Pacific Journal of Mathematics

CONTINUOUSLY VARYING PEAKING FUNCTIONS

John Erik Fornaess and Steven Krantz

Vol. 83, No. 2 April 1979

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Vol. 83, No. 2, 1979

CONTINUOUSLY VARYING PEAKING FUNCTIONS

JOHN ERIK FORNAESS AND STEVEN GEORGE KRANTZ

Let X be a compact metric space, $A \subseteqq C(X)$ a closed subalgebra. Let $\mathscr{P} \subseteqq X$ be the set of peak points for A. It is shown that there is a continuous function $\Phi: \mathscr{P} \rightarrow A$ such that $\Phi(x)$ peaks at x for all $x \in \mathscr{P}$.

0. Let X be a compact Hausdorff space, $C(X)$ the continuous functions on X under the uniform norm, and A a closed subspace of $C(X)$ containing 1. Let $\mathscr{P}$ be the set of peak points for A. Clearly if X has more than one point and $x \in \mathscr{P}$ then there are infinitely many functions in A which peak at x. Can one construct a function

$$\Phi: \mathscr{P} \longrightarrow A$$

so that $\Phi(x)$ peaks at x and Φ has some regularity properties?

In [4], using the von Neumann selection principle, it was shown that for $X = \bar{\mathscr{D}} \subset\subset C^n$ with smooth boundary, $A = A(\mathscr{D})$ (the analytic functions on $\mathscr{D}$ which extend continuously to $\bar{\mathscr{D}}$), one can choose Φ to be measurable. The same argument is valid under much more general circumstances.

In the present note we prove that, for quite general X and for A an algebra, Φ can be chosen to be continuous. This generalizes results in [1, Theorem 3.1] and [2, Proposition 4].

1. Throughout the discussion, X will be a fixed compact metric space with metric d. We let $C(X)$ denote the continuous, complex-valued functions on X with the uniform norm and $A \subseteqq C(X)$ will be a closed complex linear subspace. If $x \in X$, $r > 0$, then $B(x, r) = \{t \in X: d(x, t) < r\}$.

DEFINITION. A point $x \in X$ is said to be a *peak point* for A if there is an $f \in A$ with $f(x) = 1$ and, for all $y \in X \sim \{x\}$, $|f(y)| < 1$. The function f is said to peak at x.

We let $\mathscr{P}(A)$ denote the set of peak points for A.

THEOREM. *Let X be a compact metric space, $A \subseteqq C(X)$ a closed subalgebra (with or without 1). Then there is a continuous map*

$$\Phi: \mathscr{P}(A) \longrightarrow A$$

such that $\Phi(x)$ peaks at x for each $x \in \mathscr{P}(A)$.

The remainder of the paper is devoted to the proof of the theorem. We proceed via a sequence of lemmas. The plan of the proof is as follows.

For each $k \in \{1, 2, \cdots\}$ we will construct a continuous function

$$\Phi_k: \mathscr{P}(A) \longrightarrow A$$

such that for each $x \in \mathscr{P}(A)$ we have

(i) $\|\Phi_k(x)\| = 1$;

(ii) $[\Phi_k(x)](x) = 1$;

(iii) if $t \in X \sim B(x, 1/k)$ then $|[\Phi_k(x)](t)| \leqq 1 - 1/(k+2)$.

Once the $\{\Phi_k\}$ are constructed, the proof is immediate. For let $\Phi = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} 2^{-l}\Phi_l$. Then Φ is continuous and for each $x \in \mathscr{P}(A)$ we have $\Phi(x) \in A$ and $[\Phi(x)](x) = 1$. Moreover, if $t \neq x$ and $k > 1/d(x, t)$ then

$$\begin{aligned} |[\Phi(x)](t)| &\leqq \sum_{l \neq k} 2^{-l}|[\Phi_l(x)](t)| + |2^{-k}[\Phi_k(x)](t)| \\ &\leqq 1 - 2^{-k} + 2^{-k}(1 - 1/(k+2)) < 1 . \end{aligned}$$

So $\Phi(x)$ peaks at x. Thus it remains to construct the Φ_k.

