

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

***bo*-RESOLUTIONS**

MARK MAHOWALD

# bo-RESOLUTIONS

MARK MAHOWALD

**This paper considers the Adams-Novikov type spectral sequence with  $bo$  as the spectrum. The action of the generator of  $\pi_8 bo$  in the spectral sequence is completely determined. The result is a complete determination of the  $v_1$ -periodic homotopy of the stable sphere.**

**1. Introduction.** Let  $bo$  be the  $\Omega$ -spectrum representing connected real  $K$ -theory. This spectrum is a ring spectrum with a unit and  $H^*(bo) = A/A(Sq^1, Sq^2)$ . (Unless otherwise noted,  $A$  is the mod 2 Steenrod algebra, all coefficient groups are  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ , and all spaces are localized at 2.)

Associated to a spectrum with unit, like  $bo$ , we have a tower of spectra

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} S^0 & \longleftarrow & S_1 & \longleftarrow & S_2 & \longleftarrow & \cdots \longleftarrow S_s \longleftarrow S_{s+1} \longleftarrow \cdots \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow id \wedge \iota & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ bo & & S_1 \wedge bo & & S_2 \wedge bo & & S_s \wedge bo \end{array}$$

where  $S_s \wedge bo \xleftarrow{id \wedge \iota} S_s \leftarrow S_{s+1}$  is a fibration and  $l: S^0 \rightarrow bo$  is the unit. If we use the homotopy functor  $\pi^*$ , we get an exact couple with  $E_1^{s,t} = \pi_{t-s}(S_s \wedge bo)$ . Under reasonable hypothesis on the spectrum,  $E_\infty^{*,*}$  is an associated graded group of  $\pi_*(S^0)$ . This is true for  $bo$  since  $\pi_j(S_s) = 0$  for  $j < 3s$  and so for  $t - s < 3s$ ,  $E_r^{s,t} = E_\infty^{s,t}$  for large enough  $r$ . This spectral sequence will be written  $\{E_r(S^0, bo, \pi)\}$ .

Clearly  $\pi_* bo$  acts on  $E_1$  but  $d_1$  is not a  $\pi_* bo$  module map. Nevertheless, if two classes in  $E_1$  are related by the action of a class in  $\pi_* bo$  and they both survive to  $E_\infty$ , then we will say that these two classes are still related in this manner. In particular the class which generates  $\pi_8 bo$  is a basic periodicity class which we will call  $v_1^4$ . (The name is suggested by  $BP$ -theory and is discussed in [3].) A class such that all multiples of it with  $v_1^{4k}$  are non zero is called a  $v_1$ -periodic class. Classes in  $E_1$  which survive to  $E_\infty$  but for which all  $\pi_* bo$  compositions except the identity do not survive will be said to generate a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  vector space. Our main theorem is:

**THEOREM 1.1.**

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad E_\infty^0(S^0, bo, \pi) &= \mathbb{Z} \quad t = 0 \\ &= \mathbb{Z}_2 \quad t \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{8} \\ &= 0 \quad \text{all other } t. \end{aligned}$$

The classes for  $t \equiv 1$  and  $2 \bmod 8$  are  $v_1$ -periodic.

$$\begin{aligned} (b) \quad E_{\infty}^{1,t}(S^0, bo, \pi) &= \mathbf{Z}_2 \rho(k) \quad t = 4k \\ &= \mathbf{Z}_2 \quad t = 1, 2 \bmod 8 \\ &= 0 \quad \text{otherwise} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\rho(k)$  is defined by  $4k \equiv 2^{e(k)-1} \bmod 2^{e(k)}$  and all the classes are  $v_1$ -periodic.

$$(c) \quad E_{\infty}^{s,t}(S^0, bo, \pi) = 0 \text{ for } 6s > t + 12 \text{ and is a } \mathbf{Z}_2 \text{ vector space (as a } \pi_*(bo) \text{ module) for all } s > 1 \text{ and all } t.$$

This result was essentially announced in [6]. Since that time Milgram [12] and Carlsson [1], [2] have also investigated  $bo$  resolutions. The setting in the unstable range has also been investigated and results which are based on Theorem 1.1 were described in [7]. A second paper will be devoted to discussing that material.

The edge result stated in Theorem 1.1 part (c) guarantees that the only classes which have a  $v_1$  type periodicity acting on them are described in parts (a) and (b). Other classes may admit finite multiples of  $v_1$  but must form finite families. This is discussed more fully in §6 and also in [3].

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 states the main ancillary results, which are Theorems 2.4, 2.5 and 2.7. Most of the section is devoted to definitions and the introduction of terminology. In addition consequences of 2.5 and 2.7 are obtained. The proof of 2.5 and 2.7 occupies §3. Section 4 contains the proof of 2.4. Section 5 analyzes the  $bo$  resolution and contains the proof of the main theorem. Section 6 discusses  $v_1$ -periodicity but a more detailed discussion is contained in [3].

**2. The homotopy of  $bo \wedge bo$ .** Let  $g: S^3 \rightarrow B^3O$  represent a generator. Let  $\Omega^2 g: \Omega^2 S^3 \rightarrow BO$  be the double loop map. Clearly the space  $\Omega^2 S^3$  is homotopically equivalent to  $S^1 \times W$  where  $W$  is the fiber of  $\Omega^2 S^3 \rightarrow S^1$ . Let  $F_n(\Omega^2 S^3)$  be the May filtration. (Compare [11] and [12].) This induces a filtration on  $W, F_n(W)$ . Let  $f_n: F_{2n}(W) \rightarrow BO$  be the composite  $F_{2n}(W) \subset F_{2n}(\Omega^2 S^3) \subset \Omega^2 S^3 \xrightarrow{\Omega^2 g} BO$ . Let  $B(n) = T(f_n)$ , the Thom spectrum defined by  $f_n$ . The following are some properties of  $B(n)$  which follow immediately from the definition. (See [4] and [5] for calculations of this type.)

**2.1.**  $B(n) \wedge B(m) \rightarrow B(n+m)$ . The induced map in homology is onto if  $n = 2^i$  and  $m < 2^i$ .

This follows from the fact that  $F_{2n} \times F_{2m} \rightarrow F_{2(i+m)}$  using the

multiplication in  $\Omega^2 S^3$ . The homology of  $\Omega^2 S^3$  is a polynomial ring  $Z_2[x_1, x_3, \dots]$  with  $\dim x_{(2^i-1)} = 2^i - 1$ . Then  $H_*(W) = Z_2[x_1^2, x_3, x_7, \dots]$ . If we assign a degree to  $x_i$  by  $\deg x_i = (i+1)/2$  then  $H_*(F_{2n})$  is the vector space generated by all monomials in  $x_i$  of degree  $\leq 2n$ . These facts give 2.1 when translated to Thom spectra.

2.2.  $B(n) \subset B(n+1)$  and  $\bigcup_{n=0}^\infty B(n) = K(Z, 0)$  (localized at 2). This is discussed in detail in [4].

2.3. If  $M_1(k) = A/A(Sq^1, \chi Sq^n | n > 2k)$  then  $H^*(B(n)) = M_1(n)$ . (We will sometimes denote  $M_1(k)$  as  $M(2k) \otimes_{A_0} Z_2$  where  $A_0$  is the subalgebra of  $A$  generalized by  $Sq^1$ .)

This follows immediately from 2.2 since  $H^*(K(Z, 0)) \cong A \otimes_{A_0} Z_2$ .

Using the spectra  $B(n)$  we define  $\Omega_+ = \bigvee_{i=0}^\infty \Sigma^{4i} B(i)$ . The key result about  $bo \wedge bo$  is the following.

**THEOREM 2.4.** *There is a homotopy equivalence between  $bo \wedge bo$  and  $\Omega_+ \wedge bo$ . (All spaces are localized at 2.)*

This theorem was first proved in 1969 [6]. Milgram [12] found another proof which proceeds from a different point of view. The proof given here, in spirit, is like the original one but the intervening years have seen a development particularly in the Thom spectrum approach. The following proof has profited from this.

