

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

## **SINGLY GENERATED ANTISYMMETRIC OPERATOR ALGEBRAS**

JOHN BLIGH CONWAY AND WACŁAW SZYMAŃSKI

# SINGLY GENERATED ANTISYMMETRIC OPERATOR ALGEBRAS

JOHN B. CONWAY AND WACŁAW SZYMANSKI

We discuss the antisymmetry of certain algebras associated with a bounded linear operator on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . An algebra of operators on  $\mathcal{H}$  is said to be antisymmetric if the only self-adjoint operators it contains are multiples of the identity. If  $T$  is a bounded operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ , let  $\mathcal{A}_u(T)$  be the norm closure of  $\{p(T): p \text{ is a polynomial}\}$  and let  $\mathcal{R}_u(T)$  be the norm closure of  $\{f(T): f \text{ is a rational function with poles off the spectrum of } T\}$ . Suppose  $T = T_1 \oplus T_2 \oplus \cdots$  and  $\|T_j\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . For a subset  $J$  of  $N$ , the natural numbers, let  $T_J = \bigoplus \{T_j: j \in J\}$ . It is proved here that if  $\mathcal{A}_u(T_j)$  is antisymmetric for each  $j$ , then  $\mathcal{A}_u(T)$  is antisymmetric if and only if for each finite set  $J$  the polynomially convex hulls of  $\sigma(T_J)$  and  $\sigma(T_{N \setminus J})$  have nonempty intersection.

Similar results are obtained for  $\mathcal{R}_u(T)$ . Under the assumption that each  $\mathcal{A}_u(T_j)(\mathcal{R}_u(T_j))$  is antisymmetric, the maximal antisymmetric projections for  $\mathcal{A}_u(T)(\mathcal{R}_u(T))$  are characterized. These results are then applied to completely characterize the compact operators  $T$  for which  $\mathcal{A}_u(T)(\mathcal{R}_u(T))$  is antisymmetric.

The concept of antisymmetric algebras has been fruitfully used in the theory of function algebras [4] and operator theory [2], and a systematic study of this property was begun in [5] and [6].

In this paper the cases where  $T = T_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus T_n$  or  $T = T_1 \oplus T_2 \oplus \cdots$  and  $\|T_j\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $\mathcal{A}_u(T_j)$  (or  $\mathcal{R}_u(T_j)$ ) is antisymmetric for each  $j \geq 1$  will be considered. The study of the case where  $T$  is an arbitrary infinite direct sum of antisymmetric operators poses some difficulties. The assumption that  $\|T_j\| \rightarrow 0$  obviates these difficulties and permits a study of compact operators.

By Theorem 1.4.5 of [1], if  $T$  is a compact operator,  $T = T_1 \oplus T_2 \oplus \cdots$  where each  $T_j$  is an irreducible compact operator. Because  $T_j$  is irreducible,  $\mathcal{A}_u(T_j)$  is antisymmetric [5]. Since  $T$  is compact it must be that the above direct sum is either finite or  $\|T_j\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence, the study of compact operators will be covered by the more general theorems.

The authors would like to thank the referee, William R. Zame, for his valuable remarks. In the original manuscript, only finite direct sums were treated, and the case of infinite direct sums of compact operators was handled with a separate argument. Professor

Zame observed that the infinite case could be treated provided the assumption was made that the norms converge to zero. He also made several other suggestions that have resulted in more incisive results and more lucid exposition.

1. Preliminary results. If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , the algebra of all bounded operators on the separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , and  $\mathcal{A}$  contains the identity, a projection  $P$  in  $\mathcal{A}'$ , the commutant of  $\mathcal{A}$ , is antisymmetric for  $\mathcal{A}$  if  $\mathcal{A}_P \equiv \{A \mid P\mathcal{H} : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$  is an antisymmetric subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}(P\mathcal{H})$ . A maximal antisymmetric projection for  $\mathcal{A}$  is an antisymmetric projection for  $\mathcal{A}$  that is maximal, for the usual ordering, in the set of all antisymmetric projections. Let  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$  denote the set of all maximal antisymmetric projections for  $\mathcal{A}$ .  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$  is contained in the center of the von Neumann algebra generated by  $\mathcal{A}$  [6]. Also, if  $Q$  is any antisymmetric projection for  $\mathcal{A}$ , there is a  $P$  in  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$  such that  $Q \leq P$  [6]. Also, if  $P \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$  and  $Q$  is an antisymmetric projection for  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $PQ \neq 0$ , then  $Q \leq P$  ([5], Proposition 2). Finally, each antisymmetric projection that belongs to  $\mathcal{A}$  must be maximal.

