

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR MULTIVALUED MAPPINGS

MOHD SAEED KHAN

COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR MULTIVALUED MAPPINGS

M. S. KHAN

Some results on common fixed points for a pair of multivalued mappings defined on a closed subset of a complete metric space are obtained. Our work extends some of the known results due to Itoh; Iséki; and Rus.

1. Introduction. There have been several extensions of known fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings which take each point of a metric space (X, d) into a closed subset K of X . However, in many applications, the mapping involved is not a self-mapping of K . Assad and Kirk [1] gave sufficient conditions for such mappings to have a fixed point by proving a fixed point theorem for multivalued contraction mappings on a complete metrically convex metric space and by putting certain boundary conditions on the mappings. Similar results for multivalued contractive mappings were obtained by Assad [2]. Itoh [4] extended the results given in [1] and [2] for more general types of contraction and contractive mappings.

In this note, we shall extend the results of Itoh [4] for a pair of generalized contraction and contractive mappings. We also prove some other results for multivalued mappings which are partial generalizations of fixed point theorems due to Iséki [3] and Rus [9].

2. Preliminaries. Let (X, d) be a metric space. Then following Nadler [6], we define

(i) $CB(X) = \{A : A \text{ is a nonempty closed and bounded subset of } X\}$.

$C(X) = \{A : A \text{ is a nonempty compact subset of } X\}$.

$BN(X) = \{A : A \text{ is a nonempty bounded subset of } X\}$.

(ii) For nonempty subsets of A and B of X , and $x \in X$

$D(A, B) = \inf \{d(a, b) : a \in A, b \in B\}$.

$H(A, B) = \max \{(\sup D(a, B) : a \in A), (\sup D(A, b) : b \in B)\}$.

$d(x, A) = \inf \{d(x, a) : a \in A\}$.

$\delta(A, B) = \sup \{d(a, b) : a \in A, b \in B\}$.

It is known (Kuratowski [5]), that $CB(X)$ is a metric space with the distance function H . We call H the Hausdorff metric on $CB(X)$.

We shall make frequent use of the following lemmas.

LEMMA 2.1 (Nadler [6]). *Let A, B be in $CB(X)$. Then for all*

$\varepsilon > 0$ and $a \in A$, there exists $b \in B$ such that $d(a, b) \leq H(A, B) + \varepsilon$. If A, B are in $CB(X)$, then one can choose $b \in B$ such that $d(a, b) \leq H(A, B)$.

LEMMA 2.2 (Rus [10]). Let $A \in CB(X)$ and $0 < \theta < 1$ be given. Then for every $x \in A$, there exists $a \in A$ such that $d(x, a) \geq \theta \delta(x, A)$, and $d(x, a) \geq \theta H(x, A)$.

Next two lemmas can be easily proved.

LEMMA 2.3. For any $x \in X$, and any A, B in $CB(X)$,

$$|d(x, A) - d(x, B)| \leq H(A, B).$$

LEMMA 2.4. For any x and y in X , $A \subset X$.

$$|d(x, A) - d(y, A)| \leq d(x, y).$$

DEFINITION 2.5. A metric space (X, d) is said to be *metrically convex* if for any $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$, there exists $z \in X$, $x \neq z \neq y$ such that

$$d(x, z) + d(z, y) = d(x, y).$$

Following result is borrowed from Assad and Kirk [1].

LEMMA 2.6. If K is a nonempty closed subset of a complete and metrically convex metric space (X, d) , then for any $x \in K$, $y \notin K$, there exists a $z \in \partial K$ (the boundary of K) such that

$$d(x, z) + d(z, y) = d(x, y).$$

DEFINITION 2.7. Let K be a nonempty closed subset of a metric space (X, d) . A mapping $T: K \rightarrow CB(X)$ is said to be continuous at $x_0 \in K$ if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $H(Tx, Tx_0) < \varepsilon$, whenever $d(x, x_0) < \delta$. If T is continuous at every point of K , we say that T is continuous at K .

