# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

# STRONG LIFTINGS COMMUTING WITH MINIMAL DISTAL FLOWS

RUSSELL ALLAN JOHNSON

Vol. 90, No. 1

# STRONG LIFTINGS COMMUTING WITH MINIMAL DISTAL FLOWS

### RUSSELL A. JOHNSON

In this paper, we treat an aspect of the following problem. If a compact Hausdorff space X is given, and if T is a group of homeomorphisms of X which preserves a measure  $\mu$ , then find conditions under which  $M^{\infty}(X, \mu)$  admits a strong lifting (or strong linear lifting) which commutes with T. We will prove the following results.

Introduction. (1) Let (X,T) be a minimal distal flow. Then there exists an invariant measure  $\mu$  such that  $M^{\infty}(X,\mu)$  admits a strong linear lifting  $\rho$  commuting with T. The linear lifting  $\rho$  is "quasi-multiplicative" in the sense that  $\rho(f\cdot g)=\rho(f)\cdot \rho(g)$  if  $f\in C(X)$  and  $g\in M^{\infty}(X,\mu)$ . In particular, if (X,T) admits a unique invariant measure  $\mu$ , then  $M^{\infty}(X,\mu)$  admits  $\rho$  as above. This result may be viewed as a generalization of "Theorem LCG" of A. and C. Ionescu-Tulcea [7]; see 1.7. If T is abelian, then  $M^{\infty}(X,\mu)$  admits a strong lifting.

(2) Let G be a compact group with Haar measure  $\mu$ . Then  $M^{\infty}(G, \mu)$  admits a strong linear lifting  $\rho$  (which is quasi-multiplicative), which commutes with both left and right multiplications on G.

The author would like to thank the referee for correcting and improving Corollary 3.10.

### Preliminalies.

NOTATION 1.1. Let X be a compact Hausdorff space. If  $\mu$  is a positive Radon measure on X, let  $M^{\infty}(X, \mu)$  be the set of bounded,  $\mu$ -measurable, complex-valued functions on X. Let  $L^{\infty}(X, \mu)$  be the set of equivalence classes in  $M^{\infty}(X, \mu)$  under the (usual) equivalence relation:  $f \sim g \Leftrightarrow f - g = 0$   $\mu$  - a.e. If E is a Banach space, let  $M^{\infty}(X, E, \mu) = \{f: X \to E \mid f \text{ is weakly } \mu$ -measurable, and Range (f) is precompact. (Recall  $f: X \to E \mid \text{ is weakly } \mu$ -measurable if  $x \to \langle f(x), e' \rangle$  is  $\mu$ -measurable for all e' = E' = topological dual of E.)

DEFINITIONS 1.2. Let X,  $\mu$  be as in 1.1. A map  $\rho$  of  $M^{\infty}(M, \mu)$  to itself is a linear lifting of  $M^{\infty}(X, \mu)$  if: (i)  $\rho(f) = f \ \mu - \text{a.e.}$ ; (ii)  $f = g \ \mu - \text{a.e.} \Rightarrow \rho(f) = \rho(g)$  everywhere; (iii)  $\rho(1) = 1$ ; (iv)  $f \geq 0 \Rightarrow \rho(f) \geq 0$ ; (v)  $\rho(af + bg) = a\rho(f) + b\rho(g)$  (f,  $g \in M^{\infty}(X, \mu)$ ;  $a, b \in C$ ). If, in addition, (vi)  $\rho(f \cdot g) = \rho(f) \cdot \rho(g)$  for all f,  $g \in M^{\infty}(M, \mu)$ , then  $\rho$ 

is a lifting of  $M^{\infty}(X, \mu)$ . If (i)-(v) hold (if (i)-(vi) hold), and, in addition, (vii)  $\rho(f) = f$  for all  $f \in C(X)$ , then  $\rho$  is a strong linear lifting (strong lifting). See [10, p. 34].

DEFINITION 1.3. Let  $\rho$  be a linear lifting of  $M^{\infty}(X, \mu)$ , and let E be a Banach space. We "extend  $\rho$  to  $M^{\infty}(X, E, \mu)$ " as follows:  $\langle e', \rho(\phi)(x) \rangle = \rho \langle e', \phi \rangle(x) \ (\phi \in M^{\infty}(X, E, \mu), e' \in E', x \in X)$ .

DEFINITION 1.4. Let  $\rho$  be a linear lifting of  $M^{\infty}(X, \mu)$ . Suppose that  $\rho(f \cdot g) = \rho(f) \cdot \rho(g)$  whenever  $f \in C(X)$  and  $g \in M^{\infty}(X, \mu)$ . Then  $\rho$  is a quasi-multiplicative linear lifting of  $M^{\infty}(M, \mu)$ .

