Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ARITHMETIC PROPERTIES OF THE IDÈLE DISCRIMINANT

DONALD EUGENE MAURER

Vol. 85, No. 2

October 1979

ARITHMETIC PROPERTIES OF THE IDÈLE DISCRIMINANT

DONALD MAURER

A theorem of Hecke asserts that the discriminant $b_{K/F}$ of an extension of algebraic number fields K/F is a square in in the absolute class group. In 1932 Herbrand conjectured the following related theorem and was able to prove it for metacyclic extensions: If K/F is normal, then $b_{K/F}$ can be written in the form $\mathfrak{A}^{2}(\theta), \ \theta \in F$; where (i) $\theta \equiv 1$ (mod \mathfrak{B}), \mathfrak{B} is the greatest divisor of 4 which is prime to $b_{K/F}$, and (ii) $\theta > 0$ at each real prime v except when $K \bigotimes_{F} F_{v}$ is a direct sum of copies of the complex field and $(K:F) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$.

More recently, A. Fröhlich gave a unified treatment of these and related questions using the concept of an idèle discriminant. The purpose of this paper is to present a generalization of these results with some connections with the structure of the Galois group.

Our notation will be as follows. Let \mathscr{M}_F denote the finite prime divisors of F. The ring of integers in F will be denoted by \mathfrak{O} (or \mathfrak{O}_F), and for each $v \in \mathscr{M}_F$, \mathscr{O}_v will be the integers of the completion F_v . Also, for $\alpha \in F_v$ we write $v(\alpha)$ for the order of α , so that if the prime ideal \mathfrak{P}_v of \mathfrak{O}_v is generated by π_v , then $v(\pi_v) = 1$. If x is an idèle with v-component x_v , then we shall write $x = (x_v)$, and v(x) = $v(x_v)$. If $\alpha \in F^*$ then, unless otherwise stated, (α) will denote the principal idèle defined by $\alpha_v = \alpha$. The idèle group J_F contains, as a subgroup, the unit idèles U_F consisting of those x such that $x_v \in U_v$, the unit group in F_v , for all v. The idèle discriminant d(K/F) defined in [1] is an element of J_F/U_F^2 . The classical ideal discriminant is simply the ideal naturally determined by d(K/F).

1. The general theory. Throughout the paper, p will be a fixed prime, and we shall assume that F contains ζ_p , a primitive *p*th-root of unity.

Our first results pertain to the case of cyclic *p*-extensions K/F. Let G denote the Galois group.

LEMMA 1.1. Let K/F be cyclic of degree p. Then there is an element $\alpha \in F$ such that $K = F(\alpha^{1/p})$ and $\alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, where \mathfrak{B} is the greatest divisor of $(\zeta_p - 1)^p$ which is relatively prime to the discriminant $\mathfrak{d}_{K/F}$. Moreover, υ splits in K if and only if $\alpha \in F_{\nu}^{p}$.

Proof. For each $v \in \mathscr{M}_F$, let $K_{\nu} = K \bigotimes_F F_{\nu}$; then K_{ν} is algebraisomorphic to a direct product $\prod_{\omega/\nu} K_{\omega}$ of local field extensions K_{ω}/F_{ν} . Similarly, if we let $(\mathfrak{D}_K)_{\nu} = \mathfrak{D}_K \bigotimes_{\nu} \mathfrak{D}_{\nu}$, then $(\mathfrak{D}_K)_{\nu} = \prod_{\omega/\nu} \mathfrak{D}_{\omega}$. Let \mathscr{P} be the set of all ν which divide p (i.e., $\nu(p) > 0$) but do not divide $\mathfrak{d}_{K/F}$. Then for each $\nu \in \mathscr{P}$, K_{ν} is nonramified, and so K_{ν} has a normal \mathfrak{D}_{ν} -integral basis $\{x_g^{(\nu)}\}_{g \in G}$. By the strong approximation theorem, it is then possible to find a normal F-basis $\{x_g\}_{g \in G}$ of Kwhich is an \mathfrak{D}_{ν} -integral basis of $(\mathfrak{D}_K)_{\nu}$ at each $\nu \in \mathscr{P}$. Moreover, we may also assume that $\sum_{g \in G} x_g = 1$.

