reals under addition 25 Amitai Regev, A pri	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	37
Robert Hugh Gilman and Ronald Mark Solomon, Finite groups with small unbalancing 2-components.5George Grätzer, Andras Hajnal and David C. Kelly, Chain conditions in free products of lattices with infinitary operations.10Benjamin Rigler Halpern, Periodic points on tori11Dean G. Hoffman and David Anthony Klarner, Sets of integers closed under affine operators—the finite basis theorem13Rudolf-Eberhard Hoffmann, On the sobrification remainder ${}^{S}X - X$ 14Gerald William Johnson and David Lee Skoug, Scale-invariant measurability in Wiener space15Michael Keisler, Integral representation for elements of the dual of $ba(S, \Sigma)$ 17Wayne C. Bell and Michael Keisler, A characterization of the representable Lebesgue decomposition projections18R. Daniel Mauldin, The set of continuous nowhere differential equations19Robert Wilmer Miller and Mark Lawrence Teply, The descending chain condition relative to a torsion theory20Yoshiomi Nakagami and Colin Eric Sutherland, Takesaki's duality for regular extensions of von Neumann algebras22William Otis Nowell, Tubular neighborhoods of Hilbert cube manifolds23Mohan S. Putcha, Generalization of Lentin's theory of principal solutions of word equations in free semigroups to free product of copies of positive reals under addition25Amitai Regev, A primeness property for central polynomials26Saburou Saitoh, The Rudin kernels on an arbitrary domain27Heinrich Steinlein, Some abstract generalizations of the27	•	
unbalancing 2-components5George Grätzer, Andras Hajnal and David C. Kelly, Chain conditions in free products of lattices with infinitary operations10Benjamin Rigler Halpern, Periodic points on tori11Dean G. Hoffman and David Anthony Klarner, Sets of integers closed under affine operators—the finite basis theorem13Rudolf-Eberhard Hoffmann, On the sobrification remainder ${}^s X - X$ 14Gerald William Johnson and David Lee Skoug, Scale-invariant measurability in Wiener space15Michael Keisler, Integral representation for elements of the dual of $ba(S, \Sigma)$ 17Wayne C. Bell and Michael Keisler, A characterization of the representable Lebesgue decomposition projections18R. Daniel Mauldin, The set of continuous nowhere differential equations18R. Daniel Mauldin, The set of continuous nowhere differential equations20Yoshiomi Nakagami and Colin Eric Sutherland, Takesaki's duality for regular extensions of von Neumann algebras22William Otis Nowell, Tubular neighborhoods of Hilbert cube manifolds23Mohan S. Putcha, Generalization of Lentin's theory of principal solutions of word equations in free semigroups to free product of copies of positive reals under addition25Amitai Regev, A primeness property for central polynomids26Saburou Saitoh, The Rudin kernels on an arbitrary domain Heinrich Steinlein, Some abstract generalizations of the27		45
George Grätzer, Andras Hajnal and David C. Kelly, Chain conditions in free products of lattices with infinitary operations.10Benjamin Rigler Halpern, Periodic points on tori11Dean G. Hoffman and David Anthony Klarner, Sets of integers closed under affine operators—the finite basis theorem13Rudolf-Eberhard Hoffmann, On the sobrification remainder ${}^{s}X - X$ 14Gerald William Johnson and David Lee Skoug, Scale-invariant measurability in Wiener space15Michael Keisler, Integral representation for elements of the dual of ba(S, Σ)17Wayne C. Bell and Michael Keisler, A characterization of the representable Lebesgue decomposition projections18R. Daniel Mauldin, The set of continuous nowhere differential equations18R. Daniel Mauldin, The set of continuous nowhere differentiable functions20Yoshiomi Nakagami and Colin Eric Sutherland, Takesaki's duality for regular extensions of von Neumann algebras22William Otis Nowell, Tubular neighborhoods of Hilbert cube manifolds23Mohan S. Putcha, Generalization of Lentin's theory of principal solutions of word equations in free semigroups to free product of copies of positive reals under addition25Amitai Regev, A primeness property for central polynomids26Saburou Saitoh, The Rudin kernels on an arbitrary domain27		