Motivated from Park [7], we introduce the following:

DEFINITION 2.8. Let K be a nonempty closed subset of a metric space (X, d) and S, T be mappings of K into $CB(X)$. Then (S, T) is said to be a *generalized contraction pair* of K into $CB(X)$ if there exist nonnegative reals α, β, γ with $\alpha + 2\beta + 2\gamma < 1$ such that for any $x, y \in K$,

$$\begin{aligned} H(Sx, Ty) &\leq \alpha d(x, y) \\ &+ \beta \{D(x, Sx) + D(y, Ty)\} + \gamma \{D(x, Ty) + D(y, Sx)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we define generalized contraction pair of K into $C(X)$.

DEFINITION 2.9. Let K be a nonempty closed subset of metric space (X, d) . Let S and T be mappings of K into $CB(X)$. Then (S, T) is said to be a *generalized contractive pair* of K into $CB(X)$ if there exist nonnegative reals α, β, γ such that for any $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$,

$$H(Sx, Ty) < \alpha d(x, y) + \beta \{D(x, Sx) + D(y, Ty)\} + \gamma \{D(x, Ty) + D(y, Sx)\},$$

where $0 < 2\alpha + 2\beta + 4\gamma \leq 1$.

REMARK. When S and T are singlevalued mappings then we simply say that (S, T) is a generalized contraction (contractive) pair of K into X .

3. Results.

THEOREM 3.1. Let (X, d) be a complete and metrically convex metric space, K a nonempty closed subset of X . Let (S, T) be a generalized contraction pair of K into $CB(X)$. If for any $x \in \partial K$, $S(x) \subset K$, $T(x) \subset K$ and $(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)(1 + \beta + \gamma)/(1 - \beta - \gamma)^2 < 1$, then there exists $z \in K$ such that $z \in S(z)$ and $z \in T(z)$.

Proof. Put $\theta = (\alpha + \beta + \gamma)(1 + \beta + \gamma)/(1 - \beta - \gamma)^2$. Then $0 \leq \theta < 1$. Without loss of generality we may take $\theta > 0$ since for $\theta = 0$, the conclusion of Theorem 3.1 trivially holds. We shall construct sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in K and X , respectively, as follows:

Let $x_0 \in \partial K$ and $x_1 = y_1 \in S(x_0)$. Then by Lemma 2.1 we can choose a $y_2 \in T(x_1)$ such that

$$d(y_1, y_2) \leq H(Sx_0, Tx_1) + \left(\frac{1 - \beta - \gamma}{1 + \beta + \gamma} \right) \theta.$$

If $y_2 \in K$, put $x_2 = y_2$. If $y_2 \notin K$, use Lemma 2.6 to choose an element $x_2 \in \partial K$ such that $d(x_1, x_2) + d(x_2, y_2) = d(x_1, y_2)$. Continuing in this manner, we obtain sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ satisfying:

- (i) $y_n \in S(x_{n-1})$, for an odd n , and $y_n \in T(x_{n-1})$, for an even n .
- (ii) $d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq H(S(x_{n-1}), T(x_n)) + (1 - \beta - \gamma/1 + \beta + \gamma)\theta^n$; if n is odd and $d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq H(T(x_{n-1}), S(x_n)) + (1 - \beta - \gamma/1 + \beta + \gamma)\theta^n$; if n is even.
- (iii) $y_{n+1} = x_{n+1}$ if $y_{n+1} \in K$, for all n , or

(iv) $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) = d(x_n, y_{n+1})$, if $y_{n+1} \notin K$ for all n and $x_{n+1} \in \partial K$.

We wish to estimate the distance $d(x_n, x_{n+1})$ for $n \geq 2$. Let us write $P = \{x_i \in \{x_n\}: x_i = y_i\}$ and $Q = \{x_i \in \{x_n\}: x_i \neq y_i\}$. Note that if $x_n \in Q$ then x_{n-1} and x_{n+1} will be in P by boundary condition.

Case I. Let $x_n, x_{n+1} \in P$. Then for an odd n we have,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \\ &\leq H(S(x_{n-1}), T(x_n)) + \left(\frac{1 - \beta - \gamma}{1 + \beta + \gamma}\right)\theta^n \\ &\leq \alpha d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \beta\{D(x_{n-1}, S(x_{n-1})) + D(x_n, Tx_n)\} \\ &\quad + \gamma\{D(x_{n-1}, T(x_n)) + D(x_n, S(x_{n-1}))\} + \left(\frac{1 - \beta - \gamma}{1 + \beta + \gamma}\right)\theta^n \\ &\leq \alpha d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \beta\{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})\} \\ &\quad + \gamma\{d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) + d(x_n, x_n)\} + \left(\frac{1 - \beta - \gamma}{1 + \beta + \gamma}\right)\theta^n. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \left(\frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma}{1 - \beta - \gamma}\right)d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \left(\frac{\theta^n}{1 + \beta + \gamma}\right).$$

A similar inequality can be obtained when n is even.

