Pacific Journal of Mathematics

A COMMUTATIVE BANACH ALGEBRA OF FUNCTIONS OF GENERALIZED VARIATION

A. M. RUSSELL

Vol. 84, No. 2

June 1979

A COMMUTATIVE BANACH ALGEBRA OF FUNCTIONS OF GENERALIZED VARIATION

A. M. RUSSELL

It is known that the space of functions, anchored at a, and having bounded variation form a commutative Banach algebra under the total variation norm. We show that functions of bounded kth variation also form a Banach algebra under a norm defined in terms of the total kth variation.

1. Introduction. Let $BV_1[a, b]$ denote the space of functions of bounded variation on the closed interval [a, b], and denote the total variation of f on that interval by $V_1(f)$ or $V_1(f; a, b)$. If

$$BV_{1}^{*}[a, b] = \{f; V_{1}(f) < \infty, f(a) = 0\},\$$

then it is a well known result that $BV_1^*[a, b]$ is a Banach space under the norm $||\cdot||_1$, where $||f||_1 = V_1(f)$. What appears to be less well known is that, using pointwise operations, $BV_1^*[a, b]$ is a commutative Banach algera with a unit under $||\cdot||_1$ — see for example [1] and Exercise 17.35 of [2].

In [4] it was shown that $BV_k[a, b]$ is a Banach space under the norm, $||\cdot||_k$, where

$$(1) ||f||_k = \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} |f^{(s)}(a)| + V_k(f; a, b),$$

and where the definition of $V_k(f; a, b) \equiv V_k(f)$ can be found in [3]. The subspace

$$BV_{k}^{*}[a, b] = \{f; f \in BV_{k}[a, b], f(a) = f'(a) = \cdots = f^{(k-1)}(a) = 0\}$$

is clearly also a Banach space under the norm $||\cdot||_k^*$, where

(2)
$$||f||_{k}^{*} = \alpha_{k} V_{k}(f)$$

and $\alpha_k = 2^{k-1}(b-a)^{k-1}(k-1)!$.

If we define the product of two functions in $BV_k^*[a, b]$ by pointwise multiplication, then we show, in addition, that $BV_k^*[a, b]$ is a commutative Banach algebra under the norm given in (2). It is obvious that $BV_k^*[a, b]$ is commutative, so our main programme now is to show that if f and g belong to $BV_k^*[a, b]$, then so does fg, and that

$$V_{k}(fg) \leq 2^{k-1}(k-1)! \ (b-a)^{k-1}V_{k}(f)V_{k}(g) \ , \qquad k \geq 1 \ .$$

We accept the case k = 1 as being known, so restrict our discussion to $k \ge 2$. Because the same procedure does not appear to be applicable to the cases k = 2 and $k \ge 3$, we present different treatments for these cases.

In order to achieve the stated results it was found convenient to work with two definitions of bounded kth variation, one defined with quite arbitrary subdivisions $a = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n = b$ of [a, b], and the other using subdivisions in which all sub-intervals are of equal length. If we call the two classes of functions so obtained $BV_k[a, b]$ and $\overline{BV_k}[a, b]$ respectively, then we show that provided we restrict our functions to being continuous, then these classes are identical. More specifically, if we denote C[a, b], $BV_k[a, b]$, and $\overline{BV_k}[a, b]$ by C, BV_k and $\overline{BV_k}$ respectively, then we show that

$$C\cap BV_k=\overline{BV}_k$$
.

2. Notation and preliminaries.

DEFINITION 1. We shall say that a set of points x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n is a π -subdivision of [a, b] when $a \leq x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b$.

DEFINITION 2. If h > 0, then we will denote by π_h a subdivision x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n of [a, b] such that $a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n \leq b$, where $x_i - x_{i-1} = h, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and $0 \leq b - x_n \leq h$.

