

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

JOINT BROWDER SPECTRUM

JOHN J. BUONI, ARTATRANA DASH AND BHUSHAN L. WADHWA

JOINT BROWDER SPECTRUM

JOHN J. BUONI, A. T. DASH AND BHUSHAN L. WADHWA

There exist in the literature many notions of joint spectra which have been then generalized to joint essential spectra and in some instances to joint Browder spectra. The purpose of this note is to develop a notion of joint Browder spectrum which uses ideas of Arveson and Waelbroek. It is shown that this notion of joint Browder spectrum has many of the useful properties of the Browder spectrum.

Polynomial joint Browder spectrum. For an operator (bounded operator) A on a Banach space X , $\lambda \in \rho_b(A)$ if $\lambda - A$ is Fredholm and there exists a deleted open neighborhood N of λ , such that $\mu \in N$ implies that $\mu - A$ is invertible. The Browder essential spectrum of A , $\sigma_b(A)$, is the complement of $\rho_b(A)$. Thus $\sigma_b(A) = \sigma_e(A) \cup \{\text{accumulation of points of } \sigma(A)\}$, where $\sigma_e(A)$ and $\sigma(A)$ denote the Fredholm spectrum and the spectrum of A [4], respectively. Also $\sigma_b(A) = \sigma(\pi(A))$, where $\pi: \alpha \rightarrow \alpha/\alpha \cap \mathcal{K}$, α is a maximal commutative subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(X)$ ($\mathcal{B}(X)$ is the algebra of all bounded operators on X) containing the double commutant of A , and \mathcal{K} is the ideal of compact operators on X . This characterization of $\sigma_b(A)$ is due to Gramsch and Lay [5]. Let A_1 and A_2 be two commuting operators on X . Let \mathcal{B} be any commutative Banach algebra containing A_1 and A_2 . Then the joint spectrum of the pair $A = (A_1, A_2)$ with respect to the algebra \mathcal{B} is usually defined as $\{(\phi(A_1), \phi(A_2)): \phi \text{ is in the maximal ideal space of } \mathcal{B}\}$ and is denoted by $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}}(A_1, A_2) = \sigma_{\mathcal{B}}(A)$. Arveson [1] defines $\sigma(A_1, A_2) = \sigma(A) = \{\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2): p(\lambda) \in \sigma(p(A)) \text{ for all polynomials } p: C^2 \rightarrow C\}$ and shows that $\sigma(A) = \sigma_{\mathcal{B}}(A)$, where \mathcal{B} is the norm closure of the rational algebra generated by A_1 and A_2 [1], C is the set of all complex numbers and C^2 is the two-fold Cartesian product of C . Following Arveson we define the joint Browder spectrum of $A = (A_1, A_2)$, denoted by $\sigma_b^p(A)$, as and defined by

$$\sigma_b^p(A) = \{\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2): p(\lambda) \in \sigma_b(p(A)) \text{ for all polynomials } p: C^2 \longrightarrow C\}.$$

Also the joint Fredholm spectrum

$$\sigma_e^p(A) = \{\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2): p(\lambda) \in \sigma_e(p(A)) \text{ for all polynomials } p: C^2 \longrightarrow C\}.$$

When there is no confusion, we shall write the polynomial joint Browder spectrum and the polynomial joint Fredholm spectrum as $\sigma_b(A)$ and $\sigma_e(A)$, respectively.

In [7] Snow has defined the joint Browder spectrum of A_1 and A_2 in terms of the joint Fredholm spectrum of Schechter and Snow [6]. Our treatment of the subject is different from theirs. Although all our results hold for n -tuples of operators, for brevity we shall discuss them for pairs of operators only. Throughout the text we shall write a pair of operators as $A = (A_1, A_2)$ and a pair of complex numbers as $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ unless otherwise mentioned. In the first part we shall obtain an analogue of Arveson's theorem and a generalization of Gramsch and Lay's result. In the later part we shall obtain a relationship between $\sigma_b(A)$ and $\sigma_e(A)$, where $A = (A_1, A_2)$.