Case II. $x_n \in P$ and $x_{n+1} \in Q$. Then by (iv) we see that

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq d(x_n, y_{n+1}) = d(y_n, y_{n+1}).$$

By method similar to Case I, we have for even and odd n

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \left(\frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma}{1 - \beta - \gamma}\right)d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \left(\frac{\theta^n}{1 + \beta + \gamma}\right).$$

Case III. $x_n \in Q$ and $x_{n+1} \in P$. Then $x_{n-1} = y_{n-1}$ holds. So we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq d(x_n, y_n) + d(y_n, x_{n+1}) \\ &= d(x_n, y_n) + d(y_n, y_{n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Then for an odd n , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_n, y_{n+1}) &\leq H(Sx_{n-1}, Tx_n) + \left(\frac{1 - \beta - \gamma}{1 + \beta + \gamma}\right)\theta^n \\ &\leq \alpha d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \beta\{D(x_{n-1}, Sx_{n-1}) + D(x_n, Tx_n)\} \\ &\quad + \gamma\{D(x_{n-1}, Tx_n) + D(x_n, Sx_{n-1})\} + \left(\frac{1 - \beta - \gamma}{1 + \beta + \gamma}\right)\theta^n \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \alpha d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \beta \{d(x_{n-1}, y_n) + d(x_n, y_{n+1})\} \\
&\quad + \gamma \{d(x_{n-1}, y_{n+1}) + d(x_n, y_n)\} + \left(\frac{1 - \beta - \gamma}{1 + \beta + \gamma}\right) \theta^n \\
&= \alpha d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \beta \{d(x_{n-1}, y_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})\} \\
&\quad + \gamma \{d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) + d(x_n, y_n)\} + \left(\frac{1 - \beta - \gamma}{1 + \beta + \gamma}\right) \theta^n \\
&\leq \alpha d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \beta \{d(x_{n-1}, y_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})\} \\
&\quad + \gamma \{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_n, y_n)\} + \left(\frac{1 - \beta - \gamma}{1 + \beta + \gamma}\right) \theta^n.
\end{aligned}$$

As $0 \leq \theta < 1$, and $d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, y_n) = d(x_{n-1}, y_n)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq (1 + \gamma) d(x_n, y_n) + (\alpha + \gamma) d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \beta d(x_{n-1}, y_n) \\
&\quad + (\beta + \gamma) d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \left(\frac{1 - \beta - \gamma}{1 + \beta + \gamma}\right) \theta^n \\
&\leq (1 + \gamma) d(x_{n-1}, y_n) + \beta d(x_{n-1}, y_n) \\
&\quad + (\beta + \gamma) d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \left(\frac{1 - \beta - \gamma}{1 + \beta + \gamma}\right) \theta^n.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \left(\frac{1 + \beta + \gamma}{1 - \beta - \gamma}\right) d(x_{n-1}, y_n) + \left(\frac{1}{1 + \beta + \gamma}\right) \theta^n.$$

A similar inequality is obtained for an even n . Since $x_{n-1} = y_{n-1}$ and $y_n \neq x_n$, as in the Case II we have for an odd n ,

$$d(x_{n-1}, y_n) \leq \left(\frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma}{1 - \beta - \gamma}\right) d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) + \left(\frac{1}{1 + \beta + \gamma}\right) \theta^{n-1}.$$