The proof of the following result is left to the reader

PROPOSITION 1.1. If  $T = \bigoplus \{T_j : j \geq 1\}$  and  $\|T_j\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , then

$$\sigma(T) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \sigma(T_j) \cup \{0\}.$$

Throughout this paper, the following notation will be fixed.  $\mathbf{R}$  and  $\mathbf{C}$  stand for the real and complex numbers, and  $\square$  denotes the empty set. If  $\{\mathcal{H}_j\}$  is a finite or countable sequence of Hilbert spaces,  $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_j \mathcal{H}_j$ ; if  $T_j \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_j)$ ,  $T = \bigoplus_j T_j$ . Let  $P_j$  denote the projection of  $\mathcal{H}$  onto  $\mathcal{H}_j$ . If  $J \subseteq N$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_J = \bigoplus \{\mathcal{H}_j : j \in J\}$ ,  $P_J = \bigoplus \{P_j : j \in J\}$ ,  $T_J = \bigoplus \{T_j : j \in J\}$ . If  $K$  is a compact subset of  $\mathbf{C}$ ,  $K^\wedge$  = polynomially convex hull of  $K$ .

2. The antisymmetry of  $\mathcal{A}_u(T)$ . In this section we will study the antisymmetry of  $\mathcal{A}_u(T)$  under the assumptions that  $T$  is a finite direct sum  $T = T_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus T_n$  or  $T$  is an infinite direct sum  $T = T_1 \oplus T_2 \oplus \cdots$  and  $\|T_j\| \rightarrow 0$ ; and  $\mathcal{A}_u(T_j)$  is antisymmetric for each  $j \geq 1$ . However, the results and the proofs will only be given in the infinite case. The statements of the results for the finite case and their proofs are left to the reader.

LEMMA 2.1. If  $J \subseteq N$ ,  $P_J \in \mathcal{A}_u(T)$  iff  $\sigma(T_J)^\wedge \cap \sigma(T_{N \setminus J})^\wedge = \square$ .

*Proof.* It is easy to see that  $P_J \in \mathcal{A}_u(T)$  iff  $\mathcal{A}_u(T) = \mathcal{A}_u(T_J) \oplus \mathcal{A}_u(T_{N \setminus J})$ . The proof is now completed by applying Theorem 1.4 of [3].

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Suppose that  $T = T_1 \oplus T_2 \oplus \cdots$ ,  $\|T_j\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $\mathcal{A}_u(T_j)$  is antisymmetric for each  $j$ . The following conditions are logically equivalent:*

- (a)  $\mathcal{A}_u(T)$  is antisymmetric.
- (b) For every finite nonempty subset  $J$  of  $N$ ,  $P_J \notin \mathcal{A}_u(T)$ .
- (c) For every finite nonempty subset  $J$  of  $N$ ,  $\sigma(T_J)^\wedge \cap \sigma(T_{N \setminus J})^\wedge \neq \square$ .

*Proof.* Clearly (a) implies (b). By Lemma 2.1, (b) and (c) are equivalent.

Now assume that (b) holds, and we will show that  $\mathcal{A}_u(T)$  must be antisymmetric. Suppose  $A \in \mathcal{A}_u(T)$  and  $A = A^*$ . Clearly  $A = A_1 \oplus A_2 \oplus \cdots$  and each  $A_j \in \mathcal{A}_u(T_j)$ . Let  $\{p_n\}$  be a sequence of polynomials such that

$$0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|p_n(T) - A\|.$$

Because  $\|p(T)\| \geq \sup\{\|p(z)\| : z \in \sigma(T)\}$  for any polynomial  $p$ ,  $\{p_n\}$  is a uniformly Cauchy sequence on  $\sigma(T)$ . In particular,  $p_n(0) \rightarrow \alpha$ , for some scalar  $\alpha$ .