products of lattices with infinitary operations10Benjamin Rigler Halpern, Periodic points on tori11Dean G. Hoffman and David Anthony Klarner, Sets of integers closed under affine operators—the finite basis theorem13Rudolf-Eberhard Hoffmann, On the sobrification remainder ${}^{s}X - X$ 14Gerald William Johnson and David Lee Skoug, Scale-invariant measurability in Wiener space15Michael Keisler, Integral representation for elements of the dual of $ba(S, \Sigma)$ 17Wayne C. Bell and Michael Keisler, A characterization of the representable Lebesgue decomposition projections18R. Daniel Mauldin, The set of continuous nowhere differential equations18Robert Wilmer Miller and Mark Lawrence Teply, The descending chain condition relative to a torsion theory20Yoshiomi Nakagami and Colin Eric Sutherland, Takesaki's duality for regular extensions of von Neumann algebras22William Otis Nowell, Tubular neighborhoods of Hilbert cube manifolds23Mohan S. Putcha, Generalization of Lentin's theory of principal solutions of word equations in free semigroups to free product of copies of positive reals under addition25Amitai Regev, A primeness property for central polynomids26Saburou Saitoh, The Rudin kernels on an arbitrary domain27		55
Benjamin Rigler Halpern, Periodic points on tori11Dean G. Hoffman and David Anthony Klarner, Sets of integers closed under affine operators—the finite basis theorem13Rudolf-Eberhard Hoffmann, On the sobrification remainder ${}^{s}X - X$ 14Gerald William Johnson and David Lee Skoug, Scale-invariant measurability in Wiener space15Michael Keisler, Integral representation for elements of the dual of $ba(S, \Sigma)$ 17Wayne C. Bell and Michael Keisler, A characterization of the representable Lebesgue decomposition projections18R. Daniel Mauldin, The set of continuous nowhere differential equations19Robert Wilmer Miller and Mark Lawrence Teply, The descending chain condition relative to a torsion theory20Yoshiomi Nakagami and Colin Eric Sutherland, Takesaki's duality for regular extensions of von Neumann algebras22William Otis Nowell, Tubular neighborhoods of Hilbert cube manifolds23Mohan S. Putcha, Generalization of Lentin's theory of principal solutions of word equations in free semigroups to free product of copies of positive reals under addition25Amitai Regev, A primeness property for central polynomials26Saburou Saitoh, The Rudin kernels on an arbitrary domain27Heinrich Steinlein, Some abstract generalizations of the27		
Dean G. Hoffman and David Anthony Klarner, Sets of integers closed under affine operators—the finite basis theorem13Rudolf-Eberhard Hoffmann, On the sobrification remainder ${}^{s}X - X$ 14Gerald William Johnson and David Lee Skoug, Scale-invariant measurability in Wiener space15Michael Keisler, Integral representation for elements of the dual of $ba(S, \Sigma)$ 17Wayne C. Bell and Michael Keisler, A characterization of the representable Lebesgue decomposition projections18Wadi Mahfoud, Comparison theorems for delay differential equations18R. Daniel Mauldin, The set of continuous nowhere differentiable functions19Robert Wilmer Miller and Mark Lawrence Teply, The descending chain condition relative to a torsion theory20Yoshiomi Nakagami and Colin Eric Sutherland, Takesaki's duality for regular extensions of von Neumann algebras22William Otis Nowell, Tubular neighborhoods of Hilbert cube manifolds23Mohan S. Putcha, Generalization of Lentin's theory of principal solutions af word equations in free semigroups to free product of copies of positive reals under addition25Amitai Regev, A primeness property for central polynomials26Saburou Saitoh, The Rudin kernels on an arbitrary domain27Heinrich Steinlein, Some abstract generalizations of the27		107
