Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON GROUP ACTIONS WITH NONZERO FIXED POINTS

JOE W. JENKINS

Vol. 91, No. 2

December 1980

ON GROUP ACTIONS WITH NONZERO FIXED POINTS

J. W. JENKINS

Let G be a locally compact group that acts continuously by linear transformations on a locally convex space E and let K be a compact convex subset of E that is invariant under this action. In order to conclude that K has a nonzero fixed point, it is necessary that both G and K satisfy certain conditions. With these assumptions on K, it is shown that the existence of nonzero fixed points is equivalent to polynomial growth on G, provided G is connected or discrete, finitely generated and solvable.

A locally compact group G is said to have the fixed point property if whenever G acts continuously and linearly on a compact, convex subset K of a locally convex space E there is an element of Kinvariant under the action of G. A well known result states that the fixed point property is equivalent to amenability (see [1]). If zero is an element of K, then, by the linearity of the action on G, it will be a fixed point, and amenability of G does not gurantee the existence of any other fixed point. Of course, there may not be a nonzero fixed point. Consider, for example, R acting on C by multiplication by e^{it} . The unit disk is a compact, convex, invariant subset and 0 is the only fixed point. Thus, in order to conclude the existence of a nonzero fixed point for a group action, it is clearly necessary to restrict the nature of the action. One such restriction, that exclude the example just cited, is to require that some half-space be invariant under the action. This is the essence of the first condition in Definition 1. This restriction alone, however, is still not sufficient to imply the existence of a nonzero fixed point. We consider another example. Let $C_{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$ denote the space of continuous functions on \mathbf{R} that vanish at infinity equipped with the sup norm topology, and let E be its dual space with the w^* -topology. Then R acts on E by the contragradient to translation on $C_{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$. K, the set of positive linear functionals in E of norm less than or equal one is compact. convex and lies in an invariant half-space. However, K has no nonzero fixed point. In fact, one easily sees that for any $p \in K$

$$w^*-\!\!\lim_{t o\pm\infty}t\cdot p=0$$
 ,

i.e., 0 is in the closure of every orbit in K. If we were to ignore this fact for a moment and try to construct a fixed point by the usual scheme, we would fix a nonzero element p of K. Define a

sequence of elements p_n in K by

$$p_n=rac{1}{2n}\int_{-n}^nt\cdot pdt$$
 ,

note that the p_n 's are "nearly invariant", and use the compactness of K to get an invariant cluster point of $\{p_n\}$. The difficulty, of course, is that w^* -lim $p_n = 0$. But even more critical is the fact that the entire orbits of the p_n 's are " w^* -convergent to zero", i.e., for all $\varphi \in E^*$,

$$\lim_{n o\infty}\sup_{t\in R}|\langle arphi,t\cdot p_n
angle|=0$$
 .

Hence, arbitrarily small neighborhoods of zero contain orbits of elements in K. The second condition in the following definition excludes such actions.

DEFINITION 1. A locally compact group G is said to have the nonzero fixed point property if whenever G acts continuously and linearly on a locally convex space E having an invariant, compact, convex subset K, and K satisfies

(i) there is a $\varphi \in E^*$ so that $\langle \varphi, x \rangle > 0$ for all $0 \neq x \in K$, and

(ii) for all $0 \neq x \in K$, $x/\sup_G \langle \varphi, s \cdot x \rangle \in K$,

then K has a nonzero fixed point.

The main result of this paper is the following characterization theorem.

THEOREM 2. Suppose G is a connected, locally compact group or a discrete, finitely generated, solvable group. Then G has the nonzero fixed point property if, and only if, G has polynomial growth.

The proof will be given in a series of propositions, but first we recall some facts about groups with polynomial growth.

Given a locally compact group G, and measurable subset U, denote by |U| the left Haar measure of U, and for a positive integer n set $U^n = \{u_1 u_2 \cdots u_n | u_i \in U, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. G is said to have polynomial growth if for any compact neighborhood U of the identity in G, there is a polynomial p such that $|U^n| \leq p(n)$ for all $n = 1, 2, \cdots$.

