# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

# A THEOREM ON QUASI-PURE-PROJECTIVE TORSION FREE ABELIAN GROUPS OF FINITE RANK

CHARLES IRVIN VINSONHALER AND WILLIAM JENNINGS WICKLESS

Vol. 91, No. 1 November 1980

# A THEOREM ON QUASI-PURE-PROJECTIVE TORSION FREE ABELIAN GROUPS OF FINITE RANK

### C. VINSONHALER AND W. WICKLESS

It is shown that a quasi-pure-projective torsion free abelian group of finite rank is either strongly indecomposable or a direct sum of isomorphic rank one groups.

The purpose of this note is to record the author's theorem that quasi-pure-projective (qpp) torsion free abelian groups of finite rank are either strongly indecomposable or homogeneous completely decomposable.

This result has been in the folklore for several years. It is cited in [1], Theorem E (a classification theorem for finite rank qpp groups) and in [3], (a characterization of locally free quasi-pure-injective groups).

Throughout, "group" will mean torsion free abelian group of finite rank. Otherwise the terminology and notations are from [2].

DEFINITION 1. A group A is a quasi-pure-projective if for any pure subgroup K of A and homomorphism  $\theta\colon A\to A/K$ , there is an endomorphism  $\bar{\theta}$  of A such that  $\pi\bar{\theta}=\theta$ , where  $\Pi\colon A\to A/K$  is the natural factor map.

For the proof of our result it is convenient to consider what seems to be a generalization of the qpp property. (Actually, in [3] it is shown that the property defined below is equivalent to qpp in the finite rank case).

DEFINITION 2. A group A is almost quasi-pure-projective (aqpp) if there exists a fixed integer n such that for any pure subgroup K of A and homomorphism  $\theta \colon A \to A/K$ , there is an endomorphism  $\bar{\theta}$  of A such that  $II\bar{\theta} = n\theta$ , where  $II \colon A \to A/K$  is the natural factor map.

The integer n will be called the associated integer for the app group A.

A sequence of lemmas leads to the result. The proof of the first one is routine.

### LEMMA 1. A direct summand of an appp group is appp.

The proof of the next lemma is given in [3], § 5. The proof can also be obtained by slight modification of Lemma 4.1 of [1].

LEMMA 2. Let A be a strongly indecomposable appp group and B be a proper pure subgroup of A. Then A and A/B are divisible by the same primes.

Let  $\langle g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n \rangle_*$  denote the pure subgroup generated by the elements  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n$ . (The group in which the pure subgroup is to be taken will be obvious in each instance where the notation is used.)

The next lemma is the key to the theorem.

LEMMA 3. Let A and B be strongly indecomposable reduced groups such that  $A \oplus B$  is appp. Then given  $0 \neq x \in A$  and  $0 \neq y \in B$ , there is a homomorphism  $f: B \to \langle x \rangle_*$  such that  $f(y) \neq 0$ .

Proof. Let p be a prime such that  $pB \neq B$ , and write  $n = p^k l$ , with (p, l) = 1, where n is the associated integer for  $A \oplus B$ . Now let  $\{y = y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_s\}$  be a maximal rationally independent set in B and let  $B_1 = \{q_1 | q_1 y_1 + \cdots + q_s y_s \in B, \ q_j \in Q, \ 1 \leq j \leq s\}$ . Since  $B_1 \cong B/\langle y_2, \cdots, y_s \rangle_*$ , Lemmas 1 and 2 imply that  $pB_1 \neq B_1$ . Let t be the largest integer such that  $1/p^t \in B_1$ . Form  $H = \langle p^{k+2t+1}x - y_1, y_2, y_3, \cdots, y_s \rangle_*$  and consider the map  $\theta \colon A \oplus B \to (A \oplus B)/H$  given by  $\theta(a \oplus b) = \beta_1/p^{k+t+1}(y_1 + H)$  where  $a \in A$  and  $b = \sum_{j=1}^s \beta_j y_j \in B$ . Note that  $\theta$  is defined since  $y_1 + H = p^{k+2t+1}(x + H)$ . Since  $A \oplus B$  is appropriate is a lifting  $\bar{\theta} \colon A \oplus B \to A \oplus B$  such that  $\Pi \bar{\theta} = n\theta$ , where  $\Pi \colon A \oplus B \to (A \oplus B)/H$  is the natural projection. Then  $p^{t+1}\bar{\theta}(y_1)$  has the form  $p^{t+1}\bar{\theta}(y_1) = np^{t+1}/(p^{k+t+1})y_1 + h = ly_1 + h$  where  $h \in H$ .

Let  $\prod_A$  be projection of  $A \oplus B$  onto A. If  $\prod_A \bar{\theta}(y_1) = 0$ , then in the above equation,  $h \in B$ . But then  $1/p^{t+1}(ly_1 + h) \in B$ , contradicting the choice of t. Thus  $0 \neq \prod_A \bar{\theta}(y_1) \in \prod_A \bar{\theta}(B) \subseteq \langle x \rangle_*$ .

The final lemma is the motivation for considering appp groups. The proof is routine.

LEMMA 4. If A is quasi-isomorphic to  $B(A \sim B)$  and A is aqpp, then B is aqpp.