Now for each character $\chi: G \to C$ (the complex field) set $\theta_{\chi} = \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g) x_g$. It is well known that $\alpha_{\chi} = \theta_{\chi}^p \in F$, and $K = F(\alpha^{1p'})$ for a nontrivial χ . Fix such a χ , and write $\alpha = \alpha_{\chi}$. We have

$$heta_{\chi} = 1 + \sum\limits_{g
eq 1} ({oldsymbol{\chi}}(g) - 1) x_g \; .$$

But in the field Q_p of the *p*th roots of unity over the rational field we can write

$$\chi(g) - 1 = c_{\chi}(\zeta_p - 1)$$
 $(c_{\chi} \text{ integral in } Q_p)$

Hence $\theta_{\chi} = 1 + h'_{\chi}(\zeta_p - 1)$ with $h'_{\chi} \in K$. It follows that $\alpha = 1 + h_{\chi}(\zeta_p - 1)^p$ with $h_{\chi} \in F$. Moreover, if $\upsilon \in \mathscr{P}$, then $h_{\chi} \in \mathfrak{O}_{\upsilon}$. Thus the lemma is proved.

We continue to suppose that K/F is a cyclic *p*-extension. For $\upsilon \in \mathscr{M}_F$, let G_i denote the *i*th ramification group of a localization K_{ω}/F_{υ} . We define the ramification number r_{υ} to be the smallest integer *n* such that G_n is trivial. Clearly r_{υ} is independent of ω . Now υ is nonramified, tamely ramified, or wildly ramified according as $r_{\upsilon} = 0$, $r_{\upsilon} = 1$ or $r_{\upsilon} > 1$ respectively. If (K:F) = p, then the ramification numbers r_{υ} give a complete description of ramification, and $\upsilon(d(K/F)) = r_{\upsilon}(p-1)$.

The next lemma gives a partial determination of the ramification numbers r_{2} when (K: F) = p.

LEMMA 1.2. Suppose $K = F(\alpha^{1/p})$ with $\alpha \in F$. If υ is ramified and $\upsilon(\alpha) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then $r_{\upsilon} = 1$ or $\upsilon(\zeta_p - 1)p + 1$.

Proof. Set $s = v(\zeta_p - 1)$. If v is tamely ramified, the lemma is obvious. Therefore we may suppose that v is wildly ramified; so p divides the ramification number of v when extended to K, but $v(\alpha) \neq 0 \pmod{p}$. Then let $\alpha^{1/p} = \varepsilon \pi_{\omega}^{n}$, where ω is the extension of v to K, π_{ω} a local prime, $\varepsilon \in U_{\omega}$ and (a, p) = 1. Now there is a $\gamma \in U_{v}$ such that $\zeta_p = 1 - \gamma \pi_{v}^{s}$, and an element $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K_{\omega}/F_{v})$ such that

$$\zeta_{p}=rac{\sigma(lpha^{1/p})}{lpha^{1/p}}=\left(rac{\sigma(\pi_{\omega})}{\pi_{\omega}}
ight)^{a}rac{\sigma(arepsilon)}{arepsilon}\,.$$

Since π_{ω}^{r} $(r = r_{\nu})$ is the highest power of π_{ω} which divides $\sigma(\pi_{\omega}) - \pi_{\omega}$, it follows that

$$rac{\sigma(\pi_{\omega})}{\pi_{oldsymbol{\omega}}} \in U_{r-1} - \ U_r$$
 ,

where $U_m = 1 + \mathfrak{P}_{v}^{m}$. Since (a, p) = 1, it is also true that

$$\left(\frac{\sigma(\pi_{\omega})}{\pi_{\omega}}\right)^a \in U_{r-1} - U_r \; .$$

But $\sigma(\varepsilon)/\varepsilon \in U_r$, whence it follows that $\zeta_p = 1 - \gamma' \pi_{\omega}^{ps}$ belongs to $U_{r-1} - U_r$.

This completes the proof.

It is not possible to say much about r_{v} when $v(\alpha) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. A slight modification of the previous argument shows that $r_{v} \leq sp$. However, if *n* is any integer in the range $0 < n \leq sp$, then according to [5] or [7], for a $y \in F_{v}$ with v(y) = 1 - n, the roots of

$$x^p - x - y = 0$$

generate a cyclic extension of degree p with $r_{\nu} = n$.