Before introducing the two definitions of bounded kth variation we need the definition and some properties of kth divided differences, and for this purpose we refer the reader to [3]. In addition, we make use of the difference operator Δ_k^k defined by

$$arDelta_h^{\scriptscriptstyle 1}f(x)=f(x+h)-f(x)$$
 ,

and

$$\varDelta^k_h f(x) = \varDelta^1_h [\varDelta^{k-1}_h f(x)] .$$

DEFINITION 3. The total kth variation of a function f on [a, b] is defined by

$$V_k(f; a, b) = \sup_{\pi} \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} (x_{i+k} - x_i) |Q_k(f; x_i, \cdots, x_{i+k})|.$$

If $V_k(f; a, b) < \infty$, we say that f is of bounded kth variation on [a, b], and write $f \in BV_k[a, b]$.

DEFINITION 4. If f is continuous on [a, b], then we define the total kth variation of f on [a, b] (restricted form) by

$$ar{V}_k(f;a,b) = \sup_{\pi_k} \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} \left| \frac{\varDelta_k^k f(x_i)}{h^{k-1}} \right| \;.$$

If $\overline{V}_k(f; a, b) < \infty$, we say that f is of restricted bounded kth variation on [a, b], and write $f \in \overline{BV}_k[a, b]$.

As before, we will usually write $V_k(f)$ and $\overline{V}_k(f)$ for $V_k(f; a, b)$ and $\overline{V}_k(f; a, b)$ respectively.

We now show that $C \cap BV_k = \overline{BV}_k$, and point out at this stage that the restriction to continuous functions is not nearly as severe as it first may appear, because functions belonging to $BV_k[a, b]$, when $k \ge 2$, are automatically continuous — see Theorem 4 of [3].

LEMMA 1. Let I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n be a set of *n* adjoining closed intervals on the real line having lengths $p_1/q_1, p_2/q_2, \dots, p_n/q_n$ respectively, where $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n, q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n$ are positive integers. Then it is possible to subdivide the intervals I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n into sub-intervals of equal length.

The proof is easy and will be omitted.

LEMMA 2. If $k \ge 1$, then $C \cap BV_k \subset \overline{BV}_k$, using abbreviated notation.

Proof. This is easy and will not be included.

LEMMA 3. If $k \geq 1$, then $C \cap BV_k \supset \overline{BV}_k$.

Proof. Let us suppose that f is continuous, belongs to $\overline{BV}_k[a, b]$, but $f \notin BV_k[a, b]$. Then for an arbitrarily large number K, and an arbitrarily small positive number ε , there exists a subdivision $\pi_1(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ of [a, b] such that

$$S_{\pi_1} \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} (x_{i+k} - x_i) |Q_k(f; x_i, \cdots, x_{i+k})| > K + \varepsilon$$
.

If not all the lengths $(x_{i+1} - x_i)$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ are rational, then because f is continuous we can obtain a subdivision $\pi_2(y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n)$ of [a, b] in which all the lengths $(y_{i+1} - y_i)$, $i=0, 1, \dots, n-1$ are rational, and such that $|S_{\pi_1} - S_{\pi_2}| < \varepsilon$, S_{π_2} being the approximating sum of $V_k(f; a, b)$ corresponding to the π_2 subdivision. Consequently,

$$S_{\pi_2} \geqq S_{\pi_1} - |S_{\pi_1} - S_{\pi_2}| > K$$
 .

In the π_2 subdivision, all sub-intervals have rational length, so we can apply Lemma 1 to obtain a π_h subdivision of [a, b] in which each

sub-interval has length h. If S_{π_h} is the corresponding approximating sum of $\overline{V}_k(f; a, b)$, then it follows from Theorem 3 of [3] that

$$rac{1}{(k\!-\!1)!}S_{\pi_k} \geqq S_{\pi_2} > K$$
 ,

since for any π_k subdivision, and each $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-k$,

$$rac{{\mathcal A}_{k,f}^{k}f(x_{i})}{h^{k-1}}=(k-1)!\;(x_{i+k}-x_{i})Q_{k}(f;x_{i},\;\cdots,\;x_{i+k})\;.$$

Thus $S_{\pi_k} > (k-1)! K$, and this is a contradiction to the assumption that $f \in \overline{BV}_k[a, b]$. Hence $f \in \overline{BV}_k[a, b]$, and so $\overline{BV}_k \subset C \cap BV_k$.

