

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**NEARLY BOREL SETS AND PRODUCT MEASURES**

ROY ANDREW JOHNSON

# NEARLY BOREL SETS AND PRODUCT MEASURES

ROY A. JOHNSON

**We examine those Borel measures which are inner regular with respect to the class of bounded sets containing the closure of each of their countable subsets. It is shown that such a Borel measure can be extended to a measure on the sigma-ring generated by that class of sets. Applications are given to the product of two Borel measures.**

1. Introduction. Throughout this paper  $X$  and  $Y$  will be locally compact spaces (all topological spaces will be assumed to be Hausdorff spaces), and  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  will be Borel measures on  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively. In other words,  $\mu$  is a countably additive, non-negative measure on the sigma-ring generated by the class of compact sets in  $X$ , and each compact set has finite  $\mu$ -measure. For undefined measure theoretic terminology, the reader is referred to [4]. A subset of  $X$  will be called *nearly closed* or *n-closed* if it contains the closure (in  $X$ ) of each of its countable subsets; the complement of an *n-closed* set will be called *nearly open* or *n-open*. In view of the Axiom of Choice, the *n-closed* sets of a first countable space are in fact closed sets. Hence, the focus of this paper is on spaces which are not first countable; in particular, the topological groups of interest will be the nonmetrizable groups.

Recall that a set is *bounded* or *relatively compact* if its closure is compact. Every bounded, *n-closed* set is  $\omega$ -bounded in the sense of [3, p. 201], although not every  $\omega$ -bounded set is bounded or even sigma-bounded. In keeping with [8, §2], a class of sets is called a *compact class* if for each sequence in the class, the nonempty intersection for each  $n$  of the first  $n$  terms of the sequence implies that the intersection of the sequence is nonempty. The class of bounded *n-closed* sets is particularly important for this paper because of the following theorem which shows that this class is a compact class.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *If  $\{C_i\}$  is a sequence of bounded *n-closed* sets having the finite intersection property, then  $\bigcap C_i$  is nonempty.*

*Proof.* Since the intersection of a family of *n-closed* sets is *n-closed*, we may assume without loss of generality that  $\{C_i\}$  is a decreasing sequence of nonempty, bounded *n-closed* sets. For each  $i$ , choose  $x_i \in C_i$ . Notice that  $\{x_n: n \geq i\} \subset C_i$  for each  $i$ . Let  $B_i = \text{closure}(\{x_n: n \geq i\})$ . Since  $C_i$  is *n-closed*, we see that  $B_i \subset C_i$  for each

*i.* Since each  $C_i$  is bounded, each  $B_i$  is thus compact. The sets  $B_i$  form a decreasing sequence of nonempty compact sets, so that  $\bigcap B_i$  and hence  $\bigcap C_i$  is nonempty.

The Borel measure  $\mu$  will be called *regular* if for each Borel set  $E$ ,

$$\mu(E) = \sup\{\mu(K): K \text{ is a compact subset of } E\}.$$

We shall say that  $\mu$  is *nearly regular* or *n-regular* if for each Borel set  $E$ ,

$$\mu(E) = \sup\{\mu(C): C \subset E \text{ and } C \text{ is a bounded, } n\text{-closed Borel set}\}.$$

Every regular Borel measure is clearly *n-regular*, but *n-regular* Borel measures can fail to be regular as we shall see later.

Just as the class of Borel sets of  $X$  is the sigma-ring generated by the compact sets in  $X$ , so it will be convenient to define the class of *nearly Borel sets* or *n-Borel sets* of  $X$  to be the smallest sigma-ring containing the bounded *n-closed* sets in  $X$ . Clearly, every Borel set is an *n-Borel set*. A *nearly Borel measure* or *n-Borel measure* on  $X$  is a countably additive, nonnegative measure on the *n-Borel sets* such that each compact set has finite measure. An *n-Borel measure* will be called *nearly regular* or *n-regular* if it is inner regular with respect to the class of bounded *n-closed* sets. That is, an *n-Borel measure*  $\mu'$  is *n-regular* if for each *n-Borel set*  $E$ ,

$$\mu'(E) = \sup\{\mu'(C): C \text{ is a bounded } n\text{-closed subset of } E\}.$$

In order to illustrate these definitions and ideas in the context of a nonmetrizable compact group, we have the following:

**EXAMPLE 1.2.** Let  $\{X_i: i \in I\}$  be an uncountable collection of nontrivial compact groups, and let  $X$  be the product of the  $X_i$ 's. Let us say that two members of  $X$  are equivalent if they agree for all but countably many coordinates. That is,  $x \sim y$  if and only if  $\{i \in I: x_i \neq y_i\}$  is countable. If  $[x]$  denotes the equivalence class containing  $x$ , then it is easy to see that  $[x]$  is a bounded *n-closed* set and that  $[x]$  is not compact. Now fix  $w \in X$ . If  $y \in X$ , let

$$W(y) = \{x \in X: \text{for each } i \in I, x_i = y_i \text{ or } x_i = w_i\}.$$