The first step is to get an algebraic version of 2.4. We need to show that as modules over the Steenrod algebra  $H^*(bo \wedge bo)$  and  $H^*(\Omega_+ \wedge bo)$  are isomorphic. Recall that  $H^*(bo) = A \otimes_{A_1} Z_2$  where  $A_1 \subset A$ , is the sub Hopf algebra generated by  $Sq^1$  and  $Sq^2$ . Since the  $A$ -module structure of  $A \otimes_{A_1} Z_2 \otimes M$  is determined completely by the  $A_1$ -module structure of  $M$ , we need to determine the  $A_1$ -module structure of  $A \otimes_{A_1} Z_2$ . Theorem 2.5 will do this but it needs some introduction.

Using the Cartan basis representation we have a  $Z_2$ -basis for  $A$  given by  $Sq^I$  where  $I$  is admissible. ( $I = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l)$  and  $i_j \geq 2i_{j+1}$ .) Then  $\chi Sq^I$  is also a basis where  $\chi$  is the anti-automorphism of  $A$ . Since  $Sq^1 Sq^{2n} = Sq^{2n+1}$  and  $Sq^1 Sq^{4n} + Sq^1 Sq^{4n} Sq^1 = Sq^{4n+2}$ , we see that in order for a class  $\chi Sq^I$  to be nonzero in  $A \otimes_{A_1} Z_2$ ,  $i_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ . Also since  $Sq^2 Sq^{4n-1} + Sq^1 Sq^{4n} = Sq^{4n} Sq^1$  we see that  $i_2 \equiv 0(2)$ . A  $Z_2$ -basis for  $A \otimes_{A_1} Z_2$  is given by considering  $\chi Sq^I$  with  $i_1 \equiv 0(4)$  and  $i_2 \equiv 0(2)$ . The proof of 2.5 will give this. A  $Z_2$ -basis for  $M_1(k)$  can be given by  $\chi Sq^I$ ,  $I$  admissible,  $i_1 \equiv 0(2)$ , and  $i_1 \leq 2k$ . Thus each class in  $M_1(k)$  can be identified with a class in  $A$  by this basic choice.

Then  $M_1(k)\chi Sq^{4k}$  will mean the action of  $A$  on  $\chi Sq^{4k}$  restricted to the subspace of  $A$  represented by  $M_1(k)$ .

These comments nearly complete the proof of the following. What remains is to show that there are no further relations and that the left  $A_1$  action is correct.

**THEOREM 2.5.** *Let  $g^*: \bigoplus_{r \geq 0} \sum^{4k} M_1(k) \rightarrow A \bigotimes_{A_1} Z_2$  be defined by  $\sum^{4k} M_1(k) \rightarrow M_1(k)\chi Sq^{4k} \subset A \bigotimes_{A_1} Z_2$ . Then  $g^*$  is an isomorphism of left  $A_1$ -modules.*

The proof will be given in §3.

The next step is to calculate the homotopy of  $bo \wedge bo$ . We will use the Adams spectral sequence and, in view of 2.5, all we need to do is to calculate  $\text{Ext}_{A_1}(M_1(k), Z_2)$ .

The key step in this calculation will be the following. First some notation. Let  $\{bo^i\}$  be a minimal Adams resolution for  $bo$ . By this we mean that the spaces  $bo^i$  fit into a sequence

$$bo \longleftarrow bo^1 \longleftarrow \dots \longleftarrow^{p_i} bo^i$$

and the fiber of  $bo^i \leftarrow bo^{i+1}$  is a generalized Eilenberg MacLane space  $\prod_t K(\text{Ext}_{A_1}^{i,t}(Z_2, Z_2), t - i - 1)$  and  $p_i^*$  is zero. Let  $\alpha(k)$  be the number of 1's in the dyadic expansion of  $k$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.6.** *If  $k \equiv 0(2)$  then  $A \bigotimes_{A_1} Z_2 \otimes M_1(k)$  is stably  $A$  isomorphic to  $H^*(bo^{2k-\alpha(k)})$ . If  $k = 2l + 1$ , then  $A \bigotimes_{A_1} Z_2 \otimes M_1(k)$  is stably  $A$  isomorphic to  $H^*(b \text{ spin}^{4l-\alpha})$ . ( $b \text{ spin}^i$  is defined analogously to  $bo^i$ .)*

This will be proved in §3. Note that  $b \text{ spin} = M_1(1) \wedge bo$ , see [10]. This proposition yields immediately.

**THEOREM 2.7.** *For  $s > 0$  and if  $k = 2l$ , then  $\text{Ext}_{A_1}^{s,t}(M_1(2l), Z_2) = \text{Ext}_{A_1}^{s+4l-\alpha(l), t+4l-\alpha(l)}(Z_2, Z_2)$ . For  $s > 0$  and if  $k = 2l + 1$ , then*

$$\text{Ext}_{A_1}^{s,t}(M_1(2l+1), Z_2) = \text{Ext}_{A_1}^{s+4l-\alpha(l), t+4l-\alpha(l)}(M_1(1), Z_2).$$

Theorems 2.5 and 2.7 can be combined to give:

**THEOREM 2.8.** *If  $s > 0$  then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Ext}_{A_1}^{s,t}(H^*(bo \wedge bo), Z_2) \\ &= \bigoplus_{l=0}^{\infty} [\text{Ext}_{A_1}^{s+4l-\alpha(l), t-4l-\alpha(l)}(Z_2, Z_2) \oplus \text{Ext}_{A_1}^{s+4l-\alpha(l), t-4l-\alpha(l)-4}(M_1(1), Z_2)]. \end{aligned}$$

Since both  $\text{Ext}_{A_1}^{s,t}(Z_2, Z_2)$  and  $\text{Ext}_{A_1}^{s,t}(M_1(1), Z_2)$  are zero for  $t - s \equiv 3(4)$ , there can be no differentials in the Adams spectral sequence for  $bo \wedge bo$ . This gives:

**THEOREM 2.9.** *In the Adams spectral sequence for  $bo \wedge bo$ ,  $E_2 = E_\infty$ .*

This completes the homotopy calculations for  $bo \wedge bo$ , (modulo the calculations done in §3 for 2.5 and 2.7). The rest of the proof of 2.4 will be done in §4.

**3. The proof of several results from §2.** (The first part of this section is heavily influenced by Peterson's lectures [13].)

We begin by studying the left  $A_1$ -module structure of  $A \otimes_{A_1} Z_2$ . It is easier to do these calculations in the dual. We have  $i: A \rightarrow A \otimes_{A_1} Z_2$ . In each gradation each side is a finite dimensional vector space over  $Z_2$ . In the dual we have  $Z_2[\xi_1, \dots] = A^* \supset (A \otimes_{A_1} Z_2)^*$ .

The subset is more easily identified in the image of  $A^*$  under  $\chi$ , the anti-isomorphism. We represent this image as  $\chi[(A \otimes_{A_1} Z_2)^*]$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** *As left  $A$ -modules*

$$\chi[(A \otimes_{A_1} Z_2)^*] = Z_2[\xi_1^4, \xi_2^2, \xi_3, \dots].$$

*Proof.* Since  $A \otimes_{A_1} Z_2 = A/A(Sq^1, Sq^2)$  we have  $A \oplus A \xrightarrow{R(Sq^2) \oplus R(Sq^1)} A \rightarrow A/A(Sq^1, Sq^2) \rightarrow 0$  which gives  $A^* \oplus A^* \xleftarrow{L(Sq^1) \oplus L(Sq^1)} A^* \leftarrow \{A/A(Sq^1, Sq^2)\}^* \leftarrow 0$  and finally  $A^* \oplus A^* \xleftarrow{R(Sq^2) \oplus R(Sq^1)} A^* \leftarrow \chi[(A \otimes_{A_1} Z_2)^*] \leftarrow 0$ . But  $\xi_k Sq = \xi_k + \xi_{k-1}$ . Hence the proposition follows.

Assign to each  $\xi_i$  degree  $2^{i-1}$  and each monomial  $\xi^I = \xi_1^{i_1} \xi_2^{i_2} \dots$ , the degree  $\sum i_j 2^{j-1}$ .