If  $I_j$  denotes the identity on the space  $\mathcal{H}_j$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_j - \alpha I_j\| &\leq \|A_j - p_n(T_j)\| + \|p_n(T_j) - p_n(0)I_j\| + \|p_n(0)I_j - \alpha I_j\| \\ &\leq \|A - p_n(T)\| + \|p_n(T_j) - p_n(0)I_j\| + \|p_n(0)I_j - \alpha I_j\|. \end{aligned}$$

Because  $\|T_j\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\|p(T_j) - p(0)I_j\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$  for any polynomial  $p$ . Thus, the above inequality implies that  $\|A_j - \alpha I_j\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ .

Now  $A_j \in \mathcal{A}_u(T_j)$  and  $A_j = A_j^*$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}_u(T_j)$  is antisymmetric,  $A_j = \alpha_j I_j$  for some  $\alpha_j$  in  $\mathbf{R}$ . From the preceding paragraph it follows that  $\alpha_j \rightarrow \alpha$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Suppose  $\alpha_k \neq \alpha$  for some  $k$ . Put  $J = \{j : \alpha_j = \alpha_k\}$ . Because  $\alpha_j \rightarrow \alpha$ ,  $J$  must be finite. Also  $\alpha_k$  is an isolated point of the spectrum of  $A = \alpha_1 I_1 \oplus \alpha_2 I_2 \oplus \cdots$ . Hence  $P_J \in \mathcal{A}_u(A) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_u(T)$ , contradicting (b). Thus  $A = \alpha I$ .

Notice that if  $J$  is an infinite subset of  $N$  such that  $N \setminus J$  is also infinite, then Proposition 1.1 implies  $0 \in \sigma(T_J) \cap \sigma(T_{N \setminus J})$ .

The remainder of this section is devoted to the description of  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}_u(T))$ , the set of maximal antisymmetric projections for  $\mathcal{A}_u(T)$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}$  denote the collection of nonempty subsets  $J$  of  $N$  such that  $\mathcal{A}_u(T_J)$  is antisymmetric. It follows from Theorem 2.2 that

$$(2.3) \quad J \in \mathcal{P} \text{ iff } \sigma(T_L)^\wedge \cap \sigma(T_{J \setminus L})^\wedge \neq \square$$

for every finite nonempty subset  $L$  of  $J$ .

It follows from (2.3) that if  $\mathcal{P}$  is ordered by inclusion, each member of  $\mathcal{P}$  is contained in a maximal element of  $\mathcal{P}$ . Let  $\mathcal{K} =$  the collection of maximal elements of  $\mathcal{P}$ . Because each  $\mathcal{A}_u(T_j)$  is assumed to be antisymmetric, each integer belongs to at least one member of  $\mathcal{K}$ . Moreover, the sets belonging to  $\mathcal{K}$  are pairwise disjoint. Hence,  $\mathcal{K}$  is a partition of  $N$  and  $I = \sum \{P_J: J \in \mathcal{K}\}$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.4.** *If  $\mathcal{K}$  is the partition of  $N$  described above, then:*

- (a) *For  $J$  and  $K$  in  $\mathcal{K}$ ,  $J \neq K$ ,  $\sigma(T_J)^\wedge \cap \sigma(T_K)^\wedge = \square$ .*
- (b) *If  $J \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $0 \notin \sigma(T_J)^\wedge$ , then  $P_J \in \mathcal{A}_u(T)$ .*
- (c)  *$\mathcal{K}$  contains at most one infinite set  $J$ , and this infinite set is the only one for which  $0 \in \sigma(T_J)^\wedge$ .*

*Proof.* (a) Suppose  $J$  and  $K$  belong to  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $\sigma(T_J)^\wedge \cap \sigma(T_K)^\wedge \neq \square$ . Let  $L$  be a finite subset of  $J \cup K$  and assume that  $L \cap K \neq \square$ . Thus  $\sigma(T_{(J \cup K) \setminus L})^\wedge \cap \sigma(T_L)^\wedge \supseteq \sigma(T_{K \setminus L})^\wedge \cap \sigma(T_L)^\wedge \neq \square$ . By (2.3),  $J \cup K \in \mathcal{P}$ . By the maximality of  $J$  and  $K$ ,  $J = K$ .