affine operators—the finite basis theorem 13 Rudolf-Eberhard Hoffmann, On the sobrification remainder ^s X - X 14 Gerald William Johnson and David Lee Skoug, Scale-invariant 14 Gerald William Johnson and David Lee Skoug, Scale-invariant 15 Michael Keisler, Integral representation for elements of the dual of 16 ba(S, Σ) 17 Wayne C. Bell and Michael Keisler, A characterization of the representable 18 Lebesgue decomposition projections 18 Wadi Mahfoud, Comparison theorems for delay differential equations 19 Robert Wilmer Miller and Mark Lawrence Teply, The descending chain 20 Yoshiomi Nakagami and Colin Eric Sutherland, Takesaki's duality for 22 William Otis Nowell, Tubular neighborhoods of Hilbert cube manifolds 23 Mohan S. Putcha, Generalization of Lentin's theory of principal solutions of 25 Amitai Regev, A primeness property for central polynomicds 26 Saburou Saitoh, The Rudin kernels on an arbitrary domain 27 Heinrich Steinlein, Some abstract generalizations of the 27	· · · ·	117
Rudolf-Eberhard Hoffmann, On the sobrification remainder ^s X - X 14 Gerald William Johnson and David Lee Skoug, Scale-invariant 15 Michael Keisler, Integral representation for elements of the dual of 15 Michael Keisler, Integral representation for elements of the dual of 17 Wayne C. Bell and Michael Keisler, A characterization of the representable 18 Lebesgue decomposition projections 18 Wadi Mahfoud, Comparison theorems for delay differential equations 18 R. Daniel Mauldin, The set of continuous nowhere differentialle 19 Robert Wilmer Miller and Mark Lawrence Teply, The descending chain 20 Yoshiomi Nakagami and Colin Eric Sutherland, Takesaki's duality for 22 William Otis Nowell, Tubular neighborhoods of Hilbert cube manifolds 23 Mohan S. Putcha, Generalization of Lentin's theory of principal solutions of 25 Amitai Regev, A primeness property for central polynomials 26 Saburou Saitoh, The Rudin kernels on an arbitrary domain 27 Heinrich Steinlein, Some abstract generalizations of the 27	Dean G. Hoffman and David Anthony Klarner, Sets of integers closed under	
Gerald William Johnson and David Lee Skoug, Scale-invariant 15 Michael Keisler, Integral representation for elements of the dual of 15 Michael Keisler, Integral representation for elements of the dual of 17 Wayne C. Bell and Michael Keisler, A characterization of the representable 18 Lebesgue decomposition projections 18 Wadi Mahfoud, Comparison theorems for delay differential equations 18 R. Daniel Mauldin, The set of continuous nowhere differentiable 19 Robert Wilmer Miller and Mark Lawrence Teply, The descending chain 20 Yoshiomi Nakagami and Colin Eric Sutherland, Takesaki's duality for 21 Yeiliam Otis Nowell, Tubular neighborhoods of Hilbert cube manifolds 23 Mohan S. Putcha, Generalization of Lentin's theory of principal solutions of 25 Amitai Regev, A primeness property for central polynomials 26 Saburou Saitoh, The Rudin kernels on an arbitrary domain 27 Heinrich Steinlein, Some abstract generalizations of the 27	affine operators—the finite basis theorem	135
measurability in Wiener space 15 Michael Keisler, Integral representation for elements of the dual of ba(S, Σ) 17 Wayne C. Bell and Michael Keisler, A characterization of the representable Lebesgue decomposition projections 18 Wadi Mahfoud, Comparison theorems for delay differential equations 18 R. Daniel Mauldin, The set of continuous nowhere differentiable functions 19 Robert Wilmer Miller and Mark Lawrence Teply, The descending chain condition relative to a torsion theory 20 Yoshiomi Nakagami and Colin Eric Sutherland, Takesaki's duality for regular extensions of von Neumann algebras 22 William Otis Nowell, Tubular neighborhoods of Hilbert cube manifolds 23 Mohan S. Putcha, Generalization of Lentin's theory of principal solutions of word equations in free semigroups to free product of copies of positive reals under addition 25 Amitai Regev, A primeness property for central polynomials 26 Saburou Saitoh, The Rudin kernels on an arbitrary domain 27 Heinrich Steinlein, Some abstract generalizations of the 27	Rudolf-Eberhard Hoffmann, On the sobrification remainder ${}^{s}X - X \dots$	145
Michael Keisler, Integral representation for elements of the dual of ba(S, Σ)	Gerald William Johnson and David Lee Skoug, Scale-invariant	
