# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

# ACTIONS OF FINITE GROUPS ON SELF-INJECTIVE RINGS

DAVID E. HANDELMAN AND G. RENAULT

Vol. 89, No. 1

May 1980

# ACTIONS OF FINITE GROUPS ON SELF-INJECTIVE RINGS<sup>1</sup>

## D. HANDELMAN AND G. RENAULT

Let G be a finite group of automorphisms of a ring R(with 1), and suppose the order of G is not a zero diviser in R. We denote by  $R^{\sigma}$  the subring of R consisting of elements fixed pointwise by each member of G. We consider, for a class of rings, the questions whether R viewed as a right (or left)  $R^{\sigma}$ -module is finitely generated, and how the type classification of R and  $R^{\sigma}$  relate when R is self-injective regular.

Even in the case of a commutative noetherian ring R, R need not be finitely generated over  $R^{c}$ , as shown by Chuang and Lee [1]. However, if R is a finite product of simple rings, more generally if R is biregular, then the finite generation does hold. The proof utilizes the skew group ring  $R_{s}G$  and an elementary result from Morita theory; as a consequence, we obtain a short, easy proof of the theorem of Farkas and Snider [3; Theorem 1], for Rsemisimple artinian.

For self-injective rings R, the finite generation need not hold: nevertheless the techniques involved in the biregular case can be used to show that the type classifications are preserved. In otherwords, R and  $R^{\sigma}$  are simultaneously of types  $I_f$ ,  $I_{\infty}$ ,  $II_f$ ,  $II_{\infty}$ , or III. This completes work of the second author [14].

If R is self-injective regular, then R is injective as an  $R^{d}$ module, and we show that R is projective if and only if it is finitely generated. This is done by showing that any nonsingular injective module over a self-injective regular ring that is also projective, must be finitely generated, or else the ring has an artinian ring direct summand.

This work was almost entirely done during both authors' stay at the Summer Research Institute of the Canadian Mathematical Congress, at the University of Waterloo in the summer of 1978. The second author would particularly like to thank V. Dlab and J. Lawrence for their hospitality.

I. Biregular rings. A convenient tool for dealing with group actions, is the skew group ring. Let G be a group, with an action as automorphisms on R. Form the free left R-module with basis  $G, R_sG$ , equipped with multiplication extended R-linearly from

69

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In an earlier version, this paper was written in French, under the title, "Actions de Groupes".

$$rg = gr^g$$
 for  $r$  in  $R, g$  in  $G$ .

See for example [7].

THEOREM 1. Let R be a finite product of simple rings, and suppose G is a finite group acting as automorphisms of R, with the order of G invertible in R. Then  $R_sG$ , the skew group ring, is also a finite product of simple rings.

REMARK. The proof below is due to the referee of the earlier version of this paper, viz, footnote 1. Other proofs were independently given by D. Passman, J. Fisher, and the authors.

*Proof.* Let A be a finite product of simple rings. The bimodule (two-sided ideal) structure of A is reflected in its semisimplicity as a left  $A \bigotimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A^{\circ p}$ -module, with the action  $(\sum a_i \otimes b_j)(a) = \sum a_i a b_j$ .

Setting  $A = R_1 \times \cdots \times R_n = R$ , with the  $R_i$  all simple,  $R_sG$  as an  $R \otimes R^{op}$ -module, is a direct sum of the simple  $R \otimes R^{op}$ -modules,  $R_ig$ , g varying over G, so  $R_sG$  is semisimple as an  $R \otimes R^{op}$ -module.

It suffices to show that every two-sided ideal I of  $R_sG$  is a retract of  $R_sG$ , as a bimodule, equivalently as an  $R_sG \otimes (R_sG)^{op}$ -bimodule.

Since  $R_sG$  is  $R \otimes R^{\circ p}$ -semisimple, and I is a submodule, there is an  $R \otimes R^{\circ p}$ -linear (i.e., an R-bimodule homomorphism) retraction  $v: R_sG \to I$ . Define the two-sided analogue of the usual averaging process,

$$V: R_s G \longrightarrow I$$

by setting

$$V(x) = rac{1}{|G|^2} \sum_{q,h \in G} g v(g^{-1}xh^{-1})h \; .$$

One routinely checks that V is an  $R_sG$ -bimodule homomorphism, fixing I pointwise.

