

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON THE NONOSCILLATION OF PERTURBED FUNCTIONAL-DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

JOHN R. GRAEF, YUICHI KITAMURA, TAKAŠI KUSANO,
HIROSHI ONOSE AND PAUL WINTON SPIKES

ON THE NONOSCILLATION OF PERTURBED FUNCTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

JOHN R. GRAEF, YUICHI KITAMURA, TAKAŠI KUSANO,
 HIROSHI ONOSE, AND PAUL W. SPIKES

We study the behavior of the solutions of the second order nonlinear functional differential equation

$$(1) \quad (a(t)x')' = f(t, x(t), x(g(t)))$$

where $a, g: [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow R$ and $f: [t_0, \infty) \times R^2 \rightarrow R$ are continuous, $a(t) > 0$, and $g(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. We are primarily interested in obtaining conditions which ensure that certain types of solutions of (1) are nonoscillatory. Conditions which guarantee that oscillatory solutions of (1) converge to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$ are also given. We apply these results to the equation

$$(2) \quad (a(t)x')' + q(t)r(x(g(t))) = e(t, x)$$

where $q: [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow R, r: R \rightarrow R, e: [t_0, \infty) \times R \rightarrow R$ are continuous and a and g are as above. We compare our results to those obtained by others. Specific examples are included.

In the case of nonlinear ordinary equations, the search for sufficient conditions for all solutions to be nonoscillatory has been successful; see, for example, the papers of Graef and Spikes [4-7], Singh [11], Staikos and Philos [14], and the references contained therein. The only such results known for functional equations to date are due to Graef [3], Kusano and Onose [9], and Singh [13]. Moreover, none of the results in [3], [9], or [13] apply to equation (2) if $e(t, x) \equiv 0$ or if r is superlinear, e.g., $r(x) = x^\gamma, \gamma > 1$. We refer the reader to the recent paper of Kartsatos [8] for a survey of known results on the oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of solutions of (1) and (2).

In view of a recent paper by Brands [1], it does not appear to be possible to obtain integral conditions on $q(t)$ which will guarantee that all solutions of (2) with $e(t, x) \equiv 0$ are nonoscillatory and which are similar to those usually encountered in the study of ordinary equations. (We will return to this point again in §2.) So too our main results in this direction when applied to equation (2) require that $e(t, x) \not\equiv 0$ (cf. conditions (27) and (28)). Although all the results presented here hold if $r(x)$ is sublinear, we are especially interested in the superlinear case.

2. Main results. The results in this paper pertain only to the continuable solutions of (1). A solution $x(t)$ of (1) will be called

oscillatory if its set of zeros is unbounded, and it will be called nonoscillatory otherwise. Some of the results which follow concern solutions of (1) which satisfy growth estimates of the form

$$(3) \quad |x(t)| = O(m(t)) \quad \text{as } t \longrightarrow \infty,$$

where $m: [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow R$ is continuous and positive. Other authors, for example Staikos and Sficas [15], have studied the asymptotic behavior of nonoscillatory solutions which satisfy estimates of this type with $m(t) = t^k$.

We will assume in the remainder of this paper that the function f satisfies an estimate of the form

$$(4) \quad |f(t, x, y)| \leq F(t, |x|, |y|)$$

where $F: [t_0, \infty) \times R_+^2 \rightarrow R_+$ is continuous and such that

$$F(t, u, v) \leq F(t, u', v') \quad \text{for } 0 \leq u \leq u', 0 \leq v \leq v'.$$

THEOREM 1. *Suppose that*

$$(5) \quad \int_0^\infty [1/a(s)] \int_s^\infty F(u, cm(u), cm(g(u)))duds < \infty$$

for all $c > 0$. If $x(t)$ is an oscillatory solution of (1) satisfying (3), then $x(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Let $x(t)$ be an oscillatory solution of (1) satisfying (3); then $|x(t)| \leq cm(t)$, $|x(g(t))| \leq cm(g(t))$ for all $t \geq t_1 \geq t_0$ and some $c > 0$. Suppose that $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} |x(t)| > 2M$ for some $M > 0$. Then there exist sequences $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ of zeros of $x(t)$ such that $a_n < b_n$, $a_n, b_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $|x(t)| > 0$ on (a_n, b_n) , and $M_n = \max\{|x(t)| : a_n \leq t \leq b_n\} > M$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Now choose t_n in (a_n, b_n) so that $|x(t_n)| = M_n$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Integrating equation (1) from t in $[a_n, t_n]$ to t_n , we have