DEFINITIONS 1.5. Let G be a topological group. The pair (G,X) is a left transformation group (t.g.) or flow if there is a continuous map  $\Phi\colon G\times X\to X\colon (g,x)\to g\cdot x$  such that (i)  $g_1\cdot (g_2\cdot x)=(g_1g_2)\cdot x$ ; (ii)  $idy\cdot x=x(g_1,g_2\in G;\ idy=\text{identity of }G;\ x\in X)$ . One defines a right transformation group in the obvious way. Say that (G,X) is free (or, G acts freely) if, whenever  $g\cdot x=x$ , one has g=idy  $(g\in G,\ x\in X)$ .

DEFINITIONS 1.6. Let G be a *compact* topological group, and let T be a locally compact topological group. The triple (G, X, T) is a bitransformation graup if (i) (G, X) and (X, T) are (left and right, respectively) t.g.s; (ii)  $(g \cdot x) \cdot t = g \cdot (x \cdot t)$   $(g \in G, x \in X, t \in T)$ . In our considerations, the topology of T will play no role, so we will assume T is discrete. If (G, X, T) is a bitransformation group, and  $f \in M^{\infty}(X, \mu)$ , we let  $(f \cdot g)(x) = f(g \cdot x)$ , and  $(t \cdot f)(x) = f(x \cdot t)(g \in G, x \in X, t \in T)$ .

DEFINITION 1.7. Let (X, T) be a right t.g. with T a topological group. Say that (X, T) is distal [2, 4] if whenever x and y are distinct elements of X, there is no net  $(t_{\alpha}) \subset T$  such that  $\lim_{\alpha} x \cdot t_{\alpha} = \lim_{\alpha} y \cdot t_{\alpha}$ . If X = T = G where G is a compact group, then the t.g. (G, G) defined by multiplication on G is distal. Say that (X, T) is minimal if, for each  $x \in X$ , the orbit  $\{x \cdot t \mid t \in T\}$  is dense in X.

DEFINITION 1.8. Let Y be another compact Hausdorff space, and let  $\tau\colon X\to Y$  be a continuous surjection. Again let  $\mu$  be a positive Radon measure on X, and define  $\nu=\tau(\mu)$ . Then  $M^\infty(Y,\nu)$  may be embedded in  $M^\infty(X,\mu)$  via  $f\to f\circ \tau$ . Suppose  $\rho$  is a linear lifting of  $M^\infty(X,\mu)$ , and  $\rho_0$  is a linear lifting of  $M^\infty(Y,\nu)$ . Say  $\rho$  extends  $\rho_0$  if  $\rho|_{M^\infty(Y,\nu)}=\rho_0$ .

We will need several simple results concerning quasi-multiplicative, strong linear liftings. We include them in the following lemma.

LEMMA 1.9. Let X be a compact Hausdorff space,  $\mu$  a positive Radon measure on X with Support  $(\mu) = X$ . Let  $\rho$  be a quasimultiplicative, strong linear lifting of  $M^{\infty}(X, \mu)$ . Let E be a Banach space.

- (a) Let  $\phi \in M^{\infty}(X, E, \mu)$ . Let  $f \in C(X)$ . Then  $\rho(f \cdot \phi)(x) = f(x) \cdot \rho(\phi)(x)(x \in X)$ .
- (b) Let  $f: X \to E$  be weakly continuous. Let  $\phi \in M^{\infty}(X, \mu)$ . Then  $\rho(\phi \cdot f)(x) = \rho(\phi)(x) \cdot f(x)(x \in X)$ .
- (c) Let  $\phi \in M^{\infty}(X, E, \mu)$ . Suppose  $K \subset U \subset X$ , where K is compact and U is open. If  $\phi(x) = 0$  for  $\mu \mathbf{a.a.}$   $x \in U$ , then  $\rho(\phi)(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in K$ .

*Proof.* Using the definition of  $\rho(f \cdot \phi)$  (1.2), we have  $\langle e', \rho(f \cdot \phi)(x) \rangle = \rho\langle e', f \cdot \phi \rangle(x) = \rho(f \cdot \langle e', \phi \rangle)(x) = \rho(f)(x) \cdot \rho\langle e', \phi \rangle(x) = f(x)\langle e', \rho(\phi)(x) \rangle$  ( $e' \in E', x \in X$ ). Part (a) follows. Part (b) is proved in a similar way. To prove (c), let  $f \in C(X)$  be equal to zero on K and 1 on  $X \sim U$ . Then  $f(x)\phi(x) = \phi(x)$  for  $\mu$ -a.a.x. It follows that  $\rho(f \cdot \phi)(x) = \rho(\phi)(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . By 1.7(a),  $\rho(\phi)(x) = 0$  if  $x \in K$ .