For A any ring, Z(A) will denote its center.

COROLLARY 2. Let R be a biregular self-injective ring, and G a finite group acting as automorphisms, with the order of G invertible in R. Then  $R_sG$  is also biregular and self-injective.

REMARKS. "Self-injective" means right-injective unless otherwise specified. The corresponding result with self-injectivity deleted from the hypothesis and conclusion is false. *Proof.* It is well-known that  $R_sG$  is self-injective (and regular), so by [13, Proposition 1.6], it suffices to show that every prime ideal of  $R_sG$  is maximal.

Let P be a prime ideal of  $R_sG$ , and observe that  $(Z(P))^{d}/(P \cap (Z(R)))^{d})$  embeds naturally in the center of  $R_sG/P$ , so must be a field. Since Z(R) is a commutative biregular ring and  $Z(R)/(P \cap Z(R))$  is finitely generated over that field,  $(P \cap (Z(R))^{d})Z(R)$  is a finite intersection of maximal ideals of Z(R). Since this ideal is contained in  $Z(R) \cap P$ , the latter is also such an intersection. From the biregularity of R, we deduce that  $(Z(R) \cap P)R$  is a finite intersection of prime, hence maximal, ideals of R. As this ideal is contained in  $R \cap P$ , the latter is also a finite intersection.

Clearly,  $R \cap P$  is a G-invariant ideal of R, and we may thus form the skew group ring  $(R/(R \cap P))_sG$ ; there is a natural mapping of rings from this onto  $(R_sG)/P$ . By Theorem 1, the former is a finite product of simple rings, so the latter being prime, must be simple.

The following lemma is a standard result from Morita theory, and is a special case of [2; I, 4.1.3].

LEMMA 3. Let A be a ring, and let P be a finitely generated projective A-module that is a generator for Mod-A. Set  $B=\operatorname{End}_{A}P$ . Then  $P_{B}$  is a finitely generated projective module.

THEOREM 4. Let R be a ring, and G a finite group of automorphisms of R, with the order of G invertible in R. If either (1) or (2) below hold,

(1) R is a finite product of simple rings

(2) R is a biregular, self-injective ring

then R is a finitely generated projective  $R^{d}$ -module.

*Proof.* As in [4], consider the  $R_sG$ - $R^a$  bimodule, R. As a left  $R_sG$ -module, R is projective and isomorphic to the principal left ideal  $R_sGe$ , where  $e = |G|^{-1}\sum g$ . Since  $R_sG$  is biregular (by the first two results), there exists a central idempotent F such that the ideal  $Q = F \cdot R_sG$  is the left annihilator of  $R_sGe$ , hence of the left module R. So R is an  $(R_sG/Q)$ - $R^a$  bimodule in a natural way; it is faithful, projective, and finitely generated over  $R_sG/Q$ .

Any finitely generated faithful projective module P over a biregular ring S is a generator: There exists an integer n so that  $P \simeq eS^n$  (for some  $e = e^2$  in  $M_nS$ ); since  $M_nS$  is biregular,  $M_nSeM_nS$  is generated by a central idempotent E, but P will not be faithful if E is not the identity; hence  $M_nSeM_nS = M_nS$ , so  $eS^n \simeq P$  is a generator.

Thus R as a left  $R_sG/Q$ -module is a generator, so if  $E = \operatorname{End}_{R_sG/Q}R$ ,  $R_E$  is finitely generated projective. However, there is an isomorphism of E with  $R^{d}$  so that the action of E on R is translated to the usual action of  $P^{d}$  on R. Thus R is finitely generated projective as an  $R^{d}$ -module.

COROLLARY 5 [9]. If R is a finite product of simple rings, and G is a finite group of automorphisms of R with the order of G invertible in R, then  $R^{G}$  is also a finite product of simple rings.

*Proof.* As is implicit in the proof of Theorem 4,  $R^{G} \simeq eR_{s}Ge$  (same e), and Theorem 1 applies.