Milnor [7] and Wolf [9] showed that a discrete, finitely generated, solvable group G has polynomial growth if, and only if, it contains a nilpotent subgroup with finite index. Rosenblatt [8] added to the characterization by showing that for such G, polynomial growth is equivalent to G not having a free noncommutative subsemigroup on two generators.

For a Lie group G, let $t \to \operatorname{Ad} t$ denote the adjoint representation of G on its Lie algebra. G is said to be type R if the eigenvalues of $\operatorname{Ad} t$ are of modulus one for all $t \in G$. A connected, locally compact group G is said to be type R if for some compact, normal subgroup K, G/K is a type R Lie group.

A subset S of a locally compact group G is said to be uniformly discrete if there is a neighborhood U of the identity in G such that $sU \cap tU = \emptyset$ for $s, t \in S, s \neq t$.

It is shown in Jenkins [5] that for a connected group, G, polynomial growth is equivalent to G being type R, and also equivalent to G not having a uniformly discrete free subsemigroup on two generators. (The first equivalence was also proven by Guivarc'h [2].)

The following lemma was first proved in Guivarc'h [2]. We include a proof here for the convenience of the reader.

LEMMA 3. Suppose G is a connected, locally compact group or a discrete, finitely generated, solvable group. If G has polynomial growth, then there is a normal series $\{e\} = G_0 \subset G_1 \subset \cdots \subset G_n = G$ such that every element of G_{j+1}/G_j is contained in a compact neighborhood of the identity that is invariant under the inner-automorphisms from G/G_j .

Proof. If G contains a normal, nilpotent subgroup of finite index, N, then the lower central series of N will give the desired normal series of G.

Suppose G is a connected Lie group with polynomial growth. Let S be the solv-radical of G, LS its Lie algebra, and LS_c , the complexification of LS. By Lie's theorem, there is an ordered basis for LS_c , $\{X_1, \dots, X_m\}$ so that the matrix representation for Ads with respect to this basis is upper triangular for all $s \in S$. Let V_i be the subspace spanned by $\{X_1, \dots, X_j\}$. Then, because of polynomial growth, S is type R, and the action of Ads on V_{j+1}/V_j is multiplication by a complex number of modulus one, i.e., Ads acts by rotation on V_{i+1}/V_i . Thus we can find subspaces $\{0\} = W_0 \subset W_1 \subset \cdots \subset$ $W_n = LS$, the real Lie algebra of S, each invariant under Ads, for all $s \in S$, with dim $(W_{i+1}/W_i) \leq 2$, and with Ads actaing by rotation of W_{j+1}/W_j . Thus W_j is an ideal in LS and if S_j is the corresponding closed, normal subgroup of S, each element of S_{i+1}/S_i is in a compact neighborhood that is invariant under the inner-automorphisms from S/S_i . Since G has polynomial growth, the semisimple part of G, G/S, is compact. Hence, the S_j 's give the desired normal series for G.

If G is a connected group with polynomial growth, there is a

compact, normal subgroup K so that G/K is a Lie group with polynomial growth. The above argument applied to G/K completes the proof.

The following proposition, which is the keystone in the proof of Theorem 2, was inspired by Ludwig [6].

PROPOSITION 4. Let G be a locally compact group with polynomial growth, and suppose G acts continuously and linearly on a locally convex space E. Let K be a compact, convex subset of E that satisfies the conditions in Definition 1. Let L, H be normal subgroups of G with $L \subset H$, and suppose every element of H/L is contained in a compact, G/L invariant neighborhood. If K has a non-zero point fixed by L, it has a nonzero point fixed by H.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and U, a compact, symmetric neighborhood of the identity in H/L that is invariant under the inner-automorphisms from G/L, be given. We define a function $f_{\varepsilon,\dot{U}}$ on H/L by $f_{\varepsilon,\dot{U}}(\dot{s}) = (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1}$ if $\dot{s} \in \dot{U}$, $f_{\varepsilon,\dot{U}}(\dot{s}) = (1 + \varepsilon)^{-n}$ if $\dot{s} \in \dot{U}^n \sim \dot{U}^{n-1}$ for $n \ge 2$, and $f_{\varepsilon,\dot{U}}(\dot{s}) = 0$ if $\dot{s} \notin \langle \dot{U} \rangle$, the subgroup generated by \dot{U} . Since H/L has polynomial growth

$$\|f_{arepsilon, \dot{U}}\|_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} = (1+arepsilon)^{-1} |\, \dot{U}| + \sum_{n=2}^\infty (1+arepsilon)^{-n} |\, \dot{U}^n \thicksim \, \dot{U}^{n-1}| < \infty \, \, .$$