We remark that, in 1.7(c), one need only assume that  $\phi(x)=0$  weakly a.e. on U; i.e., that  $\langle e',\phi(x)\rangle=0$  for  $\mu$ -a.a.  $x\in U$   $(e'\in E')$ . Also note that E may very well be C, in which case  $M^{\infty}(X,E,\mu)=M^{\infty}(X,\mu)$ .

2. A reduction. We will prove a preliminary result (2.2), which will then be used in proving the main Theorems 3.1 and 3.7.

Assumptions, Notation 2.1. Let X be a compact Hausdorff space with Radon measure  $\mu$  such that (i)  $\mu(X)=1$ ; (ii) Support  $(\mu)=X$ . Let (G,X,T) be a bitransformation group (1.5), where G is compact and T is any (discrete) group. Suppose  $\mu$  is both G- and T- invariant (thus  $\mu(f\cdot g)=\mu(f)$  and  $\mu(t\cdot f)=\mu(f)$  for all  $f\in C(X)$ ,  $t\in T$ , and  $g\in G$ ). Also suppose G acts freely (1.5). Let Y=X/G (the space of G-orbits with the quotient topology), with  $\pi\colon X\to Y$  the canonical projection. Since G and T commute (1.5), there is a natural transformation group (Y,T). If  $\rho$  is a linear lifting of  $M^\infty(X,\mu)$ , say that  $\rho$  commutes with G (and T) if  $\rho(f\cdot g)=\rho(f)\cdot g$  (and  $\rho(t\cdot f)=t\cdot \rho(f)$ ) for all  $f\in M^\infty(X,\mu)$  and  $g\in G$  (and  $t\in T$ ).

PROPOSITION 2.2. With assumptions and notation as in 2.1, let  $\nu=\pi(\mu)$ . Suppose  $\rho_0$  is a quasi-multiplicative, strong linear lifting of  $M^{\infty}(Y,\nu)$  which commutes with T. Then there is a quasi-multiplicative, strong linear lifting  $\rho$  of  $M^{\infty}(X,\mu)$  which extends  $\rho_0$  and commutes with G and T.

The proof is modeled on the proof of a similar proposition in [9]. We first show that 2.2 is implied by a seemingly weaker result. More terminology is needed.

Notation 2.3. Let H be a closed, normal subgroup of G. Let  $\pi_H \colon X \to X/H$  be the projection, and let  $\nu_H = \pi_H(\mu)$ . Then (G/H, X/H) is a free t.g. Each  $t \in T$  induces a homeomorphism (again called t) of X/H onto X/H, and (G/H, X/H, T) is a bitransformation group.

THEOREM 2.4. With the notation of 2.3, let H be Lie. Write Z=X/H. Suppose there is a strong, quasi-multiplicative, linear lifting  $\delta$  of  $M^{\infty}(Z, \nu_H)$  which commutes with G/H and T. Then there is a strong, quasi-multiplicative, linear lifting  $\rho$  of  $M^{\infty}(X, \mu)$  which extends  $\delta$  and commutes with G and T.

Proof of 2.2, using 2.4. Let J be the set of all pairs  $(P, \beta)$ , where P is a closed normal subgroup of G, and  $\beta$  is a quasi-multiplicative, strong linear lifting of  $M^{\infty}(X/P, \nu_P)$  which extends  $\rho_0$  and commutes with G/P and T. Then  $(G, \rho_0) \in J$ . Order J as follows:  $(H_1, \beta_1) \leq (H_2, \beta_2) \hookrightarrow H_1 \supset H_2$  and  $\beta_2$  extends  $\beta_1$ . We first show (\*) J is inductive under  $\leq$ .

To prove (\*), we use methods of [8, pp. 29-33]. Let  $J_0 = \{(P_{\alpha}, \beta_{\alpha}) | \alpha \in A\}$  be a totally ordered subset of J, and let  $P = \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} P_{\alpha}$ . Suppose first that A has no countable cofinal set. In this case,  $M^{\infty}(X/P, \nu_P) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} M^{\infty}(X/P_{\alpha}, \nu_{P_{\alpha}})$ . Thus if  $f \in M^{\infty}(X/P, \nu_P)$ , we may well-defined  $\beta(f) = \beta_{\alpha}(f)$  for appropriate  $\alpha$ . It is easily seen that  $(P, \beta)$  is in J, and that it is an upper bound for  $J_0$ .