Let K/F be cyclic of degree p, then a divisior $v \in \mathcal{M}_F$ will be called *exceptional at* K/F if the congruence $v(\alpha) \cdot x \equiv r_{\nu} \pmod{p}$ does not have a solution relatively prime to p. That is, v is exceptional if one, but not both, of $v(\alpha)$ or r is congruent to $0 \pmod{p}$. Suppose $v(\alpha) \neq 0 \pmod{p}$, but $r_{\nu} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. By Lemma 1.2 $r_{\nu} = 0$, and so K_{ν}/F_{ν} is nonramified. Since α is a *p*th power in K, p must divide $v(\alpha)$, a contradiction. Hence v is exceptional if and only if it is totally ramified, and $v(\alpha) \cdot x \equiv r \pmod{p}$ is not solvable, i.e., $v(\alpha) \equiv$ $0 \pmod{p}$ but $r_{\nu} \neq 0 \pmod{p}$.

Now let K/F be any finite Galois extension such that (K:F) is divisible by p. In order to state the main theorem, it will be convenient to introduce two functions $\phi_{K/F}$ and $\psi_{K/F}$ on \mathscr{M}_F . Suppose K/F is a p-extension, and let T be a subfield such that (K:T) = p. We define $\phi_{K/F}(v) = 0$ unless v is totally ramified in K/F, and K/T is exceptional at the extension ω of v to T. In the latter case, $\phi_{K/F}(v)$ is to be the least positive residue (mod p) of $-r_{\omega}$. This definition is independent of the choice of T. For suppose that T' also satisfies the condition (K:T') = p. We may suppose that v is totally ramified. The tower formula applied to the localization at v gives (since v is totally ramified, we can identify ω and v when convenient)

$$N(d(K_v/T_v)) \equiv N'(d(K_v/T'_v)) \pmod{p}$$
 ,

where N and N' are the obvious norm maps. Recalling that $\omega(d(K/T)) = r_{\omega}(p-1)$, this congruence then implies $\phi_{K/F}$ is well defined.

Now we extend our definition to the general case by letting L be the fixed field of a *p*-Sylow group G_p . We define $\phi_{K/F}$ to be the least nonnegative residue (mod *p*) of the expression $(L: F)\phi_{K/L}(\omega)/e_{L/F}(\upsilon)$, where ω extends υ to L, and $e_{L/F}(\upsilon)$ denotes the ramification index of υ in L. Again, it can be verified that this definition is independent of the choice of either L or ω . If K/F is finite Galois, we say υ is exceptional at K/F if $\phi_{K/F}(\upsilon) \neq 0$. This extends the earlier definition.

The function ψ is defined in a similar manner. If (K: F) = p, then $\psi_{K/F}(\upsilon) = 1$ for all exceptional υ . Otherwise $\psi_{K/F}(\upsilon)$ is the least positive residue (mod p), satisfying the congruence $\upsilon(\alpha) \cdot \psi_{K/F}(\upsilon) \equiv$ $r_{\upsilon}(\text{mod } p)$, where $K = F(\alpha^{1/p})$. In the general case, if G_p is cyclic, let T be a subfield such that (K: T) = p and define $\psi_{K/F}(\upsilon) = \psi_{K/T}(\omega)$, where ω extends υ to T. If G_p is noncyclic, define $\psi_{K/F}(\upsilon) = 1$ for all υ . The definition is independent of T, ω or α . We can now state the main theorem of this section.

THEOREM 1.3.¹ Let $\zeta_p \in F$, and suppose K/F is a finite Galois extension whose group G contains a nontrivial p-Sylow group G_p . Then there are idèles a, b and c in J_F such that

$$d(K/F) \equiv a^{p}bc \pmod{U_{F}^{2}} .$$

Moreover, the following conditions are satisfied for all $\upsilon \in \mathscr{M}_{F}$.

(i) $c_{\nu} = \theta^{\psi(\nu)}(\psi = \psi_{K/F})$ for some $\theta \in F$ satisfying the congruence $\theta \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{B}}$, where \mathfrak{B} is the greatest divisor of $(\zeta_p - 1)^p$ which is prime to $\mathfrak{d}_{K/F}$.

