Pacific Journal of Mathematics

EXTENDING WHITNEY MAPS

L. E. WARD

Vol. 93, No. 2

April 1981

EXTENDING WHITNEY MAPS

L. E. WARD, JR.

The following theorems are proved. (1) If X is a continuum then any Whitney map for C(X), the space of subcontinua of X, can be extended to a Whitney map for 2^x , the space of nonempty closed subsets of X. (2) If Y is a continuum and X is a subcontinuum of Y then any Whitney map for C(X) (resp., 2^x) can be extended to a Whitney map for C(Y) (resp., 2^y). The proofs entail recasting these problems in the more inclusive setting of partially ordered spaces and then employing results of Nachbin.

1. Introduction. In this paper a continuum is a compact connected metric space. If X is a continuum then 2^x (respectively, C(X)) is the hyperspace of nonempty closed subsets (respectively, subcontinua) of X, endowed with the Hausdorff metric. If $\Lambda \subset 2^x$ and if Λ contains all of the singleton subsets of X, then a Whitney map for Λ is a continuous function $\omega: \Lambda \to [0, +\infty)$ such that $\omega(\{x\}) = 0$ for each $x \in X$ and $\omega(A) < \omega(B)$ whenever A and B are members of Λ and A is properly contained in B.

Among the many interesting and heretofore unsolved problems in the theory of hyperspaces are the following. Nadler ([4], 14.71.5) has asked if every Whitney map for C(X) can be extended to a Whitney map for 2^x . A related question (due to Bruce Hughes and communicated to me by Professor Carl Eberhart) asks whether a Whitney map for C(X) can always be extended to a Whitney map for C(Y)if X is a subcontinuum of Y. We shall answer these questions in the affirmative. The keystone of our approach is to recast the problem in the more general setting of partially ordered spaces, whereupon Nachbin's order-theoretic analog of Tietze's theorem [3] provides an essential ingredient of the proof.

At this point it is worth recalling that in [6] we promoted the notion—certainly not new—that some problems concerning hyperspaces become more tractable if the hyperspace is regarded as a special type of partially ordered space. There is a substantial literature dealing with the latter which can then be utilized. The present paper constitutes further evidence in support of this view.

2. Definitions and known results. A partially ordered space is a topological space P endowed with a partial order \leq whose graph is a closed subset of $P \times P$. It is known (see, for example, [2], p. 167) that if X is a regular Hausdorff space then 2^x is a partially ordered space with respect to inclusion. If P is a partially ordered space and $x \in P$, we write $L(x) = \{p \in P : p \leq x\}$ and $M(x) = \{p \in P : x \leq p\}$, and if $A \subset P$ then

$$L(A) = \bigcup \{L(x) \colon x \in A\}$$
,
 $M(A) = \bigcup \{M(x) \colon x \in A\}$.

An element *m* of a partially ordered space *P* is *minimal* (maximal) if, whenever $x \in P$ and $x \leq m(m \leq x)$, it follows that x = m. The set of minimal elements of *P* is denoted Min *P*; the set of maximal elements is denoted Max *P*. It is known [5] that if *P* is compact and $x \in P$ then L(x) meets Min *P* and M(x) meets Max *P*.

A Whitney map for a partially ordered space P is a continuous function $\omega: P \rightarrow [0, 1]$ which satisfies

(i) if $x \in \operatorname{Min} P$ then $\omega(x) = 0$,

(ii) if $x \in \text{Max } P$ then $\omega(x) = 1$,

(iii) if x < y in P then $\omega(x) < \omega(y)$.

It is obvious that if $P = 2^x$ for some continuum X and if ω satisfies (i), (ii) and (iii), then ω is a Whitney map in the hyperspace sense. Moreover, if X is a continuum then a Whitney map for 2^x is, up to a constant factor, a Whitney map in the sense of partially ordered spaces.

It is well-known (for example, see the discussion in [4], pp. 24-27) that 2^x admits a Whitney map whenever X is a continuum. In a recent note [6] the author generalized this result to an appropriate class of partially ordered spaces, as follows.

THEOREM 2.1. If P is a compact metric partially ordered space such that Min P and Max P are disjoint closed sets, then P admits a Whitney map.

At this point it is helpful to take cognizance of several results of Nachbin [3] for partially ordered spaces. The statements given here for Nachbin's results differ slightly from those in [3], but they follow easily. In particular, Nachbin's order-theoretic version of Tietze's Theorem (2.4) is stated here only for compact partially ordered spaces, whereas the original result was established in the more general setting of "normally ordered" spaces.

THEOREM 2.2. If K is a compact subset of a partially ordered space, then L(K) and M(K) are closed sets.

THEOREM 2.3. If x and y are elements of a compact partially ordered space and if $M(x) \cap L(y) = \emptyset$, then there are disjoint open sets U and V such that $x \in U = M(U)$ and $y \in V = L(V)$. If A and B are partially ordered sets then a function $f: A \to B$ is said to be *order-preserving* if, whenever $x \leq y$ in A, it follows that $f(x) \leq f(y)$.