Now assume that A contains a countable cofinal subset. We assume that  $J_0 = \{(P_n, \, \beta_n) \mid n \geq 1\}$ , and let  $P = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} P_n$ . Let  $Q_n$  be the projection of  $M^{\infty}(X/P, \nu_P)$  onto  $M^{\infty}(X/P_n, \nu_{P_n})$  [8, Theorem 3, p. 32]. As in [8, Theorem 2, p. 46], we let  $\mathscr U$  be an ultrafilter on  $\{n \mid n \geq 1\}$  finer than the Fréchet filter. Define  $\beta(f)(x) = \lim_{\mathscr U} \beta_n(Q_n f)(x)(f \in M^{\infty}(X/P, \nu_P); \ x \in X/P)$ . As in [8, Theorem 2, p. 46], one checks that  $\beta$  is a linear lifting. We must show that  $\beta$  is (i) strong; (ii) quasi-multiplicative.

To do this, fix n momentarily. We will give a formula for  $Q_n$ . Let  $L=P_n/P$ . Then  $X/P_n\approx (X/P)/L$ . If  $f\in L^2(X/P,\nu_P)\supset L^\infty(X/P,\nu_P)$ , let  $(\widetilde{Q}_nf)(x)=\int_L f(l\cdot x)dl$   $(x\in X/P;\ dl=$  normalized Haar measure on L). The right-hand side is defined  $\nu_P$ -a.e., and may be viewed as an element of  $L^2(X/P_n,\nu_{P_n})\supset L^\infty(X/P_n,\nu_{P_n})$ . Simple manipulations, plus uniqueness in [8, Prop. 7, p. 29], show that  $\widetilde{Q}_n=Q_n$ .

Let  $f \in C(X/P)$ . From the formula just given, we see that  $Q_n f \to f$  uniformly. It is now easy to check that  $\beta$  is strong. To

see that  $\beta$  is quasi-multiplicative, let  $f \in C(X, P)$ ,  $g \in M^{\infty}(X/P, \nu_P)$ . Let  $f_n = Q_n f$ . Observe that  $|\beta_n(Q_n(f \cdot g))(x) - \beta_n(Q_n(f_n \cdot g))(x)| \leq ||Q_n(f \cdot g) - f_n \cdot g)||_{\infty}$ , the norm being that of  $L^{\infty}(X/P, \nu_P)$ . By [8, Prop. 7(2), p. 29], this is  $\leq ||f \cdot g - f_n \cdot g||_{\infty} \leq ||f - f_n||_{\infty} ||g||_{\infty} \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . So, if  $x \in X/P$ , then  $\beta(f \cdot g)(x) = \lim_{\mathbb{Z}} \beta_n(Q_n(f \cdot g))(x) = \lim_{\mathbb{Z}} \beta_n(Q_n(f \cdot g))(x) = \lim_{\mathbb{Z}} \beta_n(Q_n(f_n \cdot g))(x) = (\text{by Prop. 7(4)}, \text{ p. 29, of [8]}) \lim_{\mathbb{Z}} \beta_n(f_n \cdot Q_n g)(x) = \lim_{\mathbb{Z}} f_n(x) \cdot \beta_n(Q_n g)(x) = f(x) \cdot \beta(g)(x)$ . So  $\beta$  is quasi-multiplicative. It is easy to check that  $\beta$  commutes with G/P (this uses 28.72e of [5]), and T. Hence  $(P, \beta)$  majorizes  $J_0$ .

Now let  $(K, \rho)$  be a maximal element of J. If  $K \neq \{idy\}$ , we may use the technique of [7] to find a closed normal subgroup P of G such that  $P \neq K$  and K/P is a Lie group. Applying 2.4 (with  $G \leftrightarrow G/P$ ,  $H \leftrightarrow K/P$ ), we find an element  $(\bar{K}, \bar{\rho})$  of J which strictly majorizes  $(K, \rho)$ . This contradicts maximality, so  $K = \{idy\}$ . Hence 2.2 is true if 2.4 is true.

We turn now to the proof of 2.4. Basically, it is a rehash of the proof of Theorem 2.7 in [9], with modifications due to the fact that we now assume  $\delta$  to be a strong *linear* lifting. We indicate the modifications; it is assumed that the reader has [9, §3] before him. Notation is as in 2.3.