Let  $N_{4n}$  be the  $Z_2$  vector space generated by monomials of degree  $4n$ . Then  $Z_2[\xi_1^4, \xi_2^2, \xi_3, \dots] \cong \bigoplus_n N_{4n}$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.2.** *As left  $A_1$ -modules  $Z_2[\xi_1^4, \xi_2^2, \xi_3, \dots] \cong \bigoplus_n N_{4n}$ .*

*Proof.* The left  $A$  action is given by  $Sq\xi_k = \xi_k + \xi_{k-1}^2$ . In the absence of  $\xi_1, \xi_1^2, \xi_1^3, \xi_2$  and products, degree  $(Sq^1\xi^I) = \text{degree } \xi^I$  and degree  $(Sq^2\xi^I) = \text{degree } \xi^I$  (of course 0 has every degree).

**PROPOSITION 3.3.**  $\chi N_{4n}^* = M_1(n)$ .

*Proof.* Using the multiplication  $A^*$  and the multiplicative nature of the degree we have maps

$$\chi N_{4n}^* \longrightarrow \chi N_{4j}^* \otimes \chi N_{4k}^* \quad j + k = n$$

which are monomorphism if  $n \neq 2^i$  and  $4j = 2^i$  and  $4k < 2^i$ . If  $n = 2^i$  then the class corresponding to  $\xi_{i+2}$  generates the kernel. Now using the obvious isomorphism  $\mathcal{X}N_4^* = M_1(1)$  and the kind of argument of [5] §4 we get the result.

Combining 3.2 and 3.3 we get the proof of 2.5. Using this explicit calculation we also can get the following. Let  $Q_0 = Sq^1$  and  $Q_1 = Sq^3 + Sq^2Sq^1$ . Then  $Q_j$  acts as a differential in  $M$  for any  $A$  (or  $A_1$ ) module  $M$ .

PROPOSITION 3.4. [13]  $H_*(\mathcal{X}(A \otimes \mathbf{Z}_2)^*, Q_0) = \mathbf{Z}_2[\xi_1^4]$  and

$$H_*(\mathcal{X}(A \underset{A_1}{\otimes} \mathbf{Z}_2)^*, Q_1) \cong E(\xi_2^2, \xi_1^2, \dots).$$

*Proof.* Both of these are easy calculations from 3.2.

COROLLARY 3.5.  $H^*(M_1(2^i), Q_0) = \mathbf{Z}_2$  generated by the class in dimension 0 and  $H^*(M_1(2^i), Q_1) = \mathbf{Z}_2$  generated by the class in dimension  $2^{i+1} - 2$ .

We now begin the proof of 2.6. The strategy will be to construct spaces  $R(n)$  with the properties:

(3.6.1) There is an  $A$ -module map  $f_n^*: H^*(B(n)) \rightarrow H^*(R(n))$  which is a stable  $A_1$ -isomorphism.

(3.6.2) There are maps  $g_n: R(n) \wedge bo \rightarrow bo^{2n-\alpha(n)}$   $n \equiv 0(2)$  and

$$g_n: R(n) \wedge bo \longrightarrow b \operatorname{spin}^{2n-1-\alpha(n)} \quad n \equiv 1(2)$$

and  $g_n^*$  are stable  $A$ -isomorphisms.

It would be nice to construct a map  $f_n$  which induces the cohomology map but we have not done so.

Let  $Y_i$  be the  $i$ th stage in an Adams resolution for  $S^0$  constructed by iterating the sequence  $Y_1 \rightarrow S^0 \rightarrow K(\mathbf{Z}_2, 0)$ . Then  $Y_i = Y_j \wedge Y_{i-j}$  for any  $i$  and  $j$ .

LEMMA 3.6.3.  $\operatorname{Ext}_A^{s,t}(H^*(X \wedge Y_o), \mathbf{Z}_2) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_A^{s+\sigma, t+\sigma}(H^*(X), \mathbf{Z}_2)$  for  $s > 0$  and any spectrum  $X$ .

The proof is immediate.

We will also use the following obvious lemma.

LEMMA 3.7. If there is a map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$f_*: \operatorname{Ext}_A^{s,t}(H^*(X), \mathbf{Z}_2) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_A^{s,t}(H^*(Y), \mathbf{Z}_2)$$

is an isomorphism for  $s > 0$  then  $H^*(Y)$  is stably  $A$ -isomorphic to  $H^*(X)$ .

Let  $R(2^{i-1})$  be the cofiber of the standard map  $RP^{2^i+1-2} \rightarrow S^0$ . ( $\lambda$  is the stable adjoint of the composite  $RP \rightarrow SO \rightarrow \Omega^\infty S^\infty$ .) Since  $S^0 \xrightarrow{\iota} bo^i \rightarrow bo$ , where  $\iota$  is a generator, is  $2^i$  times a generator, the composite  $P^{2^i+1-2} \rightarrow S^0 \rightarrow bo^{2-1}$  is inessential if  $i > 0$ . Hence there is a map

$$\tilde{g}_{2^i-1}: R(2^{i-1}) \longrightarrow bo^{2^i-1} = bo^{2^i-\alpha 2^i}.$$

For  $n = \sum_{i=0}^k \varepsilon_i 2^i$  and  $\varepsilon_i = 0$  or  $1$  let  $R(n) = \bigwedge R(\varepsilon_i 2^i)$  where  $R(0) = S^0$ . If  $n \equiv 0(2)$  there is a map  $\tilde{g}_n: R(n) \rightarrow \bigwedge_{i=1}^k bo^{\varepsilon_i(2^i+1-1)}$ . By 3.6.3  $H^*(\bigwedge bo^{\varepsilon_i(2^i+1-1)})$  is stably  $A$ -isomorphic to  $H^*(bo^{2^n-\alpha(n)} b_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge b_0)$ . The map  $g_n$  results by multiplying both sides by  $bo$  and multiplying out.

If  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  then  $\varepsilon_1 = 1$  and  $R(n) = R(n-1) \wedge R(1)$ . This gives  $R(n-1) \wedge R(1) \rightarrow bo^{2(n-1)-\alpha(n-1)} \wedge R(1)$ . But since  $R(1) \wedge bo = b \text{ spin}$ ,  $H^*(bo^{2(n-1)-\alpha(n-1)} \wedge R(1)) \cong H^*(b \text{ spin}^{2(n-1)-\alpha(n-1)})$ . This completes the construction of the maps  $g_n$ .

LEMMA 3.8. *The maps  $g_n$  induce stable  $A$ -isomorphisms.*

*Proof.* By the way the maps are constructed it will be sufficient to show that the lemma is true if  $n = 2^i$ . Since  $R(1) \wedge bo = b \text{ spin}$  ( $R(1) = B(1)$ ) there is nothing to show in this case. In general we will show that

$$\text{Ext}_{A_1}^{s,t}(H^*(R^{2^i-1}), Z_2) \cong \text{Ext}_{A_1}^{s+2^i-1, t+2^i-1}(Z_2, Z_2) = \text{Ext}_A^{s,t}(H^*(bo^{2^i-1}), Z_2).$$

Then we will show that  $g_{2^i}$  induces this isomorphism. This will complete the proof. Let the image of  $A_1 \otimes (\bigoplus_{\infty=0}^{4n} H^*(R(2^i)))$  in  $H^*(R(2^i))$  be  $F_n$ . Then  $F_n/F_{n-1} \cong A_1 \bigotimes_{A_0} Z_2$ . Thus there is a filtration  $H^*(R(2^i))$  so that the associated graded is just  $[\bigoplus_{j=0}^{2^i-1} \Sigma^{4j} A_1 \bigotimes_{A_0} Z_2] \oplus \Sigma^{2^i+1-4} M_1(1)$ . There is a spectral sequence going from  $\text{Ext}_{A_1}(\cdot, Z_2)$  of this associative graded module to  $\text{Ext}_{A_1}(H^*(R(2^i)), Z_2)$ .

But

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Ext}_{A_1} \left( \left( \bigoplus_{j=0}^{2^i-1} \Sigma^{4j} A_1 \bigotimes_{A_0} Z_2 \right) \oplus \Sigma^{2^i+1-4} M_1(1), Z_2 \right) \\ &= \bigoplus_{j=0}^{2^i-1-1} \text{Ext}_{A_0}^{s,t+4j}(Z_2, Z_2) \oplus \text{Ext}_{A_1}^{s,t+2^i-1-4}(M_1(1), Z_2). \end{aligned}$$

So there cannot be any differentials. These groups are easily seen to be the same as  $\text{Ext}_A^{s,t}(\tilde{H}^*(bo^{2^i-1}), Z_2)$ .