THEOREM 2.4. If Q is a closed subset of the compact partially ordered space P and if $f: Q \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a continuous order-preserving function, then there exists a continuous order-preserving function $g: P \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that g|Q = f.

3. Extending Whitney maps for partially ordered spaces. Our main result is the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.1. Let P be a compact metric partially ordered space such that Min P and Max P are disjoint closed sets and let Q be a closed subset of P such that $Min Q \subset Min P$ and $Max Q \subset Max P$. Then a Whitney map for Q can be extended to a Whitney map for P.

The proof of (3.1) depends on a delicate application of (2.4). To facilitate this we first obtain a lemma.

LEMMA 3.2. Suppose P is a compact partially ordered space such that Min P and Max P are disjoint closed sets, Q is a closed subset containing (Min P) \cup (Max P), and suppose A and B are disjoint nonempty closed subsets such that A = M(A) and B = L(B). If $f: Q \rightarrow$ [0, 1] is a continuous order-preserving function such that $f \mid (Min P) \equiv$ 0 and $f \mid (Max P) \equiv 1$, then f admits a continuous order-preserving extension $\overline{f:} P \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $\overline{f}(a) \geq \inf f \mid (A \cap Q)$ for each $a \in A$ and $\overline{f}(b) \leq \sup f \mid (B \cap Q)$ for each $b \in B$.

Proof. By (2.4) the function $f|(A \cap Q)$ admits a continuous orderpreserving extension

$$f_1: A \longrightarrow [\inf f \mid (A \cap Q), 1]$$
 ,

and the function $f \mid (B \cap Q)$ admits a continuous order-preserving extension

$$f_0: B \longrightarrow [0, \sup f | (B \cap Q)]$$
.

The mapping $f \cup f_0 \cup f_1$ is a continuous order-preserving function defined on the closed set $Q \cup A \cup B$, and another application of (2.4) yields the desired function $\overline{f}: P \to [0, 1]$.

We turn now to proof of (3.1). Let ω_q be a Whitney map for Q. We may extend ω_q at once to $(\operatorname{Min} P) \cup (\operatorname{Max} P)$ by letting $\omega_q | (\operatorname{Min} P) \equiv 0$ and $\omega_q | (\operatorname{Max} P) \equiv 1$, so there is no loss of generality

if we assume Q contains $(\operatorname{Min} P) \cup (\operatorname{Max} P)$, and hence (3.2) may be applied. We employ a variation on an argument due to Carruth [1]. Suppose \mathscr{U} is a countable base for the topology of P, and let \mathscr{B} denote the family of all pairs (U, V) of members of \mathscr{U} such that $M(\overline{U}) \cap L(\overline{V}) = \emptyset$. Then \mathscr{B} is also countable and we may enumerate its elements:

$$\mathscr{B} = \{(U_n, V_n): n = 1, 2, \cdots\}$$
.

By (2.2) the sets $M(\overline{U}_n)$ and $L(\overline{V}_n)$ are closed, so by (3.2), for each positive integer *n* there is a continuous order-preserving extension $f_n: P \to [0, 1]$ of ω_q such that

$$f_n(a) \ge \inf \omega_Q | (M(U_n) \cap Q) \quad \text{if} \quad a \in M(\bar{U}_n) ,$$

 $f_n(b) \le \sup \omega_Q | (L(\bar{V}_n) \cap Q) \quad \text{if} \quad b \in L(\bar{V}_n) .$

Define $\omega_P: P \to [0, 1]$ by $\omega_P = \sum 2^{-n} f_n$. Obviously ω_P is continuous and ω_P is an extension of ω_Q . Since each f_n is order-preserving, so is ω_P . Thus it remains to show that if x < y in P then $\omega_P(x) < \omega_P(y)$. Clearly, it is sufficient to verify the existence of a positive integer n such that $f_n(x) < f_n(y)$.

Let $t_x = \sup \omega_q | (L(x) \cap Q)$ and $t_y = \inf \omega_q | (M(y) \cap Q)$. Since ω_q is a Whitney map it follows that $t_x < t_y$. Let $0 < \varepsilon < (t_y - t_x)/2$. By (2.3) there are disjoint open sets U and V such that $x \in V = L(V)$ and $y \in U = M(U)$, and by a straightforward compactness argument we may assume that $\omega_q(V \cap Q) \subset [0, t_x + \varepsilon)$ and $\omega_q(U \cap Q) \subset (t_y - \varepsilon, 1]$. It follows that there is a positive integer n such that $x \in V_n \subset \overline{V}_n \subset V$ and $y \in U_n \subset \overline{U}_n \subset U$, from which we conclude that

$$f_n(x) \leq t_x + \varepsilon < t_y - \varepsilon \leq f_n(y)$$
 .

The proof is complete.

COROLLARY 3.3. If X is a continuum then any Whitney map for C(X) can be extended to a Whitney map for 2^x .