THEOREM 1. If $k \ge 1$, then $C \cap BV_k = \overline{BV}_k$; and if f is a continuous function on [a, b], then

(3)
$$\overline{V}_k(f; a, b) = (k - 1)! V_k(f; a, b), \quad k \ge 1.$$

Proof. The first part follows from Lemmas 2 and 3. For the second part we first observe that

(4)
$$\overline{V}_k(f; a, b) \leq (k-1)! V_k(f; a, b)$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. Then there exists a π_1 subdivision of [a, b]and the corresponding approximating sum S_{π_1} to $V_k(f; a, b)$ such that

$$S_{\pi_1} > V_k(f; a, b) - rac{arepsilon}{2(k-1)!}$$

If not all the sub-intervals of π_1 have rational lengths, then we can proceed as in Lemma 3 to obtain a π_h subdivision of [a, b] in which all sub-intervals are of equal length h. Then, if S_{π_h} is the corresponding approximating sum to $\overline{V}_k(f; a, b)$, we can show that

$$egin{aligned} &rac{1}{(k-1)!}S_{\pi_k} \geqq S_{\pi_1} - rac{arepsilon}{2(k-1)!} \ &> V_k(f; a, b) - rac{arepsilon}{(k-1)!} \ . \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$ar{V}_k(f; a, b) \geq S_{\pi_k} \ > (k-1)! \ V_k(f; a, b) - arepsilon \ ,$$

from which it follows that $\overline{V}_k(f; a, b) \ge (k-1)! V_k(f; a, b)$. This inequality together with (4) gives (3).

LEMMA 4. If f and g are any two real valued functions defined on [a, b], h > 0 and $a \leq x < x + kh \leq b$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{h}^{k}[f(x)g(x)] &= f(x+kh)\mathcal{A}_{h}^{k}g(x) + \binom{k}{1}\mathcal{A}_{h}^{1}f(x+(k-1)h)\mathcal{A}_{h}^{k-1}g(x) + \cdots \\ &+ \binom{k}{s}\mathcal{A}_{h}^{s}f(x+(k-s)h)\mathcal{A}_{h}^{k-s}g(x) + \cdots + \mathcal{A}_{h}^{k}f(x)\mathcal{A}_{h}^{0}g(x) \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{k}\binom{k}{s}\mathcal{A}_{h}^{s}f(x+(k-s)h)\mathcal{A}_{h}^{k-s}g(x), \ where \ \mathcal{A}_{h}^{0}g(x) = g(x) \ . \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof by induction is straightforward and will not be included.

LEMMA 5. If f and g belong to $BV_k[a, b]$, $k \ge 1$, then $fg \in BV_k[a, b]$.

Proof. The result for k = 1 is well known, so we assume that $k \ge 2$, in which case f and g are continuous in [a, b]. Consequently, in view of Theorem 1, there will be no loss of generality in working with equal sub-intervals of [a, b]. Using (5) we have, suppressing the "h" in " \mathcal{A}_{k}^{*} ",

$$\begin{array}{c} (6) \\ \hline \frac{\Delta^{k}[f(x)g(x)]}{h^{k-1}} = f(x+kh)\frac{\Delta^{k}g(x)}{h^{k-1}} + \dots + \binom{k}{s}\frac{\Delta^{s}f(x+(k-s)h)}{h^{s}}\frac{\Delta^{k-s}g(x)}{h^{k-s-1}} \\ + \dots + \frac{\Delta^{k-1}f(x+h)}{h^{k-1}}\Delta^{1}g(x) + \frac{\Delta^{k}f(x)}{h^{k-1}}g(x) \ . \end{array}$$

It follows from Theorem 4 of [3] that

$$rac{arDelta^s f(x+(k-s)h)}{h^s}$$
 , $s=$ 0, 1, \cdots , $k-1$

is uniformly bounded. Hence we can conclude from (6) that $fg \in \overline{BV}_k[a, b]$ by summing over any π_k subdivision of [a, b], and noting that f and g belong to $\overline{BV}_k[a, b] \subset \overline{BV}_{k-1}[a, b] \subset \cdots \subset \overline{BV}_1[a, b]$ — see Theorem 10 of [3]. Since fg is continuous it follows from Theorem 1 that $fg \in BV_k[a, b]$.