Let α be a maximal commutative subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(X)$ containing the double commutant of A_1, A_2 . We need the following lemmas to prove our main result. For an operator A_1 we shall denote the image of A_1 in the algebra $\alpha/\alpha \cap \mathcal{K}$ by \hat{A}_1 . We shall write $\hat{A} = (\hat{A}_1, \hat{A}_2)$.

LEMMA 1. $\{\omega(\hat{A}) = (\omega(\hat{A}_1), \omega(\hat{A}_2)) : \omega \text{ is in maximal ideal space of } \alpha/\alpha \cap \mathcal{K}\} \subseteq \sigma_b(A)$.

Proof. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \omega(A) = (\omega(A_1), \omega(A_2))$. Then for any polynomial p of two variables, $\omega(p(\lambda) - p(\hat{A})) = 0$ and hence $p(\lambda) - p(\hat{A})$ is not invertible in $\alpha/\alpha \cap \mathcal{K}$. Thus by the above mentioned result of Gramsch and Lay [5], $p(\lambda)$ is in $\sigma_b(p(A))$ for all polynomials p .

LEMMA 2. $\sigma_b(A)$ is a nonempty compact subset of $\sigma_b(A_1) \times \sigma_b(A_2)$.

Proof. $\sigma_b(A)$ being the intersection of closed sets is closed. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \sigma_b(A)$, by taking $p(z_1, z_2) = z_i$, it follows that $\lambda_i \in \sigma_b(A_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Lemma 1 assures that $\sigma_b(A)$ is nonempty.

LEMMA 3. Let $p(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ be a polynomial with no zeros on $\sigma_b(A)$. Then $p(\hat{A})$ is invertible in $\alpha/\alpha \cap \mathcal{K}$.

Proof. Suppose $p(\hat{A}) = p(\hat{A}_1, \hat{A}_2)$ is not invertible in $\alpha/\alpha \cap \mathcal{K}$, then there exists an ω in the maximal ideal space of $\alpha/\alpha \cap \mathcal{K}$ such that $\omega(p(\hat{A})) = 0$. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \omega(\hat{A})$. Thus $p(\lambda) = 0$, while $\lambda \in \sigma_b(A)$.

Let Z be any compact subset of \mathbb{C}^2 . Let $\text{Rat}(Z)$ denote the set of all rational functions on Z , that is, all quotients p/q of polynomials p and q (in two variables) for which q has no zeros on Z . Consider in particular $Z = \sigma_b(A) = \sigma_b(A_1, A_2)$. Now for $f \in \text{Rat}(Z)$, $f = p/q$, define $f(\hat{A}) = p(\hat{A}) \cdot q(\hat{A})^{-1}$ (Lemma 3 guarantees that $q(\hat{A})$ is invertible.) Thus there is an algebraic homomorphism of $\text{Rat}(Z)$

into $\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathcal{K}$. Let $\text{Rat}(\hat{A}) = \text{Rat}(\hat{A}_1, \hat{A}_2)$ denote the norm closure of the image of $\text{Rat}(Z)$ in $\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathcal{K}$.

LEMMA 4. *Let $Z = \sigma_b(A_1, A_2) = \sigma_b(A)$. Then $\sigma_b(f(A)) = f(Z)$ for all f in $\text{Rat}(Z)$.*

Proof. Let $f \in \text{Rat}(Z)$ be such that f has no zeros on Z . Thus $f = g/h$, where g and h are polynomials having no zeros on Z . By Lemma 3, both $g(\hat{A})$ and $h(\hat{A})$ are invertible in $\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathcal{K}$, and hence $f(\hat{A}) = g(\hat{A})h(\hat{A})^{-1}$ is invertible. Thus $0 \notin f(Z)$ implies that $0 \notin \sigma_b(f(\hat{A}))$. A simple translation argument shows that $\sigma_b(f(\hat{A})) \subseteq f(Z)$.

Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in Z$. Then $f - f(\lambda)$ has the form g/h where g and h are polynomials and h has no zeros on Z . Also $g(\lambda) = 0$, by definition of $\sigma_b(A)$, $0 = g(\lambda) \in \sigma_b(g(A))$. Thus $g(\hat{A})$ is not invertible for $\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathcal{K}$ and $f(\lambda) \in \sigma_b(f(\hat{A}))$. This proves the lemma.

LEMMA 5. *$\text{Rat}(\hat{A})$ is inverse closed in $\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathcal{K}$.*

Proof. Suppose $\hat{s} \in \text{Rat}(\hat{A})$ and $\hat{s}^{-1} \in \mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathcal{K}$. Since $\hat{s} \in \text{Rat}(\hat{A})$, there exists a sequence $f_n \in \text{Rat}(\sigma_b(\hat{A}))$ such that $\|\hat{s} - f_n(\hat{A})\| \rightarrow 0$. Since \hat{s}^{-1} exists, for large enough n , $(f_n(\hat{A}))^{-1}$ exists and $(f_n(\hat{A}))^{-1} \rightarrow \hat{s}^{-1}$. By Lemma 4, f_n has no zeros on $\sigma_b(A)$. Let $g_n = 1/f_n$. Then $g_n \in \text{Rat}(\sigma_b(A))$ and $\|\hat{s}^{-1} - g_n(\hat{A})\| \rightarrow 0$ which implies that $\hat{s}^{-1} \in \text{Rat}(\hat{A})$. Hence the result.

THEOREM 6. *Let \mathfrak{m} be the maximal ideal space of $\text{Rat}(\hat{A})$. Then $\sigma_b(A) = \{\omega(\hat{A}) : \omega \in \mathfrak{m}\}$.*

Proof. For any $\omega \in \mathfrak{m}$, let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = (\omega(\hat{A}_1), \omega(\hat{A}_2)) = \omega(\hat{A})$. For each polynomial $p(z_1, z_2)$ we have $\omega(p(\lambda) - p(\hat{A})) = 0$. Thus $p(\lambda) - p(\hat{A})$ is not invertible in $\text{Rat}(\hat{A})$, and by Lemma 5, it is not invertible in $\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathcal{K}$. Thus by the result of Gramsch and Lay, $p(\lambda) - p(\hat{A})$ is not invertible. Thus $p(\lambda) \in \sigma_b(p(A))$ for every polynomial p and hence $\lambda \in \sigma_b(A)$.

Conversely, let $\lambda \in \sigma_b(A)$. Then for every $f \in \text{Rat}(Z)$, $Z = \sigma_b(A)$, we have $|f(\lambda)| \leq \sup_Z |f(z_1, z_2)| = \sup |\sigma(f(\hat{A}))| \leq \|f(\hat{A})\|$. Thus $f(\hat{A}) \rightarrow f(\lambda)$ is a bounded, densely defined homomorphism of $\text{Rat}(\hat{A})$ and so there is an $\omega \in \mathfrak{m}$ such that $\omega(f(\hat{A})) = f(\lambda)$. The conclusion now follows by using $f(z_1, z_2) = (f_1(z_1, z_2), f_2(z_1, z_2))$, where $f_i(z_1, z_2) = z_i$, $i = 1, 2$.

Theorem 6 is the analogue of a result of Arveson [1, page 276]. The techniques used here are heavily based on the definition of $\sigma_b(A_1, A_2)$ in terms of polynomials of two variables.

We let \tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2 denote the image of A_1 and A_2 in the Calkin

algebra, and $\tilde{A} = (\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2)$; then an analogue of Lemma 3 will assure that $q(\tilde{A})$ is invertible in the Calkin algebra provided q has no zeros on $\sigma_e(A)$. Thus there is an algebraic homomorphism of $\text{Rat}(\sigma_e(A))$ into the Calkin algebra, and we let $\text{Rat}(\tilde{A}) = \text{Rat}(\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2)$ denote the norm closure of the image of $\text{Rat}(\sigma_e(A))$ in the Calkin algebra. The analogues of Lemmas 4, 5 and Theorem 6 can now be proved in terms of $\sigma_e(A)$ to get the following theorem.