We now need to show that  $g_n$  induces this isomorphism. Let



$\Sigma^{-1}P_{2^i+1-1}^{2^{i+1}} \xrightarrow{q} RP^{2^i-1-2}$  be the attaching map of the next two cells i.e., the mapping cone of  $q$  is  $RP^{2^i+1}$ . The map  $q$  gives a map  $q^1: P_{2^i+1-1}^{2^i+1} \rightarrow R(2^i)$ . Since the bundle over  $P^{2^i+1}$  has order  $2^i$  the composite  $g_{2^i}q^1$  must be essential; it must be essential in  $R(2^i) \wedge bo$  also. There it must represent the composite  $P_{2^i+1-1}^{2^i+1} \rightarrow S^{2^i+1} \xrightarrow{\text{gen}} R(2^i) \wedge bo$ . Thus  $g_{2^i}$  must induce an isomorphism for  $t - s = 2^{i+1}$  but this and the natural  $bo$  action forces an isomorphism everywhere.

Next we need to construct maps from  $H^*(B(n)) \rightarrow H^*(R(n))$ . By the definition of  $R(n)$  and property 2.1 of  $B(n)$  it will be sufficient to construct  $F_{2^i}^*: H^*(B(2^i)) \rightarrow H^*(R(2^i))$ . Since  $R(1) = B(1)$  we need only consider  $i > 0$ . Following [5] we have  $M(2^{i+1}) \rightarrow H^*(\Sigma P_{-1}^{2^{i+1}-2})$  which is an epimorphism of  $A$ -modules.

The image of  $A(Sq^1, \lambda Sq^k | k > 2^{i+1}) \rightarrow A \rightarrow H^*(\Sigma P_{-1}^{2^{i+1}-2})$  is just the class in dimension 1. Hence there is a map between  $M_1(2^i)$  and  $H^*(R(2^i))$ . This is the map  $f_{2^i}^*$ .

**LEMMA 3.9.** *The map  $f_{2^i}^*: M_1(2^i) \rightarrow H^*(R(2^i))$  is a stable  $A_1$ -isomorphism.*

*Proof.* We need check what the map does in  $Q_i$  homology. Clearly  $f_{2^i}^*$  induces an isomorphism in the  $Q_0$  homology. Since  $H_*(M_1(2^i), Q_1) = Z_2$  generated by the class in dimension  $2^{i+1} - 2$  and  $f_{2^i}^*$  maps this class nontrivially we must verify that its image in  $H^*(R(2^i))$  is not a boundary. Indeed it is easy to see that  $H_*(H^*(R(2^i), Q_1)) = Z_2$  generated by the class in dimension  $2^{i+1} - 2$ , (since  $Sq^3\alpha^{4n-1} = \alpha^{4n+2}$  and  $Sq^2Sq^1\alpha^{4n+1} = \alpha^{4n+4}$ ). By the theorem of Wall [13] this implies that  $f_{2^i}^*$  is a stable  $A_1$ -isomorphism.

This now completes the proof of 2.6 since if  $n \equiv 0(2)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_A^{s,t}(H^*(B(n) \wedge bo), Z_2) &\stackrel{(f_{2^i}^*)^*}{\cong} \text{Ext}_A^{s,t}(H^*(R(n) \wedge bo), Z_2) \\ &\stackrel{g_n^*}{\cong} \text{Ext}_A^{s,t}(H^*(bo^{2n-\alpha(n)}), Z_2). \end{aligned}$$

If  $n \equiv 1(2)$  we have the analogous formula.

**4. The proof of 2.4.** We begin with the following corollary of (3.6.2.)

**LEMMA 4.1.** *If  $f: B(k) \wedge B(l) \rightarrow B(k+l)$  is the multiplication map of 2.1 then  $f^*$  is a stable  $A_1$ -isomorphism if  $k = 2^i$  and  $l < 2^i$ .*

*Proof.* Indeed  $H^*(B(k) \wedge bo)$  and  $H^*(bo^{2k-\alpha(n)})$  are stably  $A$ -isomorphic. Thus  $bo^{2k-\alpha(k)} \wedge bo^{2l-\alpha(l)} = bo^{2(k+l)-\alpha(k+l)}$  if  $k = 2^i$  and  $l < 2^i$ .

We need to determine what happens if  $k = l = 2^i$ .

**DEFINITION 4.2.** A sequence  $N' \rightarrow N \rightarrow N''$  of  $A_1$ -modules is stably  $A_1$  exact if there is a free  $A_1$ -module which can be added to each term to get an exact sequence.

**PROPOSITION 4.3.** A stably  $A_1$  exact sequence of  $A_1$ -modules induces a long exact sequence in Ext groups for  $s > 0$ .


This is immediate.

Motivated by Lemma 3.7 we say  $f$  is a stable  $A$ -isomorphism through dimension  $\bar{t}$  if  $f$  induces an isomorphism in  $\text{Ext}_A^{s,t}$  for  $s > 0$  and  $t - s < \bar{t}$ .

These ideas can be illustrated by the following example. Consider

$$B(1) \wedge B(1) \longrightarrow B(2) \longrightarrow \Sigma^4 M_{2^t} \wedge B(1).$$

The induced maps in cohomology give an  $A_1$ -stably exact sequence. Pictorially we have



The curved lines indicate  $Sq^2$  and the straight lines indicate  $Sq^1$ .

This example generalizes to give

**THEOREM 4.4.** The cohomology sequence induced by


$$B(2^i) \wedge B(2^i) \xrightarrow{f} B(2^{i+1}) \longrightarrow \Sigma^{2^i+3-4} M_{2^t} \vee B(1)$$

is a stably  $A_1$  exact sequence.

*Proof.* It is sufficient to verify the result for cohomology modules which are stably equivalent. Thus the map  $f$  is equivalent by 3.6 to

$$h: bo^{2^i+2-2} \longrightarrow bo^{2^i+2-1}$$

and  $h$  in dimension zero is a degree 1 map. (Note that it is not obvious that such a map exists but the multiplication  $f$  does exist and via 3.6 we get  $h$ .) The following charts will illuminate the argument.



Let  $a$  be defined by the cofiber sequence

$$\Sigma M_{2^i} \wedge M_{2^i} \xrightarrow{a} M_{2^i} \longrightarrow M_{2^i} \wedge B(1) .$$

Let  $j$  be the composite  $\Sigma^{2^{i+3}-5} M_{2^i} \rightarrow S^{2^{i+3}-4} \rightarrow bo^{2^i+2-2}$ .

Clearly  $a \cdot j \simeq 0$  and so we have  $j_* \Sigma^{2^{i+3}-5} M_{2^i} \wedge B(1) \rightarrow bo^{2^i+2-2}$ . Also it is easy to see that  $h j_*$  is null homotopic.

Thus there is a map  $\bar{h}: bo^{2^i+2-2} \bigcup_{h j_*} CM_{2^i} \wedge B(1) \wedge bo \rightarrow bo^{2^i+2-1}$ .

An easy calculation shows that  $\bar{h}$  induces an isomorphism in the  $\text{Ext}_A$  groups except if  $s = 0$  and  $t = 2^{i+3} - 6$ . This is equivalent to the theorem.

Now we can prove 2.4. We proceed by induction. Let  $\Omega_+^n = \bigvee_{j \leq n} \Sigma^{4j} B(j)$ . Clearly  $\Omega^1 \rightarrow bo \wedge bo$  and  $\Omega_+^1 \wedge bo \rightarrow bo \wedge bo$  is a homotopy equivalence through dimension 7.