LEMMA 1. *Let $x_0 \in \mathscr{P}(A)$. Let p be a strictly positive continuous function on X with $p(x_0) = 1$. Then there is an $f \in A$ with $f(x_0) = 1$ and $|f(x)| \leqq p(x)$ for all $x \in X$.*

Proof. This is a special case of Theorem 12.5 of Gamelin [3], p. 58.

COROLLARY 2. *With hypotheses as in Lemma 1, there is a $g \in A$ such that $g(x_0) = 1$, $|g(x)| < p(x)$ for all $x \in X \sim \{x_0\}$.*

Proof. Immediate.

LEMMA 3. *Let $x_0 \in \mathscr{P}(A)$. Let $\psi \in A$ peak at x_0. There is a map*

$$\Psi: \mathscr{P}(A) \cap \{|\psi(x)| > 1/2\} \longrightarrow A$$

so that

(i) *$\Psi(x)$ peaks at x for each $x \in \mathscr{P}(A) \cap \{|\psi(x)| > 1/2\}$,*

(ii) *$\Psi(x_0) = \psi$,*

(iii) *Ψ is continuous at x_0.*

Proof. For each $x \in \mathscr{P}(A) \sim \{x_0\}$ choose, by Corollary 2, a function $\varphi_x \in A$ such that $\varphi_x(x) = 1$ and

$$(*)\qquad |\varphi_x(t)| < \min\{(2 - |\psi(x)| - |\psi(t)|)/2(1 - |\psi(x)|), 1\} \quad \text{for all} \quad t \in X \sim \{x\} .$$

Now for each $x \in \mathscr{P}(A) \cap \{|\psi(x)| > 1/2\}$ we define

$$\Psi(x) = \begin{cases} [2(1-|\psi(x)|)\varphi_x + \overline{\operatorname{sgn}\psi(x)}\psi]/[2-|\psi(x)|] & \text{if} \quad x \neq x_0, |\psi(x)| > 1/2 , \\ \psi & \text{if} \quad x = x_0 . \end{cases}$$

Here $\operatorname{sgn} z \equiv z/|z|$, any $z \in \boldsymbol{C} \sim \{0\}$.

Clearly if $x \neq x_0$ and x is sufficiently close to x_0 then $|\psi(x)| > 1/2$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Psi(x) - \psi\| &\leqq \|\Psi(x) - \overline{\operatorname{sgn}\psi(x)}\cdot\psi\| + \|\overline{\operatorname{sgn}\psi(x)}\cdot\psi - \psi\| \\ &\leqq \|[2(1-|\psi(x)|)\varphi_x + \overline{\operatorname{sgn}\psi(x)}\cdot\psi]/[2-|\psi(x)|] - \overline{\operatorname{sgn}\psi(x)}\cdot\psi\| \\ &\quad + \|\psi(1 - \overline{\operatorname{sgn}\psi(x)})\| \\ &\leqq \{[2(1-|\psi(x)|)\,\|\varphi_x - \overline{\operatorname{sgn}\psi(x)}\cdot\psi\| \\ &\quad + (1-|\psi(x)|)\,\|\overline{\operatorname{sgn}\psi(x)}\cdot\psi\|]\}/[2-|\psi(x)|] + |1 - \overline{\operatorname{sgn}\psi(x)}| \\ &\leqq 5(1-|\psi(x)|) + |1 - \overline{\operatorname{sgn}\psi(x)}| \\ &\quad \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad x \longrightarrow x_0 . \end{aligned}$$

It remains to verify that $\Psi(x)$ peaks at x when $|\psi(x)| > 1/2$. For such x, we have $[\Psi(x)](x) = 1$. Further, if $t \neq x$ then by (*) we have

$$2(1 - |\psi(x)|)\,|\varphi_x(t)| < 2 - |\psi(x)| - |\psi(t)|$$

or

$$|2(1 - |\psi(x)|)\varphi_x(t)| + |\psi(t)| < 2 - |\psi(x)|$$

whence

$$|2(1 - |\psi(x)|)\varphi_x(t) + \overline{\operatorname{sgn}\psi(x)}\psi(t)| < 2 - |\psi(x)|$$

or

$$|[\Psi(x)](t)| < 1 .$$

LEMMA 4. *Fix a positive integer k. There is a sequence $\{\Phi_k^j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ of functions,*

$$\Phi_k^j\colon \mathscr{P}(A) \longrightarrow A$$

satisfying, for each $z \in \mathscr{P}(A)$ and every j,

(i) $\|\Phi_k^j(x)\| = 1$;