Define a partial order  $\leq_w$  on  $X$  by writing  $x \leq_w y$  if and only if  $x \in W(y)$ . Fix  $z \in X - [w]$ , and let  $\nu'$  be the *n-Borel measure* defined on  $X$  as follows:  $\nu'(E) = 1$  if there exists an *n-closed* set  $F$  such that  $E$  contains  $F \cap [w]$  and such that  $z$  is a limit point of  $F \cap W(z) \cap [w]$ ; otherwise,  $\nu'(E) = 0$ . (Cf. [5, Example 3] or [4, Exercise 52.10].) Incidentally, for an *n-closed* set  $F$ , the condition that  $z$  be

a limit point of  $F \cap W(z) \cap [w]$  is equivalent to the condition that for each  $x \in W(z) \cap [w]$ , there exists  $y \in F \cap W(z) \cap [w]$  such that  $x \leq_w y$ . The  $n$ -Borel measure  $\nu'$  is evidently  $n$ -regular. I do not know if the Borel measure  $\nu$  obtained by restricting  $\nu'$  to the Borel sets of  $X$  is an  $n$ -regular Borel measure, but that possibility seems doubtful.

Now even if we wanted to restrict our attention to Borel sets rather than  $n$ -Borel sets, we would find that the Borel measure  $\nu$  in Example 1.2 can lead to  $n$ -closed sets which are not Borel sets. To see this, let  $K$  be the (necessarily compact) subset of  $X \times X$  given by  $K = \{(x, y) : x \leq_w y\}$ . Since  $K_x = \{y : x \leq_w y\}$ , we see that  $\nu(K_x) = 1$  if  $x \in W(z) \cap [w]$  and  $\nu(K_x) = 0$  otherwise. Hence,  $\{x \in X : \nu(K_x) = 1\}$  is precisely the set  $W(z) \cap [w]$ , and this set is not a Borel set even though it is bounded and  $n$ -closed. Indeed, since  $W(z)$  is obviously topologically equivalent to a compact group, we may let  $\lambda$  be the Borel measure on  $W(z)$  corresponding to Haar measure on that compact group. If  $\mu(E) = \lambda(E \cap W(z))$  for each Borel set  $E$  in  $X$ , then the set  $\{x \in X : \nu(K_x) = 1\}$  is not even measurable with respect to the completion of the Borel measure  $\mu$ . (Cf. [5, Example 3].) On the other hand, Theorem 2.6 will show that this measure  $\mu$  can be extended to an  $n$ -regular  $n$ -Borel measure  $\mu'$  on  $X$ . In that case, a product of  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  can be defined on the Borel sets of  $X \times X$  by the formula  $\rho(M) = \int \nu(M_x) d\mu'$  for all Borel sets  $M$  in  $X \times X$  [6, Theorem 4.4].

Finally, I wish to express my thanks to the referee for valuable comments concerning this paper.

2. Nearly regular nearly Borel measures. The main result of this section is the extension of an  $n$ -regular Borel measure to an  $n$ -regular  $n$ -Borel measure in Theorem 2.6. Because of the similarities between  $n$ -regular Borel measures and regular Borel measures, it will be convenient to look at these ideas in the context of the abstract system given in [1]. Throughout this section we shall therefore consider an abstract system  $\{\mathcal{A}, \mu, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{U}\}$  on a set  $X$  satisfying the following axioms:

I.  $\mathcal{A}$  is a ring of subsets of  $X$ , and  $\mu$  is a finitely additive measure on  $\mathcal{A}$ .

II.  $\mathcal{C}$  is a family of subsets of  $X$ , and  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed under countable intersections and finite unions. We assume that the empty set is in  $\mathcal{C}$  and that each member of  $\mathcal{C}$  is contained in some member of  $\mathcal{A}$  having finite  $\mu$ -measure.

III.  $\mathcal{U}$  is a family of subsets of  $X$ , and  $\mathcal{U}$  is closed under countable unions and finite intersections. We assume that if  $E \in \mathcal{A}$ , then there exists  $U$  in  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $E \subset U$ , and we assume that if  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ ,

then there exists  $F \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $U \subset F$ .

IV. If  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ , then  $U - C \in \mathcal{U}$ .

V. If  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  then there exist  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $D \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $C \subset U \subset D$ .

VI. If  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ , then  $C - U \in \mathcal{C}$ .

VII. The ring  $\mathcal{R}$  is contained in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C})$ , the sigma-ring generated by  $\mathcal{C}$ .

These are the axioms of [1, §§59 and 61] except that we let  $\mu$  be a finitely additive measure on a ring contained in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C})$  rather than a countably additive measure on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C})$ , and the families  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{U}$  are not required to be contained in  $\mathcal{R}$ .

For this paper, an important example of a system satisfying Axioms I-VII is the following: Let  $X$  be a locally compact space, let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a ring containing the Borel sets of  $X$  and contained in the  $n$ -Borel sets of  $X$ , let  $\mu$  be a measure on  $\mathcal{R}$  such that each compact set has finite measure, let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the class of bounded  $n$ -closed sets in  $X$ , and let  $\mathcal{U}$  be the class of sigma-bounded  $n$ -open sets. It is easy to see that Axioms I to VII are satisfied for this system  $\{\mathcal{R}, \mu, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{U}\}$ .