$$a(t)x'(t) = - \int_t^{t_n} f(s, x(s), x(g(s)))ds.$$

A second integration yields

$$x(t_n) = - \int_{a_n}^{t_n} [1/a(s)] \int_s^{t_n} f(u, x(u), x(g(u)))duds.$$

Thus

$$M_n = |x(t_n)| \leq \int_{a_n}^{t_n} [1/a(s)] \int_s^{t_n} F(u, cm(u), cm(g(u)))duds.$$

Condition (5) implies that the righthand side of the above inequality

converges to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This contradicts $|x(t_n)| = M_n > M$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and completes the proof of the theorem.

The following corollary is an immediate consequence.

COROLLARY 2. *If condition (5) holds with $m(t) \equiv K$ for every constant $K > 0$, then all bounded oscillatory solutions of (1) converge to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$.*

In our next theorem the following sublinearity type condition will be used. There exists a continuous function $H: [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow R$ such that

$$(6) \quad \limsup_{v \rightarrow \infty} F(t, v, v)/v \leq H(t).$$

THEOREM 3. *In addition to (6) assume that condition (5) holds with $m(t) \equiv K$ for any constant $K > 0$,*

$$(7) \quad g(t) \leq t$$

and

$$(8) \quad \int_s^\infty [1/a(s)] \int_s^\infty H(u) du ds < \infty.$$

Then every oscillatory solution of (1) converges to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. We will first show that all oscillatory solutions are bounded. Suppose that $x(t)$ is an oscillatory solution of (1) and $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} |x(t)| = \infty$. Then there exists a sequence of intervals $\{(a_n, b_n)\}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = \infty$, $x(a_n) = x(b_n) = 0$, $|x(t)| > 0$ on (a_n, b_n) , and $M_n = \max\{|x(t)|: t \leq b_n\} = \max\{|x(t)|: a_n \leq t \leq b_n\}$ and M_n increases to infinity as $n \rightarrow \infty$ with $M_1 \geq K$. As in the proof of Theorem 1 we obtain

$$M_n = |x(t_n)| \leq \int_{a_n}^{t_n} [1/a(s)] \int_s^{t_n} F(u, M_n, M_n) du ds$$

where $t_n \in (a_n, b_n)$. Hence

$$1 \leq \int_{a_n}^{t_n} [1/a(s)] \int_s^{t_n} H(u) du ds$$

which contradicts (8) as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Since $x(t)$ is bounded the conclusion of the theorem then follows from Corollary 2.

THEOREM 4. *Suppose that there exist continuous functions $G: [t_0, \infty) \times R_+^2 \rightarrow R_+$ and $h: [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow R$ such that*

$$(9) \quad G(t, u, v) \leq G(t, u', v') \quad \text{for } 0 \leq u \leq u', \quad 0 \leq v \leq v',$$

$$(10) \quad |f(t, x, y) - h(t)| \leq G(t, |x|, |y|) \quad \text{for } x, y \in R,$$

$$(11) \quad \int_0^\infty [1/a(s)] \int_s^\infty |h(u)| du ds < \infty,$$

and

$$(12) \quad \int_0^\infty [1/a(s)] \int_s^\infty G(u, cm(u), cm(g(u))) du ds < \infty$$

for all $c > 0$. If there exists $c_0 > 0$ such that either

$$(13) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_T^t [1/a(s)] \int_T^s \{h(u) + G(u, c_0, c_0)\} du ds = -\infty$$

or

$$(14) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_T^t [1/a(s)] \int_T^s \{h(u) - G(u, c_0, c_0)\} du ds = +\infty$$

for all large T , then any solution $x(t)$ of (1) satisfying (3) is non-oscillatory.