Similarly, we can obtain an inequality for even n . Combining the above two inequalities we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq \left(\frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma}{1 - \beta - \gamma}\right) \left(\frac{1 + \beta + \gamma}{1 - \beta - \gamma}\right) d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{\theta^{n-1}}{1 - \beta - \gamma}\right) + \left(\frac{\theta^n}{1 + \beta + \gamma}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Then, as noted in Itoh [4], it can be shown that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence, hence convergent. Call the limit z . By the way of choosing $\{x_n\}$, there exists an infinite subsequence $\{x_{n_i}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $x_{n_i} \in P$. Then for an even n_i , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
D(x_{n_i}, Sz) &\leq H(Tx_{n_i-1}, Sz) \\
&\leq \alpha d(x_{n_i-1}, z) + \beta \{D(x_{n_i-1}, Tx_{n_i-1}) + D(z, Sz)\} \\
&\quad + \gamma \{D(x_{n_i-1}, Sz) + D(z, Tx_{n_i-1})\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \leq & \alpha\{d(x_{n_i-1}, x_{n_i}) + d(x_{n_i}, z)\} + \beta\{d(x_{n_i-1}, x_{n_i}) \\ & + d(x_{n_i-1}, x_{n_i}) + d(z, x_{n_i}) + D(x_{n_i}, Sz)\} \\ & + \gamma\{d(x_{n_i-1}, x_{n_i}) + D(x_{n_i}, Sz) + d(z, x_{n_i})\}. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$D(x_{n_i}, Sz) \leq \left(\frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma}{1 - \beta - \gamma} \right) (d(x_{n_i}, x_{n_i}) + d(x_{n_i}, z)).$$

Using this and the inequality

$$D(z, Sz) \leq d(z, x_{n_i}) + D(x_{n_i}, Sz),$$

we see that $D(z, Sz) = 0$. As Sz is closed, $z \in Sz$. Similarly, we can show that $z \in Tz$. Thus z is a common fixed point of S and T . This finishes the proof.

We can also prove the following result:

THEOREM 3.2. *Let (X, d) be a complete and metrically convex metric space, K a nonempty closed subset of X . Let (S, T) be a generalized contraction pair of K into $C(X)$. If for any $x \in \partial K$, $S(x) \subset K$ and $T(x) \subset K$ and $(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)(1 + \beta + \gamma)/(1 - \beta - \gamma)^2 < 1$, then S and T have a common fixed point in K .*

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we shall construct two sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ which satisfy (i), (iii) and (iv). The condition (ii) is replaced by the following:

$$(ii)' \quad d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq H(Sx_{n-1}, Tx_n), \quad \text{if } n \text{ is odd}$$

and

$$d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq H(Tx_{n-1}, Sx_n), \quad \text{if } n \text{ is even}.$$

These relations are possible due to Lemma 2.1. The rest of the proof is identical with Theorem 3.1.

As every Banach space is metrically convex, we have the following corollaries for singlevalued mappings:

COROLLARY 3.3. *Let X be a Banach space and K be a nonempty closed subset of X . Let (S, T) be a generalized contraction pair of K into X . If $S(\partial K) \subset K$ and $T(\partial K) \subset K$ and $(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)(1 + \beta + \gamma)/(1 - \beta - \gamma)^2 < 1$, then S and T have a unique common fixed point in K .*

REMARK. The technique of the proof of Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 can be used to extend a result of Rhoades [8] for a pair of singlevalued mappings.

Next theorem extends Theorem 2 of Itoh [4] for a pair of multivalued mappings, and hence generalizes a fixed point theorem of Assad [2].

THEOREM 3.4. *Let (X, d) be a complete and metrically convex metric space and K be a nonempty compact subset of X . Let (S, T) be a generalized contractive pair of K into $CB(X)$, and S, T are continuous on K . If for any $x \in \partial K$, $S(x) \subset K$, $T(x) \subset K$; $S(x) \cap T(x) \neq \emptyset$ for all $x \in K$ and $(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)(1 + \beta + \gamma)/(1 - \beta - \gamma)^2 \leq 1$, then there exists a common fixed point of S and T in K .*

Proof. Consider $f: K \rightarrow R^+$ (the nonnegative reals) defined by $f(x) = d(x, Tx)$, $x \in K$. Then using Lemma 2.3, Lemma 2.4 and the continuity of T we have for $x, y \in K$

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - f(y)| &\leq |d(x, Tx) - d(y, Tx)| + |d(y, Tx) - d(y, Ty)| \\ &\leq d(x, y) + H(Tx, Ty). \end{aligned}$$