Also note that for $\dot{s} \in \dot{U}$ and $\dot{t} \in \langle \dot{U} \rangle$

$$|f_{\varepsilon,\dot{\upsilon}}(\dot{s}\dot{t}) - f_{\dot{\varepsilon},\dot{\upsilon}}(\dot{t})| \leq \varepsilon f_{\varepsilon,\dot{\upsilon}}(\dot{t}) .$$

Let $0 \neq x_0 \in K$ such that $s \cdot x_0 = x_0$ for all $s \in L$. Then H/L acts on x_0 and we can define $x'_{s,\dot{U}} \in E^{**}$ by setting

$$\langle x'_{arepsilon,\dot{arepsilon}},\,\psi
angle = \int_{H/L} f_{arepsilon,\dot{arepsilon}} \langle \dot{s}\!\cdot\!x_{\scriptscriptstyle 0},\,\psi
angle ds$$
 ,

for each $\psi \in E^*$. Since K is compact and convex, $x'_{\epsilon,\dot{\upsilon}}$ is in the canonical image of K in E^{**} . We denote its preimage in K also by $x'_{\epsilon,\dot{\upsilon}}$.

Let $\varphi \in E^*$ as in Definition 1, i.e., $\langle \varphi, x \rangle > 0$ and $x/\sup_{s \in G} \langle \varphi, s \cdot x \rangle \in K$ for all $0 \neq x \in K$. Define

$$lpha_{arepsilon, \dot{U}} = \sup_{s \in G} ig\langle arphi, s \cdot x'_{arepsilon, \dot{U}} ig
angle$$
 ,

and pick $a \in G$ so that

$$\langle arphi, a \cdot x'_{arepsilon, \dot{U}}
angle \geqq (1-arepsilon) lpha_{arepsilon, \dot{U}} \;.$$

Finally, define

$$x_{\varepsilon,\dot{U}} = lpha_{\varepsilon,\dot{U}}^{-1} a \cdot x'_{\varepsilon,\dot{U}}$$
 .

Note that by condition (ii) of Definition 1, $x_{\varepsilon,\dot{\upsilon}} \in K$, since a real multiple of $x'_{\varepsilon,\dot{\upsilon}} \in K$.

Let $\psi \in E^*$ and $\dot{b} \in \dot{U}$.

$$egin{aligned} |\langle\psi,\,b\!\cdot\!x_{arepsilon,\dot{arepsilon}}-x_{arepsilon,\dot{arepsilon}}
angle|&\leqlpha_{arepsilon,\dot{arepsilon}}^{-1}\left|\int f_{arepsilon,\dot{arepsilon}}(\dot{s})(\langle\psi,\,bas\!\cdot\!x_0
angle-\langle\psi,\,as\!\cdot\!x_0
angle)d\dot{s}
ight| \ &\leqlpha_{arepsilon,\dot{arepsilon}}^{-1}\int |f_{arepsilon,\dot{arepsilon}}(\dot{a}^{-1}\dot{b}^{-1}\dot{a}\dot{s})-f_{arepsilon,\dot{arepsilon}}(\dot{s})||\langle\psi,\,\dot{a}\dot{s}\!\cdot\!x_0
angle|d\dot{s}\ &\leqarepsilonlpha_{arepsilon,\dot{arepsilon}}^{-1}\int f_{arepsilon,\dot{arepsilon}}(\dot{s})|\langle\psi,\,\dot{a}\dot{s}\!\cdot\!x_0
angle|d\dot{s}\ . \end{aligned}$$

Thus, in particular,

$$|\langle arphi, \dot{b} \cdot x_{arepsilon, \dot{U}} - x_{arepsilon, \dot{U}}
angle| \leq arepsilon$$
 .