Proof. Let $x(t)$ be an oscillatory solution of (1) satisfying (3). In view of (11) and (12) all the hypotheses of Theorem 1 are satisfied with $F(t, u, v) = |h(t)| + G(t, u, v)$ and so $x(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Thus there exists $T \geq t_0$ such that $x'(T) = 0$, $|x(t)| \leq c_0$, and $|x(g(t))| \leq c_0$ for $t \geq T$. Hence

$$(15) \quad h(t) - G(t, c_0, c_0) \leq f(t, x(t), x(g(t))) \leq h(t) + G(t, c_0, c_0)$$

for $t \geq T$. Integrating twice we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_T^t [1/a(s)] \int_T^s \{h(u) - G(u, c_0, c_0)\} du ds &\leq x(t) - x(T) \\ &\leq \int_T^t [1/a(s)] \int_T^s \{h(u) + G(u, c_0, c_0)\} du ds. \end{aligned}$$

If either (13) or (14) holds, then $x(t)$ cannot have arbitrarily large zeros.

REMARK. An alternate form of Theorem 4 can be obtained by replacing conditions (13) and (14) by

$$(16) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_T^t \{h(u) + G(u, c_0, c_0)\} du < 0$$

and

$$(17) \quad \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_T^t \{h(u) - G(u, c_0, c_0)\} du > 0$$

respectively. The proof in this case would follow from inequality (15) by noting that (16) or (17) implies that $x'(t)$ would have fixed sign. Condition (16) or (17) may be satisfied when (13) and (14) are not, for example, when $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} [1/a(s)] ds < \infty$. Similarly (13) or (14) may hold with neither (16) nor (17) being satisfied when $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} [1/a(s)] ds = \infty$.

THEOREM 5. *Assume that (7) and (9)-(11) hold, G is sublinear in the sense of condition (6), i.e., there exists $H_G: [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow R$ such that $\limsup_{v \rightarrow \infty} G(t, v, v)/v \leq H_G(t)$,*

$$(18) \quad \int_s^{\infty} [1/a(s)] \int_s^{\infty} H_G(u) du ds < \infty ,$$

and condition (12) holds with $m(t) \equiv K$ for any constant $K > 0$. If either (13) or (14) holds, then all solutions of (1) are nonoscillatory.

Proof. Let $x(t)$ be an oscillatory solution of (1). If we let $F(t, u, v) = G(t, u, v) + |h(t)|$, then clearly (6) holds and moreover (11) and (18) imply that (8) holds with $H(t) = H_G(t) + |h(t)|$. Hence $x(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ by Theorem 3. Proceeding exactly as in the proof of Theorem 4 we again obtain a contradiction.

REMARK. Once again an alternate version of Theorem 5 can be obtained by replacing conditions (13)-(14) by (16)-(17).

3. Applications and discussion. We will now apply the results in the previous section to equation (4):

$$(a(t)x')' + q(t)r(x(g(t))) = e(t, x) .$$

Assume that

$$(19) \quad |e(t, u)| \leq |e(t, v)| \quad \text{if} \quad |u| \leq |v| ,$$

and there are nonnegative constants A, B and p such that

$$(20) \quad |r(x)| \leq A|x|^p + B .$$

If for some $k \geq 0$

$$(21) \quad \int_{t_0}^{\infty} [1/a(s)] \int_s^{\infty} [g(u)]^{kp} |q(u)| du ds < \infty$$

and

$$(22) \quad \int_{t_0}^{\infty} [1/a(s)] \int_s^{\infty} |e(u, cu^k)| dud s < \infty \quad \text{for all } c \geq 0,$$

then the hypotheses of Theorem 1 are satisfied with $m(t) = t^k$. Hence any oscillatory solution $x(t)$ of (2) satisfying

$$(23) \quad |x(t)| = O(t^k) \quad \text{as } t \longrightarrow \infty,$$

will converge to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$. If $k = 0$ in conditions (21) and (22) then we obtain the conclusion of Corollary 2 for equation (2). In this case we obtain Theorem 4 of Kusano and Onose [10] as a special case. They required that $r(x)$ be nondecreasing, $xr(x) > 0$ if $x \neq 0$, and $e(t, x) \equiv e(t)$; moreover if $k = 0$, conditions (13) and (14) of [10] imply conditions (21) and (22) above.