The theorem of Farkas and Snider [3; Theorem 1] asserts that R is a finitely generated  $R^{G}$ -module if R is semisimple artinian (and the usual condition on the order of G). This is of course a special case of Theorem 4, but is easier to prove as it only requires proving Theorem 1 for the special case, R semisimple artinian (which is just Maschke's theorem).

II. Self-injective regular rings. We may now complete the results of Renault [14] on the relation between the type classifications of R and  $R^{d}$ , when R is self-injective. Specifically, we show that R is type II (respectively type  $II_{\infty}$ ) if and only if  $R^{d}$  is, and since the corresponding result is known for type  $I_{f}$  (and type  $I_{\infty}$ ), it also holds for type III. For a review of the type classification for self-injective regular rings, see [5; § 7].<sup>2</sup>

PROPOSITION 6. Let R be a regular self-injective ring, dnd G a finite group of automorphisms of R, with the order of G invertible in R. Then

(1) R is of type II (respectively  $II_f$ )

(2)  $R^{d}$  is of type II (respectively type II<sub>f</sub>).

*Proof.* According to [14; Corollary 10], (1) implies (2). So it suffices to show (2) implies (1).

Let e be any finite idempotent in  $R^{c}$ ; then  $(eRe)^{c} = eR^{c}e$ , and we shall show e is finite as an idempotent in R.

Let *M* be a maximal two-sided ideal of S = eRe, and set  $P = \cap M^{g}$ . Now S/P is a finite prduct of simple rings, so by Theorem 4, S/P is finitely generated as a module over  $(S/P)^{g}$ , which equals

if and only if

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> A comprehensive treatment occurs in the very recently published von Neumann Regular Rings, by K. R. Goodearl, published by Pitman (1979).

 $S^{a}/(P \cap S^{a})$ , and being projective as well, S/P embeds in a corner of a matrix ring over  $S^{a}/(P \cap S^{a})$ . Since quotients, matrix rings, and corners of directly finite self-injective rings are also directly finite, S/P is directly finite. Since S/M is one of the simple ring direct summands of S/P, S/M is also thus directly finite.

So for all maximal two-sided ideals M of S, S/M is directly finite; it is easily checked that for self-injective rings, this implies S is directly finite (outline of proof: if not, there is a central idempotent E such that T = ES satisfies,  $T \oplus T \simeq T$  as T-modules; this property is inherited by all homomorphic images of T). Thus the idempotent e is finite in R.

If  $R^{d}$  were of type  $II_{f}$ , we may set e = 1, so that R = S is of finite type, and it is easy to check that  $R^{d}$  having no artinian images implies the same for R; thus R is of type  $II_{f}$ . If R is merely of type II, there exists a faithful finite idempotent e in  $R^{d}$ , and to show R is of type II, it suffices to show that e is faithful in R.

If not, the right (and left) annihilator of ReR is ER for some central idempotent E. Since ReR is G-invariant, so is ER; since  $E^{g}$  must also be central, it follows that  $E^{g} = E$ , so E belongs to  $R^{d}$ . As e is a faithful idempotent in  $R^{d}$ , E = 0.

We can also show that for self-injective rings R,  $R^{G}$  is biregular if and only if R is. We first require a slight extension of [13; Proposition 1.6].

**PROPOSITION** 7. A self-injective regular ring all of whose primitive images are simple, is biregular.

**Proof.** Let M be a maximal ideal of the center, Z(R) of R. The quotient ring T = R/MR has its two-sided ideals totally ordered [12; Prop. 2.9]. Let N be a two-sided ideal of R properly containing MR; since T is regular, there is a maximal right ideal Q of Rcontaining MR but not N. Inside Q is a primitive ideal containing MR, but not N; as Q must be maximal and the ideals of T are totally ordered, this is a contradiction. Hence, no such N exists, so MR is a maximal two-sided ideal. Thus all maximal ideals of R are of the form MR, so R is biregular [13; Prop. 1.1].

**THEOREM 8.** Let R be regular and self-injective. Suppose G is a finite group of automorphisms of R, and the order of G is invertible in R. Then

(1) R is biregular if and only if

(2)  $R^{a}$  is biregular.

*Proof.* Since  $R^{c} \simeq eR_{s}Ge$  (as in the proofs of Theorem 4 and Corollary 5), (1) implies (2).

