# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

# HERMITIAN LIFTINGS IN ORLICZ SEQUENCE SPACES

GRAHAM DONALD ALLEN, DAVID ALAN LEGG AND JOSEPH DINNEEN WARD

Vol. 86, No. 2

December 1980

# HERMITIAN LIFTINGS IN ORLICZ SEQUENCE SPACES

G. D. Allen, D. A. Legg and J. D. Ward

Let M and N be complimentary Orlicz functions satisfying the  $\Delta_2$ -condition, and let  $l_M$  and  $l_{(M)}$  be the Orlicz sequence spaces associated with M with the two usual norms. We show that if 2 is not in the associated interval for M, then every essentially Hermitian operator on  $l_M$  or  $l_{(M)}$  is a compact perturbation of a real diagonal operator.

1. Introduction. If B is a unital Banach algebra, let  $S = \{f \in B^*: f(e) = 1 = ||f||\}$  be the state space and for each element  $x \in B$ , and set  $W(x) = \{f(x): f \in S\}$ . Let X be a complex Banach space, B(X) the space of bounded linear operators on X, and C(X) the space of compact linear operators on X. The quotient algebra A(X) = B(X)/C(X) is called the Calkin algebra and both B(X) and A(X) are unital Banach algebras. If  $T \in B(X)$ , the set W(T) is called the numerical range of T, and the set  $W_e(T) = \bigcap_{K \in C(X)} W(T + K)$  is called essential numerical range of T. An operator  $T \in B(X)$  is called Hermitian if  $W(T) \subseteq R$ , the real line, and essentially Hermitian if  $W_e(T) \subseteq R$ .

Clearly any compact perturbation of a Hermitian operator  $T \in B(X)$  is essentially Hermitian, but the converse is by no means obvious. The converse is easy if X is a Hilbert space, and has been shown to be true if  $X = l_p$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , (cf. [1] and [4]). In this paper, we show the converse is true for those Orlicz sequence spaces X for which 2 is not in the so called associated interval. This term is defined below.

2. Orlicz sequence spaces. We refer the reader to [3] and [6] for references on Orlicz spaces. In [3], Orlicz function spaces are considered, and many of the results translate directly into the sequence space setting.

In this paper, assume that M is a continuous, strictly increasing, convex function defined on  $[0, \infty)$ , with M(0) = 0, and  $\lim_{t\to\infty} M(t) = \infty$ . Any function M satisfying these properties is called an Orlicz function. The complementary function will be denoted by N. We assume M and N both satisfy the  $\Delta_2$ -condition; that is, there exists  $K_0 > 0$ such that  $M(2t) \leq K_0 M(t)$  and  $N(2t) \leq K_0 N(t)$  for all t. By [5, Prop. 2.9], this means there exists  $K_1 \geq 1$  such that

(1) 
$$1 \leq \frac{tM'(t)}{M(t)} \leq K_1 \text{ and } 1 \leq \frac{tN'(t)}{N(t)} \leq K_1$$

for all t.

Since we are assuming the  $\Delta_2$ -condition, we may further assume that  $p \equiv M'$  and  $q \equiv N'$  are continuous and strictly increasing (cf. [5], Prop. 2.15). Recall also that p and q are inverse functions of each other.

The following are equivalent norms on the Orlicz sequence spaces:

$$\|ar{a}\|_{M} = \|\{a_{n}\}\|_{M} = \inf\left\{k:\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|a_{n}|}{k}\right) \leq 1
ight\}.$$
  
 $\|ar{a}\|_{(M)} = \|\{a_{n}\}\|_{(M)} = \sup\left\{\left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}b_{n}\right|:\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} N(|b_{n}|) \leq 1
ight\}.$ 

Note that  $||\bar{\alpha}||_{\mathfrak{M}} = 1$  if and only if  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M(|a_n|) = 1$ . Denote by  $l_{\mathfrak{M}}$  and  $l_{(\mathfrak{M})}$  the Orlicz sequence spaces endowed with the  $||\cdot||_{\mathfrak{M}}$  and  $||\cdot||_{(\mathfrak{M})}$  norms, respectively. The dual space  $l_{\mathfrak{M}}^*$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $l_{(N)}$  (cf. [6], Prop. 4.b.1), and the dual space  $l_{(\mathfrak{M})}^*$  is isometrically isometrically isomorphic to  $l_N$  (cf. [3], p. 135). Because both M and N are assumed to satisfy the  $\mathcal{A}_2$ -condition,  $l_{\mathfrak{M}}$  (and  $l_N$ ) are uniformly convex [7, Thm. 1] and thus reflexive (condition (iv) in Theorem 11 of [7] is extraneous in the case of sequence spaces as has been noted in [2, Theorem. 3]).