3. Main results. We now make an application of Theorem 1 to obtain a relationship between $V_{k-1}(f)$ and $V_k(f)$ when $f \in BV_k^*[a, b]$.

,

THEOREM 2. If
$$f \in BV_{k}^{*}[a, b], k \geq 2$$
, then
(7) $V_{k-1}(f) \leq (k-1)(b-a)V_{k}(f)$

or

$$\overline{V}_{k-1}(f) \leq (b-a)\overline{V}_k(f)$$
.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 10 of [3] that $f \in BV_{k-1}^*[a, b]$, so $V_{k-1}(f) < \infty$. We now establish the inequality. Since $f \in BV_k^*[a, b]$, $f^{(k-1)}(a) = 0$. Hence for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we can choose a π_k subdivision of [a, b] such that

(8)
$$\left|\frac{\varDelta_{h}^{k-1}f(a)}{h^{k-1}}\right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{(b-a)}$$

There is no loss of generality in choosing such a subdivision in view of Theorem 3 of [3] which tells us that the approximating sums for total kth variation are not decreased by the addition of extra points of subdivision. Accordingly, let $a = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n \leq b$ be a π_h subdivision of [a, b] with property (8). Then, suppressing the "h" in " \mathcal{A}_h^{k-1} " and " $\mathcal{A}_h^{k"}$, we obtain

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-k+1} |\varDelta^{k-1}f(x_i)| = \sum_{i=0}^{n-k+1} \left| \sum_{s=1}^{i} [\varDelta^{k-1}f(x_s) - \varDelta^{k-1}f(x_{s-1})] + \measuredangle^{k-1}f(x_0) \right|$$

 $= \sum_{i=0}^{n-k+1} \left| \sum_{s=1}^{i} \varDelta^k f(x_{s-1}) + \measuredangle^{k-1}f(x_0) \right|$
 $\leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-k+1} \sum_{s=1}^{i} |\varDelta^k f(x_{s-1})| + \sum_{i=0}^{n-k+1} |\measuredangle^{k-1}f(x_0)|$
 $\leq n \sum_{s=1}^{n-k} |\varDelta^k f(x_{s-1})| + n |\measuredangle^{k-1}f(x_0)|$
 $\leq (b-a) \sum_{s=1}^{n-k} \left| \frac{\varDelta^k f(x_{s-1})}{h} \right| + (b-a) \left| \frac{\varDelta^{k-1}f(x_0)}{h} \right|.$

Therefore, dividing both sides by h^{k-2} , we obtain

$$egin{aligned} &\sum_{i=0}^{n-k+1} \left| rac{arDeta^{k-1} f(x_i)}{h^{k-2}}
ight| &\leq (b-a) \sum_{s=1}^{n-k} \left| rac{arDeta^k f(x_{s-1})}{h^{k-1}}
ight| + (b-a) \left| rac{arDeta^{k-1} f(x_0)}{h^{k-1}}
ight| \\ &\leq (b-a) \, ar{V}_k(f) + arepsilon \, , \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that

(9)
$$\bar{V}_{k-1}(f) \leq (b-a)\bar{V}_{k}(f)$$

Consequently, using (2) we obtain

$$V_{k-1}(f) \leq (k-1)(b-a) V_k(f)$$
,

as required.

COROLLARY. Let p be an integer such that $1 \leq p < k$. If $f \in BV_k^*[a, b]$, then $f \in BV_p^*[a, b]$, and

(10)
$$V_p(f) \leq p(p+1)\cdots(k-1)(b-a)^{k-p}V_k(f),$$

or

$$\bar{V}_p(f) \leq (b-a)^{k-p} \bar{V}_k(f) \; .$$

Proof. The proof follows from repeated applications of (7), and Theorem 10 of [3].

We now proceed to obtain a relationship between $V_k(fg)$, $V_k(f)$ and $V_k(g)$ when f and g belong to $BV_k^*[a, b]$. It appears convenient to treat the cases k = 2, and $k \ge 3$ separately, so we begin by considering k = 2.