Suppose now that  $\{\mathcal{R}, \mu, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{U}\}$  is a system satisfying Axioms I to VII. If  $A$  is a subset of a member of  $\mathcal{R}$ , the inner measure of  $A$  is given by  $\mu_i(A) = \sup\{\mu(E) : E \subset A \text{ and } E \in \mathcal{R}\}$ , and the outer measure (exterior measure) of  $A$  is given by  $\mu_e(A) = \inf\{\mu(F) : A \subset F \text{ and } F \in \mathcal{R}\}$ . We say that a set  $E$  in  $\mathcal{R}$  is *inner regular* if

$$\mu(E) = \sup\{\mu_i(C) : C \subset E \text{ and } C \in \mathcal{C}\}.$$

Equivalently, the set  $E$  is inner regular if for each  $k < \mu(E)$ , there exists a set  $F$  in  $\mathcal{R}$  and a set  $C$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $F \subset C \subset E$  and such that  $k < \mu(F)$ . We say that a set  $E$  in  $\mathcal{R}$  is *outer regular* if

$$\mu(E) = \inf\{\mu_e(U) : E \subset U \text{ and } U \in \mathcal{U}\}.$$

Notice that inner [outer] regularity of a set depends not only on the measure  $\mu$  and the class  $\mathcal{C}$  [ $\mathcal{U}$ ] but also on the ring  $\mathcal{R}$ . In other words, suppose that  $\mathcal{R}_1$  is a subring of  $\mathcal{R}_2$  and that the measure  $\mu_1$  on  $\mathcal{R}_1$  is the restriction of  $\mu_2$  to  $\mathcal{R}_1$ . If a set  $E$  in  $\mathcal{R}_1$  is inner regular for the system  $\{\mathcal{R}_2, \mu_2, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{U}\}$ , it does not follow that  $E$  is inner regular for the system  $\{\mathcal{R}_1, \mu_1, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{U}\}$ . However, if  $E$  is inner regular with respect to  $\mu_1$ , then it is also inner regular with respect to the extension  $\mu_2$ .

Since the families  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{U}$  are not assumed to be contained in  $\mathcal{R}$ , it is usually necessary to talk about  $\mu_i(C)$  and  $\mu_e(U)$  when considering inner regularity or outer regularity. However, if  $\mu$  is a countably additive measure on a sigma-ring  $\mathcal{R}$  and if each set in  $\mathcal{R}$  is inner regular, then for each  $E \in \mathcal{R}$ ,

$$\mu(E) = \sup \{ \mu(C) : C \subset E, C \in \mathcal{C}, \text{ and } C \in \mathcal{R} \}.$$

This assertion is proved in [8, Theorem 4(iv)]. Similarly, if  $\mu$  is a countably additive measure on a sigma-ring  $\mathcal{R}$  and if each set in  $\mathcal{R}$  is outer regular, then for each  $E \in \mathcal{R}$ ,

$$\mu(E) = \inf \{ \mu(U) : E \subset U, U \in \mathcal{U}, \text{ and } U \in \mathcal{R} \}.$$

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Suppose  $\{\mathcal{R}, \mu, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{U}\}$  is a system satisfying Axioms I-VII.*

*Then: (1) If  $E$  and  $F$  are disjoint inner regular [outer regular] sets in  $\mathcal{R}$ , then  $E \cup F$  is inner regular [outer regular] also. (Cf. [1, p. 189].)*

*(2) If  $E$  and  $F$  are inner regular [outer regular] sets of finite measure in  $\mathcal{R}$ , then  $E \cap F$  is inner regular [outer regular] also. (Cf. [1, Theorem 59.6 and p. 191].)*

*(3) If  $\mu$  is countably additive and  $\{E_i\}$  is an increasing sequence of inner regular [outer regular] sets in  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\cup E_i \in \mathcal{R}$ , then  $\cup E_i$  is inner regular [outer regular] also. (Cf. [1, Theorems 59.5 and 59.4].)*

*(4) If  $\mu$  is countably additive and  $\{E_i\}$  is a decreasing sequence of inner regular [outer regular] sets of finite measure in  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\cap E_i \in \mathcal{R}$ , then  $\cap E_i$  is inner regular [outer regular] also. (Cf. [1, Theorems 59.6 and 59.7].)*

A set will be called “bounded” if it is a subset of some member of  $\mathcal{C}$  [1, p. 195]. In view of Axiom V, every “bounded” set is contained in some “bounded” set of  $\mathcal{U}$ . In view of Axiom VII, each set in  $\mathcal{R}$  can be expressed as the union of a sequence of “bounded” sets in  $\mathcal{R}$ .

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Suppose  $\{\mathcal{R}, \mu, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{U}\}$  is a system satisfying Axioms I-VII and that  $\mu$  is countably additive. Each set in  $\mathcal{R}$  is inner regular if and only if each set in  $\mathcal{R}$  is outer regular.*

*Proof.* Suppose each set in  $\mathcal{R}$  is inner regular, and suppose  $E$  is a “bounded” set in  $\mathcal{R}$ . Choose a “bounded” set  $U$  in  $\mathcal{U}$  and a set  $F$  in  $\mathcal{R}$  such that  $E \subset U \subset F$ , where  $\mu(F)$  is finite. Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , choose  $G \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $G \subset F - E$  and such that  $\mu_i(G) > \mu(F - E) - \varepsilon$ . Then  $E \subset U - G$ , the set  $U - G$  is in  $\mathcal{U}$ , and  $\mu(E) > \mu_i(U - G) - \varepsilon$ . Hence, each “bounded” set in  $\mathcal{R}$  is outer regular, so that each set in  $\mathcal{R}$  is outer regular by Theorem 2.1.