Now assume that there exist $w > 0$ and continuous functions $h_1, h_2: [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow R$ such that

$$(24) \quad |e(t, x) - h_1(t)| \leq h_2(t) |x|^w,$$

$$(25) \quad \int_{t_0}^{\infty} [1/a(s)] \int_s^{\infty} |h_1(u)| dud s < \infty,$$

and

$$(26) \quad \int_{t_0}^{\infty} [1/a(s)] \int_s^{\infty} u^{kw} h_2(u) dud s < \infty.$$

If (7), (19)-(21) and (24)-(26) hold with $p \leq 1$, $w \leq 1$, and $k = 0$, then all oscillatory solutions of (2) converge to zero by Theorem 3. Theorem 5 of [10] is a special case of this result. There the authors show that when $r(x)$ is sublinear, i.e., $\limsup_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} r(x)/x < \infty$, then the hypotheses of their Theorem 4 insure that all oscillatory solutions are bounded and hence converge to zero. In so doing they generalized Theorems 1, 2, and 3 of Singh [12] who, among other assumptions, required a bounded delay. Under a more restrictive condition on $r(x)$, namely, $0 < r(x)/x \leq m$ for all x , Singh [13] gives sufficient conditions for all oscillatory solutions of a special case of (2) to be bounded above. Under a different set of hypotheses, Kusano and Onose [9] obtained exactly the opposite result. The point to be made here is that while we are primarily interested in the case where $r(x)$ is superlinear, (cf. Theorems 1 and 4 and Corollary 2) i.e., $\limsup_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} r(x)/x = +\infty$, our condition (20) includes the sublinear forms of Kusano and Onose [9, 10] and Singh [12, 13] as special cases and, moreover, our integral conditions are similar in form and at times reduce exactly to those used in [9, 10, 12, and 13].

Relative to Theorem 4, if in addition to conditions (19)-(21) and (24)-(26), we ask that $r(0) = 0$ and there exists $N > 0$ such that either

$$(27) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_T^t [1/a(s)] \int_T^s \{h_1(u) + N[h_2(u) + |q(u)|]\} du ds = -\infty$$

or,

$$(28) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_T^t [1/a(s)] \int_T^s \{h_1(u) - N[h_2(u) + |q(u)|]\} du ds = +\infty$$

for all large T , then any solution $x(t)$ of (1) satisfying (23) is non-oscillatory. The alternate forms of (27) and (28) corresponding to (16) and (17) are respectively

$$(29) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_T^t \{h_1(u) + N[h_2(u) + |q(u)|]\} du < 0$$

and

$$(30) \quad \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_T^t \{h_1(u) - N[h_2(u) + |q(u)|]\} du > 0.$$

We will now give some examples to illustrate our results.

EXAMPLE 1. The equation

$$x'' + x/t^2 = [\sin(\ln t)]/t^2, \quad t \geq 1$$

fails to satisfy condition (21) for $k = 0$ or condition (22). Here $x(t) = \cos(\ln t)$ is a bounded oscillatory solution which does not converge to zero.

EXAMPLE 2. The equation

$$x'' + x^3(t^{1/2})/t^3 = h_1(t), \quad t \geq 1$$

where $h_1(t) = [\sin(\ln t) - 3 \cos(\ln t)]/t^3 + [\sin^3(\ln t^{1/2})]/t^{9/2}$ satisfies condition (20) with $p = 3$, condition (21) with $k = 0$, and (25). Here neither (27) nor (28) holds and we see that $x(t) = t^{-1} \sin(\ln t)$ is a bounded oscillatory solution.