Assume (2) holds. Let P be a primitive ideal; by the preceding result, it suffices to show P is maximal. Form  $Q = \cap P^{g}$ , and the quotient ring T = R/Q. As Q is G-invariant, we may form  $T_{s}G$ , which is also, in a natural may, an image of  $R_{s}G$ .

Since  $R_sG$  is self-injective and regular, it satisfies general comparability: [5; Theorem 3.3].<sup>2</sup>

(\*) For all idempotents e, f there exists a central idempotent E such that the right ideal generated by eE is subisomorphic to that generated by fE, and the right ideal generated by f(1-E) is subisomorphic to that generated by e(1-E).

Since subisomorphisms between idempotent-generated principal right ideals are equationally determined in a regular ring, (\*) is inherited by all homomorphic images of  $R_sG$ ; in particular  $T_sG$  satisfies (\*).

Now consider the center of  $T_sG$ : routine computations (as in [7; 1.6 proof (1), (2)] — observe that since  $T_sG$  is regular, nonzero divisors are invertible), show that the center is contained in a finitely generated module over the center of T. However, T is a finite product of prime regular rings (T is a subdirect product of finitely many prime rings, but satisfies (\*)), so Z(T) is a finite product of fields; it easily follows that  $Z(T_sG)$  is artinian. As  $T_sG$  is a regular ring satisfying (\*), it follows that  $T_sG$  is also a finite product of prime rings.

As  $T^{\scriptscriptstyle G} \simeq e T_s G e$ ,  $T^{\scriptscriptstyle G}$  is a finite product of prime rings. Since  $T^{\scriptscriptstyle G}$  is a homomorphic image of a biregular ring  $(R^{\scriptscriptstyle G})$ ,  $T^{\scriptscriptstyle G}$  is thus a finite product of simple rings.

Thus  $T^{\alpha}$  is a finite product of simple rings and T is a finite product of prime rings; by [4; 4.3], R/Q = T is a finite product of simple rings, whence R/P is simple.

We note that in the course of the above proof, we have shown:

COROLLARY 9. If R is a finite product of prime regular selfinjective rings and G is a finite group of automorphisms with the order of G invertible in R, then both  $R^{G}$ ,  $R_{s}G$  are finite products of prime regular self-injective rings.

The main idea involved in the proofs of Corollary 2 and Theorem 8 is that prime ideals are maximal in  $R_sG$  if they are so in R. Now that the results of [11; 1.4] are available, shorter proofs can be given.

The following result, interesting in itself, is useful for determining the connection between the projectivity and the finite generation of R as an  $R^{a}$ -module, which we shall now be exploring.

THEOREM 10. Let R be a right self-injective regular ring, and I a nonsingular injective right module. At least one of the following hold:

(a) I is finitely generated;

(b) There exists a strictly descending infinite sequence of central idempotents  $F_1 > F_2 > \cdots$  such that

 $\bigoplus F_i R$  is subisomorphic to I;

(c) There exists a nonzero central idempotent E such that  $\aleph_0$  copies of the module ER is subisomorphic to I.

REMARK. We adopt the notation nM or n(M) to indicate a direct sum of n copies of M, when M is a module and n a positive integer or  $\aleph_0$ .

*Proof.* We repeatedly use general comparability, that is, for J a nonsingular injective over R, there exists a central idempotent E with  $JE \leq ER$  and  $(1-E)R \leq J(1-E)$  (all as right modules) [5; Theorem 3.3]<sup>2</sup>.

Assume neither (a) nor (c) hold. There is a central idempotent  $E_i$  of R with  $IE_i \leq E_1R$  and  $(1-E_i)R \leq I(1-E_i)$ . As (a) fails,  $E_i$  does not equal 1, and from the negation of (c), there exists a positive integer  $n_i$  such that  $n_i[(1-E_i)R] \leq I(1-E_i)$ , but  $(n_i + 1)[(1-E_i)R] \leq I(1-E_i)R$ . Since all the modules dealt with are injective, all these subisomorphisms split, so there exists an injective submodule  $K_i$  with  $I(1-E_i) \simeq K_i \bigoplus n_i[(1-E_i)R]$ .