ba(S, Σ)17Wayne C. Bell and Michael Keisler, A characterization of the representable Lebesgue decomposition projections18Wadi Mahfoud, Comparison theorems for delay differential equations18R. Daniel Mauldin, The set of continuous nowhere differentiable functions19Robert Wilmer Miller and Mark Lawrence Teply, The descending chain condition relative to a torsion theory20Yoshiomi Nakagami and Colin Eric Sutherland, Takesaki's duality for regular extensions of von Neumann algebras22William Otis Nowell, Tubular neighborhoods of Hilbert cube manifolds23Mohan S. Putcha, Generalization of Lentin's theory of principal solutions of word equations in free semigroups to free product of copies of positive reals under addition25Amitai Regev, A primeness property for central polynomials26Saburou Saitoh, The Rudin kernels on an arbitrary domain27Heinrich Steinlein, Some abstract generalizations of the27	measurability in Wiener space	157
Wayne C. Bell and Michael Keisler, A characterization of the representable Lebesgue decomposition projections 18 Wadi Mahfoud, Comparison theorems for delay differential equations 18 R. Daniel Mauldin, The set of continuous nowhere differentiable functions 19 Robert Wilmer Miller and Mark Lawrence Teply, The descending chain condition relative to a torsion theory 20 Yoshiomi Nakagami and Colin Eric Sutherland, Takesaki's duality for regular extensions of von Neumann algebras 22 William Otis Nowell, Tubular neighborhoods of Hilbert cube manifolds 23 Mohan S. Putcha, Generalization of Lentin's theory of principal solutions of word equations in free semigroups to free product of copies of positive reals under addition 25 Amitai Regev, A primeness property for central polynomials 26 Saburou Saitoh, The Rudin kernels on an arbitrary domain 27 Heinrich Steinlein, Some abstract generalizations of the 27	Michael Keisler, Integral representation for elements of the dual of	
Lebesgue decomposition projections18Wadi Mahfoud, Comparison theorems for delay differential equations18R. Daniel Mauldin, The set of continuous nowhere differentiable19functions19Robert Wilmer Miller and Mark Lawrence Teply, The descending chain20Yoshiomi Nakagami and Colin Eric Sutherland, Takesaki's duality for20Yoshiomi Nakagami and Colin Eric Sutherland, Takesaki's duality for22William Otis Nowell, Tubular neighborhoods of Hilbert cube manifolds23Mohan S. Putcha, Generalization of Lentin's theory of principal solutions of word equations in free semigroups to free product of copies of positive reals under addition25Amitai Regev, A primeness property for central polynomials26Saburou Saitoh, The Rudin kernels on an arbitrary domain27Heinrich Steinlein, Some abstract generalizations of the27	$ba(S, \Sigma)$	177
Wadi Mahfoud, Comparison theorems for delay differential equations18R. Daniel Mauldin, The set of continuous nowhere differentiable functions19Robert Wilmer Miller and Mark Lawrence Teply, The descending chain condition relative to a torsion theory20Yoshiomi Nakagami and Colin Eric Sutherland, Takesaki's duality for regular extensions of von Neumann algebras22William Otis Nowell, Tubular neighborhoods of Hilbert cube manifolds23Mohan S. Putcha, Generalization of Lentin's theory of principal solutions of word equations in free semigroups to free product of copies of positive reals under addition25Amitai Regev, A primeness property for central polynomials26Saburou Saitoh, The Rudin kernels on an arbitrary domain27	Wayne C. Bell and Michael Keisler, A characterization of the representable	
R. Daniel Mauldin, The set of continuous nowhere differentiable functions 19 Robert Wilmer Miller and Mark Lawrence Teply, The descending chain condition relative to a torsion theory 20 Yoshiomi Nakagami and Colin Eric Sutherland, Takesaki's duality for regular extensions of von Neumann algebras 22 William Otis Nowell, Tubular neighborhoods of Hilbert cube manifolds 23 Mohan S. Putcha, Generalization of Lentin's theory of principal solutions of word equations in free semigroups to free product of copies of positive reals under addition 25 Amitai Regev, A primeness property for central polynomials 26 Saburou Saitoh, The Rudin kernels on an arbitrary domain 27 Heinrich Steinlein, Some abstract generalizations of the 27	Lebesgue decomposition projections	185