Now suppose each set in  $\mathcal{R}$  is outer regular, and suppose  $E$  is a “bounded” set in  $\mathcal{R}$ . Then there exists a set  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  and a set  $F$

in  $\mathcal{R}$  such that  $E \subset C \subset F$ , where  $\mu(F)$  is finite. Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , choose  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $F - E \subset U$  and such that  $\mu(F - E) > \mu_i(U) - \varepsilon$ . Then  $C - U \subset E$ , the set  $C - U \in \mathcal{C}$ , and  $\mu(E) < \mu_i(C - U) + \varepsilon$ . By Theorem 2.1 each set in  $\mathcal{R}$  is therefore inner regular.

In the context of  $n$ -regular Borel measures, Theorem 2.2 tells us that a Borel measure  $\mu$  is  $n$ -regular if and only if

$$\mu(E) = \inf\{\mu(U): E \subset U \text{ and } U \text{ is an } n\text{-open Borel set}\}$$

for each Borel set  $E$ .

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Suppose  $\{\mathcal{R}, \mu, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{U}\}$  is a system satisfying Axioms I-VII and suppose, moreover, that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a compact class. If each set in  $\mathcal{R}$  is inner regular, then  $\mu$  is countably additive. If  $\mu'$  is the unique extension of  $\mu$  to a countably additive measure on the smallest sigma-ring  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{R})$  containing  $\mathcal{R}$ , then each set in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{R})$  is inner regular (with respect to the system  $\{\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{R}), \mu', \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{U}\}$ ).*

*Proof.* Use [8, Theorems 4(i) and 4(ii)].

**LEMMA 2.4.** *Let  $\{\mathcal{R}, \mu, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{U}\}$  be a system satisfying Axioms I-VII. Suppose each member of  $\mathcal{R}$  is inner regular and that  $C$  is a member of  $\mathcal{C}$  which is not in the ring  $\mathcal{R}$ . If  $\mathcal{R}'$  is the smallest ring containing the set  $C$  and the ring  $\mathcal{R}$ , then  $\mu$  can be extended to a measure  $\mu'$  on  $\mathcal{R}'$  such that each member of  $\mathcal{R}'$  is inner regular (with respect to the system  $\{\mathcal{R}', \mu', \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{U}\}$ ).*

*Proof.* If  $E \in \mathcal{R}'$ , let  $\mu'(E) = \mu_e(E \cap C) + \mu_i(E - C)$ , where  $\mu_e$  and  $\mu_i$  are outer measure and inner measure, resp. Then  $\mu'$  is a measure extending  $\mu$  [7, Theorem 1]. In order to show that each member of  $\mathcal{R}'$  is inner regular, it suffices to show that  $(E \cap C) \Delta (F - C)$  is inner regular whenever  $E, F \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $\mu(E) < \infty$  [7, p. 268]. If  $F \in \mathcal{R}$ , let us check that  $F - C$  is inner regular. We wish to show that

$$\mu'(F - C) = \sup\{(\mu')_i(D): D \subset F - C \text{ and } D \in \mathcal{C}\}.$$

To that end, suppose  $k < \mu'(F - C)$ . Since  $\mu'(F - C) = \mu_i(F - C)$ , we may choose  $G \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $G \subset F - C$  and such that  $k < \mu(G)$ . Since each set in  $\mathcal{R}$  is inner regular, there exists a set  $D$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $D \subset G$  and such that  $k < \mu_i(D) \leq (\mu')_i(D)$ . It follows that

$$\mu'(F - C) = \sup\{(\mu')_i(D): D \subset F - C \text{ and } D \in \mathcal{C}\},$$

so that  $F - C$  is inner regular. Of course,  $E \cap C$  is inner regular

by part (2) of Theorem 2.1, so that  $(E \cap C) \Delta (F - C)$  is inner regular by part (1) of Theorem 2.1.

**THEOREM 2.5.** *Let  $\{\mathcal{R}, \mu, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{U}\}$  be a system satisfying Axioms I-VII, and suppose  $\mathcal{C}$  is a compact class. Let  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C})$  be the smallest sigma-ring containing  $\mathcal{C}$ . If each member of  $\mathcal{R}$  is inner regular, then  $\mu$  can be extended to a countably additive measure  $\mu'$  on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C})$  such that each member of  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C})$  is inner regular (with respect to the system  $\{\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C}), \mu', \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{U}\}$ ).*

*Proof.* Let us say that a pair  $(\mathcal{R}', \mu')$  is an *admissible extension* of  $(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$  if (1)  $\mathcal{R}'$  is a ring such that  $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{R}' \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C})$ , (2)  $\mu'$  is a measure on  $\mathcal{R}'$  which extends  $\mu$  and (3) each set in  $\mathcal{R}'$  is inner regular (with respect to the system  $\{\mathcal{R}', \mu', \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{U}\}$ ). Notice that  $\mu'$  is countably additive in view of Theorem 2.3. We order the admissible extensions in the obvious way and observe by Zorn's lemma that there is a maximal admissible extension  $(\mathcal{R}_0, \mu_0)$ . By Lemma 2.4 and the maximality of  $(\mathcal{R}_0, \mu_0)$ , the ring  $\mathcal{R}_0$  contains  $\mathcal{C}$ . Moreover, the ring  $\mathcal{R}_0$  is a sigma-ring by Theorem 2.3. It follows that  $\mathcal{R}_0 = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C})$ .