We consider the net $\{x_{\varepsilon,\dot{U}}\}$ ordered by $(\varepsilon, \dot{U}) \leq (\varepsilon', \dot{U}')$ if $\varepsilon < \varepsilon'$ and $\dot{U}' \subseteq \dot{U}$, and pick a cluster point x_{∞} . Note that $x_{\infty} \in K$, that $s \cdot x_{\infty} = x_{\infty}$ for all $s \in L$, and that $\langle \varphi, x \cdot x_{\infty} \rangle = 1$ for all $s \in L$. Thus we may assume that the original x_0 had its orbit in the hyperplane $\{y | \langle \varphi, y \rangle = 1\}$. But then $\alpha_{\varepsilon,\dot{U}} \geq ||f_{\varepsilon,\dot{U}}||_1$, and we have that for all $\psi \in E^*$ and $\dot{b} \in \dot{U}$

$$|\langle \psi, \, \dot{b} \!\cdot\! x_{arepsilon, \dot{U}} - x_{arepsilon, \dot{U}}
angle| \leq arepsilon \sup_{s \in \mathcal{C}} |\langle \psi, \, s \!\cdot\! x_0
angle| \;.$$

Thus, for all $s \in H$ and $\psi \in E^*$

$$\langle \psi, s \cdot x_{\infty}
angle = \langle \psi, x_{\infty}
angle$$
 .

Hence $s \cdot x_{\infty} = x_{\infty}$ for all $s \in H$.

Lemma 3 and Proposition 4 combine to prove that polynomial growth is sufficient to give the nonzero fixed point property for the class of groups given in Theorem 2. In order to prove the converse we need the following proposition which has some interesting consequences of its own.

PROPOSITION 5. Let G be a locally compact, σ -compact group that has the nonzero fixed point property. Let $\theta \in BC(G)$, the bounded, continuous functions on G, with $0 \neq \theta \geq 0$ and $\theta \leq f_0 * \theta$ for some $f_0 \in L^1(G)$.

Let

$$L_{\theta} = \{\psi \in L^{\infty}(G) \mid \mid \psi \mid \leq f * \theta \text{ some } f \in L^{1}(G)\}$$

and set

$$\|\psi\|_ heta = \inf \left\{\|f\|_1 | |\psi| \leq f st heta
ight\}$$
 .

Then there is a continuous, positive linear functional on L_{θ} , p, such that $\langle p, s \cdot \psi \rangle = \langle p, \psi \rangle$ all $s \in G$ and $\psi \in L_{\theta}$ and such that $\langle p, \theta \rangle = 1$.

Proof. First note that we may assume that $\theta \leq f_0 * \theta$ where $f_0(s) > 0$ for almost all $s \in G$.

Let

$$L^{\scriptscriptstyle 0}_{ heta}=\{fst heta\,|\,f\in L^{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(G)\}$$

and let E denote the dual of L°_{ϑ} with the w^* -topology. G acts on E by

$$\langle s \cdot p, f * \theta
angle = \langle p, sf * \theta
angle$$

where sf(t) = f(st) for all s, $t \in G$ and $f \in L^1(G)$. This action is continuous since

$$egin{aligned} |\langle s \cdot p, f * heta
angle - \langle p, f * heta
angle| &\leq |\langle p, (sf - f) * heta
angle| \ &\leq \|p\| \|sf - f\|_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} \ , \end{aligned}$$

where ||p|| is the norm of p induced by $||\cdot||_{\theta}$ restricted to L_{θ}° .

Let

$$K = \{ p \in E \, | \, p \geqq 0, \, \| \, p \, \| \leqq 1 \}$$
 .

Then K is compact, convex and satisfies the two conditions of Definition 1.

The first condition is satisfied by the linear functional $f_0 * \theta$, for if $p \in K$ and $\langle p, f_0 * \theta \rangle = 0$ then for all $g \in L^1(G)$ with $0 \leq g \leq f_0$, $\langle p, g * \theta \rangle = 0$. Thus, for all bounded, compactly supported g in $L^1(G)$, $\langle p, g * \theta \rangle = 0$. By denseness of the set of all such $g * \theta$ in L^{θ}_{θ} , p = 0.