EXAMPLE 3. Consider the equation

$$(t^\sigma x')' + t^{-\alpha} x^p(t^\beta) = h_1(t), \quad t \geq 1$$

where $h_1(t) = [4 + 2 \cos(6 \ln t) + 6 \sin(6 \ln t)]/t^3 + 1/t^\alpha$, $\alpha > 3$ and $\sigma > -1$. Conditions (20), (21) and (25) are satisfied provided that $\beta k p - \alpha < -1$ and $\beta k p - \alpha - \sigma < -2$. If $\sigma \leq 1$, then (28) is satisfied while if $\sigma > 1$, then (30) is satisfied. Thus, in either case, if $x(t)$ is a solution such that

$$|x(t)| = O(t^k) \quad \text{as } t \longrightarrow \infty$$

with $k < (\alpha + \sigma - 2)/\beta p$, then $x(t)$ is nonoscillatory. Notice that here the forcing term $h_1(t)$ changes signs.

The best nonoscillation theorem known to date for sublinear delay equations is the theorem of Kusano and Onose in [9]; it includes as a special case the nonoscillation criteria of Singh [13; Theorem 4.1]. There are several similarities between the conditions imposed in [9] and those used here. For example, when $k = 0$ conditions (6)-(7) of [9] imply condition (21) above. In addition, conditions (2)-(3) and (4)-(5) of [9] imply conditions (29)-(30) and (27)-(28) above respectively. On the other hand, even when $p \leq 1$ our condition (20) on $r(x)$ is less restrictive than those used in [9] or [13]. Nor do we require $q(t) > 0$ as was needed in [9] and [13]. In both [9] and [13] the authors required that their forcing term $e(t, x) \equiv e(t)$ be either nonnegative or nonpositive; this was not done here. Other related results for sublinear equations have been obtained by Staikos and Philos [14] who studied n th order equations. They proved that for unforced advanced equations all bounded solutions are nonoscillatory and for forced delay equations all unbounded solutions are nonoscillatory. When $n = 2$, their integral conditions on $a(t)$, $q(t)$ and $e(t)$ are similar to those used in [9-13] and this paper.

Brands [1] constructed an example of an equations of the type (2) with $a(t) \equiv 1$, $g(t) = t - 1$, and $e(t, x) \equiv 0$ such that $q(t)$ satisfied

$$(31) \quad \int_{t_0}^{\infty} e^{\alpha t^2} q(t) dt < \infty, \alpha < 2$$

and yet the equation possessed an oscillatory solution. This is somewhat of a surprise since many sufficient conditions for oscillation of ordinary equations have analogous counterparts (or may even be special cases of those) for functional equations (see Kartsatos [8]). Condition (31) is a far cry from the well known nonoscillation criteria of Hille

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} tq(t)dt < \infty$$

for linear ordinary equations.

REFERENCES

1. J. J. A. M. Brands, *Oscillation theorems for second order functional differential equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **63** (1978), 54-64.
2. L. Chen, *On the oscillation and asymptotic properties for general nonlinear differential equations*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur., (8) **61** (1976), 211-216, (1977).
3. J. R. Graef, *Oscillation, nonoscillation, and growth of solutions of nonlinear functional differential equations of arbitrary order*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **60** (1977), 398-409.

4. J. R. Graef and P. W. Spikes, *A nonoscillation result for second order ordinary differential equations*, Rend. Accad. Sci. Fis. Mat. Napoli, (4) **41** (1974), 92-101, (1975).
5. ———, *Sufficient conditions for nonoscillation of a second order nonlinear differential equation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **50** (1975), 289-292.
6. ———, *Sufficient conditions for the equation $(a(t)x')' + h(t, x, x') + g(t)f(x, x') = e(t, x, x')$ to be nonoscillatory*, Funkcial. Ekvac., **18** (1975), 35-40.
7. ———, *Nonoscillation theorems for forced second order nonlinear differential equations*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. (8), **59** (1975), 694-701.
8. A. G. Kartsatos, *Recent results on oscillation of solutions of forced and perturbed nonlinear differential equations of even order*, in "The Stability of Dynamical Systems: Theory and Applications (Proceedings of the NSF-CBMS Regional Conference held at Mississippi State University, Mississippi State, Mississippi, 1975)," Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Volume 28, Dekker, New York, 1977, 17-72.
9. T. Kusano and H. Onose, *A nonoscillation theorem for a second order sublinear retarded differential equation*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., **15** (1976), 401-406.
10. ———, *Asymptotic decay of oscillatory solutions of second order differential equations with forcing term*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **66** (1977), 251-257.
11. B. Singh, *Forced oscillations in general ordinary differential equations*, Tamkang J. Math., **6** (1975), 5-11.
12. ———, *Asymptotically vanishing oscillatory trajectories in second order retarded equations*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., **7** (1976), 37-44.
13. ———, *Forced nonoscillation in second order functional equations*, Hiroshima Math. J., **7** (1977), 657-665.
14. V. A. Staikos and Ch. G. Philos, *Nonoscillatory phenomena and damped oscillations*, Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods and Applications, **2** (1978), 197-210.
15. V. A. Staikos and Y. G. Sficas, *Forced oscillations for differential equations of arbitrary order*, J. Differential Equations, **17** (1975), 1-11.