(ii) If v is exceptional, $v(c) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$

(iii) If G_p is noncyclic, then $\theta = 1$. Moreover,

if K/F is a cyclic p-extension, a nonramfied υ prime to p splits in K/F if and only if $\theta \in U_{\nu}^{p}$.

(iv) $b_v = \pi_v^{\phi(v)}(\phi = \phi_{K/F}).$

We do not deal with the infinite components of d(K/F), for when p = 2 this is discussed in [1]; and for p > 2, $F_v = C$ for all infinite v, whence $d(K/F)_v$ is trivial. The remainder of this section is devoted to proving the theorem, while in the final section some consequences are discussed. In particular, the case p = 2 is developed.

We first deal with *p*-extensions, so let $(K: F) = p^m$. If m = 1, let $K = F(\alpha^{1/p})$, where α satisfies the congruence condition of Lemma

¹ Results of a similar nature, although somewhat weaker, can be proved without the restriction $\zeta_p \in F$.

1.1. A field basis for K is then $1, \gamma, \gamma^2, \dots, \gamma^{p-1}$ with $\gamma = \alpha^{1/p}$. Therefore d(K/F) will have a local representation at v of the form

$$d(K/F)_{v}\equiv (-1)^{p(p-1)/2}p^{p}eta_{v}^{2}lpha^{p-1}({
m mod}\ U_{v}^{2})$$
 ,

for some $\beta_v \in F_v$. Using the relation $v(d(K/F)) = r_v(p-1)$, this gives the congruence $2v(\beta_v) \equiv -r_v + v(\alpha) \pmod{p}$. Hence there is a function $\phi'_{K/F}$ which satisfies the congruence equation $2\phi' \equiv \phi \pmod{p}$. In particular if p = 2, then $\phi \equiv 0$ and so there are no exceptional primes. Now if v is exceptional, then our result implies that $\beta_v = \varepsilon_v \pi_v^{\phi'(v)}$ for some unit ε_v . On the other hand, if v is nonexceptional, then $v(\alpha) \cdot \psi(v) \equiv r_v(\mod p)$. Therefore in the above representation for $d(K/F)_v$ we can replace α by $\alpha^{\psi(v)}$. Again, β_v is of the form $\varepsilon_v \pi_v^{\phi'(v)}$. Thus we obtain the global idèle representation

$$d(K/F) \equiv \delta^p \beta^2 \tau^{p-1} (\mathrm{mod} \ U_F^2)$$
 ,

where each component of β is given by $\beta_v = \varepsilon_v \pi_v^{\phi'(v)}$, and $\tau_v = \alpha^{\psi(v)}$. Moreover, for all nonramified v, $\alpha \in U_v^p$ if and only if v splits in K.

This representation can be generalized to any cyclic p-extension. There is a sequence of subfields

$$F=arOmega_{_0}\subset arOmega_{_1}\cdots \subset arOmega_{_r}\subset arOmega_{_{r+1}}=K$$

with $(\Omega_i: \Omega_{i-1}) = p$. For notational simplicity we set $T = \Omega_r$. According to our previous arguments, we have the representation $d(K/T) \equiv \delta_T^p \beta_T^2 \tau_T^{p-1} (\mod U_T^2)$. The tower formula gives $d(K/F) \equiv \delta^p \beta^2 \tau^{p-1} (\mod U_F^2)$, where $\beta = N_{T/F}(\beta_T)$ and $\tau = N_{T/F}(\tau_T)$. By a straightforward computation, $\beta_v = \varepsilon_v \pi_v^{\phi'(v)}(\phi' = \phi'_{K/F})$. Similarly, if we define $\alpha = N_{T/F}(\alpha_T)$, then $\tau_v = \alpha^{\psi(v)}(\psi = \psi_{K/F})$.