Proof of 2.4. Let  $f \in M^{\infty}(X, \mu)$ . Recall Z = X/H. For the moment, we forget about T, and consider only that part of 2.4 which refers to G and H. For  $z_0 \in Z$ , define  $R^f(z_0)$  as in [9, 3.5]. The first modification must be made in the proof of [9, 3.7]. Note that [9, 01] need not be true, since  $\delta$  is not a lifting. We avoid this problem by replacing [9, 01] with 1.8(c) (with E = C), and by letting L resp.  $\widetilde{L}$  be compact subsets of  $\mathscr O$  resp.  $\mathscr O$  such that  $z_0 \in L \subset \widetilde{L}$ . The argument of the fifth paragraph on [9, p. 75] now proves that  $\widetilde{B}(z) = A_z(B(z))$  for all  $z \in L \subset \widetilde{L}$ ; in particular for  $z = z_0$ .

The second modification must be made in (\*) of the proof of [9, 3.8(b)]. We can no longer state that, if  $w \in M^{\infty}(Z, \nu_H)$  and  $b \in M^{\infty}(Z, L^P(H, \lambda))$ , then  $\delta(w \cdot b)(z) = \delta(w)(z) \cdot \delta(b)(z)$ . However, note that  $b_p \colon Z \to L^P(H, \lambda)$  (defined in [9, 3.3)] is weakly continuous if  $f \in C(X)$ . So, we may replace [9, (\*) and (O1)] by 1.8(b) and 1.8(c).

In the proof of [9, 3.8(c)], we again replace [9, (\*)] and (01) by 1.8(b) and 1.8(c).

In 3.10 and 3.11, we make the change discussed in [10]. Namely, let  $(W_n)$  be a D'-sequence in H such that  $g^{-1}W_ng=W_n(g\in G)$ . As in [9], define  $T_n^f(x_0)=1/\lambda(W_n)\int_H R^f(z_0)(hx_0)\psi_{W_n}(h)d\lambda(h)$   $(x_0\in X,\ z_0=\pi(x_0),\ \psi\hookrightarrow \text{characteristic function})$ . Then, let  $\rho(f)(x)=\lim_{\mathscr{U}}T_n^f(x_0)$ , where  $\mathscr{U}$  is an ulrafilter finer than the Fréchet filter. It turns out (use the Case I portions of [9, 3.11–3.14, and also 3.15]) that  $\rho$  is a strong

linear lifting of  $M^{\infty}(X, \mu)$  which extends  $\delta$  and commutes with G. We will show that  $\rho$  is also quasi-multiplicative. To do this, suppose  $f \in C(X)$  and  $g \in M^{\infty}(X, \mu)$ . Then  $\lim_{x \to \infty} T_n^f(x) = f(x)$  for all  $x \in X$  [9, 3.14(b)]. Also,  $R^f(z) =$  the equivalence class of  $f|_{\pi_H^{-1}(z_0)}$  in  $L^{\infty}(X, \lambda_{z_0})$  for all  $z_0 \in Z$  (see [9, 2.6 and 3.8(b)]). Finally,  $||R^g(z_0)||_{\infty} \leq ||g||_{\infty}$  [6, 3.4(c)]. So,

$$egin{aligned} ||T_n^{f\cdot g}(x_0)-f(x_0)T_n^g(x_0)||&=rac{1}{\lambda(W_n)}igg|\int_H (f(hx_0)-f(x_0))R^g(z_0)(hx_0)\psi_{W_n}(h)d\lambda(h)igg| \ &\leq ||g||_\inftyrac{1}{\lambda(W_n)}\int_H |f(hx_0)-f(x_0)|\psi_{W_n}(h)d\lambda(h)\longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as  $n \to \infty$  since f is continuous. Hence  $\rho(f \cdot g)(x_0) = f(x_0) \cdot \rho(g)(x)$ , and  $\rho$  is quasi-multiplicative.

So far, we have shown that  $M^{\infty}(X, \mu)$  admits a strong, quasimultiplicative, linear lifting  $\rho$  which extends  $\delta$  and commutes with G. To complete the proof of 2.4, we must show that  $\rho$  commutes with T. To see this, it suffices to prove

$$(\ \dagger\ ) \qquad R^{t\cdot f}(z_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})(hx_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}) = R^f(z_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}\cdot t)(hx_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}\cdot t)(x_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}\in X,\ z_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} = \pi_{\scriptscriptstyle H}(x_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}),\ h\in H,\ t\in T)\ .$$

But (for notation see [9, 3.3]), one has  $b_p^{t,f}(z) = b_p^f(z \cdot t)$  for  $\nu_H$ -a.a.z (because the map  $z \to z \cdot t$  preserves  $\nu_H$ ). Let  $\sigma$  be a linear functional on  $L^p(H, \lambda)$ . Then (for notation see [9, 3.4(c)]), one has  $\langle B^{t,f}(z_0), \sigma \rangle = \delta \langle b_p^{t,f}, \sigma \rangle \langle z_0 \rangle = \delta \langle b_p^f(z \cdot t), \sigma \rangle \langle z_0 \rangle = (\text{since } \delta \text{ commutes with } T) = \langle B^f(z_0 \cdot t), \sigma \rangle$ . By [9, 3.5], we see that (†) is true. This completes the proof of 2.4.