Suppose we have a map  $h_i: \Omega_+^{2^i-1} \rightarrow bo \wedge bo$  such that  $\Omega_+^{2^i-1} \wedge bo \rightarrow bo \wedge bo$  is a stable  $A$ -isomorphism through dimension  $2^{i+2} - 1$ . Then by 4.4 we see that  $[\Omega_+^{2^i-1} \wedge (S^0 \bigvee \Sigma^{2^i+2} B(2^{i-1}) \wedge B(2^{i-1}))] \wedge bo \rightarrow bo \wedge bo$  exists and gives a stable  $A$ -isomorphism through dimension  $2^{i+3} - 5$ . Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{c} \Sigma^{2^i+3-5} M_{2^i} \wedge B(1) \longrightarrow \Sigma^{2^i+2} B(2^{i-1}) \wedge B(2^{i-1}) \wedge bo \longrightarrow bo \wedge bo \\ \downarrow \\ \Sigma^{2^i+2} B(2^i) . \end{array}$$

We need to show that the composite represented by the top row is null homotopic. The composite is clearly filtration  $\geq 1$ . Therefore it factors through  $\Omega^{2^i-1} \wedge bo$ . But  $\Omega^{2^i-1} \wedge bo$  is  $A$  equivalent to

$$\bigvee_{j < 2^i-1} [\Sigma^{8j} bo^{4j-\alpha(j)} \bigvee \Sigma^{8j+4} b \text{ spin}^{4j-\alpha(j)}] .$$

The  $2^{i+2} - 1$  connected cover is just a wedge of  $\Sigma^{2^{i+2}}bo$ . The generating homotopy classes have various  $s$  filtrations depending on which piece of  $\Omega$  it came from. (The reader is encouraged to draw an Ext diagram using 2.8 for  $i = 2, 3$  or  $4$  to see this clearly.) There is a map of  $bo^l \rightarrow bo$  for any  $l$  and this map induces an isomorphism in homotopy in dimensions greater than  $2l + \varepsilon_l$  where  $\varepsilon_l = 0, l \equiv 0(4), = -1, l \equiv 1(4), = -2, l \equiv 2, 3(4)$ . Thus there is a map of degree divisible by  $2^{i+1}$  on the bottom cell of  $\Sigma^{2^{i+2}}B(2^{i-1}) \wedge B(2^{i-1}) \wedge bo \rightarrow \Omega^{2^{i-1}} \wedge bo$  so that the following commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Sigma^{2^{i+3}-5}M_{2^i} \wedge B(1) & \longrightarrow & \Sigma^{2^{i+2}}B(2^{i-1}) \wedge B(2^{i-1}) \wedge bo & \longrightarrow & bo \wedge bo \\ \downarrow & & & & \downarrow id \\ \Sigma^{2^{i+2}}B(2^{i-1}) \wedge B(2^{i-1}) \wedge bo & \longrightarrow & \Omega_+^{2^{i-1}-1} \wedge bo & \longrightarrow & bo \wedge bo \end{array}$$

The bottom row has degree divisible by  $2^{i+1}$  on the bottom cell.

Adding this map to the product map we have a map  $\Sigma^{2^{i+2}}B(2^{i-1}) \wedge B(2^{i-1}) \rightarrow bo \wedge bo$  of the right degree (1 on the bottom cell) which can be extended over  $\Sigma^{2^{i+2}}B(2^i)$ . This gives  $\Omega_+^{2^{i-1}} \wedge (S^0 \vee \Sigma^{2^{i+2}}B(2^i)) \rightarrow \Omega^{2^{i+1}-1} \rightarrow bo \wedge bo$  with the desired properties. This completes the proof.

**5.  $bo$  resolutions.** We now are prepared to analyze the  $bo$  resolution. In order to facilitate the calculation we introduce another spectrum. This and similar spectra are described in [4]. Let  $S^5 \rightarrow B^2O$  be a generator and let  $f: \Omega S^5 \rightarrow BO$  be the adjoint. Let  $X$  be the Thom spectrum of  $f$ . (This is called  $X_5$  in [4].) The key properties of  $X$  are the following:

5.1.  $X$  is a ring spectrum. (See 1.1 of [4].) The proof uses the fact that the Thom spectrum of a bundle classified by an  $H$ -map is a ring spectrum.

5.2.  $X \wedge X = \Omega S_+^5 \wedge X$  and this homotopy equivalence is induced by the composite map  $g$  given by

$$\Omega S^5 \times \Omega S^5 \xrightarrow{\Delta \times id} \Omega S^5 \times \Omega S^5 \times \Omega S^5 \xrightarrow{id \times \mu} \Omega S^5 \times \Omega S^5$$

where  $\Delta$  is the diagonal and  $\mu$  is the multiplication. The homotopy equivalence the other way looks the same except  $\Delta$  is replaced by  $\bar{\Delta}(x) \rightarrow (x, x^{-1})$ . We will call that composite  $h$ . Clearly  $g$  and  $h$  are homotopy equivalences and one is the homotopy inverse of the other. (Again see [4] for a fuller discussion.)

5.3. The bundle  $f$  has a  $bo$  orientation  $o: X \rightarrow bo$  and  $o^*$  induces an isomorphism in  $Q_0$  homology.

*Proof.* Consider  $S^4 \xrightarrow{i_1^*} S^4 \times \cdots \times S^4 \rightarrow \Omega S^5$ . Since in the Thom

complex of  $i_1$  of,  $\lambda Sq^4$  is also nonzero and  $\lambda Sq^i$  has the same Cartan formula as  $Sq^i$  (with  $Sq^i \otimes Sq^k$  replaced by  $\lambda Sq^i \otimes \lambda Sq^k$ ) we see that  $\lambda Sq^{4k} U$  is nonzero in  $H^*(X)$  for each  $k$ . But (2.4.1) shows that  $\lambda Sq^{4k}$  on the fundamental class of  $bo$  generates the  $\mathbb{Q}_0$  homology.

Consider a resolution of  $S^0$  by  $X$ . This gives the following diagram

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \bar{X}^2 & \longrightarrow & \bar{X}^2 \wedge X \\ \downarrow & & \\ \bar{X} & \longrightarrow & \bar{X} \wedge X \\ \downarrow & & \\ S^0 & \longrightarrow & X. \end{array}$$

Since  $\sigma: X \rightarrow bo$  there is a mapping of resolutions

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} S^0 & \longleftarrow & \bar{X} & \longleftarrow & \bar{X}^2 & \longleftarrow & \cdots \longleftarrow \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & S_1 & \longleftarrow & S_2 & \longleftarrow & \cdots \longleftarrow \end{array}$$

For resolutions of this sort the  $E_1$  and  $E_2$ -terms are more easily described in the following way. Let  $Ibo$  be defined by the cofiber sequence  $S^0 \xrightarrow{\iota_0} bo \xrightarrow{p} Ibo$ . ( $IX$  is similarly defined.) Consider the sequence of spectra where 1 is the identity map and the trailing  $S^0$ 's are suppressed. In particular

$$bo = bo \wedge S^0 \xrightarrow[p \wedge \iota_0]{} Ibo \wedge bo$$

is the first map.

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{array}{c} bo \xrightarrow[p \wedge \iota_0]{} Ibo \wedge bo \xrightarrow[1 \wedge p_{\iota_0}]{} (Ibo)^2 \wedge bo \longrightarrow \cdots \\ \xrightarrow[1 \wedge p \wedge \iota_0]{} (Ibo)^\sigma \wedge bo \longrightarrow \cdots \end{array}$$

**PROPOSITION 5.7.** *The  $E_1$  chain complex of the  $bo$  resolution is the chain complex resulting from the sequence of spectra 5.6 after applying the homotopy functor.*

This is a standard result.

The results of the first three sections assert that the homotopy of  $(Ibo)^\sigma \wedge bo$  is a sum of modules of the form  $\pi_*(bo^j)$  for various values of  $j$  together with  $Z_2$ -summands of Adams filtration zero. We will always disregard the  $Z_2$ -summands of Adams filtration zero

in this resolution. The  $\pi_*(bo^j)$  modules are given by 3.6. In particular  $(Ibo)^\sigma \wedge bo = \Omega^q \wedge bo$  and  $H_*(H^*(\Omega^q), Q_0)$  is in 1-1 correspondence with the distinct  $bo^j$  modules. Since the map  $o: X \rightarrow bo$  has the property that  $o^*$  induces a  $Q_0$  homology isomorphism we can analyze  $d_1$  by looking at the  $X$ -resolution. The first result is the following.