By letting the class  $\mathcal{C}$  be the class of bounded  $n$ -closed sets in the locally compact space  $X$ , we get the following theorem as a consequence of Theorem 2.5:

**THEOREM 2.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a sigma-ring containing the Borel sets of  $X$  and contained in the  $n$ -Borel sets of  $X$ . If  $\mu$  is a measure on  $\mathcal{S}$  such that each compact set has finite measure and*

$$\mu(E) = \sup\{\mu(C) : C \in \mathcal{S} \text{ and } C \text{ is a bounded } n\text{-closed set in } E\}$$

*for each  $E \in \mathcal{S}$ , then  $\mu$  can be extended to an  $n$ -regular  $n$ -Borel measure on  $X$ . In particular, each regular Borel measure has an  $n$ -regular  $n$ -Borel extension.*

Although the extension of a Baire measure to a regular Borel measure is unique [4, Theorem 54.D], there may be more than one extension of an  $n$ -regular Borel measure to an  $n$ -regular  $n$ -Borel measure. For example, let  $X$  be the set of all functions from an uncountable set  $I$  into the discrete space of two elements  $\{0, 1\}$ , and let  $X$  have the product topology (topology of pointwise convergence). Let  $\mu$  be normalized Haar measure on the Borel sets of  $X$ . As in Example 1.2, let us say that two members of  $X$  are equivalent if they agree for all but countably many coordinates. If  $[x]$  denotes the equivalence class containing  $x$ , recall that  $[x]$  is nearly closed. It can also be seen that  $\mu_*([x]) = 0$  and  $\mu_*([x]) = 1$  for each  $x \in X$ . Now



let  $x$  and  $y$  be two nonequivalent members of  $X$ , and let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the smallest sigma-ring containing  $[x]$ ,  $[y]$  and the Borel sets of  $X$ . The regular Borel measure  $\mu$  can be extended to  $n$ -regular measures  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  on  $\mathcal{S}$  by letting  $\mu_1([x]) = 1$  and  $\mu_2([y]) = 1$ . The measures  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  can in turn be extended to  $n$ -regular  $n$ -Borel measures  $\mu'_1$  and  $\mu'_2$ . Clearly,  $\mu'_1$  and  $\mu'_2$  are not equal since  $\mu'_1([x]) = 1$  and  $\mu'_2([x]) = 0$ . Incidentally, it is conceivable that  $\mu$  has a unique extension to a translation invariant,  $n$ -regular  $n$ -Borel measure on  $X$ , but I have not been able to show this.

A Borel measure is known to be regular if each bounded open set is inner regular (with respect to the class of compact sets). Similarly, we shall see in Corollary 2.8 that a Borel measure is  $n$ -regular if each bounded open set is inner regular with respect to the class of bounded  $n$ -closed sets. For convenience, let us consider classes  $\mathcal{C}^*$  and  $\mathcal{U}^*$  which are closed under finite unions and finite intersections, which are subclasses of  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{U}$ , resp., and which satisfy the following axioms (cf. [1, §61]):

IV\*. If  $U \in \mathcal{U}^*$  and  $C \in \mathcal{C}^*$ , then  $U - C \in \mathcal{U}^*$ .

V\*. If  $C \in \mathcal{C}^*$ , then there exist  $U \in \mathcal{U}^*$  and  $D \in \mathcal{C}^*$  such that  $C \subset U \subset D$ .

VI\*. If  $C \in \mathcal{C}^*$  and  $U \in \mathcal{U}^*$ , then  $C - U \in \mathcal{C}^*$ .

VII\*. The ring  $\mathcal{R}$  coincides with  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C}^*)$ , the sigma-ring generated by  $\mathcal{C}^*$ .

**THEOREM 2.7.** *Suppose  $\{\mathcal{R}, \mu, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{C}^*, \mathcal{U}^*\}$  is a system satisfying Axioms I-VII and IV\*-VII\* and that  $\mu$  is a countably additive measure on the sigma-ring  $\mathcal{R}$ . If each "bounded" set in  $\mathcal{U}^*$  is inner regular, then each set in  $\mathcal{R}$  is inner regular (with respect to the system  $\{\mathcal{R}, \mu, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{U}\}$ ). (Here, "bounded" means "bounded" with respect to  $\mathcal{C}^*$ .)*