REMARK 2.5. Prof. D. Johnson has shown (unpublished) how that part of the proof of 2.4 involving a D'-sequence may be simplified using an approximate identity on  $L^1(H, \lambda)$ .

### 3. Main results.

THEOREM 3.1. Let G be a compact topological group with Haar measure  $\gamma$ . Then  $M^{\infty}(G, \gamma)$  admits a strong, quasi-multiplicative, linear lifting  $\rho$  which commutes with both left and right translations on G.

Proof. Apply 2.2 with 
$$X = T = G$$
.

Let us now consider minimal distal flows (1.7). From [2, 3, 4, 5] we have the definition and theorem given in 3.2 and 3.3 below.

DEFINITION 3.2. Let (X, T) and (Y, T) be transformation groups.

Say (X, T) is an almost-periodic (a.p.) extension of (Y, T) if there is a bitransformation group (G, Z, T) and a closed subgroup H of G (not normal, in general) such that (i)  $(Z/G, T) \simeq (Y, T)$  (i.e., there is a homeomorphism  $h: Y \to Z/G$  such that  $h(y \cdot t) = h(y) \cdot t$  for all  $y \in Y$ ,  $t \in T$ ); (ii)  $(Z/H, T) \simeq (X, T)$ .

Furstenberg Structure Theorem 3.3. Let (X, T) be a minimal distal flow. There is an ordinal  $\alpha$  and a collection  $\{(X_{\beta}, T) | \beta \leq \alpha\}$  of flows such that (i)  $X_0$  contains just one point; (ii)  $(X_{\beta}, T)$  in an a.p. extension of  $(X_{\beta-1}, T)$  if  $\beta$  is a successor ordinal; (iii) if  $\beta$  is a limit ordinal, then  $(X_{\beta}, T)$  is an inverse limit of  $\{(X_{\omega}, T) | \omega < \beta\}$  ([3]; thus  $C(X_{\beta}) = \operatorname{clos} \bigcup_{\omega < \beta} C(X_{\omega})$ , where  $C(X_{\omega})$  is injected into  $C(X_{\beta})$  in the natural way); (iv)  $(X_{\alpha}, T) \simeq (X, T)$ .

Notation 3.4. Let (X,T) be a minimal distal flow, and let  $\{(X_{\beta},T)|\beta\leq\alpha\}$  be as in 3.3. If  $\beta$  is a successor ordinal, let  $(G_{\beta},Z_{\beta},T)$  be a bitransformation group and  $H_{\beta}\subset G$  a closed subgroup such that (i)  $(Z_{\beta}/G_{\beta},T)\simeq(X_{\beta-1},T)$ ; (ii)  $(Z_{\beta}/H_{\beta},T)\simeq(X_{\beta},T)$ . If  $\beta\leq\omega\leq\alpha$ , there is a homomorphism (i.e., a map which commutes with the flows)  $\Pi_{\tau\beta}\colon (X_{\tau},T)\to (X_{\beta},T)$ . We write  $\Pi_{\beta}$  for the homomorphism taking (X,T) to  $(X_{\beta},T)(\beta<\alpha)$ . If  $\mu$  is a Radon measure on X, let  $\mu_{\alpha}=\Pi_{\alpha}(\mu)$ .

DEFINITION 3.5. Consider some left t.g. (L, W) with L and W compact. Let Y = W/L, and let  $\nu$  be a Radon measure on Y. Let  $\gamma$  be normalized Haar measure on L. The L-Haar lift  $\mu$  of  $\nu$  is defined as follows:

$$\mu(f) = \int_{\mathbb{Y}} \left( \int_{\mathcal{G}} f(g \cdot x) d\gamma(g) \right) \! d\nu(y) \qquad (f \in C(W)) \ .$$

PROPOSITION 3.6. There is a T-invariant probability measure  $\mu$  on X such that (i) if  $\beta$  is any ordinal  $\leq \alpha$ , if  $\omega < \beta$ , and if  $f \in C(X_{\omega})$ , then  $\mu_{\beta}(f) = \mu_{\omega}(f)$ ; (ii) if  $\beta$  is a successor ordinal, and if  $\eta_{\beta} \colon (Z_{\beta}, T) \to (Z_{\beta}/H_{\beta}, T) \simeq (H_{\beta}, T)$  (see 3.4), then  $\mu_{\beta} = \eta_{\beta}(\nu)$ , where  $\nu$  is the  $G_{\beta}$ -Haar lift of  $\mu_{\beta-1}$ .