(b) If  $0 \notin \sigma(T_J)^\wedge$ , there is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for  $\Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C}: |z| \leq \varepsilon\}$ ,  $\Delta \cap \sigma(T_J)^\wedge = \square$ . Because  $\|T_j\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , Proposition 1.1 implies that there are a finite number of sets  $J_0, \dots, J_n$  in  $\mathcal{K}$  such that if  $K \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $K \neq J_0, \dots, J_n$ , then  $\sigma(T_K) \subseteq \Delta$ ; hence,  $\sigma(T_K)^\wedge \subseteq \Delta$  for  $K \neq J_0, \dots, J_n$ . Suppose  $J = J_0$ . By (a),  $\sigma(T_{N \setminus J})^\wedge \subseteq \sigma(T_{J_1})^\wedge \cup \dots \cup \sigma(T_{J_n})^\wedge \cup \Delta$ , and so  $\sigma(T_J)^\wedge \cap \sigma(T_{N \setminus J})^\wedge = \square$ . Part (b) follows by Lemma 1.1. Part (c) follows from (a) and the fact that  $0 \in \sigma(T_j)$  if  $J$  is infinite.

**THEOREM 2.5.** *If  $\mathcal{A}_u(T_j)$  is antisymmetric for each  $j \geq 1$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  is the partition of  $N$  described above, then*

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}_u(T)) = \{P_J: J \in \mathcal{K}\}.$$

*Proof.* By the definition of  $\mathcal{K}$ ,  $P_J$  is an antisymmetric projection for each  $J$  in  $\mathcal{K}$ . Also note that  $\mathcal{A}_u(T)_{P_J} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_u(T_J)$ . Let  $L$  be the unique element of  $\mathcal{K}$  such that  $0 \in \sigma(T_L)$ . By 2.4 (b),  $P_J \in \mathcal{A}_u(T)$  for  $J$  in  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $J \neq L$ ; since  $P_J$  is an antisymmetric projection, it follows, as noted in the remarks at the beginning of §1, that  $P_J \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}_u(T))$ .

Now let  $E \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}_u(T))$ . Because  $\sum \{P_J: J \in \mathcal{K}\} = I$ , there is a  $J$  in  $\mathcal{K}$  such that  $EP_J \neq 0$ . By the remarks at the beginning of §1,  $P_J \leq E$ . If  $J \neq L$ , then  $E = P_J$  since  $P_J$  is also maximal. If

$E \neq P_J$  for all  $J$  in  $\mathcal{K}$  different from  $L$ , then  $EP_J = 0$  for  $J$  in  $\mathcal{K}$ ,  $J \neq L$ . Hence,  $I - P_L = \Sigma \{P_J: J \in \mathcal{K}, J \neq L\} \leq I - E$ , so  $E \leq P_L$ . Because  $P_L$  is antisymmetric and  $E$  is maximal,  $E = P_L$ .

We have shown that  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}_u(T)) \subseteq \{P_J: J \in \mathcal{K}\}$ . It only remains to show that  $P_L$  is a maximal antisymmetric projection. But this is easy since the fact that it is antisymmetric implies there is an  $E$  in  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}_u(T))$  such that  $P_L \leq E$  ([6]). By the preceding paragraph,  $P_L = E$ .

Observe that if  $L$  is as in the preceding proof, then  $P_L$  is not necessarily in  $\mathcal{A}_u(T)$ .