THEOREM 3. If f and g belong to $BV_2^*[a, b]$, then $fg \in BV_2^*[a, b]$, and

$$(11) egin{array}{lll} V_2(fg) &\leq V_2(f) \, V_1(g) \, + \, V_1(f) \, V_2(g) \ &\leq 2(b-a) \, V_2(f) \, V_2(g) \; . \end{array}$$

Proof. There is no loss of generality in considering π_h subdivisions of [a, b]. Let $a = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n$ be such a subdivision. Then, noting that f(a) = 0 = g(a) when $f, g \in BV_2^*[a, b]$, and writing $f(x_{s+1}) - f(x_s) = \Delta f(x_s)$, we obtain for $i \ge 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^2 f(x_i) g(x_i) &= \Delta [\Delta f(x_i) g(x_i)] \\ &= \Delta [f(x_{i+1}) \Delta g(x_i) + (\Delta f(x_i)) g(x_i)] \\ &= \Delta \Big[\Big(\sum_{s=0}^i \Delta f(x_s) \Big) \Delta g(x_i) + \Delta f(x_i) \sum_{s=0}^{i-1} \Delta g(x_s) \Big] \\ (12) &= \sum_{s=0}^i \Delta (\Delta f(x_s) \Delta g(x_i)) + \sum_{s=0}^{i-1} \Delta (\Delta f(x_i) \Delta g(x_s)) \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^i [\Delta f(x_{s+1}) \Delta^2 g(x_i) + \Delta^2 f(x_s) \Delta g(x_i)] \\ &+ \sum_{s=0}^{i-1} [\Delta f(x_{i+1}) \Delta^2 g(x_s) + \Delta^2 f(x_i) \Delta g(x_s)] . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, noting that the last summation in (12) is zero when i = 0, we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} | \mathscr{\Delta}^2 f(x_i) g(x_i) | \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} [| \mathscr{\Delta} f(x_1) | + \dots + | \mathscr{\Delta} f(x_{i+1}) |] | \mathscr{\Delta}^2 g(x_i) | \ + \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} [| \mathscr{\Delta}^2 f(x_0) | + \dots + | \mathscr{\Delta}^2 f(x_i) |] \mathscr{\Delta} g(x_i) | \ + \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} | \mathscr{\Delta} f(x_{i+1}) | [| \mathscr{\Delta}^2 g(x_0) | + \dots + | \mathscr{\Delta}^2 g(x_{i-1}) |] \ + \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} | \mathscr{\Delta}^2 f(x_i) | [\mathscr{\Delta} g(x_0) | + \dots + | \mathscr{\Delta} g(x_{i-1}) |] ,$$

which after some re-arrangement is equal to

$$\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |\varDelta f(x_i)|\Big)\Big(\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} |\varDelta^2 g(x_i)|\Big) + \Big(\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} |\varDelta^2 f(x_i)|\Big)\Big(\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} |\varDelta g(x_i)|\Big).$$

Therefore, dividing by h, and using Definition 4, we observe that $fg \in BV_2^*[a, b]$, and obtain

(13)
$$\bar{V}_2(fg) \leq \bar{V}_1(f) \bar{V}_2(g) + \bar{V}_2(f) \bar{V}_1(g)$$
,

or

$$V_2(fg) \leq V_1(f) \, V_2(g) \, + \, V_2(f) \, V_1(g)$$
 ,

using Theorem 1.

To complete the proof we employ (7) with k = 2.

We are now in a position to consider the general case $k \ge 3$ for which we adopt a different procedure. When $k \ge 3$ we make use of the fact that $f^{(k-2)} \in BV_2^*[a, b]$, and consequently exists throughout [a, b], and is in fact absolutely continuous in that interval.

THEOREM 4. Let f and g belong to $BV_k^*[a, b]$ when $k \ge 3$. Then $fg \in BV_k^*[a, b]$, and

(14)
$$\bar{V}_k(fg) \leq 2^{k-1}(b-a)^{k-1}\bar{V}_k(f)\bar{V}_k(g)$$
,

or

(15)
$$V_k(fg) \leq 2^{k-1}(b-a)^{k-1}(k-1)! \ V_k(f) V_k(g) \ .$$

Proof. We first observe from Lemma 5 that $fg \in BV_k^*[a, b]$. It follows from Theorems 2 and 8 of [5] that

$$= 2(b - a)^{k-1} \overline{V}_k(f) \overline{V}_k(g) \sum_{s=0}^{k-2} \binom{k-2}{s}$$
$$= 2^{k-1}(b-a)^{k-1} \overline{V}_k(f) \overline{V}_k(g), \text{ as required for (14)}$$

To obtain (15) we employ (3).