Thus f is continuous on the compact set K . Let $z \in K$ such that $f(z) = \inf \{f(x) : x \in K\}$. Suppose that $f(z) > 0$. Then for each $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, we can choose $x_n \in T(z)$ such that

$$d(x_n, z) \leq f(z) + \frac{1}{n}.$$

As K is compact, if $x_n \in K$ for very large n , then there is a subsequence $\{x_{n_i}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ which converges to an element $x_0 \in K$. We may assume that $x_0 \neq z$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_0) &= d(x_0, Tx_0) \\ &\leq H(Tz, Tx_0) \\ &\leq H(Tz, Sz) + H(Sz, Tx_0) \\ &< \alpha d(z, x_0) + \beta \{D(z, Sz) + D(x_0, Tx_0)\} \\ &\quad + \gamma \{D(z, Tx_0) + D(x_0, Sz)\} \\ &< \alpha \{d(z, Tz) + H(Tz, Tx_0)\} + \beta \{d(z, Tz) \\ &\quad + H(Tz, Sz) + f(x_0)\} + \gamma \{d(z, Tz) + H(Tz, Tx_0) \\ &\quad + f(x_0) + H(Tx_0, Tz) + H(Tz, Sz)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we get

$$f(x_0) < \left(\frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma}{1 - \alpha - \beta - 3\gamma} \right) f(z).$$

Since $((\alpha + \beta + \gamma)/(1 - \alpha - \beta - 3\gamma)) \leq 1$, we have $f(x_0) < f(z)$ which contradicts the minimality of z . Therefore $f(z) = 0$.

If some subsequence $\{x_{n_i}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ is such that $x_{n_i} \notin K$, then $z \notin \partial K$. For the sake of convenience, we may assume that $x_n \notin K$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Then by applying Lemma 2.6 we see that for each n there is a $y_n \in \partial K$ such that $d(x_n, y_n) + d(y_n, z) = d(x_n, z)$. As K is compact and $S(y_n) \subset K$ there exists $w_n \in S(y_n)$ such that $d(x_n, w_n) \leq H(Tz, Sy_n) + \varepsilon$ by Lemma 2.1. We may further assume that $\{y_n\}$ converges to some $y_0 \in \partial K$. Let

$$8\varepsilon = \alpha d(y_0, z) + \beta \{d(z, Tz) + d(y_0, Sy_0)\} + \gamma \{d(z, Sy_0) + d(y_0, Tz)\} - H(Tz, Sy_0).$$

Then $\varepsilon > 0$ as $y_0 \neq z$. For this choice of ε , we can find a positive integer N such that for all $n \geq N$,

$$(a) \quad d(y_0, z) - d(y_n, z) < 2\varepsilon,$$

$$(b) \quad f(y_0) - \varepsilon < f(y_n),$$

$$(c) \quad d(x_n, z) < f(z) + 2\varepsilon,$$

$$(d) \quad H(Tz, Sy_n) < H(Tz, Sy_0) + \varepsilon, \text{ (here continuity of } S \text{ is used).}$$

Then for any $n \geq N$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} f(y_0) - \varepsilon &< f(y_n) = D(y_n, Ty_n) \\ &\leq d(y_n, x_n) + d(x_n, w_n) + d(w_n, Ty_n) \\ &\leq d(y_n, x_n) + H(Tz, Sy_n) + \varepsilon + H(Sy_n, Ty_n). \end{aligned}$$

Here last term vanishes and $x_n \in Tz$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(y_0) - \varepsilon &< d(y_n, x_n) + H(Tz, Sy_0) + 2\varepsilon \\ &< d(x_n, y_n) + \alpha d(z, y_0) + \beta \{D(z, Tz) + D(y_0, Sy_0)\} \\ &\quad + \gamma \{D(z, Sy_0) + D(y_0, Tz)\} - 6\varepsilon \\ &< d(x_n, y_n) + \alpha d(y_0, z) + \beta \{D(z, Tz) + D(y_0, z) + D(z, Tz) \\ &\quad + H(Tz, Sy_0)\} + \gamma \{D(z, Tz) + H(Tz, Sy_0) + d(y_0, z) \\ &\quad + D(z, Tz)\} - 6\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Then this yields