If  $T$  is an irreducible operator (that is,  $\{T\}'$  contains no non-trivial projections), then  $\mathcal{A}_u(T)$  is antisymmetric [5]. Now if  $T$  is compact,  $T = T_1 \oplus T_2 \oplus \cdots$  where each  $T_j$  is irreducible ([1], 1.4.5). A combination of this result and Theorem 2.2 yield a description of those compact operators  $T$  such that  $\mathcal{A}_u(T)$  is antisymmetric.

**THEOREM 2.6.** *If  $T$  is a compact operator and  $T = T_1 \oplus T_2 \oplus \cdots$  where each  $T_j$  is irreducible, then  $\mathcal{A}_u(T)$  is antisymmetric iff for each finite subset  $J$  of  $N$ ,  $\sigma(T_J) \cap \sigma(T_{N \setminus J}) \neq \emptyset$ .*

Note that because the spectrum of a compact operator is polynomially convex, it is not necessary to use the polynomially convex hulls of the spectra in Theorem 2.6. Also, this same fact implies that  $\mathcal{A}_u(T) = \mathcal{B}_u(T)$  for any compact operator.

**COROLLARY 2.7.** *If  $T$  is a compact operator and  $T = T_1 \oplus T_2 \oplus \cdots$ , where each  $T_j$  is irreducible and has infinite rank, then  $\mathcal{A}_u(T)$  is antisymmetric.*

The following example illustrates Theorem 2.6.

**EXAMPLE 2.8.** Consider

$$T_n = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{n} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{n} & \frac{1}{n+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

acting on  $C^2$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Also let  $V$  be the Volterra operator on  $L^2[0, 1]$ :

$$Vf(x) = \int_0^x f(t) dt.$$

Then  $V$  and  $T_n$  are irreducible and  $\|T_n\| \rightarrow 0$ . Therefore,  $T =$

$\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n \oplus V$  is a compact operator. Now  $\sigma(T_n) = \{1/n, 1/(n+1)\}$  so if  $T_0 = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n$ , then  $\mathcal{A}_u(T_0)$  is antisymmetric by Theorem 2.6. Also,  $0 \in \sigma(T_0)$  so that  $\mathcal{A}_u(T)$  is antisymmetric by Theorem 2.2.

This section concludes with a word of caution to the reader. If  $J \in \mathcal{K}$ , the partition constructed for Theorem 2.5, then  $\mathcal{A}_u(T_J)$  is antisymmetric and  $P_J$  is an antisymmetric projection for  $\mathcal{A}_u(T)$ . However, if  $J$  is an arbitrary subset of  $N$  and  $P_J$  is an antisymmetric projection for  $\mathcal{A}_u(T)$ , it does not follow that  $\mathcal{A}_u(T_J)$  is antisymmetric. This is illustrated by the following example.

Let  $X_1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\} \cap \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} z \leq 0\}$ ,  $X_2 = \{1/2\}$ ,  $X_3 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ . Let  $T_j$  be a normal operator with  $\sigma(T_j) = X_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3$ . Put  $T = T_1 \oplus T_2 \oplus T_3$ ,  $J = \{1, 2\}$ . Now  $\mathcal{A}_u(T_J) = \mathcal{A}_u(T_1) \oplus \mathcal{A}_u(T_2)$  since  $X_1 \cap X_2 = X_1^{\wedge} \cap X_2^{\wedge} = \square$ . Hence  $\mathcal{A}_u(T_J)$  is not antisymmetric. On the other hand, suppose  $A \in \mathcal{A}_u(T)$  and  $AP_J = A^*P_J$ . Let  $\{p_n\}$  be a sequence of polynomials such that  $p_n(T) \rightarrow A$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Because  $T$  is normal, there is a continuous function  $f_0$  on  $\sigma(T) = X_1 \cup X_2 \cup X_3$ , such that  $p_n \rightarrow f_0$  uniformly on  $\sigma(T)$  and  $A = f_0(T)$ . But by the Maximum Modulus Theorem,  $\{p_n\}$  converges uniformly on the closed unit disk  $D$  to a function  $f$  that is continuous on  $D$  and analytic on its interior. Clearly  $f = f_0$  on  $\sigma(T)$ . But  $f$  must be real-valued on  $X_1$ , so  $f$  is a constant function, say  $f \equiv c$ . Hence  $A = f_0(T) = cI$ , and  $\mathcal{A}_u(T)_{P_J}$  is antisymmetric.