For each Orlicz function define the following two numbers:

$$(2) \qquad \qquad lpha_{\scriptscriptstyle M} = \sup \left\{ p : \sup_{\scriptscriptstyle 0 < \lambda, t \leq 1} rac{M(\lambda t)}{M(\lambda) t^p} < \infty 
ight\}$$

$$(3) \qquad \qquad \beta_{\scriptscriptstyle M} = \inf \left\{ p : \inf_{0 < \lambda, t \leq 1} \frac{M(\lambda t)}{M(\lambda) t^p} > 0 \right\} \ .$$

It is easy to see that  $1 \leq \alpha_M \leq \beta_M \leq \infty$ , and that  $\beta_M < \infty$  if and only if M satisfies the  $\Delta_2$ -condition near 0 (cf. [6, Theorem 4.a.9]). Let  $\alpha_N$  and  $\beta_N$  be the values defined as above for the complementary function N. Then it is known that  $\alpha_M^{-1} + \beta_N^{-1} = 1$  and  $\alpha_N^{-1} + \beta_M^{-1} = 1$ (cf. [6, Theorem 4.b.3]). Hence if M and N satisfy the  $\Delta_2$ -condition, we have  $1 < \alpha_M \leq \beta_M < \infty$  and  $1 < \alpha_N \leq \beta_N < \infty$ . The interval  $[\alpha_M, \beta_M]$  is called the *associated interval* for M.

If  $2 < \alpha_{M} \leq \beta_{M} < \infty$ , r and s can be chosen so that  $2 < r < \alpha_{M} \leq \beta_{M} < s < \infty$ . Then from (2) there is a constant  $K_{4} < \infty$  such that

$$(4) \qquad \qquad \sup_{0 < \lambda : t \leq 1} \frac{M(\lambda t)}{M(\lambda)t^r} = K_4 .$$

Using (1), (2) and the fact that  $M(\lambda) = \int_0^\lambda p(t) dt \leq \lambda p(\lambda)$  we have

$$(\ 5\ )\qquad \sup_{0<\lambda,t\leq 1}rac{p(\lambda t)}{p(\lambda)t^{r-1}}\leq \sup_{0<\lambda,t\leq 1}rac{K_1M(\lambda t)}{\lambda t\lambda^{-1}M(\lambda)t^{r-1}}=K_1K_4=Q_1<\infty$$

Similarly, using (3) and (1), it follows that

$$(6) \qquad \qquad \inf_{0<\lambda,t\leq 1}rac{p(\lambda t)}{p(\lambda)t^{s-1}}=Q_2>0\;.$$

These inequalities will be used later.

3. Vector states on  $B(l_M)$  and  $B(l_{(M)})$ .

THEOREM 3.1. If  $\bar{a} = \{a_n\}$  is a unit vector in  $l_M$ , let  $\bar{a}' = \{a'_n\}$ , where  $a'_n = kp(|a_n|) \operatorname{sgn} a_n$  and  $k = ||\{p(|a_n|)\}||_{(N)}^{-1}$ . Then the mapping  $A \to \langle A\bar{a}, \bar{a}' \rangle$  defines a state on  $B(l_M)$ . Furthermore, there is a  $K_2 > 0$  such that  $K_2 \leq k \leq 1$  for all unit vectors  $\bar{a} \in l_M$ .

*Proof.*  $\bar{a}'$  is a unit vector in  $l_{(N)}$  by the definition of k. Now  $||\bar{a}||_{\mathfrak{M}} = 1$  implies  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M(|a_n|) = 1$ , and this is the same as  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M(q(p(|a_n|))) = 1$ . By [3, Theorem 10.4],

$$egin{aligned} &\langle ar{a}, \,ar{a}' 
angle &= \sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n k p(|\,a_n\,|) \, ext{sgn} \,ar{a}_n &= k \sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} |\,a_n\,| p(|\,a_n\,|) \ &= k \sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} |\,p(|\,a_n\,|)\,|\,q(p(|\,a_n\,|)) &= k\,||\,\{p(|\,a_n\,|)\}\,||_{\scriptscriptstyle (N)} = 1 \ . \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $A \to \langle A\bar{a}, \bar{a}' \rangle$  defines a vector state on  $B(l_M)$  for each unit vector  $\bar{a} \in l_M$ .

Since  $||\{p(|a_n|)\}||_{(N)} \ge 1$ , it follows that  $k \le 1$ . Using (1) and the equality above,  $\sum |a_n| p(|a_n|) = ||p(|a_n|)||_{(N)}$ , it follows that  $||\{p(|a_n|)\}||_{(N)} \le K_1$ . Thus  $K_1^{-1} \le k \le 1$ . Take  $K_2 = K_1^{-1}$  and the proof is complete.