COROLLARY 3.4. If Y is a continuum and X is a subcontinuum of Y, then any Whitney map for C(X) (resp., 2^x) can be extended to a Whitney map for C(Y) (resp., 2^y).

Proof. We give the proof for C(X); the proof for 2^x follows similarly. Clearly C(X) is a closed subset of C(Y) and $\operatorname{Min} C(X) \subset$ $\operatorname{Min} C(Y)$. However, $\operatorname{Max} C(X) = \{X\}$ is not a subset of $\operatorname{Max} C(Y)$. This deficiency is readily corrected by defining $Q = C(X) \cup \{Y\}$ so that $\operatorname{Max} Q = \{Y\} = \operatorname{Max} C(Y)$. If ω_x is a Whitney map for C(X)with $\omega_x(X) = 1$, let $\omega_Q: Q \to [0, 1]$ be defined by

$$egin{aligned} &\omega_{arphi} \, | \, \mathit{C}(X) = rac{1}{2} arphi_{{}_{X}} \; extsf{,} \ &\omega_{arphi}(Y) = 1 \; . \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.1 now applies and ω_q extends to a Whitney map ω_r for C(Y). Clearly, $2\omega_r$ is the desired extension of ω_x .

It is worth remarking that the family of mappings $f_n: P \to [0, 1]$ does not, in general, distinguish points of P and hence does not generate an order homeomorphism of P into the Hilbert cube. However, Carruth [1] has shown that such order homeomorphisms exist for all compact metric partially ordered spaces. The following question arises naturally.

Problem 3.5. Let ω_P be a Whitney map for the compact metric partially ordered space P. Under what conditions does there exist an order-homeomorphism $\varphi: P \to H$, the Hilbert cube, so that $\omega | \varphi(P) = \omega_P \varphi^{-1}$, where $\omega: H \to [0, 1]$ is the Whitney map defined by $\omega(x) = \sum 2^{-n} x_n$?

References

1. J. H. Carruth, A note on partially ordered compacta, Pacific J. Math., 24 (1968), 229-231.

2. K. Kuratowski, Topology I, Academic Press, 1966.

3. L. Nachbin, Topology and Order, Van Nostrand, 1965.

4. S. B. Nadler, Jr., Hyperspaces of Sets, Dekker, 1978.

5. L. E. Ward, Jr., Partially ordered topological spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 5 (1954), 144-161.

6. ____, A note on Whitney maps, Canad. Math. Bull., to appear.

Received September 17, 1979.

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON EUGENE, OR 97403

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, CA 90024 HUGO ROSSI University of Utah Salt Lake City, UT 84112 C. C. MOORE and ANDREW OGG

University of California Berkeley, CA 94720 J. DUGUNDJI Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, CA 90007 R. FINN and J. MILGRAM Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS E. F. BECKENBACH B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

| UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA | UNIVERSITY OF OREGON |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA | UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA |
| CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY | STANFORD UNIVERSITY |
| UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA | UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII |
| MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY | UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO |
| UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO | UNIVERSITY OF UTAH |
| NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY | WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY |
| OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY | UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON |

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. **39**. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints to each author are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$102.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$51.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address shoud be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.).

8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1981 by Pacific Jounal of Mathematics Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 93, No. 2 April, 1981

| Ilya Eugene Blum and Srinivasa Swaminathan, Continuous selections and |
|--|
| realcompactness |
| extensions of holomorphic differential forms |
| Jo-Ann Deborah Cohen, Topologies on the ring of integers of a global |
| field |
| Robert Jay Daverman, Detecting the disjoint disks property |
| Edmund H. Feller, Rings where the annihilators of α -critical modules are |
| prime ideals |
| Richard Elam Heisey and Henryk Torunczyk, On the topology of direct |
| limits of ANRs |
| Gerald William Johnson and David Lee Skoug, Notes on the Feynman |
| integral. I |
| Michael S. Keane and Stuart Jay Sidney, Distinguishing a plane curve |
| from other curves similar to it |
| Leonid A. Luxemburg, On compact metric spaces with noncoinciding |
| transfinite dimensions |
| Chun Ming Ma, A uniqueness theorem for Navier-Stokes equations |
| Donald J. Newman and Theodore Joseph Rivlin , A characterization of the weights in a divided difference |
| Marc Aristide Rieffel, C*-algebras associated with irrational rotations 415 |
| Kichi-Suke Saito, Invariant subspaces for finite maximal subdiagonal |
| algebras |
| Frederic W. Shultz, Dual maps of Jordan homomorphisms and |
| *-homomorphisms between C*-algebras |
| Vsevolod Alekseevich Solonnikov, On the solvability of boundary and |
| initial-boundary value problems for the Navier-Stokes system in |
| domains with noncompact boundaries |
| Tavan Thomas Trent, New conditions for subnormality |
| L. E. Ward, Extending Whitney maps |
| Leslie Wilson, Jets with regular zeros |
| Sergio Eduardo Zarantonello, The sheaf of H^p -functions in product |
| domains |