*Proof.* Suppose each "bounded" set in  $\mathcal{U}^*$  is inner regular. We show that if  $C$  and  $D$  are in  $\mathcal{C}^*$ , then  $C - D$  is inner regular (with respect to the system  $\{\mathcal{R}, \mu, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{U}\}$ ). We may assume without loss of generality that  $D \subset C$ . By Axiom V\* there exists a bounded set  $U$  in  $\mathcal{U}^*$  such that  $C \subset U$ . By Axiom IV\*,  $U - C \in \mathcal{U}^*$ , so that  $U - C$  is inner regular by hypothesis. By Theorem 2.1(2), the set  $C - D = C \cap (U - D)$  is inner regular also. If  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}^*)$  is the smallest ring containing  $\mathcal{C}^*$ , then each set in  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}^*)$  is inner regular by (1) and (2) of Theorem 2.1. It suffices to show that each "bounded" set in  $\mathcal{R}$  is inner regular. Suppose, therefore, that  $E$  is a "bounded" set in  $\mathcal{R}$ . Then there exists a set  $K$  in  $\mathcal{C}^*$  such that  $E \subset K$ . Let  $\mathcal{R}^K$  be the set of all  $F$  in  $\mathcal{R}$  such that  $F \cap K$  is inner regular. Clearly,  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}^*) \subset \mathcal{R}^K$ , and  $\mathcal{R}^K$  is a monotone class by (3) and (4)

of Theorem 2.1. Then  $\mathcal{R}^K = \mathcal{R}$ , so that  $E \in \mathcal{R}^K$ , as was to be shown.

**COROLLARY 2.8.** *If  $\mu$  is a (countably additive) Borel measure and*

$$\mu(U) = \sup\{\mu(C): C \subset U \text{ and } C \text{ is a bounded, } n\text{-closed Borel set}\}$$

*for all open Borel sets  $U$ , then  $\mu$  is an  $n$ -regular Borel measure. If  $\mu'$  is a (countably additive)  $n$ -Borel measure and*

$$\mu'(U) = \sup\{\mu'(C): C \subset U \text{ and } C \text{ is a bounded } n\text{-closed set}\}$$

*for all  $n$ -open sets  $U$ , then  $\mu'$  is an  $n$ -regular  $n$ -Borel measure.*

**3. The product of two nearly Borel measures.** Throughout this section,  $\mu'$  and  $\nu'$  will be  $n$ -Borel measures on locally compact spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ , resp. Under suitable conditions, we show the existence of an  $n$ -Borel measure on  $X \times Y$  which extends the usual product  $\mu' \times \nu'$ . Notice that  $M_x = \{y \in Y: (x, y) \in M\}$  is an  $n$ -Borel set in  $Y$  whenever  $M$  is an  $n$ -Borel set in  $X \times Y$  and  $x \in X$ .

**THEOREM 3.1.** *If  $\nu'$  is  $n$ -regular and  $C$  is a bounded  $n$ -closed set in  $X \times Y$ , then  $\nu'(C_x)$  as a function in  $x$  is measurable with respect to the  $n$ -Borel sets of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is similar to that of [6, Theorem 4.1], where compact sets and open sets are replaced by bounded  $n$ -closed sets and  $n$ -open sets, respectively.

**THEOREM 3.2.** *If  $\nu'$  is purely atomic and  $C$  is a bounded  $n$ -closed set in  $X \times Y$ , then  $\nu'(C_x)$  as a function in  $x$  is measurable with respect to the  $n$ -Borel sets of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume without loss of generality that  $\nu'$  takes on the values 0 and 1 only. It suffices to show that  $A = \{x: \nu'(C_x) = 1\}$  is a bounded  $n$ -closed set. Clearly  $A$  is bounded since  $C$  is bounded.

Now suppose  $B$  is a countable set in  $A$ . Then  $\nu'(C_x) = 1$  for all  $x \in B$ . Let  $D = \bigcap \{C_x: x \in B\}$ . Then  $\nu'(D) = 1$ , and  $D$  is contained in  $C_x$  for all  $x \in \text{closure}(B)$ . Hence,  $\text{closure}(B)$  is a subset of  $A$ .

Theorem 3.2 shows an advantage of  $n$ -Borel measures over Borel measures since there exist locally compact spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ , a purely atomic Borel measure  $\nu$  on  $Y$ , and a compact set  $K$  in  $X \times Y$  such that the function  $\nu(K_x)$  is not measurable with respect to the Borel sets of  $X$  [5, Examples 1, 2 and 3].

It is useful to know when the function  $\nu'(C_x)$  is measurable with respect to the  $n$ -Borel sets of  $X$  for each bounded  $n$ -closed set in  $X \times Y$ ; in that case an  $n$ -Borel measure  $\rho'_1$  can be defined on  $X \times Y$  by the formula  $\rho'_1(M) = \int \nu'(M_x) d\mu'$  for all  $n$ -Borel sets  $M$  in  $X \times Y$ . (Cf. [6, Theorem 4.4].) By Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, we have the following:

**THEOREM 3.3.** *If the  $n$ -Borel measure  $\nu'$  is  $n$ -regular or purely atomic, then an  $n$ -Borel measure  $\rho'_1$  is defined on  $X \times Y$  by the formula*

$$\rho'_1(M) = \int \nu'(M_x) d\mu'$$

*for all  $n$ -Borel sets  $M$  in  $X \times Y$ . Similarly, if the  $n$ -Borel measure  $\mu'$  is  $n$ -regular or purely atomic, then an  $n$ -Borel measure  $\rho'_2$  is defined on  $X \times Y$  by the formula*

$$\rho'_2(M) = \int \mu'(M^y) d\nu'$$

*for all  $n$ -Borel sets  $M$  in  $X \times Y$ .*

If  $\mu'$  and  $\nu'$  are both  $n$ -regular, then  $\rho'_1$  and  $\rho'_2$  are both defined. However,  $\rho'_1$  and  $\rho'_2$  may be unequal and they may both fail to be  $n$ -regular even though both  $\mu'$  and  $\nu'$  are  $n$ -regular. For example, let  $\omega'_1$  denote the set of ordinals less than or equal to the first uncountable ordinal  $\omega_1$  and let  $\omega'_1$  have the order topology.