In the preceding example,  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}_u(T)) = \{I\}$ , since  $\mathcal{A}_u(T)$  is antisymmetric.

**3. The antisymmetry of  $\mathcal{R}_u(T)$ .** Here we assume that  $T$  is a finite direct sum or an infinite direct sum of operators  $\{T_j\}$  such that  $\|T_j\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $\mathcal{R}_u(T_j)$  is antisymmetric for each  $j$ . As in §2, only the results for infinite direct sums will be given. In fact, no proofs of results analogous to results in §2 are given here; these proofs following by analogous arguments.

**LEMMA 3.1.** *If  $J \subset N$ ,  $P_J \in \mathcal{R}_u(T)$  iff  $\sigma(T_J) \cap \sigma(T_{N \setminus J}) = \square$ .*

This is proved like Lemma 2.1, but Theorem 2.2 of [3] is invoked. The proof of the next theorem is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.2.

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Suppose that  $T = T_1 \oplus T_2 \oplus \cdots$ ,  $\|T_j\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $\mathcal{R}_u(T_j)$  is antisymmetric for each  $j$ . The following conditions are logically equivalent:*

- (a)  $\mathcal{R}_u(T)$  is antisymmetric.
- (b) For every finite nonempty subset  $J$  of  $N$ ,  $P_J \notin \mathcal{R}_u(T)$ .
- (c) For every finite nonempty subset  $J$  of  $N$ ,

$$\sigma(T_J) \cap \sigma(T_{N \setminus J}) \neq \square.$$

Because  $\mathcal{R}_u(T) \supset \mathcal{A}_u(T)$ , if  $\mathcal{R}_u(T)$  is antisymmetric,  $\mathcal{A}_u(T)$  is antisymmetric. However, the converse is false as the next example illustrates.

**EXAMPLE 3.3.** Consider the following three compact subsets of the plane:  $K_1 = \{z: |z| \leq 1\}$ ,  $K_2 = \{z: 9 \leq |z - 10| \leq 10\} \setminus \{z: |\operatorname{Im} z| < 1/2 \text{ and } |z| < 2\}$ , and  $K_3 = \{z: |z - 5| \leq 1\}$ . Let  $U_i = \operatorname{int} K_i$  and let  $\lambda_i =$  planar Lebesgue measure restricted to  $U_i$ . Let  $A^2(U_i) = \left\{f: f \text{ is an analytic function on } U_i \text{ with } \int |f|^2 d\lambda_i < \infty\right\}$  and define  $T_i$  on  $A^2(U_i)$  by  $T_i f = zf$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{A}_u(T_i) = \mathcal{R}_u(T_i) = \{M_\phi: \phi \text{ is a continuous function on } K_i \text{ that is analytic on } U_i\}$ , where  $M_\phi f = \phi f$ . Moreover  $\sigma(T_i) = K_i = K_i^\wedge$ . Let  $T = T_1 \oplus T_2 \oplus T_3$ . By Theorem 2.2  $\mathcal{A}_u(T)$  is antisymmetric. However  $\sigma(T_3) \cap [\sigma(T_2) \cup \sigma(T_1)] = \square$  so that  $\mathcal{R}_u(T)$  is not antisymmetric by Theorem 3.2.

To describe  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R}_u(T))$ , let  $\mathcal{P} = \{J \subseteq N: \mathcal{R}_u(T_J) \text{ is antisymmetric}\}$  and let  $\mathcal{K}$  be the maximal elements of  $\mathcal{P}$ . The next result is proved like Theorem 2.5.

**THEOREM 3.4.** *If  $\mathcal{R}_u(T_j)$  is antisymmetric for each  $j \geq 1$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  is the partition of  $N$  described above,*

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R}_u(T)) = \{P_J: J \in \mathcal{K}\}.$$

**4. Final remarks.** There are some situations in which  $\mathcal{A}_u(T \oplus S)$  or  $\mathcal{R}_u(T \oplus S)$  is antisymmetric even though  $\mathcal{A}_u(T)$  and  $\mathcal{A}_u(S)$  or  $\mathcal{R}_u(T)$  and  $\mathcal{R}_u(S)$  are not.