**THEOREM 5.8.** *The following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (IX)^\sigma \wedge X & \xrightarrow{1 \wedge p \wedge \iota_0} & (IX)^{\sigma+1} \wedge X \\ \downarrow \mu & & \downarrow \mu \\ (\Omega S^5)^\sigma \wedge X & \xrightarrow{\delta_0} & (\Omega S^5)^{\sigma+1} \wedge X \end{array}$$

where  $\delta_\sigma = \Sigma(-1)^i \delta_\sigma^i$  and  $\delta_\sigma^i$  is induced by the following map of spaces:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \delta_\sigma^i: \Omega S^5 \times \cdots \times \Omega S^5 & \longrightarrow & \Omega S^5 \times \cdots \times \Omega S^5 \\ \sigma + 1 \text{ factors} & & \sigma + 2 \text{ factors} \\ S_\sigma^i = 1 \times^{i-1} \cdots 1, A, 1 \times \cdots \times 1. \end{array}$$

*Proof.* The map  $1 \wedge p \wedge L_0$  and the resulting chain complex is equivalent to

$$X^{\sigma+1} \xrightarrow{F} X^{\sigma+2}$$

where  $F = \Sigma(-1)^i F^i$  and  $F^i = 1 \times \cdots \times 1, \iota_0, 1 \cdots 1$  where the  $\iota_0$  is in the  $i$ th place. Consider the composite

$$\begin{array}{c} (\Omega S^5)^{\sigma+1} \xrightarrow{1 \times h \times 1} (\Omega S^5)^{\sigma+1} \xrightarrow{1 \times h \times 1} (\Omega S^5)^{\sigma+1} \longrightarrow \cdots \xrightarrow{h \times 1} (\Omega S^5)^{\sigma+1} \\ \xrightarrow{1 \times 1 \times \cdots \times \times_i^* \times 1 \cdots \times 1} (\Omega S^5)^{\sigma+2} \xrightarrow{g \times 1} (\Omega S^5)^{\sigma+1} \\ \xrightarrow{1 \times g \times 1} \cdots \xrightarrow{1 \times g} (\Omega S^5)^{\sigma+2}. \end{array}$$

The Thom complex picture is

$$\begin{array}{c} (\Omega S_+^5)^\sigma \wedge X \longrightarrow (\Omega S_+^5)^{\sigma-1} \wedge X \wedge X \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow X^{\sigma+1} \xrightarrow{f^i} X^{\sigma+2} \\ \longrightarrow \Omega S_+^5 \wedge X^{\sigma+1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow (\Omega S_+^5)^{\sigma+1} \wedge X. \end{array}$$

The map  $h$  is just  $h(x, y) = h(x, x^{-1}y)$ . The composite  $\bar{h}$  which induces the homotopy equivalence  $(\Omega S_+^5)^\sigma \wedge X \rightarrow X^{\sigma+1}$  is

$$\bar{h}(x_1, \cdots, x_{\sigma+1}) = (x_1, x^{-1}, x_2, x_2^{-1}x_3, \cdots, x_\sigma^{-1}x_{\sigma+1}).$$

The “differential” map just inserts a base point in the  $i$ th position. The map  $\bar{g}$  just unravels the map giving  $(x_1, x_2, x_3, \cdots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_i, x_{i+1}, \cdots, x_{q+1})$ . This is the assertion of the theorem.

**COROLLARY 5.9.** *The maps  $\delta_\sigma^i$  for  $i \leq \sigma$  are  $\pi_*(X)$  module maps.  $\delta_\sigma^{\sigma+1}$  is not a  $\pi_*(X)$  module map.*

We will analyze the differential in the  $bo$  resolution by the following device. Each class in  $H_*(H_*(\Omega^\sigma), Q_0)$  is identified with a class in  $H_*((\Omega S^5)^\sigma)$ . We will determine what happens to the  $A_1$ -module so determined by tracing it in the  $X$ -resolution sequence. What we will prove is that by just considering the part of the differential which is a  $bo$  map, we get an acyclic chain complex except for  $\sigma = 0$  and 1 modulo, at each level, elements of Adams filtration zero. To begin we need the following.

**THEOREM 5.10.** *The construction of the  $bo$  splitting can be made so that the following diagram commutes modulo elements of Adams filtration  $\geq 2$ .*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} bo \wedge bo & \longleftarrow & \Omega_+ \wedge bo \\ \uparrow o \wedge o & & \uparrow q \wedge o \\ X \wedge X & \longleftarrow & \Omega S^5 \wedge X \end{array}$$

where  $q: \Omega S_+^5 \rightarrow \bigvee_{j \geq 0} \Sigma^{4j} B(j)$  is the stable map  $\Omega S_+^5 \rightarrow \bigvee_{j \geq 0} \Sigma^j \xrightarrow{V\iota_0} \Omega_+$  and  $\iota_0: S^0 \rightarrow B(j)$  is the inclusion of the bottom cell.

*Proof.* The splitting of  $X \wedge X$  can be constructed by using the analogous induction argument to that given for  $\Omega_+ \wedge X$ . The fact that we needed to consider the possibility of modifying the argument at each power of 2 given a possible obstruction to commutativity. But we were careful to show that the modification was always done by a class of high filtration and only involved the bottom class of  $B(j)$ . Compare the proof of 2.4 given in §4.

Now we are prepared to prove the main theorem. The first step is the following.

**THEOREM 5.11.** *Each homotopy class in  $\pi_*(I^o bo \wedge bo)$  is either of Adams filtration zero, or in the image of  $d_\sigma$ , or is mapped essentially under  $d_\sigma$ , or  $\sigma = 0$  or  $\sigma = 1$  and the homotopy can be identified with  $\pi_*(B(1) \wedge bo)$ .*

*Proof.* The strategy of the proof will be first to look at the part of the differential which preserves Adams filtration. Then we will look at the part which raises filtration by 1 and see that these two considerations cover all the homotopy except as described in the theorem.

The first part we will accomplish by noticing that the resolution is really a standard resolution of an exterior algebra. To set this up recall that  $I^s bo \wedge bo \rightarrow \bigvee \Sigma^{4j_1+\dots+4j_q} B(j_1) \wedge \dots \wedge B(j_q) \wedge bo$ . We label the wedge on the the right by  $\bigvee_{\mathcal{N}_s} \Sigma^{|N|} B(N) \wedge bo$  where  $\mathcal{N}_s = \{N = (n_1, \dots, n_s)\}$ ,  $B(N) = B(n_1) \wedge B(n_2) \wedge B(n_s)$  and  $|N| = \Sigma 4N_i$ . Suppose  $|N'| = |N''|$  with  $N' \in \mathcal{N}_s$  and  $N'' \in \mathcal{N}_{s+1}$ . The map  $\Sigma^{|N'|} B(N') \wedge bo \rightarrow \bigvee_{\mathcal{N}_s} \Sigma^{|N'|} B(N) \wedge bo \xrightarrow{d} \bigvee_{\mathcal{N}_{s+1}} \Sigma^{|N'|} B(N) \wedge bo \rightarrow \Sigma^{|N''|} B(N'') \wedge bo$  is zero if  $N'$  and  $N''$  are not "adjacent". By this we mean that  $n'_i = n''_i$  for  $i < s$ ,  $n'_s = n''_{s+1}$  and  $n'_i = n''_{i+1}$  for  $i > s$ . The map is a map of degree  $\begin{bmatrix} n'_i \\ n''_i \end{bmatrix}$  between the bottom cells otherwise. This is the content of 5.8. When the degree is  $1 \pmod 2$ , i.e., when  $\begin{bmatrix} n'_i \\ n''_i \end{bmatrix} = 1 \pmod 2$  then the composite is a homotopy equivalence modulo a wedge of  $K(Z_2)$ 's on each side. Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} B(N') \wedge bo &\cong bo^{|N'|/2 - \Sigma \alpha(n'_j)} \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} n'_i \\ n''_i \end{bmatrix} B(N'') \wedge bo \\ &\cong \begin{bmatrix} n'_i \\ n''_i \end{bmatrix} bo^{|N'|/2 - \Sigma \alpha(n'_j) + \alpha(n'_i) - \alpha(n''_{i+1})}. \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\left( \alpha \begin{bmatrix} n'_i \\ n''_i \end{bmatrix} = \alpha(n'_i) + \alpha(n''_{i+1}) - \alpha(n'_i) \right) \pmod 2.$$