(ii) $[\Phi_k^j(x)](x) = 1$;

(iii) $\limsup_{\mathscr{P}(A) \ni y \to x} \|\Phi_k^j(x) - \Phi_k^j(y)\| \leqq 4^{-j}\cdot(1/k)$;

(iv) *for every* $t \in X \sim B(x, (1 - 2^{-j}) \cdot (1/k))$,

$$|[\Phi_k^j(x)](t)| \leqq (1 - 2/(k + 2)) + \sum_{i=1}^{j} 2^{-i} \cdot (1/(k + 2)) ;$$

(v) $||\Phi_k^j(x) - \Phi_k^{j-1}(x)|| \leqq 2^{-j} \cdot (1/k),\ j \geqq 2$.

Proof. This lemma is the heart of the matter. We construct the Φ_k^j inductively on j. First consider $j = 1$. For each $x \in \mathscr{P}(A)$ construct, by Lemma 1, a function $\varphi_x \in A$ which satisfies $\varphi_x(x) = 1$ and

$$|\varphi_x(t)| \leqq \min\{1 - 8kd(x, t)/(k + 2), 1 - 2/(k + 2)\} .$$

Using $\psi = \varphi_x$, construct a function

$$(*) \qquad \Psi_x^1\colon \mathscr{P}(A) \cap \{|\psi(x)| > 1/2\} \longrightarrow A$$

satisfying the conclusions of Lemma 3. Choose r_x^1, $0 < r_x^1 < 1/4k$ so that $t \in B(x, r_x^1)$ implies that $|\varphi_x(t)| > 1/2$ and

$$||\Psi_x^1(x) - \Psi_x^1(t)|| < 4^{-2} \cdot (1/(k + 2)) .$$

Now observe that if $y \in B(x, r_x^1)$ and $t \notin B(y, 1/2k)$ then

$$d(x, t) \geqq d(y, t) - d(y, x) \geqq 1/4k .$$

Therefore for such y, t we have

$$\begin{aligned} |[\Psi_x^1(y)](t)| &\leqq |[\Psi_x^1(x)(t)]| + |[\Psi_x^1(x)](t) - [\Psi_x^1(y)](t)| \\ (**) \qquad &\leqq |\varphi_x(t)| + 4^{-2} \cdot (1/(k + 2)) \\ &\leqq (1 - 2/(k + 2)) + 2^{-1} \cdot (1/(k + 2)) . \end{aligned}$$

Now since $\mathscr{P}(A)$ is a metric space, it is paracompact ([5], p. 160, Cor. 35). Hence there is a locally finite refinement $\mathscr{U}^1 = \{U_\omega^1\}_{\omega \in \Omega_1}$ of the covering $\{B(x, r_x^1)\}_{x \in \mathscr{P}(A)}$ of $\mathscr{P}(A)$. Let x_ω, $\omega \in \Omega_1$, be chosen so that $U_\omega^1 \subseteq B(x_\omega, r_\omega^1)$. Let B_ω^1 denote $B(x_\omega, r_{x_\omega}^1)$. We may assume that $\bar{U}_\omega^1 \subseteqq B_\omega^1$. Let $\{\chi_\omega^1\}$ be a continuous partition of unity subordinary to $\mathscr{U}^1$ and define

$$\Phi_k^1 = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \chi_\omega^1 \Psi_{x_\omega}^1 .$$

Then conclusions (i) and (ii) are immediate. Conclusion (iv) follows from (**). Conclusion (v) is vacuous for $j = 1$. It remains to verify (iii).