**PROPOSITION 4.1.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_T)$  and  $S \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_S)$ .*

(a) *If  $\mathcal{A}_u(S)$  is antisymmetric,  $\sigma(T)^\wedge \subseteq \sigma(S)^\wedge$ , and  $\sigma(T)^\wedge$  is a spectral set for  $T$ , then  $\mathcal{A}_u(T \oplus S)$  is antisymmetric.*

(b) *If  $\mathcal{R}_u(S)$  is antisymmetric,  $\sigma(T) \subseteq \sigma(S)$ , and  $\sigma(T)$  is a spectral set for  $T$ , then  $\mathcal{R}_u(T \oplus S)$  is antisymmetric.*

*Proof.* (a) If  $A = A^* \in \mathcal{A}_u(T \oplus S)$ , then there is a sequence  $\{p_n\}$  of polynomials such that  $p_n(T \oplus S) = p_n(T) \oplus p_n(S) \rightarrow A$ . Hence  $A = A_1 \oplus A_2$  where  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are self-adjoint,  $p_n(T) \rightarrow A_1$ , and  $p_n(S) \rightarrow A_2$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}_u(S)$  is antisymmetric,  $A_2 = cI$ . It follows that  $p_n(z) \rightarrow c$  uniformly on  $\sigma(T)^\wedge$ . But  $\sigma(T)^\wedge$  is a spectral set for  $T$ , so  $\|p_n(T) - cI\| \leq \sup \{|p_n(z) - c|: z \in \sigma(T)^\wedge\}$ . Thus  $p_n(T) \rightarrow cI$  and  $A_1 = cI$ .

(b) The proof of (b) is similar.

**COROLLARY 4.2.** *If  $\mathcal{A}_u(S)$  [resp.,  $\mathcal{R}_u(S)$ ] is antisymmetric and*



$T$  is a subnormal operator with  $\sigma(T)^\wedge \subset \sigma(S)^\wedge$  [resp.,  $\sigma(T) \subset \sigma(S)$ ], then  $\mathcal{A}_u(T \oplus S)$  [resp.,  $\mathcal{B}_u(T \oplus S)$ ] is antisymmetric.

**COROLLARY 4.3.** *If  $\mathcal{A}_u(S)$  [resp.,  $\mathcal{B}_u(S)$ ] is antisymmetric,  $T$  is a contraction, and  $\{z: |z| \leq 1\} \subset \sigma(S)^\wedge$  [resp.,  $\{z: |z| \leq 1\} \subset \sigma(S)$ ], then  $\mathcal{A}_u(T \oplus S)$  [resp.,  $\mathcal{B}_u(T \oplus S)$ ] is antisymmetric.*

These ideas have a nice application to compact operators

**COROLLARY 4.4.** *If  $T$  and  $N$  are compact operators and  $N$  is normal, then  $\mathcal{A}_u(T \oplus N)$  is antisymmetric if and only if  $\mathcal{A}_u(T)$  is antisymmetric and  $\sigma(N) \subset \sigma(T)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\mathcal{A}_u(T)$  is antisymmetric and  $\sigma(N) \subset \sigma(T)$ , then  $\mathcal{A}_u(T \oplus N)$  is antisymmetric by Corollary 4.2. Now assume that  $\mathcal{A}_u(T \oplus N)$  is antisymmetric. Let  $T = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n$  where each  $T_n$  is irreducible and let  $N = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n$  where  $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots\}$  are the eigenvalues of  $N$ , each repeated according to its multiplicity; each  $\lambda_n$  is considered as acting on a one dimensional Hilbert space. Then

$$T \oplus N = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n \oplus \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n$$