Set  $F_1 = 1 - E_1$ , and view  $K_1$  as a module over  $F_1R$ . There exists a central idempotent  $E_2 < F_1$  such that  $K_1E_2 \leq E_2R$  and  $(F_1 - E_2)R \leq K_1(F - E_2)$ . Now  $F_1R$  is not subisomorphic to  $K_1$ , so  $E_2$  is not zero. On the other hand, there exists, by the negation of (c) a positive integer  $n_2$  with  $n_2(F_1 - E_2)R \leq K_1(F_1 - E_2)$  but no larger number of copies can be embedded in  $K_1(F_1 - E_2)$ . Write  $K_1(F_1 - E_2) \simeq n_2(F - E_2)R \bigoplus K_2$  for some  $K_2$ . Set  $F_2 = F_1 - E_2$ ; this process can obviously be continued inductively, and we obtain  $\bigoplus n_iF_iR \leq I$ , and the  $F_i$  are strictly descending. This verifies (b).

THEOREM 11. Let R be a right self-injective regular ring, and I a projective injective right R-module. Then there is a decomposition

$$I=J\oplus K$$

where J is finitely generated, K = Socle(K), and there is a central

idempotent E with KE = K, and ER is artinian.

(For this proof only, we distinguish between the internal direct sum  $(\bigoplus)$ , and the external direct sum  $(\bot)$ .)

*Proof.* We repeatedly employ the following idea: If  $\{e_iR\}$  is an infinite collection of nonzero principal right ideals, and  $\coprod e_iR \leq R$ , then  $\coprod e_iR$  cannot be injective. For, the image of  $\coprod e_iR$  is an internal direct sum  $\bigoplus f_iR \subseteq R$ ; being injective, it must be a direct summand of R, and hence would be principal; but this is impossible since the generator would have to appear in a finite direct summand.

Since I is projective, it (and all of its submodules) is nonsingular. Let  $K_1$  be the injective hull of the socle of I; there is a direct summand  $J_1$  so that  $J_1 \bigoplus K_1 = I$ , and of course Socle  $(J_1) = \{0\}$ . We proceed to show that  $J_1$  must be finitely generated.

Being projective (and P being regular),  $J_1$  is isomorphic to a direct sum of principal right ideals of R, say  $J \simeq \perp e_i R$ , where  $e_i = e_i^2$  belong to R. Either 10(b) or 10(c) holds, and we show either leads to a contradiction, unless the index set is finite.

If 10(c) holds, we may find inside the index set infinitely many disjoint finite subsets  $\{S_j\}$  such that  $ER \leq \coprod_{i \in S_j} e_i R$  for some idempotent E, for all j. By passing to a direct summand of  $J_i$ , and multiplying by the central idempotent E, we may assume E = 1, and  $\bigcup S_j$  is the entire index set, so that for all j,

$$R \lesssim \underset{i \in S_j}{\amalg} e_i R$$
 .

Since  $J_1$  is a faithful *ER*-module and Socle  $(J_1) = \{0\}$ , *ER* has zero socle, and in particular, is not artinian. So we may find an infinite orthogonal set of idempotents  $\{f_j\}$  in *R*, in bijection with the set of  $S_j$ 's. For each *j*, there is an *i* in  $S_j$  and a nonzero idempotent  $g_j$  in  $e_iR$  with  $g_jR \leq f_jR$ . There thus exists an idempotent  $h_j$  in  $f_jR$  with  $g_jR \simeq h_jR$ . As  $\perp g_jR$  is a direct summand of  $J_1$ , a contradiction arises unless  $J_1$  is finitely generated.

Now suppose 10(b) holds. We may find infinitely many finite disjoint subsets  $\{S_j\}$  of the index set, and an infinite sequence of descending central idempotents  $\{F_j\}$  such that for all j,  $F_jR \leq \prod_{i \in S_j} e_iR$ . Then  $(F_j - F_{j+1})R \leq \prod_{i \in S_j} e_iR$ ; set  $E_j = F_j - F_{j+1}$ , note that the  $E_j$  are orthogonal, and  $\prod E_jR$  is isomorphic to a direct summand of  $J_1$ , so is injective, and again the first paragraph applies to yield a contradiction. Hence  $J_1$  must be finitely generated.