Combining Theorems 3 and 4 gives

THEOREM 5. If f and g belong to $BV_k^*[a, b], k \ge 1$, then $fg \in BV_k^*[a, b]$, and

$$V_{\mathtt{k}}(fg) \leqq lpha_{\mathtt{k}} V_{\mathtt{k}}(f) \, V_{\mathtt{k}}(g)$$
 ,

where $\alpha_k = 2^{k-1}(k-1)! (b-1)^{k-1}$.

Our final theorem is now apparent.

THEOREM 6. If k is a positive integer, then $BV_k^*[a, b]$ is a commutative Banach algebra under the norm $||\cdot||_k^*$, where

$$||f||_k^* = lpha_k V_k(f)$$
 ,

and $\alpha_k = 2^{k-1}(k-1)! (b-a)^{k-1}$.

References

1. G. Dickmeis and W. Dickmeis, *Beste Approximation in Räumen von beschränkter p-Variation*, Forschungberichte Des Landes Nordrhein-Westfalen Nr. 2697 Fachgruppe Mathematik/Informatik, Westdeutscher Verlag, 1977.

2. E. Hewitt and K. Stromberg, *Real and Abstract Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1969.

3. A. M. Russell, Functions of bounded kth variation, Proc. London Math. Soc., (3) 26 547-563.

4. _____, A Banach space of functions of generalized variation, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., Vol. 15 (1976), 431-438.

5. _____, Further results on an integral representation of functions of generalized variation, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 18 (1978), 407-420.

Received June 1, 1978.

UNIVERSITY OF MELBOURNE PARKVILLE, VICTORIA 3052 AUSTRALIA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor) University of California

Los Angeles, CA 90024

HUGO ROSSI

University of Utah Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ANDREW OGG University of California Berkeley, CA 94720 J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

K. Yoshida

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

F. WOLF

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. **39**. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints to each author are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$84.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$42.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Older back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.). 8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

> Copyright © 1979 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 84, No. 2 June, 1979

Somesh Chandra Bagchi and Alladi Sitaram, <i>Spherical mean periodic</i>	241
Billy Loe Ball Quasicompactifications and shape theory	271
Maureen A Bardwell The opprimitive components of a regular ordered	231
nermutation group	261
Peter W. Bates and James R. Ward, <i>Periodic solutions of higher order</i>	201
systems	275
Jeroen Bruijning, A characterization of dimension of topological spaces by	
totally bounded pseudometrics	283
Thomas Farmer, On the reduction of certain degenerate principal series representations of $SP(n C)$	291
Richard P. Jerrard and Mark D. Meyerson Homotopy with m-functions	305
James Edgar Keesling and Sibe Mardesic, A shape fibration with fibers of	505
different shape	319
Guy Loupias Cohomology over Banach crossed products Application to	017
bounded derivations and crossed homomorphisms	333
Rainer Löwen, <i>Symmetric planes</i>	367
Alan L. T. Paterson, Amenable groups for which every topological left	
invariant mean is invariant	391
Jack Ray Porter and R. Grant Woods, <i>Ultra-Hausdorff H-closed</i>	200
extensions	399
Calvin R. Putnam, <i>Operators satisfying a G</i> ₁ condition	413
Melvin Gordon Rothenberg and Jonathan David Sondow, Nonlinear smooth	107
representations of compact Lie groups	427
Werner Rupp, <i>Riesz-presentation of additive and</i> σ <i>-additive set-valued</i>	4.4.5
measures	445
A. M. Russell, A commutative Banach algebra of functions of generalized	155
Judith D. Sally. Superregular sequences	455
Detrick Shanahan. On the signature of Crassmannians	405
rautek Shahalall, On the signature of Grassmannians	483