For the second condition, let $\psi \in L^{\circ}_{\theta}$ and $p \in K$. Then, if $|\psi| \leq f * \theta$

$$egin{aligned} &|\langle p,\,\psi
angle|&\leq \langle p,\,|\psi|
angle&\leq \langle p,\,f*f_{0}* heta
angle\ &=\int f(s)\langle p,\,sf_{0}* heta
angle ds \;. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, setting

$$lpha = \sup_{s \in G} \langle p, sf_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} * heta
angle$$
 ,

we have that for $|\psi| \leq f * \theta$,

$$|\langle p,\psi
angle|\leqlpha\|f\|_{_{1}}$$
 .

Hence

$$\|p\| = \sup_{||
e y ||_{ heta} = 1} \langle p, \psi
angle | \leq lpha$$
 ,

and so $p/\alpha \in K$.

An application of the nonzero fixed point property gives a $0 \neq p' \in K$ that is fixed by G.

Let \mathscr{U} be a neighborhood basis of e in G consisting of open sets with compact closures. For each $U \in \mathscr{U}$, let φ_{U} denote the normalized

characteristic function of U. Assume $\liminf_{\mathscr{U}} \langle p', \varphi_U * \theta \rangle = 0$. Then, by relabeling, we may assume $\lim_{\mathscr{U}} \langle p', \varphi_U * \theta \rangle = 0$. Fix a $U_0 \in \mathscr{U}$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $s_1, \dots, s_n \in G$, $U_1, \dots, U_n \in \mathscr{U}$ such that

$$(\ {f i} \) \quad s_i U_i \cap s_j U_j = \oslash \ {f for} \ i
eq j.$$

(ii)
$$\chi_{U_0} \leq \sum_{k=1}^n s_k \cdot \varphi_{U_k} |U_k|.$$

(iii)
$$\|\chi_{U_0} - \sum s_k \cdot \varphi_{U_k}\| \|_1 \leq \varepsilon.$$

(iv)
$$\langle p', \varphi_{U_i} * \theta \rangle \leq \varepsilon.$$

Thus,

$$0 \leq \langle p', \chi_{_{U_0}} * heta
angle \leq \langle p', \sum s_k \cdot arphi_{_{U_k}} | U_k |
angle \leq arepsilon \sum_{k=1}^n | U_k | \leq arepsilon (arepsilon + | U_0 |)$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, $\langle p', \chi_{U_0} * \theta \rangle = 0$. It follows immediately that p' = 0. This contradiction implies that $\liminf_{\mathscr{X}} \langle p', \varphi_u * \theta \rangle = \delta > 0$, and from this, one easily sees that

$$\langle p',\,f* heta
angle=\delta\int f(s)ds$$

for all $f \in L^1(G)$.

To get the desired element in L^*_{θ} , we note first that by the nonzero fixed point property G is amenable. Pick a left invariant mean m on $L^{\infty}(G)$, extend p' to a continuous, positive linear functional \bar{p} on L_{θ} by the Krein Extension Theorem, and define p on L_{θ} by $\langle p, \psi \rangle = \langle m, F_{\psi} \rangle$ where $F_{\psi}(s) = \langle \bar{p}, s\psi \rangle$. One easily checks that, up to normalization, p is the desired functional.

REMARK. In [8], Rosenblatt defined a group G to be superamenable if for any measurable subset $A \subset G$ there is a translation invariant, positive linear functional p defined on the space spanned by the left-translates of χ_A with $\langle p, \chi_A \rangle = 1$. He showed that a discrete group with polynomial growth is superamenable. In [4], this was generalized by showing that for any locally compact group G with polynomial growth and $0 \neq \theta \in L^{\infty}(G), \ \theta \geq 0$, there is a translation invariant, positive linear functional p defined on the space spanned by the left-translates of θ with $\langle p, \theta \rangle = 1$. However, this functional p, in general, can not be extended to the larger space L_{θ} (see the remark after Corollary 6.).