The proof of 3.6 is an easy application of 3.3 and transfinite induction.

THEOREM 3.7. Let (X, T) be a minimal distal. There is an invariant measure  $\mu$  on X such that  $M^{\infty}(X, \mu)$  admits a strong, quasi-multiplicative, linear lifting  $\rho$  which commutes with T.

*Proof.* Let  $\mu$  be as in 3.6. Let J be the set of ordinals  $\beta \leq \alpha$ 

for which  $M^{\infty}(X_{\beta}, \mu_{\beta})$  admits a quasi-multiplicative, strong linear lifting  $\rho_{\beta}$  which commutes with T. Clearly  $0 \in J$ . Suppose  $\gamma \in J$ , and let  $\beta = \gamma + 1$ . Let  $\nu$  be the  $G_{\beta}$ -Haar lift of  $\nu_{\gamma}$ . By 2.2,  $M^{\infty}(Z_{\beta}, \nu)$  admits a quasi-multiplicative, strong linear lifting  $\tilde{\rho}_{\beta}$ , which extends  $\rho_{\gamma}$  and commutes with  $G_{\beta}$  and T. Then  $\tilde{\rho}_{\beta}$  commutes with  $H_{\beta}$ , and so the formula  $\rho_{\beta}(f) = \tilde{\rho}_{\beta}(f)(f \in M^{\infty}(X_{\beta}, \mu_{\beta}) \subset M^{\infty}(Z_{\beta}, \nu))$  defines a quasi-multiplicative, strong linear lifting of  $M^{\infty}(X_{\beta}, \mu_{\beta})$  which commutes with T. If  $\beta$  is a limit ordinal, and if  $\{\gamma \mid \gamma < \beta\} \subset J$ , then the methods used in the proof of 2.2 may be applied again to show that  $\beta \in J$ . Hence  $\alpha \in J$ , and  $\rho_{\alpha}$  satisfies the conditions of 3.7.

COROLLARY 3.8. If (X, T) is minimal distal with unique invariant measure  $\mu$ , then  $M^{\infty}(X, \mu)$  admits a quasi-multiplicative, strong linear lifting which commutes with T.

COROLLARY 3.9. If T is abelian and (X, T) is minimal distal, then there is an invariant measure  $\mu$  on X for which  $M^{\infty}(X, \mu)$  admits a strong lifting which commutes with T.

Proof. Let  $x_0 \in X$ , and suppose  $x_0 \cdot t_0 = x_0$  for some  $t_0 \in T$ . We claim that, in this case,  $xt_0 = x$  for all  $x \in X$ . For, minimality of (X,T) implies that there is a net  $(t_\alpha) \subset T$  such that  $x_0 \cdot t_\alpha \to x$ . Then  $x \cdot t_0 = \lim_\alpha (x_0 \cdot t_\alpha) \cdot t_0 = \lim_\alpha (x_0 \cdot t_0) \cdot t_\alpha = x$ . Hence if  $S = \{t \in T \mid t$  fixes some  $x \in X\}$ , then  $S = \{t \in T \mid t = idy \text{ on } X\}$ . We may therefore (replacing T by T/S) assume that T acts freely (1.5) on X. Now, by 3.7, there is a strong linear lifting of  $M^\infty(X,\mu)$  which commutes with T. By [11, Remark 2 following Theorem 1], there is a lifting  $\rho$  of  $M^\infty(X,\mu)$  commuting with T. By [10, Theorem 2,  $\rho$ . 105],  $\rho$  is strong.

COROLLARY 3.10. If (X, T) is a regular [1] minimal flow, then there is an invariant measure  $\mu$  on X such that  $M^{\infty}(X, \mu)$  admits a strong lifting  $\rho$  which commutes with T. In particular, (X, T) may be the universal minimal distal flow [3].