Fix $x \in \mathscr{P}(A)$. Then there is a neighborhood W of x and $\{\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_m\} \subseteqq \Omega_1$ so that $W \cap \operatorname{supp} \chi_\omega \neq 0$ only if $\omega \in \{\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_m\}$. Of course m may depend on x. Letting x_i denote x_{ω_i}, $i = 1, \cdots, m$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\limsup_{\mathscr{P}(A)\ni y\to x}||\Phi_k^1(x)-\Phi_k^1(y)|| &\leqq \sum_{i=1}^m \limsup_{\mathscr{P}(A)\cap W\ni y\to x}|\chi_{\omega_i}^1(x)-\chi_{\omega_i}^1(y)|\,||\Psi_{x_i}(y)|| \\ &\quad+\sum_{i=1}^m \chi_{\omega_i}^1(x)\limsup_{\mathscr{P}(A)\cap W\ni y\to x}||\Psi_{x_i}^1(x)-\Psi_{x_i}^1(y)|| \\ &\leqq 0+\sum_{i=1}^m \chi_{\omega_i}^1(x)\limsup_{\mathscr{P}(A)\cap B_{\omega_i}\ni y\to x}||\Psi_{x_i}^1(x)-\Psi_{x_i}^1(x_i)|| \\ &\quad+\sum_{i=1}^m \chi_{\omega_i}^1(x)\limsup_{\mathscr{P}(A)\cap B_{\omega_i}\ni y\to x}||\Psi_{x_i}^1(x_i)-\Psi_{x_i}^1(y)|| \\ &\leqq 2\cdot 4^{-2}/(k+2)\leqq 4^{-1}\cdot(1/k)\,.\end{aligned}$$

Now suppose that $\Phi_k^1, \cdots, \Phi_k^j$ have been constructed so that (i)-(v) are satisfied. Let $x\in\mathscr{P}(A)$. Using $\psi=\Phi_k^j(x)$, we construct a function

$$\Psi_x^{j+1}\colon \mathscr{P}(A)\cap\{|\psi(x)|>1/2\}\longrightarrow A$$

satisfying the conclusions of Lemma 3. Choose r_x^{j+1}, $0<r_x^{j+1}<2^{-j-1}\cdot(1/k)$ so that $t\in B(x, r_x^{j+1})$ implies that $|[\Phi_k^j(x)](t)|>1/2$ and both

$$||\Psi_x^{j+1}(x)-\Psi_x^{j+1}(t)||\leqq 4^{-j-2}\cdot(1/(k+2))$$

(***) and

$$||\Phi_k^j(x)-\Phi_k^j(t)||\leqq (4/3)\cdot 4^{-j}\cdot(1/k)\,.$$

If now $y\in B(x, r_x^{j+1})$, $t\notin B(y, (1-2^{-j-1})\cdot(1/k))$ then

$$d(x, t)\geqq d(y, t)-d(y, x)\geqq(1-2^{-j})(1/k)\,.$$

Hence for such y, t we have

$$\begin{aligned}|[\Psi_x^{j+1}(y)](t)| &\leqq |[\Psi_x^{j+1}(x)](t)|+|[\Psi_x^{j+1}(x)](t)-[\Psi_x^{j+1}(y)](t)| \\ &\leqq |[\Phi_k^j(x)](t)|+4^{-j-1}\cdot(1/(k+2)) \\ &\leqq (1-2/(k+2))+\sum_{i=1}^j 2^{-i}\cdot(1/(k+2))+2^{-j-1}\cdot(1/(k+2)) \\ &=(1-2/(k+2))+\sum_{i=1}^{j+1}2^{-i}\cdot(1/(k+2))\,.\end{aligned}$$

Choose a locally finite refinement $\mathscr{U}^{j+1}=\{U_\omega^{j+1}\}_{\omega\in\Omega_{j+1}}$ of the covering $\{B(x, r_x^{j+1})\}_{x\in\ (A)}$ of $\mathscr{P}(A)$. Let $\{x_\omega\}_{\omega\in\Omega_{j+1}}$ be chosen so that $U_\omega^{j+1}\subseteqq B(x_\omega, r_{x_\omega}^{j+1})\equiv B_\omega^{j+1}$, each $\omega\in\Omega_{j+1}$. We may assume that $\bar{U}_\omega^{j+1}\subseteqq B_\omega^{j+1}$. Let $\{\chi_\omega^{j+1}\}$ be a continuous partition of unity subordinate to $\mathscr{U}^{j+1}$. Define

$$\Phi_k^{j+1}=\sum_{\omega\in\Omega_{j+1}}\chi_\omega^{j+1}\Psi_{x_\omega}^{j+1}\,.$$