THEOREM 7. *Let m' be the maximal ideal space of $\text{Rat}(\tilde{A})$. Then $\sigma_e(A) = \{(\omega(\tilde{A}): \omega \in m')\}$.*

Theorems 6 and 7 and the result of Arveson [1, page 276] now allow us to identify $\sigma_b(A)$, $\sigma_e(A)$ and the $\sigma(A)$ defined above with the respective maximal ideal spaces of the respective finitely generated rational algebras $\text{Rat}(\hat{A})$, $\text{Rat}(\tilde{A})$ and $\text{Rat}(A)$. Now we want to see how these three spectra are related to each other. In the case of a single operator T , it is well known that $\sigma_e(T) \subseteq \sigma_b(T) \subseteq \sigma(T)$ and $\sigma_b(T) = \sigma_e(T) \cup \{\text{accumulation points of } \sigma(T)\}$. We shall show that similar results hold in the case of joint spectra.

First let us note that if $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \notin \sigma(A_1, A_2)$ then there exists a nonconstant polynomial p such that $p(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \notin \sigma(p(A_1, A_2))$ and hence $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \notin \sigma_b(A_1, A_2)$. Thus $\sigma_b(A_1, A_2) \subseteq \sigma(A_1, A_2)$, similarly $\sigma_e(A_1, A_2) \subseteq \sigma_b(A_1, A_2)$.

THEOREM 8. $\sigma_b(A_1, A_2) = \sigma_e(A_1, A_2) \cup \{\text{accumulation points of } \sigma(A_1, A_2)\}$.

Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ be an accumulation point of $\sigma(A)$. For a nonconstant polynomial p , by using the continuity of p , it follows that $p(\lambda)$ is an accumulation point of $\sigma(p(A))$ and hence is contained in $\sigma_b(p(A))$. We have already noted that $\sigma_e(A) \subseteq \sigma_b(A)$. Thus we have shown that $\sigma_e(A) \cup \{\text{accumulation points of } \sigma(A)\}$ is contained in $\sigma_b(A)$.

Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ be an isolated point of $\sigma(A)$ and suppose $\lambda \notin \sigma_e(A)$. Thus $\sigma(A) = \{\lambda\} \cup F_1$ where $F_1 = \sigma(A) \setminus \{\lambda\}$. By the previously mentioned identification the maximal ideal space of $\text{Rat}(A)$ is $\{\lambda\} \cap F_1$. So there exists an idempotent E [9, page 96] such that $\text{Rat}(A) = E \text{Rat}(A) \oplus (I - E) \text{Rat}(A)$ and the maximal ideal space of the two subalgebras can be identified with $\{\lambda\}$ and F_1 respectively. Thus $\{\lambda\} = \{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)\} = \sigma(EA) = \sigma(EA_1, EA_2)$ and $F_1 = \sigma((I - E)A)$. Let \tilde{E} be the image of E in the Calkin algebra, then $\text{Rat}(\tilde{A}) = \tilde{E} \text{Rat} \tilde{A} \oplus (\tilde{I} - \tilde{E}) \text{Rat}(\tilde{A})$. This decomposition of the algebra now gives rise to a decomposition of the maximal ideal space of $\text{Rat} \tilde{A}$ [9, page 96]; using Theorem 7 it follows that $\sigma_e(A) = \sigma_e(EA) \cup \sigma_e((I - E)A)$. Thus

in particular $\sigma_e(EA) \subseteq \sigma_e(A)$, but $\sigma_e(EA) \subseteq \sigma(EA)$ and hence $\sigma_e(EA) \subseteq \sigma(EA) \cap \sigma_e(A) = \emptyset$ (because $\lambda \notin \sigma_e(A)$). Thus EA is compact; i.e., E is a finite-dimensional idempotent, hence $\hat{E} = 0$. By Theorem 6, since E is compact, $\sigma_b(A) = \sigma_b((I - E)A)$. Also $\sigma_b((I - E)A) \subseteq \sigma((I - E)A)$, hence $\lambda \notin \sigma_b(A)$.