Since  $\omega_1 = \omega'_1 - \{\omega_1\}$  is first countable, the  $n$ -closed sets of  $\omega'_1$  are precisely the compact sets together with the closed, unbounded subsets of  $\omega_1$ . Hence, the Borel sets and  $n$ -Borel sets of  $\omega'_1$  coincide. Let  $\mu' = \nu'$  be Dieudonné's nonregular Borel measure on  $X = Y = \omega'_1$ . That is, if  $E$  is a Borel set in  $X$ , then  $\mu'(E) = 1$  if  $E$  contains a closed, unbounded subset of  $\omega_1$  and  $\mu'(E) = 0$  otherwise [4, Exercise 52.10]. It is easy to see that the measure  $\mu' = \nu'$  is  $n$ -regular since each closed, unbounded subset of  $\omega_1$  is a bounded  $n$ -closed set in  $\omega'_1$ .

If  $U = \{(x, y) \in X \times Y : x < y < \omega_1\}$ , then  $U$  is an  $n$ -Borel set in  $X \times Y$  since it is open in  $X \times Y$ . It is easy to see that  $\rho'_1(U) = 1$  and that  $\rho'_2(U) = 0$ , so that  $\rho'_1$  and  $\rho'_2$  are unequal in this case. Now if  $C$  is an  $n$ -closed subset of  $U$ , it is easy to see that  $\rho'_1(C) = 0$ . The reason is that there exists  $x_0$  in  $\omega_1$  such that  $C_x$  is empty whenever  $x > x_0$ . If this were not the case, then there would exist a sequence  $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$  in  $C$  such that  $x_n < y_n < x_{n+1}$  for all  $n$ , and this sequence would converge to a point on the diagonal. Hence,  $\rho'_1$  fails to be

$n$ -regular. Similarly, if  $V = \{(x, y): y < x < \omega_1\}$ , then  $V$  is an open set for which  $\rho'_2(V) = 1$ . However,  $\rho'_2(C) = 0$  for each  $n$ -closed subset of  $V$ , so that  $\rho'_2$  also fails to be  $n$ -regular.

4. **Complements on sequentially closed sets.** A set  $A$  in  $X$  is called *sequentially closed* if the limit of every convergent sequence in  $A$  is itself a member of  $A$  [2, p. 108]. A set is *sequentially open* if its complement is sequentially closed. Every  $n$ -closed set is sequentially closed, but there exist sequentially closed sets which are not  $n$ -closed. A set will be called *sequentially Borel* if it is in the smallest sigma-ring containing the class of bounded, sequentially closed sets. It is interesting that the class of bounded, sequentially closed sets behaves much like the class of bounded  $n$ -closed sets, and we indicate some analogues of the theorems of §§2 and 3 for sequentially closed sets and sequentially Borel sets. Recall that a space is *sequentially compact* if every sequence contains a convergent subsequence.

**THEOREM 4.1.** *If  $X$  is sequentially compact and  $\{C_i\}$  is a sequence of sequentially closed sets having the finite intersection property, then  $\cap C_i$  is nonempty.*

*Proof.* Since the intersection of a family of sequentially closed sets is sequentially closed, we may assume without loss of generality that  $\{C_i\}$  is a decreasing sequence of nonempty, sequentially closed sets. For each  $i$ , choose  $x_i \in C_i$ . Since  $X$  is sequentially compact, some subsequence of  $\{x_i\}$  converges, say to  $x$ . It follows that  $x \in C_i$  for each  $i$ , so that  $x \in \cap C_i$ .

Incidentally, the hypothesis that  $X$  be sequentially compact is needed in Theorem 4.1. Indeed, the assertion that the class of sequentially closed sets is a compact class is equivalent to the statement that  $X$  is sequentially compact.

Suppose  $X$  is a locally compact space,  $\mathcal{R}$  is a ring containing the Borel sets of  $X$  and contained in the sequentially Borel sets,  $\mathcal{C}$  is the class of bounded, sequentially closed sets in  $X$ , and  $\mathcal{U}$  is the class of sigma-bounded, sequentially open sets. Axioms I to VII are satisfied for the system  $\{\mathcal{R}, \mu, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{U}\}$ . Hence, each Borel [sequentially Borel] set is inner regular with respect to the class of bounded, sequentially closed sets if and only if each Borel [sequentially Borel] set is outer regular with respect to the class of sigma-bounded, sequentially open sets. Indeed, each Borel [sequentially Borel] set is inner regular with respect to the class of bounded, sequentially closed sets if and only if each bounded open set [bounded, sequentially open set] is inner regular with respect to the class of sequentially

closed sets.

Now suppose that  $X$  is also sequentially compact and that  $\mathcal{S}$  is a sigma-ring containing the Borel sets and contained in the sequentially Borel sets. In view of Theorem 2.5, we have the following: If  $\mu$  is a measure on  $\mathcal{S}$  such that each compact set has finite measure and such that each member of  $\mathcal{S}$  is inner regular with respect to the sequentially closed sets, then  $\mu$  can be extended to a countably additive measure on the sequentially Borel sets such that each sequentially Borel set is inner regular with respect to the class of bounded, sequentially closed sets.