THEOREM 3.2. If  $\bar{a} = \{a_n\}$  is a unit vector in  $l_{(M)}$ , let  $\bar{a}'' = \{a''_n\}$ , where  $a''_n = p(k|a_n|) \operatorname{sgn} a_n$  and k > 0 is chosen so that  $\sum N(p(k|a_n|)) = 1$ . Then the mapping  $A \to \langle A\bar{a}, \bar{a}'' \rangle$  defines a state on  $B(l_{(M)})$ . Furthermore, there is a  $K_3 \geq 1$  such that  $1 \leq k \leq K_3$  for all unit vectors  $\bar{a} \in l_{(M)}$ .

*Proof.* The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.1. In this case, note that

$$\|\{a_n''\}\|_N = 1 = \left\|\left\{\frac{1}{k}q(|a_n''|)\right\}\right\|_{(M)} = \|\{|a_n|\}\|_{(M)}$$

It follows that  $\langle \bar{a}, \bar{a}'' \rangle = 1/k || \{q(|a_n''|)\}||_{(M)} = 1$ . So  $A \to \langle A\bar{a}, \bar{a}'' \rangle$  defines a vector state on  $B(l_{(M)})$  for each unit vector  $\bar{a} \in l_{(M)}$ . Also  $K_1^{-1} \leq k^{-1} \leq 1$ , so take  $K_3 = K_1$  and the proof is complete.

4. Essentially Hermitian operators on  $l_M$  or  $l_{(M)}$ . Let A be an operator on  $l_M$  or  $l_{(M)}$  and define

$$r_i(A) = \max \left\{ |\operatorname{Im} z| \colon z \in W(A) \right\}$$
.

Let  $\mathscr{P}$  be the set of projections onto the span of a subset of the canonical basis vectors for  $l_{\mathcal{M}}$  or  $l_{(\mathcal{M})}$ . If  $P \in \mathscr{P}$ , define  $P^{\perp} = I - P$ , where I is the identity operator.

Our first result in this section is trivially true in the  $l_p$  spaces  $p \neq 2$ ,  $1 , and is also true for the Orlicz spaces under consideration here. But due to the state structure in <math>l_M$  the result must be proved. Recall that throughout this paper M and N satisfy the  $\Delta_2$ -condition and hence that  $l_M$  is reflexive and uniformly convex.

LEMMA 4.1. There is a constant c > 0 so that  $r_i(PAP) < cr_i(A)$  for all  $P \in \mathscr{P}$  and  $A \in B(l_M)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose for a given  $A \in B(l_M)$  and  $P \in \mathscr{P}$  with  $P^{\perp}$  infinite dimensional that there exists a vector  $\sigma = \{\sigma_n\}$  in  $l_M$  for which  $r_i(PAP) \equiv \delta = \operatorname{Im} \langle PAP\sigma, \sigma' \rangle$ . From Theorem 3.1, it follows that  $\sigma' = \{kp(|\sigma_n|) \operatorname{sgn} \sigma_n\}$  where  $k = ||\{p(|\sigma_n|)\}||_{(\mathcal{N})}^{-1}$  and that

$$r_i(PAP) = k \operatorname{Im} \langle A \hat{\sigma}, \{ p(|\, {\hat{\sigma}}_n |) \operatorname{sgn} {\hat{\sigma}}_n \} 
angle$$

where  $\hat{\sigma} = \{\hat{\sigma}_n\}$  satisfies  $P\hat{\sigma} = \sigma$  and  $P^{\perp}\hat{\sigma} = 0$ . Clearly  $||\hat{\sigma}|| \leq 1$ . We wish to perturb  $\hat{\sigma}$  into a unit vector  $\gamma$  for which Im  $\langle A\gamma, \gamma' \rangle \geq c\delta$  for some c > 0, c independent of  $\sigma$ , P and A. Since  $l_M$  is reflexive the basis  $\{e_i\}$  is shrinking [6]. Furthermore the sequences  $\{e_i\}$  and  $\{Ae_i\}$  converge weakly to zero. From this it follows that for given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists an N so that

$$|\langle A(\hat{\sigma}+re_{\scriptscriptstyle N}),\,(\hat{\sigma}+re_{\scriptscriptstyle N})'
angle -k'\langle A\hat{\sigma},\,\{p(|\,\hat{\sigma}_{\scriptscriptstyle n}\,|)\,{
m sgn}\,\,\hat{\sigma}_{\scriptscriptstyle n}\}
angle -k'\langle Are_{\scriptscriptstyle N},\,p(r)e_{\scriptscriptstyle N}'
angle\,|$$

where  $0 \leq r < 1$  is chosen so that  $||\hat{\sigma} + re_N|| = 1$  and  $k' = ||\{p(\hat{\sigma}_n), p(r)\}||_{(N)}^{-1}$ . From Theorem 3.1,  $K_2 \leq k'/k$ . Hence it follows that