*Proof.* We begin as in 3.9. Let  $x_0 \in X$ , and suppose  $x_0 \cdot t_0 = x_0$  for some  $t_0 \in T$ . Let  $x \in X$ . By [1, Theorem 3], there is a homeomorphism  $\varphi \colon X \to X$  such that (i)  $\varphi$  commutes with T; (ii)  $\varphi(x_0) = x$ . Then  $x \cdot t_0 = \varphi(x_0) \cdot t_0 = \varphi(x_0 \cdot t_0) = \varphi(x_0) = x$ . Now proceed as in 3.9.

### REFERENCES

<sup>1.</sup> J. Auslander, Regular minimal sets, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 123 (1966), 469-479.

<sup>2.</sup> R. Ellis, The Furstenberg structure theorem, to appear in Pacific J. Math.

<sup>3. ——,</sup> Lectures on Topological Dynamics, Benjamin, New York, 1967.

- 4. R. Ellis, S. Glasner, and L. Shapiro, PI flows, Advances in Math., 17 (1975), 213-260.
- 5. H. Furstenberg, The structure of distal flows, Amer. J. Math., 85 (1963), 477-515.
- 6. E. Hewitt and K. Ross, Abstract Harmonic Analysis II, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1970.
- 7. A. and C. Ionescu-Tulcea, On the existence of a lifting .. locally compact group, Proc. Fifth Berekeley Symp. Math. Stat. and Prob., vol. 2, part 1, 63-97.
- 8. ——, Topics in the Theory of Lifting, Spring-Verlag, New York, 1969.
- 9. R. Johnson, Existence of a strong lifting commuting with a compact groups of transformations, Pacific J. Math., 76 (1978), 69-81.
- 10. ——, Existence of a strong lifting commuting with a compact group of transformations II, Pacific. J. Math., 82 (1979), 457-461.
- 11. A. Tulcea, On the lifting property (V), Annals of Math. Stat., 36 (1965), 819-828.

Received February 27, 1979 and in revised form June 8, 1979. Research partially supported by NSF grant #MCS78-02201.

University of Southern California Los Angeles, CA 90007

### PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

### **EDITORS**

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of Galifornia Los Angeles, California 90024

Hugo Rossi

University of Utah Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE AND ANDREW OGG

University of California Berkeley, CA 94720 J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

R. FINN AND J. MILGRAM

Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

### ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. Wolf

K. YOSHIDA

### SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFONIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

## **Pacific Journal of Mathematics**

Vol. 90, No. 1 September, 1980

Shashi Prabha Arya and M. K. Singal, <i>On the locally countable sum</i>	1
theorem	1
John Theodore Baldwin and David William Kueker, <i>Ramsey quantifiers and the finite cover property</i>	11
Richard Body and Roy Rene Douglas, <i>Unique factorization of rational</i>	
homotopy types	21
Ethan Bolker and Ben G. Roth, <i>When is a bipartite graph a rigid</i>	
framework?	27
Alicia B. Winslow, <i>Continua in the Stone-Čech remainder of</i> $R^2$	45
Richard D. Carmichael and Elmer Kinji Hayashi, Analytic functions in tubes	
which are representable by Fourier-Laplace integrals	51
Stephen D. Cohen, <i>The Galois group of a polynomial with two</i>	
indeterminate coefficients	63
Russell Allan Johnson, Strong liftings commuting with minimal distal	
flows	77
Elgin Harold Johnston, The boundary modulus of continuity of harmonic	
functions	87
Akio Kawauchi and Takao Matumoto, An estimate of infinite cyclic	
coverings and knot theory	99
Keith Milo Kendig, Moiré phenomena in algebraic geometry: rational	
alternations in $\mathbb{R}^2$	105
Roger T. Lewis and Lynne C. Wright, <i>Comparison and oscillation criteria</i>	
for selfadjoint vector-matrix differential equations	125
Teck Cheong Lim, Asymptotic centers and nonexpansive mappings in	
conjugate Banach spaces	135
David John Lutzer and Robert Allen McCoy, Category in function spaces.	
<i>I</i>	145
Richard A. Mollin, <i>Induced p-elements in the Schur group</i>	169
Jonathan Simon, Wirtinger approximations and the knot groups of $F^n$ in	1.77
$S^{n+2}$	177
Robert L. Snider, The zero divisor conjecture for some solvable groups	191
H. M. (Hari Mohan) Srivastava, A note on the Konhauser sets of	107
biorthogonal polynomials suggested by the Laguerre polynomials	197
Nicholas Th. Varopoulos, A probabilistic proof of the Garnett-Jones	201
theorem on BMO	201
Frank Arvey Wattenberg, $[0, \infty]$ -valued, translation invariant measures on	222
N and the Dedekind completion of *R	223