Write  $K_1 = \coprod_{i \in T} h_i R$ ,  $h_i = h_i^2 \in R$ . Then for each *i*, Socle  $(h_i R)$  is essential in  $h_i R$ . Let S be a set of representatives of isomorphism classes of minimal right ideals of R that appear in Socle  $(K_1)$ . Suppose there is an infinite subset  $T_0$  of T, an infinite subset  $S_0$  of S,

and a bijection  $f: T_0 \to S_0$  so that for t in  $T_0$ ,  $f(t) = g_t R \leq h_t R$ . Then from the minimality of each of the  $g_t R$  (and their mutual nonisomorphism),  $\coprod g_t R \cong \bigoplus g_t R \subseteq R$ ; but  $\coprod g_t R$  is isomorphic to a direct summand of  $K_1$  (each  $g_t R$  is a direct summand of  $h_t R$ ), and again a contradiction occurs.

In particular, we deduce that for at most finitely many t in T can  $h_iR$  contain infinitely many elements of S. By absorbing these into the finitely generated  $J_1$ , we may assume all of the  $h_iR$  contain only finitely many members of S. Knowing this, we deduce from the previous paragraph that S must be finite, say  $S = \{g_1R, g_2R, \cdots, g_nR\}$ . Then if  $E(\ )$  denotes injective hull,  $K_1 = E(\bigoplus^n N_i g_i R) \cong \bot E(N_i g_i R), N_i$  finite or infinite cardinals. Whichever of the  $E(N_i g_i R)$  are finitely generated can be absorbed into the finitely generated part, and we are reduced to the situation,  $K \simeq \bot E(N_i g_i R)$ , where each of the  $E(N_i g_i R)$  is not finitely generated, and the  $g_i R$  are mutually nonisomorphic.

Let  $F_i$  be the central cover of  $g_i R$ . Then  $E(N_i g_i R)$  is a projective injective module over  $T_i = F_i R$ . Since  $g_i R$  is a faithful irreducible  $T_i$ -module,  $T_i$  is primitive, and so 10(b) cannot apply to  $E(N_i g_i R)$ ; as  $E(N_i g_i R)$  is not a finitely generated R-module, it cannot be finitely generated as a  $T_i$ -module; thus 10(c) must apply. If  $T_i$  contained an infinite orthogonal set of idempotents, we could apply the process applied to  $J_i$  to reach a contradictoin — thus  $T_i$  must be artinian, and so  $E = \sum E_i$  generates an artinian corner of R. Since ER is artinian, K is completely reducible as an ER; hence as an R-module.

Now if R is regular self-injective, and G is a finite group of automorphisms of R with the usual order condition, then R is injective as a right  $R^{\sigma}$ -module. If R were projective as well, modulo artinian direct summands (which can be dealt with separately), it would have to be finitely generated. On the other hand, if R were finitely generated as an  $R^{\sigma}$ -module, the argument of the following lemma shows R must also be projective.

LEMMA 12. Suppose A is a right self-injective regular ring, and P is a faithful finitely generated projective left A-module. Set  $B = \operatorname{End}_{A} P$ , and suppose  $P_{B}$  is finitely generated. Then  $_{A}P$  is a projective generator for A-Mod.

*Proof.* It is routine to check that  $P_B$  is nonsingular, and also that B is itself right self-injective, so being finitely generated,  $P_B$  must be projective ([5; Theorem I. 16]). There thus exists a split onto map of right B-modules,

$$nB_B \longrightarrow P_B$$
.

Applying the contravariant functor, Hom  $(-, {}_{A}P_{B})$ , we obtain a split embedding,  $n({}_{A}P) \leftarrow {}_{A}\text{End}(P_{B})$ .

Now the natural map  $A \to \text{End}(P_B)$ ,  $a \mapsto \hat{a}$ ,  $\hat{a}(p) = ap$ , has kernel the annihilator of  ${}_{A}P$ , and so is an embedding. Thus  ${}_{A}A$  embeds in  $n({}_{A}P)$ . Since  ${}_{A}P$ ,  ${}_{A}A$  are projective modules over a regular ring,  ${}_{A}A$ is a direct summand of  $n({}_{A}P)$ , and so  ${}_{A}P$  is a generator.