$$egin{aligned} &\operatorname{Im}ig\langle A(\hat{\sigma}+re_{\scriptscriptstyle N}),\,(\hat{\sigma}+re_{\scriptscriptstyle N})'ig
angle\ &\geq\operatorname{Im}\left[k'\langle A\hat{\sigma},\,\{p(|\,\hat{\sigma}_{\scriptscriptstyle n}\,|)\,\operatorname{sgn}\,\hat{\sigma}_{\scriptscriptstyle n}\}
angle+k'\langle Are_{\scriptscriptstyle N},\,p(r)e_{\scriptscriptstyle N}'
angle
ight]-arepsilon\ . \end{aligned}$$

 $\mathbf{So}$ 

$$r_i(A) \geqq rac{k'}{k} [k \ \mathrm{Im} ig\langle A \hat{\sigma}, \{ p(|\, {\hat{\sigma}}_n \, |) \, \mathrm{sgn} \, {\hat{\sigma}}_n \} 
angle + k \ \mathrm{Im} ig\langle A r e_{\scriptscriptstyle N}, \, p(r) e_{\scriptscriptstyle N}' 
angle ] - arepsilon \; .$$

Now if  $|\operatorname{Im} \langle Ae_{\scriptscriptstyle N}, e_{\scriptscriptstyle N}' \rangle| \geq K_2 \delta/2$ , the lemma is proved with  $c = K_2/2$ . So assume  $|\operatorname{Im} \langle Ae_{\scriptscriptstyle N}, e_{\scriptscriptstyle N}' \rangle| < K_2 \delta/2$  ( $K_2$  as in Theorem 3.1). In this case, note that the quantities r and  $kp(r)K_2$  are less than or equal to 1 since  $p(r)K_2k < p(r)k' = p(r)/||\{p(\hat{\sigma}), p(r)\}||_{(N)}$  and it follows that

$$egin{aligned} r_{i}(A) & \geq rac{k'}{k} [\delta - krp(r)K_{2}\delta/2] - arepsilon \ & \geq rac{k'}{k} [\delta/2] - arepsilon \geq K_{2}\delta/2 - arepsilon \end{aligned}$$

and the lemma still holds with  $c = K_2/2$ .

Consider next the case  $P \in \mathscr{P}$  with  $P^{\perp}$  finite dimensional. Then P eventually "looks like" the identity. Suppose for such P,  $r_i(PAP) > cr_i(A)$  with c as above. Then there exists a unit vector  $\sigma$  such that

Im 
$$\langle PAP\sigma, \sigma' \rangle > cr_i(A)$$

and due to the continuity of the inner product assume  $\sigma$  has finite support. The projection P can now be altered to a projection P'for which  $P'^{\perp}$  is infinite dimensional and  $\operatorname{Im} \langle P'AP'\sigma, \sigma' \rangle > cr_i(A)$ . But this is impossible and so the lemma is valid for all projections.

LEMMA 4.2. If  $2 < \alpha_M$ , then there is a constant  $c_M$  such that  $\sup_{P \in \mathcal{F}} ||PAP^{\perp}|| \leq c_M r_i(A)$  for all  $A \in B(l_M)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $A \in B(l_{M})$  be fixed, and let  $\sup_{P \in \mathbb{V}} ||PAP^{\perp}|| = \alpha$ . Assume, without loss of generality, that the supremums of the above expression are attained; that is, there exists some  $P \in \mathscr{P}$  and fixed unit vectors  $\overline{a} \in l_{M}$  and  $\overline{b'} \in l_{(N)}$  satisfying  $\alpha = \langle PAP^{\perp}\overline{a}, \overline{b'} \rangle$ . Letting  $\overline{b}$  be associated with  $\overline{b'}$  as above (i.e.,  $\langle \overline{b}, \overline{b'} \rangle = 1$ ,  $||\overline{b}|| = 1$ ) assume  $P^{\perp}\overline{a} = \overline{a}, P\overline{b} = \overline{b}$ . So  $\overline{a}$  and  $\overline{b}$  have disjoint supports. Let  $\hat{\sigma} = c\overline{a} + d\overline{b}$ , where c and d are chosen so that  $||\hat{\sigma}||_{M} = 1$  and c sgn  $\overline{d} = i|c|$ . Since  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M(|c||a_{n}| + |d||b_{n}|) = 1$  and M is convex, we must have  $|d| \geq 1 - |c| \geq 0$ .