It follows as in the case $j=1$ that (i), (ii), (iii), and (iv) hold. To verify (v) fix $x\in\mathscr{P}(A)$. Let $\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_m$ satisfy the property that

$\chi_\omega(x) \neq 0$ iff $\omega \in \{\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_m\}$. Let x_i denote x_{ω_i}, $i = 1, \cdots, m$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Phi_k^{j+1}(x) - \Phi_k^j(x)\| &\leqq \left\| \sum_{l=1}^m \chi_{\omega_l}^{j+1}(x)[\Psi_{x_l}^{j+1}(x) - \Psi_{x_l}^{j+1}(x_l)] \right\| \\
&\quad + \left\| \sum_{l=1}^m \chi_{\omega_l}^{j+1}(x)[\Psi_{x_l}^{j+1}(x_l) - \Phi_k^j(x_l)] \right\| \\
&\quad + \left\| \sum_{l=1}^m \chi_{\omega_l}^{j+1}(x)[\Phi_k^j(x_l) - \Phi_k^j(x)] \right\| \\
&\leqq 4^{-j-2}(1/(k+2) + 0 + (4/3)4^{-j}(1/k)) \leqq 2^{-j}(1/k)\,.
\end{aligned}$$

The induction is complete.

LEMMA 5. *For $k \in \{1, 2, \cdots\}$ there exist functions*

$$\Phi_k \colon \mathscr{P}(A) \longrightarrow A$$

such that

(i) $\|\Phi_k(x)\| = 1$ *for all* $x \in \mathscr{P}(A)$,
(ii) $[\Phi_k(x)](x) = 1$;
(iii) Φ_k *is continuous*;
(iv) $|[\Phi_k(x)](t)| \leqq 1 - 1/(k+2)$ *for all* $x \in \mathscr{P}(A)$, $t \in X \sim B(x, 1/k)$.

Proof. Let Φ_k^j be as in Lemma 4 and define $\Phi_k = \lim_{j\to\infty} \Phi_k^j$. That the limit exists follows from (v) of Lemma 4. The conclusions (i)-(iv) of the present lemma now follow from the corresponding parts of Lemma 4.

By the discussion preceding Lemma 1, the proof of the theorem is complete.

REMARK. Our proof yields something more general. Indeed, instead of assuming X to be metric, one need only assume that the relative topology on $\mathscr{P}$ has a σ-locally finite base. By [5], p. 128, this is equivalent to assuming that $\mathscr{P}$ is metric, hence paracompact, and the proof goes through as before.

The referee has kindly observed that given our Lemma 3, one can use Theorem 3.1″ of [6] to prove that if X is compact Hausdorff and A is separable then the theorem holds. This is a weaker result than the one outlined in the preceding paragraph. Moreover, the proof using [6] is not essentially shorter than the elementary one presented here, and the construction of Φ as the unifiorm limit of discontinuous functions has intrinsic interest.

REMARK. It would be interesting to know whether, in the presence of differentiable structure in X and A, the peaking functions may be chosen to vary differentiably.

REFERENCES

1. E. Bedford and J. E. Fornaess, *A construction of peak functions on weakly pseudoconvex domains*, Ann. of Math., **107** (1978), 555-568.
2. I. Graham, *Boundary behavior of the Caratheodory and Kobayashi metrics on strongly pseudoconvex domains in* C^n, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **207** (1975), 219-240.
3. T. W. Gamelin, *Uniform Algebras*, Englewood Cliffs, Academic Press, 1969.
4. N. P. Jewell and S. G. Krantz, *Toeplitz operators and related function algebras on certain pseudoconvex domains*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **252** (1979), 297-312.
5. J. L. Kelley, *General Topology*, New York, Van Nostrand, 1955.
6. E. Michael, *Continuous selections*, I, Ann. of Math., **63** (1956), 361-382.

Received October 30, 1978 and in revised form January 8, 1979. The work of the first author was supported in part under NSF Grant No. MCS 78-01488. The work of the second author was supported in part under NSF Grant No. MCS 77-02213.

PRINCETON UNIVERSITY
PRINCETON, NJ 08540
AND
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
LOS ANGELES, CA 90024

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. **39**. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints to each author are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: $84.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: $42.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Older back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.).
8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 83, No. 2 April, 1979