Suppose that v divides p but not $\mathfrak{d}_{K/F}$. Then an extension ω of v to T also divides p but not $\mathfrak{d}_{K/T}$; therefore in \mathfrak{O}_{ω} , $\alpha_T = 1 + h_{\omega}(\zeta_p - 1)^p$. Since T/F is normal, we have

$$N_{{\scriptscriptstyle \omega} / {\scriptscriptstyle v}}(lpha_{\scriptscriptstyle T}) = \prod_{\scriptscriptstyle \sigma} \left(1 + \sigma(h_{\scriptscriptstyle \omega}) (\zeta_{\scriptscriptstyle p} - 1)^p
ight)$$
 ,

where σ runs through the elements of the Galois group of T_{ω}/F_{ν} . Hence it follows that $\alpha = 1 + h_{\nu}(\zeta_{p} - 1)^{p}$ is in \mathfrak{D}_{ν} .

Now we must show that if v is nonramified in K, and prime to p, then v splits if and only if $\alpha \in U_v^p$. Suppose that such a vdoes not split in K. Then $\alpha_T \notin T_v^p$. In general if U_i denotes the unit group of $(\Omega_i)_v$, we have $(U_i: U_i^p) = p$, so that the norm map induces an isomorphism $U_{i+1}/U_{i+1}^p \cong U_i/U_i^p$; hence $\alpha \notin U_v^p$. Since there are infinitely many primes which do not split in K/F, α cannot be a *p*th power, and therefore $(F(\alpha^{1/p}): F) = p$.

Now a nonramified v will split in K/F if and only if it splits

in Ω_1/F , for if it splits in K, then the decomposition field contains Ω_1 , whence v also splits in Ω_1/F . Hence if v splits in Ω_1 , then it splits in K and so also in $F(\alpha^{1/p})$; therefore $F(\alpha^{1/p}) = \Omega_1$. This proves our assertion, and extends the representation of the idèle discriminant to arbitrary cyclic *p*-extensions.

Suppose now that K/F is a noncyclic *p*-extension. The Galois group G must contain a proper noncyclic subgroup. For suppose a maximal subgroup N is cyclic. Let a be a generator of N and choose b not in N. Then p is the smallest positive integer m such that $b^m \in N$. It follows that G is generated by a and b. The subgroup generated by a^p and b is proper and noncyclic. By a simple induction argument we conclude that G contains a subgroup H of type (p, p).

Let L be the fixed field of H. Then there is a subfield $K \supset T \supset L$ such that $K = T(\mu^{1/p})$ with $\mu \in L$. As before, d(K/T) has a representation of the form $\delta_T^p \beta_T^2 \tau_T^{p-1}$, where each component of τ_T is a power of μ . Since $N_{T/L}(\mu) = \mu^p$, the tower formula gives for each $\omega \in \mathscr{M}_L$ the representation $d(K/L)_\omega \equiv \delta_\omega^p \beta_\omega^2 \pmod{U_\omega^2}$, where $\beta_\omega = \varepsilon_\omega \pi_\omega^{\phi'(\omega)}(\phi' = \phi'_{K/L})$. The tower formula applied to $K \supset L \supset F$ then gives a representation of d(K/F) of the form $\delta^p \beta^2 \tau^{p-1}$, with $\beta_{\nu} = \varepsilon_{\nu} \pi_{\nu}^{\phi'(\omega)}$ and $\tau_{\nu} = 1$ for all $\nu \in \mathscr{M}_F$.

This representation generalizes to arbitrary extensions K/F by applying the tower formula to $K \supset L \supset F$, where L is the fixed field of a p-Sylow subgroup of G. To obtain the theorem, we now take $b_v = \pi_v^{\phi(v)}$ and $\theta = (N_{L/F}(\alpha))^{p-1}$, or $\theta = 1$ depending on whether G_p is cyclic or noncyclic. If G_p is cyclic, then

$$egin{aligned} \psi(c) &\equiv -\psi(\upsilon) \cdot \upsilon(N_{L/F}(lpha)) \ &\equiv rac{(L\colon F)}{e_{L/F}(\upsilon)} (-\psi(\omega) \cdot \omega(lpha)) (\mathrm{mod}\ p) \ , \end{aligned}$$

where ω extends υ to L. For an exceptional υ , $\omega(\alpha) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. It is therefore clear that

$$v(c)\equiv 0(\mathrm{mod}\ p)\ .$$

The proof of the theorem is now complete.