Now it follow that

$$egin{aligned} &r_i(A) \geqq |\operatorname{Im} ig\langle Aar{\sigma},\,ar{\sigma}' ig
angle | \ &= |\operatorname{Im} \{ig\langle PAP^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp}ar{\sigma},\,ar{\sigma}' ig
angle + ig\langle P^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp}AP^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp}ar{\sigma},\,ar{\sigma}' ig
angle + ig\langle PAPar{\sigma},\,ar{\sigma}' ig
angle | \ &= |\operatorname{Im} \{ig\langle PAP^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp}ar{\sigma},\,ar{\sigma}' ig
angle + ig\langle P^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp}APar{\sigma},\,ar{\sigma}' ig
angle | \ &\geq |\operatorname{Im} \{ig\langle PAP^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp}ar{\sigma},\,ar{\sigma}' ig
angle + ig\langle P^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp}APar{\sigma},\,ar{\sigma}' ig
angle + ig\langle P^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp}APar{\sigma},\,ar{\sigma}' ig
angle | \ &= |\operatorname{Im} \{ig\langle PAP^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp}ar{\sigma},\,ar{\sigma}' ig
angle + ig\langle P^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp}APar{\sigma},\,ar{\sigma}' ig
angle | \ &= |\operatorname{Im} \{ig\langle PAP^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp}ar{\sigma},\,ar{\sigma}' ig
angle + ig\langle P^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp}APar{\sigma},\,ar{\sigma}' ig
angle | \ &= |\operatorname{Im} \{ig\langle PAP^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp}ar{\sigma},\,ar{\sigma}' ig
angle + ig\langle P^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp}APar{\sigma},\,ar{\sigma}' ig
angle | \ &= |\operatorname{Im} \{ig
angle + ig\langle P^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp}APar{\sigma},\,ar{\sigma}' ig
angle + ig\langle P^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp}APar{\sigma},\,ar{\sigma}' ig
angle | \ &= |\operatorname{Im} \{ig
angle + ig\langle P^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp}APar{\sigma},\,ar{\sigma}' ig
angle + ig\langle P^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp}APar{\sigma},\,ar{\sigma}' ig
angle | \ &= |\operatorname{Im} \{ig
angle + ig
angle + ig\langle P^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp}APar{\sigma},\,ar{\sigma}' ig
angle | \ &= |\operatorname{Im} \{ig
angle + ig\langle P^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp}APar{\sigma},\,ar{\sigma}' ig
angle + ig\langle P^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp}APar{\sigma},\,ar{\sigma}' ig
angle | \ &= |\operatorname{Im} \{ig
angle + ig\langle P^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp}APar{\sigma},\,ar{\sigma}' ig
angle | \ &= |\operatorname{Im} \{A^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp}APar{\sigma},\,ar{\sigma}' ig
angle + ig\langle P^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp}APar{\sigma},\,ar{\sigma}' ig
angle | \ &= |\operatorname{Im} \{A^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp}APar{\sigma},\,ar{\sigma}' ig
angle + ig\langle P^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp}APar{\sigma},\,ar{\sigma}' ig
angle | \ &= |\operatorname{Im} \{A^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp}APar{\sigma},\,ar{\sigma}' ig
angle + ig\langle P^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp}APar{\sigma},\,ar{\sigma}' ig
angle | \ &= |\operatorname{Im} \{A^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp}APar{\sigma},\,ar{\sigma}' ig
angle + ig\langle P^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp}APar{\sigma},\,ar{\sigma}' ig
angle | \ &= |\operatorname{Im} \{A^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp}APar{\sigma},\,ar{\sigma}' ar{\sigma} | \ &= |\operatorname{Im} \{A^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp}APa$$

where the last inequality follows from Lemma 4.1. Hence letting c' = 2c + 1 we have

$$egin{aligned} c'r_i(A) &\geq |\operatorname{Im}\left\{ \langle PAP^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp}ar{\sigma},\,ar{\sigma}'
angle + \langle P^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp}APar{\sigma},\,ar{\sigma}'
angle 
ight\} | \ &= \left|\operatorname{Im}\left\{ \sum_{n=1}^\infty \left(PAP^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp}ar{a})_n ck_1 p(|\,db_n\,|)\,\operatorname{sgn}\overline{db_n} 
ight. \end{aligned} \end{aligned}$$

G. D. ALLEN, D. A. LEGG AND J. D. WARD

$$(7) \qquad \qquad +\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (P^{\perp}AP\bar{b})_{n}dk_{1}p(|ca_{n}|)\operatorname{sgn}\overline{ca_{n}}\Big\} \Big| \\ = \Big|\operatorname{Im}\Big\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (PAP^{\perp}\bar{a})_{n}k_{2}p(|b_{n}|)\operatorname{sgn}\bar{b}_{n} \cdot c\operatorname{sgn}\bar{d}\frac{k_{1}}{k_{2}}\frac{p(|db_{n}|)}{p(|b_{n}|)} \\ +\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (P^{\perp}APb)_{n}k_{3}p(|a_{n}|)\operatorname{sgn}(\overline{P^{\perp}APb})_{n} \\ \times d\operatorname{sgn}\overline{c}\frac{k_{1}}{k_{3}}\frac{p(|ca_{n}|)}{p(|a_{n}|)}\frac{\operatorname{sgn}\bar{a}_{n}}{\operatorname{sgn}(\overline{P^{\perp}APb})_{n}}\Big\}\Big|$$

where  $k_1$ ,  $k_2$  and  $k_3$  are the positive weights associated with  $\bar{\sigma}'$ ,  $\bar{b}'$ ,  $\bar{a}'$  as in Theorem 3.1.