Thus to discuss the filtration preserving situation it will be sufficient to determine how the bottom cell of each  $B(N)$  maps. To do this we need look at the resolution

$$(5.12) \quad \Omega \xrightarrow{d} \Omega \wedge \Omega \xrightarrow{d \wedge 1 - 1 \wedge d} \Omega \wedge \Omega \wedge \Omega \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow$$

and apply homology and then take the homology with respect to  $Q_0$ .

$$H_*(H_*(\Omega), Q_0) \longrightarrow H_*(H_*(\Omega^2), Q_0) \dots$$

This complex is just the same as

$$(5.13) \quad H_*(\Omega S_*^5) \xrightarrow{d_*} H_*(\Omega S_*^5 \wedge \Omega S_*^5) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\partial}_2} H_*(\Omega S_*^5) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\partial}_3} \dots$$

Finally this complex is just a resolution of an exterior algebra and its homology is a polynomial algebra on generators,  $\mathcal{H}_i$  with dimension  $2^i$  and of filtration 1. These generators correspond to  $B(2^i)$ . Thus there is a way to write  $H_*((\Omega S_*^5)^o)$  as a sum  $\mathcal{N}_o^o + \mathcal{C}_o + \mathcal{X}_o$  so that  $\delta_o(\mathcal{C}_o) \cong \mathcal{X}_{o+1}$  and  $\delta_o \mathcal{N}_o^o = 0$ . The classes  $\mathcal{N}_o^o$  can be chosen so that  $\mathcal{N}_o^o = \{(n_i) | n_i = 2^{j_i} \text{ and } j_i \leq j_{i+1}\}$ .

Now we look at  $\mathcal{N}_o^o = \{(n_i) | n_i = 2^{j_i} \text{ and } j_i \leq j_{i+1}\}$ .



LEMMA 5.14. *Let  $N \in \mathcal{N}_\sigma^\sigma$  be such that  $n_{\sigma-1} < n_\sigma$ . Then the composite*

$$\Sigma^{|N|} B(N) \wedge bo \longrightarrow \Omega^q \wedge bo \longrightarrow \Omega^{q+1} \wedge bo \longrightarrow \Sigma^{|N|} B(N') \wedge bo$$

where  $N' = (n'_s)$  and  $n'_s = n_s$ ,  $s < \sigma$ ,  $n'_\sigma = n_{\sigma/2} = n'_{\sigma+1}$  is a homotopy equivalence mod element of Adams filtration zero.

*Proof.* As in the preceeding proof we compare with  $X$ . In this case we have the binomial coefficient  $\binom{n_\sigma}{n_{\sigma/2}}$ . Recall that  $n_\sigma = 2^{j_\sigma}$  and so the coefficient is  $2 \times k$  where  $k$  is odd.

Now  $B(N) = bo^{(|N|-\sigma)/2}$  and  $B(N') = bo^{(|N|-\sigma-1)/2}$ . Thus a map of Adams filtration 1, but not higher, on the bottom cell induces an isomorphism of homotopy, modulo classes of filtration zero. This proves the lemma.

Note that in this case the classes in the coker are not just  $Z_2$ -summands of  $\pi_*(B(N'))$  but include classes which are a part of the essential  $bo$  homotopy of  $B(N)$ . They still are classes of Adams filtration zero.

We have completed the proof of the Theorem 5.11. What remains to be proved in the main theorem is “edge” result in part (c) and to consider the map in homotopy induced by  $bo \rightarrow Ibo \wedge bo \rightarrow \Sigma^4 B(1) \wedge bo$ . This second part is described completely in [10]. An easy way to see those results from our perspective is to again consider the diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} bo & \xrightarrow{k^1} & \Sigma^4 B(1) \wedge bo \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{k} & \Sigma^4 X \end{array}$$

The fact that  $k$  factors through  $X \rightarrow \Omega S^5 \wedge X$  allows one to show that  $k_*(a^{4j}) = j\sigma(a^{4j-4})$ . This implies that in  $k^1$  the homotopy in  $\pi_{4j}(bo)$  increases in Adams filtration by  $i$  where  $j = 2^i \times \text{odd}$ . From this calculation parts  $a$ , and  $b$  follow immediately.

To establish the “edge theorem” we first note.

PROPOSITION 5.15. *If  $X$  is a space whose cohomology is free over  $A_1$  then  $E_2^{s,t}(X, bo, \pi) = \text{Ext}_A^{s,t}(\tilde{H}^*(X), \mathbb{Z}_2)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\bar{A}_1$  be a space such that  $\tilde{H}^*(\bar{A}_1) \cong A_1$ . Then  $bo \wedge \bar{A}_1 = K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 0)$ . Hence the  $bo$  resolution for  $\bar{A}_1$  is just an Adams resolution.

We can filter  $H^*(S_1)$  by letting

$$F_k = \bigoplus_{i \leq k} \text{im } A_i \otimes H^i(S_i) .$$

Then  $F_k/F_{k-1}$  is as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} F_3/F_2 &= \Sigma^3 M_1(1) \\ F_i/F_{i-1} &= 0 \quad i = 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14 \\ F_7/F_6 &= \Sigma^7(A_1 \bigotimes_{A_0} Z_2) \\ F_{11}/F_{10} &= \Sigma^{11}(A_1 \bigotimes_{A_0} Z_2) \oplus \Sigma^{11} M_1(1) . \end{aligned}$$

Using this filtration we can get an estimate on  $S_4 \wedge M_{2t}$ . First observe that  $S_4$  is 11-connected. The first portion of  $\tilde{H}^*(S_4 \wedge M_{2t})$  is  $M_1(1) \otimes M_1(1) \otimes M_1(1) \otimes M_1(1) \otimes \tilde{H}^*(M_{2t})$  and a simple calculation shows that this module is stably  $A_1$ -isomorphic to  $\tilde{H}^*(S^{24} \wedge M_{2t})$ . Hence for  $s \geq 4$  there is a function of  $t$ ,  $f(t)$ , such that  $E_2^{s,t}(M_{2t}, bo, \pi) = 0$  for  $s > f(t)$  if  $E_2^{s-4, t-4}(S_4 \wedge M_{2t}, bo, \pi) = 0$ . This last expression is valid if  $\text{Ext}_{A_1}^{s-4, t-10}(A_1, Z_2) = 0$  and  $E_2^{s-4, t-28}(M_{2t}, bo, \pi) = 0$ . The first occurs for  $6s > t + 12$ . (This follows easily from a calculation of  $\text{Ext}_{A_2}(A_1, Z_2)$ .) Using this estimate inductively we see that if  $6s > t + 12$  then  $6(s - 4) > (t - 28) + 12$ . This implies  $E_2^{s,t}(M_{2t}, bo, \pi) = 0$  if  $6s > t + 12$ .

A much sharper estimate is available. Indeed the theorem is true for  $6s > t + 6$  but the proof requires a much sharper analysis of the resolution. Our goal was only to establish the “1/5 line”.

**6.  $v_1$ -periodicity.** There are a variety of ways to define  $v_1$  compositions in homotopy. The following is equivalent and is convenient for our purposes. Let  $Y$  be the stable complex  $\Sigma^{-2} M_{2t} \wedge CP^2$ . It is easy to see that there is a map  $f: \Sigma^2 Y \rightarrow Y$  of filtration 1 such that  $H^*(Y \bigcup_f C\Sigma^2 Y)$  is the subalgebra of  $A$  generated by  $Sq^1$  and  $Sq^2$ . There are four choices of  $v_1$  and we use any one and call the map  $f, v_1$ . Let  $\gamma: S^j \rightarrow X$  be a class. Then there are potentially four maps

$$(6.1.1) \quad \Sigma^{j-3} Y \xrightarrow{p_1} S^j \xrightarrow{\gamma} X \text{ where } p_1 \text{ is of degree 1.}$$

$$(6.1.2) \quad \Sigma^{j-2} \xrightarrow{p_2} \Sigma^j M_{2t} \xrightarrow{\gamma^\#} X \text{ where } p_2 \text{ is of degree 1 and } \gamma^\# \text{ is an extension of } \gamma \text{ (if it exists).}$$

$$(6.1.3) \quad \Sigma^{j-1} Y \xrightarrow{p_3} \Sigma^{j-2} P_2^4 \xrightarrow{\gamma^\#} X \text{ where } P_2^4 = RP^4/S^1 \text{ and } p_3 \text{ and } \gamma^\# \text{ are analogously defined.}$$

$$(6.1.4) \quad \Sigma^j Y \xrightarrow{\gamma^\#} Y .$$

If (6.1.1) exists we call the map  $\gamma_i^\#$ . The first always exists but may be inessential. The others sometime exist and, when they exist, are sometimes essential. If a map of type  $i$  exists and the composite

$$\Sigma^{j-4+i+2l} Y \xrightarrow{v_1^l} \Sigma^{j-4+i} Y \xrightarrow{\gamma_i^\#} X$$

is essential for all  $l$  for every  $\gamma^\#$ , then we say that  $\gamma$  is  $v_1$ -periodic of type  $i$ .