$$\begin{aligned} f(y_0) - \varepsilon &< d(x_n, y_n) + \left(\frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma}{1 - \beta - \gamma} \right) d(y_0, z) + \left(\frac{2\beta + 2\gamma}{1 - \beta - \gamma} \right) f(z) - 6\varepsilon \\ &< d(x_n, y_n) + d(y_0, z) + \left(\frac{2\beta + 2\gamma}{1 - \beta - \gamma} \right) f(z) - 6\varepsilon \\ &< d(x_n, y_n) + d(y_n, z) + \left(\frac{2\beta + 2\gamma}{1 - \beta - \gamma} \right) f(z) - 4\varepsilon \\ &= d(x_n, z) + \left(\frac{2\beta + 2\gamma}{1 - \beta - \gamma} \right) f(z) - 4\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

$$< f(z) + \left(\frac{2\beta + 2\gamma}{1 - \beta - \gamma} \right) f(z) - 2\varepsilon .$$

So

$$f(y_0) < \left(\frac{1 + \beta + \gamma}{1 - \beta - \gamma} \right) f(z) - 2\varepsilon .$$

Now choose $u \in S(y_0) \cap T(y_0)$ such that $d(y_0, Ty_0) = d(y_0, u)$. As $f(z) > 0$, we see that $u \neq y_0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(u) &= D(u, Tu) \leq H(Sy_0, Tu) \\ &< \alpha d(y_0, u) + \beta \{D(y_0, Sy_0) + D(u, Tu)\} \\ &\quad + \gamma \{D(y_0, Tu) + D(u, Sy_0)\} \\ &< \alpha \{D(y_0, Ty_0) + D(Ty_0, u)\} + \beta \{D(y_0, Ty_0) \\ &\quad + H(Ty_0, Sy_0) + D(u, Tu)\} + \gamma \{D(y_0, Ty_0) + H(Ty_0, Sy_0) \\ &\quad + H(Sy_0, Tu) + D(u, Sy_0)\} . \end{aligned}$$

Then using the facts $D(u, Ty_0) = 0$ and $D(u, Sy_0) = 0$, we have

$$f(u) < \left(\frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma}{1 - \beta - \gamma} \right) f(y_0) .$$

Now using previous relation between $f(y_0)$ and $f(z)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(u) &< \left(\frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma}{1 - \beta - \gamma} \right) \left(\frac{1 + \beta + \gamma}{1 - \beta - \gamma} \right) f(z) - \left(\frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma}{1 - \beta - \gamma} \right) \varepsilon \\ &< f(z) - \left(\frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma}{1 - \beta - \gamma} \right) \varepsilon . \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts the minimality of z . Hence $f(z) = 0$ and as Tz is a closed subset of X , we find that $z \in Tz$. Further, $D(z, Sz) \leq D(z, Tz) + H(Tz, Sz)$, implies that $z \in Sz$. Therefore z is a common fixed point of S and T . This completes the proof.

For Banach space we have the following:

COROLLARY 3.5. *Let K be a nonempty compact subset of a Banach space X and (S, T) be the generalized contractive pair of K into X and S, T are continuous on K . If $S(\partial K) \subset K$, $T(\partial K) \subset K$, and $(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)(1 + \beta + \gamma)/(1 - \beta - \gamma)^2 \leq 1$, then there exists a unique common fixed point of S and T in K .*

Now we prove two results concerning unique common fixed points of a pair of multivalued mappings defined on a nonempty complete subset of a metric space which are not necessarily metri-

cally convex, and also the mappings involved do not satisfy any boundary conditions.

THEOREM 3.6. *Let (X, d) be a metric space and K a nonempty complete subset of X . Suppose that $S, T: K \rightarrow CB(X)$ are multivalued mappings such that for all x, y in K :*

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(Sx, Ty) \leq & \alpha d(x, y) + \beta \{\delta(x, Sx) + \delta(y, Ty)\} \\ & + \gamma \{\delta(x, Ty) + \delta(y, Sx)\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 0$ and $\alpha + 2\beta + 2\gamma < 1$.

Then S and T have a unique common fixed point in K .