From (5) and (6), the inequality (7) continues as

$$(8) \qquad c'r_i(A) \ge \left| \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (PAP^{\perp}\bar{a})_n k_2 p(|b_n|) \operatorname{sgn} \bar{b}_n \cdot c \operatorname{sgn} \bar{d} \cdot \frac{k_1}{k_2} Q_2 |d|^{s-1} \right\} \right| \\ - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (P^{\perp}AP\bar{b})_n k_3 p(|a_n|) \operatorname{sgn} (\overline{P^{\perp}AP\bar{b}})_n \cdot |d| \cdot \frac{k_1}{k_3} Q_1 |c|^{r-1}$$

where each term in the second series is nonnegative. Since  $c \operatorname{sgn} \bar{d} = |c|i$  it follows from (5) that

$$(9) \qquad \begin{array}{l} c'r_{i}(A) \geq \langle PAP^{\perp}\bar{a}, \, \bar{b'} \rangle R'_{2}|c||d|^{s-1} - \langle P^{\perp}AP\bar{b}, \, \bar{a}'' \rangle R'_{1}|d||c|^{r-1} \\ \geq \{R'_{2}|c||d|^{s-1} - R'_{1}|d||c|^{r-1}\}\alpha \\ \geq \{R_{2}|c||d|^{s-1} - R_{1}|d||c|^{r-1}\}\alpha \end{array}$$

where

$$R_2'=rac{k_1}{k_2}Q_2$$
 ,  $R_1'=rac{k_1}{k_3}Q_1$  ,  $R_2=K_2Q_2$  ,  $R_1=K_2^{-1}Q_1$ 

and  $\bar{a}'' = \{k_3 p(|a_n|) \operatorname{sgn}(\overline{P^1 A P b})_n\}$ . Notice that the constants  $R_2$  and  $R_1$  are independent of the vectors  $\bar{\sigma}$ ,  $\bar{a}$  and  $\bar{b}$ . Now choose |c| so small that

$$rac{(1-|c_{_0}|)^{s-2}}{|c_{_0}|^{r-2}}>2rac{R_{_1}}{R_{_2}}\,.$$

Then  $R_2(1-|c_0|)^{s-2} > 2R_1|c_0|^{r-2}$ , so  $R_2(1-|c_0|)^{s-2} - R_1|c_0|^{r-2} > R_1|c_0|^{r-2}$ . Finally, choose c such that  $|c| = |c_0|$ . Recalling that  $|d| \ge 1 - |c_0|$ , it follows that

(10)  
$$R_{2}|c||d|^{s-1} - R_{1}|d||c|^{r-1} = |c_{0}||d|(R_{2}|d|^{s-2} - R_{1}|c_{0}|^{r-2}) \\ \ge |c_{0}||d|(R_{2}(1 - |c_{0}|)^{s-2} - R_{1}|c_{0}|^{r-2}) \\ \ge |c_{0}||d||R_{1}|c_{0}|^{r-2} \\ \ge R_{1}|c_{0}|^{r-1}(1 - |c_{0}|) .$$

Hence by (9) and (10), we may take  $c_{M} = c'[R_{1}|c_{0}|^{r-1}(1-|c_{0}|)]^{-1}$  and the lemma is proved.

384

**LEMMA 4.3.** If  $2 < \alpha_M$ , then there exists a constant  $c_M$  such that  $\sup_{P \in \mathscr{P}} ||PAP^{\perp}|| \leq c_M r_i(A)$  for all  $A \in B(l_{(M)})$ .

*Proof.* The proof is almost identical with the proof of Lemma 4.2, with  $\overline{b'}$  replaced with b'' (of Theorem 3.2).

THEOREM 4.4. If  $2 \notin [\alpha_M, \beta_M]$ , then there exists a constant  $c_M$  such that  $\sup_{P \in \mathscr{P}} ||PAP^{\perp}|| \leq c_M r_i(A)$  for all  $A \in B(l_M)$  or  $B(l_{(M)})$ .