Our theorem now follows easily, using Lemmas 1-4.

THEOREM. Let A be an appp group. Then A is either strongly indecomposable or is a direct sum of isomorphic groups of rank one.

*Proof.* If A is not strongly indecomposable, write  $A \sim A_i \oplus \cdots \oplus A_r$ ,  $r \ge 2$ , where each  $A_i$  is nonzero and strongly indecomposable

([2]), § 92). Given  $0 \neq y \in A_1$ ,  $0 \neq x \in A_2$ , apply Lemmas 3 and 4 to obtain  $f: A_1 \to \langle x \rangle_*$  with  $f(y) \neq 0$  and (by symmetry)  $g: A_2 \to \langle y \rangle_*$  with  $g(x) \neq 0$ . We conclude that type (x) = type (y) and  $\langle x \rangle_* \cong \langle y \rangle_*$  ([2], p. 109 (D) and Th. 85.1).

But if  $f\colon A_1\to \langle x\rangle_*$  with  $f(y)\neq 0$  and type  $(x)\equiv \text{type }(y)$ , then it immediately follows that  $\langle y\rangle_*$  is a quasi-summand of  $A_1$ . To see this let K=Ker f and note that  $\langle y\rangle_*\cap K=(0)$ . Since  $A_1/K$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\langle x\rangle_*$  we have: type  $\langle y\rangle_*=\text{type }(\langle y\rangle_*\oplus K)/K \leq \text{type } A_1/K \leq \text{type } \langle x\rangle_*=\text{type }\langle y\rangle_*$ . Thus, there exists an integer m such that  $m(A_1/K)\subseteq (\langle y\rangle_*\oplus K)/K$ . This gives  $A_1\sim \langle y\rangle_*\oplus K$ . Hence  $A_1=\langle y\rangle_*$ . Similarly, all of the  $A_i$  must be of rank one, and having the same type, are isomorphic. Thus A is quasi-isomorphic to a direct sum of isomorphic groups of rank one. This implies that A is actually isomorphic to this sum by [2], Prop. 98.1.

### REFERENCES

- 1. D. Arnold, B. O'Brien and J. Reid, Quasi-pure injective and projective torsion free Abelian groups of finite rank, London Math. Soc. Proc., 38 (1979), 532-544.
- 2. L. Fuchs, Infinite Abelian Groups, Academic Press, New York.
- 3. C. Vinsonhaler, Almost quasi-pure injective Abelian groups, Rocky Mt. J. Math., 9 (1979), 569-576.

Received February 6, 1979 and in revised form April 2, 1980.

THE UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT STORRS, CT 06268

### PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

### EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of Galifornia Los Angeles, California 90024

Hugo Rossi

University of Utah

Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C.C. Moore and Andrew Ogg

University of California Berkeley, CA 94720 J. Dugundji

Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

R. FINN AND J. MILGRAM Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

### ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. Wolf

K. Yoshida

### SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFONIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

## **Pacific Journal of Mathematics**

Vol. 91, No. 1 November, 1980

Harvey Leslie Abbott, Extremal problems on nonaveraging and nondividing sets	1		
Marine Bruce Abrahamse and Stephen D. Fisher, <i>Mapping intervals to</i>	-		
intervals	13		
William Wells Adams, The best two-dimensional Diophantine			
approximation constant for cubic irrationals	29		
Marilyn Breen, A quantitative version of Krasnosel'skiĭ 's theorem in $\mathbb{R}^2$	31		
Stephen LaVern Campbell, <i>Linear operators for which T*T and TT*</i>	01		
commute. III	39		
Zvonko Cerin, On cellular decompositions of Hilbert cube manifolds	47		
J. R. Choike, Ignacy I. Kotlarski and V. M. Smith, <i>On a characterization</i>			
using random sums	71		
Karl-Theodor Eisele, <i>Direct factorizations of measures</i>			
Douglas Harris, Every space is a path component space	95		
John P. Holmes and Arthur Argyle Sagle, <i>Analytic H-spaces</i> ,			
Campbell-Hausdorff formula, and alternative algebras	105		
Richard Howard Hudson and Kenneth S. Williams, <i>Some new residuacity</i>			
criteria	135		
V. Karunakaran and Michael Robert Ziegler, <i>The radius of starlikeness for a</i>			
class of regular functions defined by an integral	145		
Ka-Sing Lau, On the Banach spaces of functions with bounded upper			
means	153		
Daniel Paul Maki, On determining regular behavior from the recurrence			
formula for orthogonal polynomials	173		
Stephen Joseph McAdam, Asymptotic prime divisors and going down	179		
Douglas Edward Miller, Borel selectors for separated quotients	187		
Kent Morrison, The scheme of finite-dimensional representations of an			
algebra	199		
Donald P. Story, A characterization of the local Radon-Nikodým property by			
tensor products	219		
Arne Stray, Two applications of the Schur-Nevanlinna algorithm	223		
N. B. Tinberg, <i>The Levi decomposition of a split</i> (B, N)-pair	233		
Charles Irvin Vinsonhaler and William Jennings Wickless, A theorem on			
quasi-pure-projective torsion free abelian groups of f <mark>inite rank</mark>	239		
Yitzhak Weit, Spectral analysis in spaces of vector valued functions	243		