Lorenz and Passman have given examples of type  $I_f$  self-injective regular rings with G of order 2 (and 1/2 belongs to R) such that R is not finitely generated over  $R^G$ . We now present complementary examples, with R prime (and necessarily not simple, by Theorem 4).

EXAMPLE. R prime regular self-injective, not simple,  $G = \{1, g\}$ a group of automorphisms of order 2, with R neither finitely generated nor projective over  $R^{g}$ .

Take any prime, nonsimple self-injective regular ring with 2 invertible (examples of type  $I_{\infty}$  and III exist in profusion; examples of type  $II_{\infty}$  also exist, but require some subtlety to construct). Let M be the (unique, proper) maximal two-sided ideal of R, and pick a nonzero idempotent e in M.

Let g be the inner automorphism defined as conjugation by 1-2e; so  $g^2$  is the identity, and  $R^{\sigma} = eRe \times (1-e)R(1-e)$ . If R were  $R^{\sigma}$ -finitely generated on the right, multiplication by e yields that Re is finitely generated as a right eRe-module. Now Re is a faithful projective left R-module, so by Lemma 12, <sub>R</sub>Re would have to be a generator, and of course this implies ReR = R; but ReR is contained in M, a contradiction.

If R were  $R^{G}$ -projective, it would have to be finitely generated by Theorem 11.

The automorphism in the example was inner. Not surprisingly, when G consists of outer automorphisms, and R is prime regular self-injective, R is finitely generated over  $R^{\sigma}$ . Here, 'outer' means not conjugation by an invertible element (the usual definition, as opposed to the ersatz definitions).

THEOREM 14. Let R be a prime regular self-injective ring, and suppose G is a finite group of outer automorphisms of R, with the order of G invertible in R. Then R is finitely generated and projective as a right  $R^{c}$ -module, and  $R^{c}$  is a prime regular right self-injective ring. **Proof.** We observe that  $R_sG$  is self-injective, regular, and computing the center as in [7; 1.6 proof of (2)] (noting that in a prime ring  $rR = Rr \neq \{0\}$  implies r is not a zero-divisor, and nonzero divisors in regular rings are invertible), we find the center is a field,  $Z(R)^{\beta}$ . Since  $R_sG$  satisfies central comparability, it must be prime (also a special case of [15; 2.6 (ii)]); as  $R^{\beta}$  is isomorphic to a corner of  $R_sG$ ,  $R^{\beta}$  is also prime (and regular, self-injective).

Now let M be the unique maximal two-sided ideal of R. Since M is the only maximal two-sided ideal, it must be G-invariant, and thus  $MR_sG$  is a two-sided ideal of  $R_sG$ . The natural isomorphism,  $R_sG/MR_sG \simeq (R/M)_sG$  carries a prime ring (the ideals of  $R_sG$  are totally ordered, so all images are prime) to a finite product of simple rings (Theorem 1), so both are simple.

The idempotent  $e = |G|^{-1} \sum g$  has nonzero image in the simple ring  $R_sG/MR_sG$ , and as  $MR_sG$  must be the unique maximal ideal of  $R_sG$ , it follows that  $R_sGeR_sG = R_sG$ . Thus  $R_sG$  is Morita equivalent to  $R^a$  via the bimodule  $R_sGe$ , and after translating  $R_sGe$  to R, we can apply Lemma 3, as in the proof of Theorem 4.

We would like to thank Martin Lorenz and Don Passman for pointing out a blunder in the earlier version of this paper.

### References

1. C. L. Chuang and P. H. Lee, Noetherian rings with involution, Chinese J. of Mathematics, 5 (1977).

2. C. Faith, Algebra: Rings, Modules and Categories I, Springer Verlag New York, 1973.

3. D. Farkas and R. Snider, Noetherian fixed rings, Pacific J. Math., 69 (1977), 347-353.

4. J. Fisher and J. Osterburg, Semiprime ideals in rings with finite group actions, J. Algebra, **50** (1978), 488-502.

5. K. R. Goodearl and A. K. Boyle, Dimension Theory for Nonsingular Injective Modules, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.' 177 (1976).