*Proof.* If  $2 < \alpha_M$ , the conclusion follows from Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3. If  $1 < \alpha_M \leq \beta_M < 2$ , then consider the transpose operator  $A^t \in B(l_{(N)})$  or  $B(l_N)$ . From the above relations between  $\alpha_M$ ,  $\beta_N$  and  $\beta_M$ ,  $\alpha_N$ , and since  $2 < \alpha_N \leq \beta_N < \infty$ , the conclusion follows from Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3.

REMARK. Theorem 4.4 implies that Hermitian elements in  $B(l_M)$  or  $B(l_{(M)})$ ,  $2 \notin [\alpha_M, \beta_M]$ , must be diagonal with respect to the canonical basis. Results of this type were first obtained by Tam (see [8]).

THEOREM 4.5. If  $A \in B(l_M)$  or  $B(l_{(M)})$ , then  $||A - \operatorname{diam} A|| \leq 1$ 8  $\sup_{P \in \mathscr{P}} ||PAP^{\perp}||$ .

The proof of this result requires nothing special about the function M. Indeed, below, we sketch the proof which in detail can be found in [1], Lemmas 3, 4, 5 and 6. Since  $l_M$  is reflexive, the canonical basis  $\{e_i\}$  is unconditionally monotone and shrinking. From those facts it can be verified that there are diagonal operators  $u_k \in B(l_M)$  for which  $\bar{u}_k u_k = 1$  and for which the

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{k=1}^nrac{1}{n}(ar{u}_kAu_k)= ext{diag}\ A$$
 ,

with the limit being taken in the  $w^*$  topology of  $B(l_M)$ . With this and the  $w^*$ -lower-semicontinuity of the norm it follows that

$$\begin{split} ||\operatorname{diag} A - A|| &\leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{n} \bar{u}_{k} A u_{k} - A \right\| \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} ||A u_{k} - u_{k} A|| \\ &\leq \sup \{||SA - AS||: S \text{ is a diagonal operator in} \\ & B(l_{M}), ||S|| = 1\} \,. \end{split}$$

Finally, by a result of Arveson [1, Lemma 6], this quantity is shown to be  $\leq 8 \sup_{P \in \mathscr{P}} ||PAP^{\perp}||$ . This completes a sketch of the proof of the theorem.

THEOREM 4.6. Let  $2 \notin [\alpha_M, \beta_M]$ . If A is an essentially Hermitian operator in  $B(l_M)$  or  $B(l_{(M)})$ , then there is a real diagonal operator D and a compact operator K such that A = D + K.

**Proof.** We show that  $A - \operatorname{Re}\operatorname{diag} A$  is compact. Suppose that diag  $A = \operatorname{Re}\operatorname{diag} A$ , since Im diag A must be compact for essentially Hermitian operators. Recall that  $P_n^{\perp}$  is the projection onto span  $\{e_{n+1}, e_{n+2}, \cdots\}$ . If  $r_i((A - \operatorname{re}\operatorname{diag} A)P_n^{\perp})$  is not convergent to zero as  $n \to \infty$ , it is simple to construct a sequence of mutually disjoint norm one vectors  $v_n$  for which  $\inf_n |\operatorname{Im} \langle (A - \operatorname{Re}\operatorname{diag} A)v_n, v'_n \rangle| = k > 0$ . If glim denotes Banach limit, then  $\phi(\cdot) \equiv \operatorname{glim} \langle \cdot v_n, v'_n \rangle$  is a state on the Calkin algebra for which  $\operatorname{Im} \phi(A) = k > 0$ . This contradicts the hypothesis that A is essentially Hermitian. Hence by Theorems 4.4 and 4.5 it follows that  $||(A - \operatorname{Re}\operatorname{diag} A)P_n^{\perp}|| \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . This means that, in the uniform norm,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} (A - \operatorname{Re}\operatorname{diag} A)P_n = A - \operatorname{Re}\operatorname{diag} A \ .$$

Since each  $P_n$  is compact, the theorem is proved.

5. Concluding remarks. It is conjectured that if  $2 \in [\alpha_M, \beta_M]$  the main result does not hold in general. The reason is this: if  $2 \in [\alpha_M, \beta_M]$  then  $l_M$  contains a subspace isomorphic to  $l_2$ , and indeed the subspace can even be complemented. However even with the assumption that  $l_M$  contains a complemented subspace isomorphic to  $l_2$  we have been unable to establish the conjecture. The existence of the isomorphism is simply not enough; in fact there is a modular Orlicz sequence space, isomorphic to  $l_2$ , which contains only diagonal Hermitian operators.

The analogous result to Theorem 4.5 in Orlicz function spaces, even in  $L_p$   $1 \leq p < \infty$ , is another matter altogether and it is posed as an open problem.