REFERENCES

1. W. Arveson, *Subalgebras of C^* -algebras II*, Acta Math., **128** (1972), 271-308.
2. F. E. Browder, *On the spectral theory of elliptic differential operators I*, Math. Ann., **142** (1961), 22-130.
3. A. T. Dash, *Joint spectra*, Studia Math., **45** (1973), 225-237.
4. ———, *Joint essential spectrum*, Pacific J. Math., **64** (1976), 119-128.
5. B. Gramsch and D. Lay, *Spectral mapping theorem for essential spectra*, Math. Ann., **192** (1971), 17-32.
6. M. Schechter and M. Snow, *The Fredholm spectrum of tensor products*, Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. Sect. A, **75** (1975), 121-128.
7. M. Snow, *A joint Browder essential spectrum*, Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. Sect. A, (1975), 129-131.
8. L. Waelbroeck, *Le calcul symbolique dans les algèbres commutatives*, J. Math. Pures Appl., **33** (1954), 147-186.
9. W. Zelazko, *Banach algebras*, Elsevier Publishing Co., New York, 1973.

Received July 12, 1979 and in revised form May 30, 1980. The research of the first author was partially supported by a Youngstown State University Research Grant. The research of the second author was supported by the NSERC Grant A7545.

YOUNGSTOWN STATE UNIVERSITY
 YOUNGSTOWN, OH 44555
 UNIVERSITY OF GUELPH
 GUELPH, ONTARIO N9G 2W1 CANADA
 AND
 CLEVELAND STATE UNIVERSITY
 CLEVELAND, OH 44115

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

HUGO ROSSI

University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ANDREW OGG

University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM

Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO

NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII

UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO

UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. **39**. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints to each author are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$102.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$51.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.).
8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1981 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Thomas E. Armstrong and William David Sudderth, Nearly strategic measures	251
John J. Buoni, Artatrana Dash and Bhushan L. Wadhwa, Joint Browder spectrum	259
Jack Paul Diamond, Hypergeometric series with a p -adic variable	265
Raymond Frank Dickman, Jack Ray Porter and Leonard Rubin, Completely regular absolutes and projective objects	277
James Kenneth Finch, On the local spectrum and the adjoint	297
Benno Fuchssteiner, An abstract disintegration theorem	303
Leon Gerber, The volume cut off a simplex by a half-space	311
Irving Leonard Glicksberg, An application of Wermer's subharmonicity theorem	315
William Goldman, Two examples of affine manifolds	327
Yukio Hirashita, On the Weierstrass points on open Riemann surfaces	331
Darrell Conley Kent, A note on regular Cauchy spaces	333
Abel Klein and Lawrence J. Landau, Periodic Gaussian Osterwalder-Schrader positive processes and the two-sided Markov property on the circle	341
Brenda MacGibbon, \mathcal{H} -Borelian embeddings and images of Hausdorff spaces	369
John R. Myers, Homology 3-spheres which admit no PL involutions	379
Boon-Hua Ong, Invariant subspace lattices for a class of operators	385
Chull Park, Representations of Gaussian processes by Wiener processes	407
Lesley Millman Sibner and Robert Jules Sibner, A sub-elliptic estimate for a class of invariantly defined elliptic systems	417
Justin R. Smith, Complements of codimension-two submanifolds. III. Cobordism theory	423
William Albert Roderick Weiss, Small Dowker spaces	485
David J. Winter, Cartan subalgebras of a Lie algebra and its ideals. II	493