A Banach *-algebra A is said to be symmetric if for all $a \in A$, sp $(aa^*) \subseteq [0, \infty)$. Ludwig [6] proved that if G is a connected locally compact group or a discrete, finitely generated, solvable group and G has polynomial growth then $L^1(G)$ is symmetric. Essentially, the same proof gives

COROLLARY 6. If G has the nonzero fixed point property then $L^{1}(G)$ is symmetric.

Proof. Suppose $f_0 \in L(G)$ such that $-1 \in \text{sp}(f_0 * f_0^*)$. Then there is an $0 \neq \varphi \in BC(G)$ such that $f_0 * f_0^* * \varphi = -\varphi$. Let

 $\theta = |\varphi|^2$.

Note that

$$heta = |arphi|^2 = |f_0 * f_0^{\star} * arphi|^2 \leqq \|f_0 * f_0^{\star}\|_1 |f_0 * f_0^{\star}| * heta \;.$$

Hence, by Proposition 5, there is a positive continuous linear function p on L_{θ} that is invariant and has $\langle p, \theta \rangle = 1$.

Define a form B on $L^1(G)$ by

$$B(f, g) = \langle p, (f * \varphi)(\overline{g * \varphi}) \rangle$$
.

This makes sense, for if $f, g \in L_1(G)$ then

$$|(f*arphi)(\overline{g*arphi})| \leq rac{1}{2} \{|g*arphi|^2 + |f*arphi|^2\} \in L_{ heta} \; .$$

Clearly $B(f, f) \ge 0$ for all $f \in L(G)$ and $B(f_0 * f_0^*, f_0 * f_0^*) = \langle p, |\varphi|^2 \rangle = 1$. Also B is bounded, since for $g \in L^1(G)$

$$B(g, \, g) = \langle p, \, | \, g st arphi |^2
angle \leq \| \, g \, \|_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} \langle p, \, | \, g \, | \, st heta
angle = \| \, g \, \|_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}^2$$

Finally, by the invariance of p, B(sf, sg) = B(f, g) for all $f, g \in L'(G)$ and $s \in G$. Thus $B(f * g, h) = B(g, f^* * h)$. Hence

$$0 \leq B(f_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}^{*},f_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}^{*}) = -B(f_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}^{*}*f_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}^{*}*f_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}^{*},f_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}^{*}) = -1$$
 .

This contradiction implies that $-1 \notin \operatorname{sp}(f_0 * f_0^*)$.

REMARK. Hulanicki [3] has shown that there is a discrete, solvable group (not finitely generated) with polynomial growth that has a nonsymmetric group algebra. Hence not every group with polynomial growth enjoys the nonzero fixed point property.

PROPOSITION 7. Suppose G is a connected locally compact group or a discrete, finitely generated, solvable group. If G does not have polynomial growth it does not have the nonzero fixed point property.

Proof. With the assumptions on G, failure of polynomial growth implies the existence of elements $a, b \in G$ and a compact neighborhood of the identity U such that the semigroup generated by a and b, S, is free and for $s, t \in S, s \neq t, sU \cap tU = \emptyset$. Let ψ be the characteristic function of $S \cdot U$. Let V be a compact neighborhood of the identity and $\alpha > 0$ such that $\chi_r \leq \alpha \chi_r * \chi_r$. Then, with $f = \alpha \chi_r$ and $\theta = \chi_r * \psi$, we have $\theta \leq f * \theta$. Hence, if G has the nonzero fixed point property, Proposition 5 gives a positive linear function $p \in L^*_{\theta}$ that is invariant and such that $\langle p, \theta \rangle = 1$. Now $\psi \in L_{\theta}$ and

$$\langle p,\, heta
angle = \int_{V}\langle ps,\,s\!\cdot\!\psi
angle d = |\,V\,|\langle p,\,\psi
angle > 0\;.$$

Since S is free and uniformly discrete, $aSU \cap bSU = \emptyset$. Hence $\psi - a \cdot \psi - b \cdot \psi \ge 0$. Thus, since $p \ge 0$ and invariant, $\langle p, \psi \rangle \ge 2 \langle p, \psi \rangle$. This contradiction shows that G does not have the nonzero fixed point property.