A *sequentially Borel measure* is a countably additive, nonnegative measure on the sequentially Borel sets such that each compact set has finite measure. We close with an analogue of Theorem 3.3 for the product of two sequentially Borel measures. In a sense, Theorem 4.2 is stronger than Theorem 3.3 since no conditions are placed on the measure  $\nu'$ .

**THEOREM 4.2.** *Suppose  $\mu'$  and  $\nu'$  are sequentially Borel measures on locally compact spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ , resp. If  $C$  is a bounded, sequentially closed set in  $X \times Y$ , then  $\nu'(C_x)$  as a function in  $x$  is measurable with respect to the sequentially Borel sets of  $X$ . Hence, a sequentially Borel measure  $\rho'_1$  is defined on  $X \times Y$  by the formula*

$$\rho'_1(M) = \int \nu'(M_x) d\mu'$$

for each sequentially Borel set  $M$  in  $X \times Y$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to show that the bounded set  $A = \{x: \nu'(C_x) \geq a\}$  is sequentially closed whenever  $C$  is a bounded, sequentially closed set in  $X \times Y$  and  $a$  is positive. Suppose, then, that  $\{x(n)\}$  is a sequence in  $A$  which converges to  $x$ . Necessarily,  $\limsup C_{x(n)}$  is a subset of  $C_x$ . Then since  $\nu'(\limsup C_{x(n)}) \geq a$  [1, Theorem 17.2], it follows that  $x \in A$  and we are done.

## REFERENCES

1. S. K. Berberian, *Measure and Integration*, Macmillan, New York, 1965.
2. S. P. Franklin, *Spaces in which sequences suffice*, Fund. Math., **57** (1965), 107-115.
3. S. L. Gulden, W. M. Fleischman and J. H. Weston, *Linearly ordered topological spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **24** (1970), 197-203.
4. P. R. Halmos, *Measure Theory*, Van Nostrand, New York, 1950.
5. R. A. Johnson, *Measurability of cross section measure of a product Borel set*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A., **28** (1979), 346-352.
6. R. A. Johnson, *On product measures and Fubini's theorem in locally compact spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **123** (1966), 112-129.
7. J. Łoś and E. Marczewski, *Extensions of measure*, Fund. Math., **36** (1949), 267-276.

8. E. Marczewski, *On compact measures*, Fund. Math., **40** (1953), 113-124.

Received June 20, 1979 and in revised form August 28, 1979.

WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
PULLMAN, WA 99164



# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California  
Los Angeles, California 90024

HUGO ROSSI

University of Utah  
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE AND ANDREW OGG

University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. FINN AND J. MILGRAM  
Stanford University  
Stanford, California 94305

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON



Spiros Argyros, <i>A decomposition of complete Boolean algebras</i> .....	1
Gerald A. Beer, <i>The approximation of upper semicontinuous multifunctions by step multifunctions</i> .....	11
Ehrhard Behrends and Richard Evans, <i>Multiplicity theory for Boolean algebras of <math>L^p</math>-projections</i> .....	21
Man-Duen Choi, <i>The full <math>C^*</math>-algebra of the free group on two generators</i> .....	41
Jen-Chung Chuan, <i>Axioms for closed left ideals in a <math>C^*</math>-algebra</i> .....	49
Jo-Ann Deborah Cohen, <i>The strong approximation theorem and locally bounded topologies on <math>F(X)</math></i> .....	59
Eugene Harrison Gover and Mark Bernard Ramras, <i>Increasing sequences of Betti numbers</i> .....	65
Morton Edward Harris, <i>Finite groups having an involution centralizer with a 2-component of type <math>\text{PSL}(3, 3)</math></i> .....	69
Valéria Botelho de Magalhães Iório, <i>Hopf <math>C^*</math>-algebras and locally compact groups</i> .....	75
Roy Andrew Johnson, <i>Nearly Borel sets and product measures</i> .....	97
Lowell Edwin Jones, <i>Construction of <math>Z_p</math>-actions on manifolds</i> .....	111
Manuel Lerman and Robert Irving Soare, <i><math>d</math>-simple sets, small sets, and degree classes</i> .....	135
Philip W. McCartney, <i>Neighborly bushes and the Radon-Nikodým property for Banach spaces</i> .....	157
Robert Colman McOwen, <i>Fredholm theory of partial differential equations on complete Riemannian manifolds</i> .....	169
Ernest A. Michael and Carl Preston Pixley, <i>A unified theorem on continuous selections</i> .....	187
Ernest A. Michael, <i>Continuous selections and finite-dimensional sets</i> .....	189
Vassili Nestoridis, <i>Inner functions: noninvariant connected components</i> .....	199
Bun Wong, <i>A maximum principle on Clifford torus and nonexistence of proper holomorphic map from the ball to polydisc</i> .....	211
Steve Wright, <i>Similarity orbits of approximately finite <math>C^*</math>-algebras</i> .....	223
Kenjiro Yanagi, <i>On some fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings</i> .....	233
Wieslaw Zelazko, <i>A characterization of LC-nonremovable ideals in commutative Banach algebras</i> .....	241