## References

1. G. D. Allen and J. D. Ward, Hermitian liftings in  $B(l_p)$ , J. Operator Theory, to appear.

2. Z. Altshuler, The modulus of convexity of Lorentz sequence and Orlicz spaces, preprint.

<sup>3.</sup> M. A. Krasnosel'skii and Y. R. Rutickii, Convex Functions and Orlicz spaces, Groningen, 1961.

<sup>4.</sup> D. A. Legg and J. D. Ward, Essentially Hermitian operators on  $l_1$  are compact perturbations of Hermitians, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **67** No. 2 (1977), 224-226.

<sup>5.</sup> K. Lindberg, On subspaces of Orlicz sequence spaces, Studia Math., XLV (1973), 119-146.

<sup>6.</sup> J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, Classical Banach Spaces I, Sequence Spaces, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977.

H. W. Milnes, Convexity of Orlicz speces, Pacific J. Math., 7 (1957), 1451-1483.
 K. W. Tam, Isometries of certain function spaces, Pacific J. Math., 31 No. 1 (1969), 233-246.

Received August 2, 1978 and in revised form June 8, 1979.

TEXAS A & M UNIVERSITY College Station, TX 77843

## PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

### EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, CA 90024

HUGO ROSSI University of Utah Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ANDREW OGG University of California Berkeley, CA 94720 J. DUGUNDJI Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305

### ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. Wolf

K. Yoshida

#### SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. **39**. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints to each author are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$84.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rato: \$42.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address shoud be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.).

8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1980 by Pacific Jounal of Mathematics Manufactured and first issued in Japan

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 86, No. 2 December, 1980

| Graham Donald Allen, David Alan Legg and Joseph Dinneen Ward, <i>Hermitian</i><br><i>liftings in Orlicz sequence spaces</i>                      | 379                     |
|--|-------------------------|
| George Bachman and Alan Sultan, <i>On regular extensions of measures</i>   | 389                     |
| Bruce Alan Barnes, <i>Representations Natmark-related to *-representations; a</i><br>correction: "When is a representation of a Banach *-algebra |                         |
| Naimark-related to a *-representation?"  | 397                     |
| Earl Robert Berkson, <i>One-parameter semigroups of isometries into</i> H <sup>p</sup>   | 403                     |
| M. Brodmann, <i>Piecewise catenarian and going between rings</i>   | 415                     |
| Joe Peter Buhler. A note on tamely ramified polynomials  | 421                     |
| William Lee Bynum Normal structure coefficients for Banach spaces  | 427                     |
| Lung O Chung <i>Biharmonic and polyharmonic principal functions</i>  | 437                     |
| Vladimir Drohot and S. McDonald. Approximation properties of polynomials   | 737                     |
| with bounded integer coefficients  | 447                     |
| Giora Dula and Elyahu Katz, <i>Recursion formulas for the homology of</i><br>$O(X \setminus Y)$  | 451                     |
| John $\Delta$ Ernest The computation of the generalized spectrum of certain Togenlitz  | <del>т</del> <i>Э</i> 1 |
| operators  | 463                     |
| Kenneth R. Goodearl and Thomas Benny Rushing Direct limit groups and the   | 102                     |
| Keesling-Mardešić shape fibration  | 471                     |
| Raymond Heitmann and Stephen Joseph McAdam, Good chains with bad   |                         |
| contractions   | 477                     |
| Patricia Jones and Steve Chong Hong Ligh, <i>Finite hereditary</i>   | 401                     |
| near-ring-semigroups   | 491                     |
| Yoshikazu Katayama, Isomorphisms of the Fourier algebras in crossed  | 505                     |
| Products   | 505                     |
| transportals   | 512                     |
| Mahammad Ahmad Khan, Chain conditions on subgroups of I CA groups  | 517                     |
| Holmut Krägen, Dadá approviments en Banach anges engeten saugifers   | 525                     |
| Cabriel Michael Miller Ohi. An algebraic actuation of the Law Milerow  | 555                     |
| Gabriel Michael Miller Obi, An algebraic extension of the Lax-Milgram  | 542                     |
| S. C. Dendit. Differential systems with immulsive northybetions  | 552                     |
| S. G. Pandit, Differential systems with impulsive perturbations  | 555                     |
| Richard Pell, Support point junctions and the Loewner variation  | 501                     |
| J. Hyam Rubinstein, Dehn's lemma and handle decompositions of some<br>4-manifolds  | 565                     |
| James Fugene Shirey On the theorem of Helley concerning finite-dimensional   | 0.00                    |
| subspaces of a dual space  | 571                     |
| Oved Shisha, <i>Tchebycheff systems and hest partial bases</i>   | 579                     |
| Michel Smith Large indecomposable continua with only one composant   | 593                     |
| Stephen Tefteller Existence of eigenvalues for second-order differential   | 575                     |
| systems  | 601                     |