functions19Robert Wilmer Miller and Mark Lawrence Teply, The descending chain condition relative to a torsion theory20Yoshiomi Nakagami and Colin Eric Sutherland, Takesaki's duality for regular extensions of von Neumann algebras22William Otis Nowell, Tubular neighborhoods of Hilbert cube manifolds23Mohan S. Putcha, Generalization of Lentin's theory of principal solutions of word equations in free semigroups to free product of copies of positive reals under addition25Amitai Regev, A primeness property for central polynomials26Saburou Saitoh, The Rudin kernels on an arbitrary domain27Heinrich Steinlein, Some abstract generalizations of the27	Wadi Mahfoud, <i>Comparison theorems for delay differential equations</i>	187
Robert Wilmer Miller and Mark Lawrence Teply, The descending chain condition relative to a torsion theory 20 Yoshiomi Nakagami and Colin Eric Sutherland, Takesaki's duality for regular extensions of von Neumann algebras 22 William Otis Nowell, Tubular neighborhoods of Hilbert cube manifolds 23 Mohan S. Putcha, Generalization of Lentin's theory of principal solutions of word equations in free semigroups to free product of copies of positive reals under addition 25 Amitai Regev, A primeness property for central polynomials 26 Saburou Saitoh, The Rudin kernels on an arbitrary domain 27 Heinrich Steinlein, Some abstract generalizations of the 27	R. Daniel Mauldin, <i>The set of continuous nowhere differentiable</i>	
condition relative to a torsion theory20Yoshiomi Nakagami and Colin Eric Sutherland, Takesaki's duality for regular extensions of von Neumann algebras22William Otis Nowell, Tubular neighborhoods of Hilbert cube manifolds23Mohan S. Putcha, Generalization of Lentin's theory of principal solutions of word equations in free semigroups to free product of copies of positive reals under addition25Amitai Regev, A primeness property for central polynomials26Saburou Saitoh, The Rudin kernels on an arbitrary domain27Heinrich Steinlein, Some abstract generalizations of the27	functions	199
 Yoshiomi Nakagami and Colin Eric Sutherland, <i>Takesaki's duality for</i> regular extensions of von Neumann algebras	Robert Wilmer Miller and Mark Lawrence Teply, <i>The descending chain</i>	
regular extensions of von Neumann algebras22William Otis Nowell, Tubular neighborhoods of Hilbert cube manifolds23Mohan S. Putcha, Generalization of Lentin's theory of principal solutions of word equations in free semigroups to free product of copies of positive reals under addition25Amitai Regev, A primeness property for central polynomials26Saburou Saitoh, The Rudin kernels on an arbitrary domain27Heinrich Steinlein, Some abstract generalizations of the27		207
 William Otis Nowell, <i>Tubular neighborhoods of Hilbert cube manifolds</i> 23 Mohan S. Putcha, <i>Generalization of Lentin's theory of principal solutions of word equations in free semigroups to free product of copies of positive reals under addition</i>	Yoshiomi Nakagami and Colin Eric Sutherland, Takesaki's duality for	
 Mohan S. Putcha, Generalization of Lentin's theory of principal solutions of word equations in free semigroups to free product of copies of positive reals under addition	regular extensions of von Neumann algebras	221
 Mohan S. Putcha, Generalization of Lentin's theory of principal solutions of word equations in free semigroups to free product of copies of positive reals under addition	William Otis Nowell, <i>Tubular neighborhoods of Hilbert cube manifolds</i>	231
word equations in free semigroups to free product of copies of positive reals under addition25Amitai Regev, A primeness property for central polynomials26Saburou Saitoh, The Rudin kernels on an arbitrary domain27Heinrich Steinlein, Some abstract generalizations of the27		
reals under addition25Amitai Regev, A primeness property for central polynomials26Saburou Saitoh, The Rudin kernels on an arbitrary domain27Heinrich Steinlein, Some abstract generalizations of the		
Amitai Regev, A primeness property for central polynomials26Saburou Saitoh, The Rudin kernels on an arbitrary domain27Heinrich Steinlein, Some abstract generalizations of the		253
Saburou Saitoh, The Rudin kernels on an arbitrary domain27Heinrich Steinlein, Some abstract generalizations of the		269
Heinrich Steinlein, Some abstract generalizations of the		273
L_{μ}	Ljusternik-Schnirelmann-Borsuk covering theorem	285