1

2. Applications. The purpose of this section is to consider some consequences of Theorem 1.3. We first suppose that p = 2. Then there is no restriction on the ground field F, since $\zeta_p = -1$ always belongs to F. Fröhlich [1] defined the *discriminant field* Ω/F of an extension K/F as a quadratic subfield ($\Omega = F$ possible) uniquely characterized by the relation

$$d(K\!/F) \cdot J_{\scriptscriptstyle F}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} = d(\varOmega/F) \cdot J_{\scriptscriptstyle F}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}$$
 .

Hence $\Omega = F(\theta^{1/2})$. We use the properties of Ω to prove

THEOREM 2.1. The 2-Sylow groups of the Galois group G of an even degree extension K/F are cyclic if and only if $d(K/F) \in J_F^2/U_F^2$.

Proof. Suppose a 2-Sylow subgroup G_2 is cyclic. Then G_2 has a normal 2-complement N so that $G/N \cong G_2$. Let L be the fixed field of N. Then the tower formula yields $d(L/F)J_F^2 = d(\Omega/F)J_F^2$, so that by Fröhlich's characterization, $\Omega \subset L$.

Now $\theta \equiv 1 \pmod{F^2}$ implies that almost all v split in L, whence G_2 cannot be cyclic. The converse, of course, is contained in Theorem 1.3.

REMARK. An independent proof is given in [2]. Also, a proof when G is abelian appears in [6].

We now prove two further results for p = 2.

THEOREM 2.2. If K/F is normal and nonramified, and G contains a noncyclic 2-Sylow group, then \mathfrak{O}_{κ} has an \mathfrak{O}_{r} -integral basis.

Proof. Immediate from Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.5 of [1].

THEOREM 2.3. If K/F is a Galois extension and $d(K/F) \in J_F^2/U_F^2$, then G is solvable.

Proof. Since θ is not a square, the degree (K: F) must be even since $(\Omega: F) = 2$. Therefore by Theorem 2.1 the 2-Sylow groups are cyclic. Hence any such subgroup G_2 has a normal 2-complement Nwith $G/N \cong G_2$. Since both N and G_2 are solvable, G is itself solvable.

For the remainder of the section, consider an arbitrary prime $p \ge 2$. This now imposes a restriction on F. Moreover, if p > 2 then θ is not determined, up to a *p*th power, by d(K/F), as was the case when p = 2. Hence the notion of a discriminant field does not extend to an arbitrary prime. Also, the exceptional primes, which play no role in the p = 2 theory, are now important. The results for p > 2 are therefore not as strong as these obtained for p = 2.

However, we have the following generalization of Herbrand's theorem.

THEOREM 2.4. Assuming the hypotheses of Theorem 1.3, then $\mathfrak{d}_{K/F}$ can be written as a product of ideals in the form $\mathfrak{A}^p\mathfrak{D}(\theta)$, where $\theta \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{B}}$, and \mathfrak{B} is the greatest divisor of $(\zeta_p - 1)^p$, prime to $\mathfrak{d}_{K/F}$; \mathfrak{D} is divisible only by ramified primes and is characterized by the relations

DONALD MAURER

$$\upsilon(\mathfrak{D}) = egin{cases} \phi_{K/F}(\upsilon) & ext{if } \upsilon ext{ is exceptional} \ -\upsilon(heta) - rac{(L:F)}{e_{L/F}(\upsilon)} & ext{if } \upsilon ext{ is ramified, nonexceptional} \ . \end{cases}$$

Proof. In the representation of Theorem 1.3, let the idèle d be defined by $d_v = 1$ at all infinite divisors, and $d_v = \pi_v^{\phi(v)} \theta^{\psi(v)-1}$ at all $v \in \mathscr{M}_F$. Let \mathfrak{D} be the ideal naturally determined by d; then $v(\mathfrak{D}) = \phi(v) + v(\theta)(\psi(v) - 1)$. The computations are straightforward, using the congruence relation at the end of the previous section.

Since $\phi \equiv 0$ and $\psi \equiv 1$ when p = 2, it is evident that, for $\upsilon \in \mathscr{M}_F$ at least, this result is consistent with Herband's theorem.