is the decomposition of the compact operator  $T \oplus N$  as a direct sum of irreducible compact operators. Fix an integer  $k$  and let  $J = \{j \in N; \lambda_j = \lambda_k\}$ . Applying (2.6) to this  $J$ , it follows that  $\lambda_k \in \sigma(T \oplus \bigoplus_{j \in J} \lambda_j) = \sigma(T) \cup \{\lambda_j: j \notin J\} = \sigma(T) \cup \{\lambda_j: j \notin J\} \cup \{0\}$ . Clearly if  $\lambda_k \neq 0$  then  $\lambda_k \in \sigma(T)$ . So  $\sigma(N) \setminus \{0\} \subseteq \sigma(T)$ . If  $\sigma(N)$  is infinite, then  $\sigma(T)$  must be infinite and so  $0 \in \sigma(T)$ . If  $\sigma(N)$  is finite and  $\lambda_k = 0$ , then (2.6) gives that  $0 \in \sigma(T) \cup \{\lambda_j: j \neq J\}$ , so  $0 \in \sigma(T)$ .

## REFERENCES

1. W. Arveson, *An Invitation to C\*-algebras*, Springer-Verlag, GTM vol. **39**, New York, 1976.
2. J. B. Conway and R. F. Olin, *A functional calculus for subnormal operators*, II, *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.*, no. 184.
3. J. B. Conway and P. Y. Wu, *The splitting of  $A(T_1 \oplus T_2)$  and related questions*, *Indiana Math. J.*, **26** (1977), 41-56.
4. T. W. Gamelin, *Uniform algebras*, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N. J. 1969.
5. W. Szymanski, *Antisymmetric operator algebras*, I, *Annales Polon. Math.*, (to appear).
6. ———, *Antisymmetric operator algebras*, II, *Annales Polon. Math.*, (to appear).

Received September 25, 1978 and in revised form May 11, 1979.

INDIANA UNIVERSITY

BLOOMINGTON, IN 47401

AND

POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

KRAKOW BRANCH

AND

CENTRO DE INVESTIGACION DEL I. P. N.

MEXICO 14, D. F.



# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90024

HUGO ROSSI

University of Utah  
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ANDREW OGG

University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM

Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

---

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

---

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. **39**. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints to each author are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

---

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$84.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$42.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.).  
8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1980 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics  
Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Frank Hayne Beatrous, Jr. and R. Michael Range, <i>On holomorphic approximation in weakly pseudoconvex domains</i> .....	249
Lawrence Victor Berman, <i>Quadratic forms and power series fields</i> .....	257
John Bligh Conway and Wacław Szymański, <i>Singly generated antisymmetric operator algebras</i> .....	269
Patrick C. Endicott and J. Wolfgang Smith, <i>A homology spectral sequence for submersions</i> .....	279
Sushil Jajodia, <i>Homotopy classification of lens spaces for one-relator groups with torsion</i> .....	301
Herbert Meyer Kamowitz, <i>Compact endomorphisms of Banach algebras</i> .....	313
Keith Milo Kendig, <i>Moiré phenomena in algebraic geometry: polynomial alternations in <math>\mathbf{R}^n</math></i> .....	327
Cecelia Laurie, <i>Invariant subspace lattices and compact operators</i> .....	351
Ronald Leslie Lipsman, <i>Restrictions of principal series to a real form</i> .....	367
Douglas C. McMahon and Louis Jack Nachman, <i>An intrinsic characterization for PI flows</i> .....	391
Norman R. Reilly, <i>Modular sublattices of the lattice of varieties of inverse semigroups</i> .....	405
Jeffrey Arthur Rosoff, <i>Effective divisor classes and blowings-up of <math>\mathbf{P}^2</math></i> .....	419
Zalman Rubinstein, <i>Solution of the middle coefficient problem for certain classes of C-polynomials</i> .....	431
Alladi Sitaram, <i>An analogue of the Wiener-Tauberian theorem for spherical transforms on semisimple Lie groups</i> .....	439
Hal Leslie Smith, <i>A note on disconjugacy for second order systems</i> .....	447
J. Wolfgang Smith, <i>Fiber homology and orientability of maps</i> .....	453
Audrey Anne Terras, <i>Integral formulas and integral tests for series of positive matrices</i> .....	471