Acknowledgment. This author is indebted to Professor P. Eymard for several conversations which inspired this paper.

References

1. F. P. Greenleaf, Invariant Means on Topological Groups, Van Nostrand, New York, 1969.

2. Y. Guivarc'h, Croissance polynomiale et periodes des fonctions harmoniques, Bull. Soc. Math. France, **101** (1973), 333-379.

3. A. Hulanicki, A solvable group with polynomial growth and non-symmetric group algebra, preprint.

4. J. Jenkins, Folner's condition for groups with polynomial growth, Math. Scand., 35 (1974).

5. ____, Growth of locally compact groups, J. Functional Analysis, 12 (1973), 113-127.

6. J. Ludwig, A class of symmetric and a class of Wiener group algebras, preprint.

7. J. Milnor, Growth of finitely generated solvable groups, J. of Diff. Geometry, 2 (1968), 447-449.

8. J. Rosenblatt, Invariant measures and growth conditions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **193** (1974), 33-53.

9. J. Wolf, Growth of finitely generated solvable groups, J. of Diff. Geometry, 2 (1968), 421-446.

Received October 5, 1979. This research was partially supported by National Science Foundation grant MCS-7702045.

STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT ALBANY Albany, NY 12222

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, CA 90024 HUGO ROSSI University of Utah Salt Lake City, UT 84112 C. C. MOORE and ANDREW OGG University of California J. DUGUNDJI Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, CA 90007 R. FINN and J. MILGRAM Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

Berkeley, CA 94720

B. H. NEUMANN

F. Wolf

K. Yoshida

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. **39**. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints to each author are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$84.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$42.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address shoud be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.). 8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

> Copyright © 1980 by Pacific Jounal of Mathematics Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 91, No. 2 December, 1980

Victor P. Camillo and Julius Martin Zelmanowitz, <i>Dimension modules</i>	249
Yonina S. Cooper, <i>Stable sequences in pre-abelian categories</i>	263
Chandrakant Mahadeorao Deo and H. Ship-Fah Wong, On Berry-Esseen	
approximation and a functional LIL for a class of dependent random	
fields	269
H. P. Dikshit and S. N. Dubey, C, 1 summability of series associated with Fourier series	277
M Edelstein On the homomorphic and isomorphic embeddings of a	
semiflow into a radial flow	281
Gilles Godefroy. <i>Compacts de Rosenthal</i>	293
James Guyker Commuting hyponormal operators	307
Thomas Eric Hall and Peter R. Jones. On the lattice of varieties of hands of	507
arouns	377
Togdir Hussin and Salaam H. Watson, Tonalogical glashugs with outboord	521
Taquii Husaiii and Saleeni H. Watson, Topological algebras with orthogonal Schauder bases	330
V K Jain Company and include have have a sector for the sector of the	559
v. K. Jain, some expansions involving basic hypergeometric functions of two	240
Variables	349
Joe W. Jenkins, On group actions with nonzero fixed points	363
Michael Ellsworth Mays, Groups of square-free order are scarce	373
Michael John McAsey, <i>Canonical models for invariant subspaces</i>	377
Peter A. McCoy, <i>Singularities of solutions to linear second order elliptic</i>	
partial differential equations with analytic coefficients by	
approximation methods	397
Terrence Millar, <i>Homogeneous models and decidability</i>	407
Stephen Carl Milne, A multiple series transformation of the very well poised	
$2k+4\Psi_{2k+4}$	419
Robert Olin and James E. Thomson, <i>Irreducible operators whose spectra</i>	
are spectral sets	431
Robert John Piacenza, <i>Cohomology of diagrams and equivariant singular</i>	
theory	435
Louis Jackson Ratliff, Jr., Integrally closed ideals and asymptotic prime	
divisors	445
Robert Breckenridge Warfield, Jr., <i>Cancellation of modules and groups and</i>	
stable range of endomorphism rings	457
B. J. Day, <i>Correction to: "Locale geometry"</i>	487
Stanley Stephen Page, Correction to: "Regular FPF rings"	487
Augusto Nobile, Correction to: "On equisingular families of isolated	
singularities"	489