6. D. Handelman, Algèbres simples de groupes à gauche, Canad. J. Math., 32 (1980), 165-184.

7. D. Handelman, J. Lawrence and W. Schelter, Skew group rings, Houston J. Math., 4 (1978), 175-190.

8. D. Handelman, Perspectivity and cancellation in regular rngs, J. of Algebra, 48 (1977), 1-16.

9. V. K. Kharchenko, Galois subgroups of simple rings, Math. Zametki, 17 (1975), 887-892.

10. \_\_\_\_\_, Generalized identities with automorphisms, Algebra and Logic, 14 (1975), 132-148.

11. M. Lorenz and D. Passman, Prime ideals in crossed products of finite groups,

12. G. Renault, Anneaux réguliers auto-injectifs à droite, Bul. Soc. Math. France, 101 (1973), 237-254.

13. \_\_\_\_, Anneaux biréguliers auto-injectifs à droite, J. Algebra, 36 (1975), 77-84.

14. \_\_\_\_\_, Actions de groupes et anneaux réguliers injectifs, Proc, Waterloo Ring Theory Conf. (1978), Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 734 (1979).

15. S. Montgomery and D. Passman, Crossed products over prime rings, Israel J. Math., **31** (1978), 224-256.

Received May 8, 1979 and in revised form September 10, 1979. (The first author was supported in part by NSERC (formerly, NRC of Canada).

University of Ottawa Ottawa, Ontar 10 Canada, KIN 9B4

## PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

#### EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor) University of Galifornia Los Angeles, California 90024

HUGO ROSSI

University of Utah Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE AND ANDREW OGG University of California Berkeley, CA 94720 J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

R. FINN AND J. MILGRAM Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

### ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH B. H. NEUMANN

F. Wolf

K. Yoshida

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFONIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 89, No. 1 May, 1980

David Bressoud, A note on gap-frequency partitions	1
John David Brillhart, A double inversion formula	7
Frank Richard Deutsch, Günther Nürnberger and Ivan Singer, Weak	
Chebyshev subspaces and alternation	9
Edward Richard Fadell, The relationship between Ljusternik-Schnirelman	
category and the concept of genus	33
Harriet Jane Fell, On the zeros of convex combinations of polynomials	43
John Albert Fridy, An addendum to: "Tauberian theorems via block	
dominated matrices"	51
Andrzej Granas, Ronald Bernard Guenther and John Walter Lee, Applications	
of topological transversality to differential equations. I. Some nonlinear	
diffusion problems	53
David E. Handelman and G. Renault, Actions of finite groups on self-injective	
<i>rings</i>	69
Michael Frank Hutchinson, Local $\Lambda$ sets for profinite groups	81
Arnold Samuel Kas, On the handlebody decomposition associated to a	
Lefschetz fibration	89
Hans Keller, On the lattice of all closed subspaces of a Hermitian space	105
P. S. Kenderov, <i>Dense strong continuity of pointwise continuous</i>	
mappings	111
Robert Edward Kennedy, <i>Krull rings</i>	131
Jean Ann Larson, Richard Joseph Laver and George Frank McNulty,	
Square-free and cube-free colorings of the ordinals	137
Viktor Losert and Harald Rindler, <i>Cyclic vectors for</i> $L^p(G)$	143
John Rowlay Martin and Edward D. Tymchatyn, <i>Fixed point sets of</i>	
1-dimensional Peano continua	147
Augusto Nobile, <i>On equisingular families of isolated singularities</i>	151
Kenneth Joseph Prevot, Imbedding smooth involutions in trivial bundles	163
Thomas Munro Price, Spanning surfaces for projective planes in four	
space	169
Dave Riffelmacher, Sweedler's two-cocycles and Hochschild	
cohomology	181
Niels Schwartz, Archimedean lattice-ordered fields that are algebraic over	100
their o-subfields	189
Chao-Liang Shen, A note on the automorphism groups of simple dimension	100
groups	199
Kenneth Barry Stolarsky, <i>Mapping properties, growth, and uniqueness of</i>	200
vieta (infinite cosine) products	209
warren James Wong, <i>Maps on simple algebras preserving tero products</i> . I.	220
Ine associative case	229