To illustrate this we give the following examples. 6.2(a) If  $\eta$  generates  $\pi_1(S^0)$  then  $\eta$  is  $v_1$ -periodic of type 2,  $\eta_1^\#$  is inessential and  $\eta_i^\#, i = 3$  and 4 do not exist.

(b) If  $\nu$  generates  $\pi_3(S^0)$  then  $\nu$  is  $v_1$ -periodic of type 3 but not of type 1.

(c) The generator of the image of  $J$  in the  $8k - 1$  stem is  $v_1$ -periodic of type 1. The element of order 2 in the image of  $J$  in the  $8k - 1$  stem is  $v_1$ -periodic of type 2.

**THEOREM 6.3.** *The only homotopy elements in  $\pi_*(S^0)$  which are  $v_1$ -periodic of any type are those classes described in  $E^{0,t}(S^0, bo, \pi)$   $E^{1,t}(S^0, bo, \pi)$ .*

Note that other classes may have finite  $v_1$ -compositions but this theorem describes all the those which admit  $v_1$ -composition of all order.

*Proof.* Let  $\gamma$  be a homotopy class of  $bo$  filtration  $s \geq 2$ . This gives  $S^j \rightarrow S_s, s \geq 2$ . Now consider the composite if possible  $\Sigma^{j-2+i} Y \xrightarrow{v_1} \Sigma^{j-4+i} Y \xrightarrow{\gamma_i^\#} S_s$ . The filtration of  $\gamma_i^\# v_1$  is 1 and hence by 1.1.(c), there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma^{j-2+i} Y & \xrightarrow{\gamma_i^\# v_1} & S_s \longrightarrow S_s \wedge bo \\ & \searrow f & \nearrow d_1 \\ & \Omega S_{s-1} \wedge bo & \end{array}$$

where  $d_1$  is the connecting map in the spectral sequence. Thus  $\gamma_i^\# v_1 - pf: \Sigma^{j-2+i} Y \rightarrow S_s$  is a map which when projected to  $S^0$  represents  $\gamma_i^\# v_1$ . But  $\gamma_i^\# v_1 - pf$  lifts to  $S_{s+1}$ . Clearly the edge theorem of 1.1.(c) or just the fact that  $S_s$  is  $3s - 1$  connected guarantees that some  $v_1$ -iterate will lie in a zero group. This gives the theorem.

**REMARK.** To connect this result with other results about  $v_1$ -periodicity we give the following result.

PROPOSITION 6.4. *There is commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma^8 Y & \xrightarrow{v_1^4} & Y \\ p_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow p_1 \\ \Sigma^{10} M_{2^*} & \xrightarrow{8\sigma^*} & \Sigma^2 M_{2^*} \end{array}$$

where the maps  $p_1$  are degree 1 and  $8\sigma^*$  is the coextension over  $\Sigma^{20} M$  of the extension of  $\Sigma^{10} M_{2^*} \xrightarrow{8\sigma^*} S^8$ .

The result is an easy calculation using the Adams spectral sequence because there are no classes of filtration 4 earlier than  $h_0^3 h_3$ .

## REFERENCES

1. G. Carlsson, *On the stable splitting of  $bo \wedge bo$  and torsion operations in connective  $K$ -theory*, to appear.
2. ———, *Operations in connective  $K$ -theory and associated cohomology theories*, Thesis, Stanford, 1976.
3. Donald Davis and Mark Mahowald,  *$v_1$ - and  $v_2$ -periodicity in stable homotopy theory*, Accepted by Amer. J. Math.
4. M. Mahowald, *Ring spectra which are Thom complexes*, Duke J., **46** (1979), 549–559.
5. ———, *A new infinite family in  ${}_2\Pi_*^S$* , Topology, **16** (1977), 249–256.
6. ———, *The order of the image of the  $J$ -homomorphism*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **76** (1970), 1310–1313.
7. ———, *Descriptive homotopy of the elements in the image of the  $J$ -homomorphism*, Proc. of the Int. Conf. on Manifolds, Tokyo, Univ. of Tokyo Press, (1975), 255–263.
8. ———, *The metastable homotopy of  $S^n$* , Memoir of Amer. Math. Soc., **72** (1967).
9. ———, *On the double suspension homomorphism*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **214** (1975), 169–178.
10. Mark Mahowald and R. J. Milgram, *Operations which detect  $Sq^4$  in connective  $K$ -theory and their applications*, The Quarterly J. Math., **27** (1976), 415–432.
11. J. P. May, *The Geometry of Iterated Loop Spaces*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, **271** (1970).
12. R. J. Milgram, *The Steenrod algebra and its dual for connective  $K$ -theory*, Reunion Sobre Theorie de Homotopie Universidad de Northwestern 1974, Sociedad Matematica Mexicana, 127–159.
13. F. P. Peterson, *Lectures on Cobordism Theory*, Kinokuniya Book Store Co., Ltd. Tokyo, Japan, 1968.

Received December 22, 1978. This research was supported in part by the NSF grant MCS76-07051.

NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY  
EVANSTON, IL 60201



# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90024

HUGO ROSSI

University of Utah  
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ANDREW OGG

University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM

Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO

NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII

UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO

UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

---

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

---

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. **39**. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints to each author are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

---

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$102.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$51.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.).

8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1981 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Manufactured and first issued in Japan

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| <b>Bruce Allem Anderson and Philip A. Leonard,</b> Sequencings and Howell designs .....  | 249 |
| <b>Kevin T. Andrews,</b> Representation of compact and weakly compact operators on the space of Bochner integrable functions .....               | 257 |
| <b>James Glenn Brookshear,</b> On the structure of hyper-real $\mathbf{z}$ -ultrafilters .....   | 269 |
| <b>Frank John Forelli, Jr.,</b> A necessary condition on the extreme points of a class of holomorphic functions. II .....                        | 277 |
| <b>Richard J. Friedlander, Basil Gordon and Peter Tannenbaum,</b> Partitions of groups and complete mappings .....                               | 283 |
| <b>Emden Robert Gansner,</b> Matrix correspondences of plane partitions .....  | 295 |
| <b>David Andrew Gay and William Yslas Vélez,</b> The torsion group of a radical extension .....  | 317 |
| <b>André (Piotrowsky) De Korvin and C. E. Roberts,</b> Convergence theorems for some scalar valued integrals when the measure is Nemytskii ..... | 329 |
| <b>Takaši Kusano and Manabu Naito,</b> Oscillation criteria for general linear ordinary differential equations .....                             | 345 |
| <b>Vo Thanh Liem,</b> Homotopy dimension of some orbit spaces .....  | 357 |
| <b>Mark Mahowald,</b> $bo$ -resolutions .....  | 365 |
| <b>Jan van Mill and Marcel Lodewijk Johanna van de Vel,</b> Subbases, convex sets, and hyperspaces .....   | 385 |
| <b>John F. Morrison,</b> Approximations to real algebraic numbers by algebraic numbers of smaller degree .....                                   | 403 |
| <b>Caroline Series,</b> An application of groupoid cohomology .....  | 415 |
| <b>Peter Frederick Stiller,</b> Monodromy and invariants of elliptic surfaces .....  | 433 |
| <b>Akihito Uchiyama,</b> The factorization of $H^p$ on the space of homogeneous type .....   | 453 |
| <b>Warren James Wong,</b> Maps on simple algebras preserving zero products. II. Lie algebras of linear type .....                                | 469 |