Received October 18, 1978 and in revised form February 28, 1979. Research supported by the Mississippi State University Biological and Physical Sciences Research Institute.

MISSISSIPPI STATE UNIVERSITY, MISSISSIPPI STATE, MS 39762
NAGASAKI UNIVERSITY
NAGASAKI 852, JAPAN
HIROSHIMA UNIVERSITY
HIROSHIMA, 730 JAPAN
IBARAKI UNIVERSITY
MITO, 310 JAPAN

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

HUGO ROSSI

University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ANDREW OGG

University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM

Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints to each author are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$84.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$42.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Older back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.).

8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1979 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Patrick Robert Ahern, <i>On a theorem of Hayman concerning the derivative of a function of bounded characteristic</i>	297
Walter Allegretto, <i>Finiteness of lower spectra of a class of higher order elliptic operators</i>	303
Leonard Asimow, <i>Superharmonic interpolation in subspaces of $C_c(X)$</i>	311
Steven F. Bellenot, <i>An anti-open mapping theorem for Fréchet spaces</i>	325
B. J. Day, <i>Locale geometry</i>	333
John Erik Fornæss and Steven Krantz, <i>Continuously varying peaking functions</i>	341
Joseph Leonide Gerver, <i>Long walks in the plane with few collinear points</i>	349
Joseph Leonide Gerver and Lawrence Thom Ramsey, <i>On certain sequences of lattice points</i>	357
John R. Graef, Yuichi Kitamura, Takaši Kusano, Hiroshi Onose and Paul Winton Spikes, <i>On the nonoscillation of perturbed functional-differential equations</i>	365
James A. Huckaba and James M. Keller, <i>Annihilation of ideals in commutative rings</i>	375
Anzelm Iwanik, <i>Norm attaining operators on Lebesgue spaces</i>	381
Surjit Singh Khurana, <i>Pointwise compactness and measurability</i>	387
Charles Philip Lanski, <i>Commutation with skew elements in rings with involution</i>	393
Hugh Bardeen Maynard, <i>A Radon-Nikodým theorem for finitely additive bounded measures</i>	401
Kevin Mor McCrimmon, <i>Peirce ideals in Jordan triple systems</i>	415
Sam Bernard Nadler, Jr., Joseph E. Quinn and N. Stavrakas, <i>Hyperspaces of compact convex sets</i>	441
Ken Nakamura, <i>An explicit formula for the fundamental units of a real pure sextic number field and its Galois closure</i>	463
Vassili Nestoridis, <i>Inner functions invariant connected components</i>	473
Vladimir I. Olikier, <i>On compact submanifolds with nondegenerate parallel normal vector fields</i>	481
Lex Gerard Oversteegen, <i>Fans and embeddings in the plane</i>	495
Shlomo Reisner, <i>On Banach spaces having the property G.L.</i>	505
Gideon Schechtman, <i>A tree-like Tsirelson space</i>	523
Helga Schirmer, <i>Fix-finite homotopies</i>	531
Jeffrey D. Vaaler, <i>A geometric inequality with applications to linear forms</i>	543
William Jennings Wickless, <i>T as an \mathcal{Q} submodule of G</i>	555
Kenneth S. Williams, <i>The class number of $Q(\sqrt{-p})$ modulo 4, for $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ a prime</i>	565
James Chin-Sze Wong, <i>On topological analogues of left thick subsets in semigroups</i>	571