If the exceptional divisors are known, b can be determined from d(K/F), for a consequence of the representation theorem is that for an exceptional divisor υ , $\phi_{K/F}(\upsilon) \equiv d(K/F) \pmod{p}$. In this case, the next result gives a sufficient condition for G_p to be cyclic.

THEOREM 2.4. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1.3, suppose that

$$d(K/F) \equiv a_1^p b c_1 (\text{mod } U_F^2)$$
,

where b is as determined in Theorem 1.3. Then G_p is cyclic if $c_1 \notin U_F^2 J_F^p$. If K/F is a cyclic p-extension, then a necessary condition for υ to split in K is that $c_{1\nu} \in U_{\nu}^2 F_{\nu}^p$.

Proof. Let c be determined as in Theorem 1.3. Then $c_1 \equiv c \pmod{U_F^2 J_F^p}$. If G_p is noncyclic, then c = 1, whence $c_1 \in U_F^2 J_F^p$. Now if K/F is a cyclic p-extension, then $\theta \in F_v^p$ if and only if v splits in K, whence $c_1 \in U_v^2 F_v^p$ if v splits.

The results of this section show how d(K/F) can be used to obtain structural information about the Galois group of K/F, or in the case of cyclic *p*-extensions, the splitting of primes.

References

1. A. Fröhlich, Discriminants of algebraic number fields, Math. Zeitschr., 74 (1960), 18-28.

- 2. V. Gallagher, The trace-form on Galois field extensions, pre-print.
- 3. E. Hecke, Vorlesungen uber die Theorie der algebraischen Zahlen, New York, 1948.
- 4. J. Herbrand, Une propriété du discriminant des corps algébriques, Ann. Ecole normale (3), **49** (1932), 105-112.

5. R. Mackenzie and G. Whaples, Artin-Schreier equations in characteristic zero, Amer. J. Math., **78** (1956), 473-485.

6. D. Maurer, Invariants of the trace-form of a number field, Linear and Multilinear Algebra, 6 (1978), 33-36.

7. J. P. Serre, Corps Locaux, Paris, 1968.

Received June 24, 1977 and in revised form March 9, 1979.

Center for Naval Analyses 2000 N. Beauregard St. Alexandria, VA 22331

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California Los Angeles, CA 90024

HUGO ROSSI University of Utah Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ANDREW OGG University of California Berkeley, CA 94720 J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

F. WOLF

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. **39**. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints to each author are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$84.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$42.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Older back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.).

8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1979 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 85, No. 2 October, 1979

Charles A. Akemann and Steve Wright, <i>Compact and weakly compact</i>	252
Dwight Richard Bean Andrzei Ehrenfeucht and George Frank McNulty	253
Avoidable patterns in strings of symbols	261
Richard Clark Brown, Notes on generalized boundary value problems in	
Banach spaces. I. Adjoint and extension theory	295
Kenneth Alexander Brown and John William Lawrence, Injective hulls of	
group rings	323
Jacob Burbea, <i>The Schwarzian derivative and the Poincaré metric</i>	345
Stefan Andrus Burr, On the completeness of sequences of perturbed	
polynomial values	355
Peter H. Chang, On the characterizations of the breakdown points of	
quasilinear wave equations	361
Joseph Nicholas Fadyn, <i>The projectivity of</i> $Ext(T, A)$ as a module over	
E(T)	383
Donald Eugene Maurer, Arithmetic properties of the idèle discriminant	393
Stuart Rankin, Clive Reis and Gabriel Thierrin, <i>Right subdirectly irreducible</i>	
semigroups	403
David Lee Rector, <i>Homotopy theory of rigid profinite spaces</i> . I	413
Raymond Moos Redheffer and Wolfgang V. Walter, <i>Comparison theorems</i>	
for parabolic functional inequalities	447
H. M. (Hari Mohan) Srivastava, Some generalizations of Carlitz's	
theorem	471
James Alan Wood, Unbounded multipliers on commutative Banach algebras	479
T. Yoshimoto, Vector-valued ergodic theorems for operators satisfying norm	185
Jerry Searcy and B. Andreas Troesch, Correction to: "A cuclic incoundity	-05
and a related eigenvalue problem"	501
Leslie Wilson, Corrections to: "Nonopenness of the set of Thom-Boardman maps"	501