Proof. Put $\theta = (\alpha + 2\beta + 2\gamma)^{1/2}$. Then θ is positive. We shall now define singlevalued mappings S_1 and T_1 of K into itself such that $S_1(x) \in S(x)$, $T_1(x) \in T(x)$ for all $x, y \in K$, and

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, S_1(x)) & \geq \theta \delta(x, S(x)), \\ d(x, T_1(x)) & \geq \theta \delta(x, T(x)), \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in K$.

Lemma 2.2 justifies our choice of $S_1(x)$ and $T_1(x)$. Then one gets

$$\begin{aligned} d(S_1x, T_1y) & \leq \delta(S(x), T(y)) \\ & \leq \alpha d(x, y) + \beta \{\delta(x, S(x)) + \delta(y, T(y))\} \\ & \quad + \gamma \{\delta(x, T(y)) + \delta(y, S(x))\} \\ & \leq \alpha d(x, y) + \beta \{\theta^{-1}d(x, S_1x) + \theta^{-1}d(y, T_1y)\} \\ & \quad + \gamma \{\theta^{-1}d(x, T_1y) + \theta^{-1}d(y, S_1x)\}. \end{aligned}$$

As $\theta^{-1}(2\beta + 2\gamma) + \alpha \leq \theta^{-1}(2\beta + 2\gamma + \alpha) = \alpha + 2\beta + 2\gamma < 1$ and K is complete, it follows from Theorem 1 of Wong [11] that S_1 and T_1 have a unique common fixed point, say z in K . Consider

$$0 = d(z, S_1z) \geq \theta \delta(z, S(z)).$$

This shows that $\delta(z, S(z)) = 0$ giving thereby that $z \in S(z)$, as $S(z)$ is closed. Similarly, we have $z \in T(z)$. This ends the proof.

The method of proof of Theorem 3.6 can be used to prove the following as well:

THEOREM 3.7. *Let (X, d) be a metric space and K a nonempty complete subset of X . Suppose that $S, T: K \rightarrow BN(X)$ are multivalued mappings such that for all x, y in K :*

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(S(x), T(y)) \leq & \alpha d(x, y) + \beta\{H(x, Sx) + H(y, Ty)\} \\ & + \gamma\{H(x, Ty) + H(y, Sx)\},\end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha + 2\beta + 2\gamma < 1$, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 0$.

Then S and T have a unique common fixed point in K .

REMARKS. Theorem 3.6 and Theorem 3.7 are slight extensions of results obtained by Iséki [3] and Rus [9].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. I am grateful to Professor S. Itoh, Professor K. Iséki, and Professor S. Park for providing me with reprints of their papers which motivated the present work.

REFERENCES

1. N. A. Assad and W. A. Kirk, *Fixed point theorems for set-valued mappings of contractive type*, Pacific J. Math., **43** (1972), 553-562.
2. N. A. Assad, *Fixed point theorems for set-valued transformations on compact sets*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital., (4) **7** (1973), 1-7.
3. K. Iséki, *Multivalued contraction mappings in complete metric spaces*, Math. Seminar Notes, Kobe University, **2** (1974), 45-49.
4. S. Itoh, *Multivalued generalized contractions and fixed point theorems*, Comm. Math. Univ. Carolinae, **18** (2) (1977), 247-258.
5. K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, Vol. **1**, Academic Press, 1966.
6. S. B. Nadler, Jr., *Multivalued contraction mappings*, Pacific J. Math., **30** (2) (1969), 475-488.
7. S. Park, *Sequences of quasi-contractions and fixed points*, J. Korean Math. Soc., **14** (1) (1977), 99-103.
8. B. E. Rhoades, *A fixed point theorem for some non-self-mappings*, Math. Japonica, **23** (4) (1978), 457-459.
9. I. A. Rus, *Teoria punctului fix*, Vol. II, Univ. Babes-Bolyai (cluj), 1973.
10. ———, *Fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings in complete metric spaces*, Math. Japonica, **20** (1975), 21-24.
11. C. S. Wong, *Common fixed points of two mappings*, Pacific J. Math., **48** (1) (1973), 299-312.

Received January 14, 1980.

LA TROBE UNIVERSITY
BUNDOORA, VICTORIA, 3083
AUSTRALIA

Current address: Aligarh Muslim University
Aligarh-202001
India

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

HUGO ROSSI

University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ANDREW OGG

University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM

Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO

NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII

UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO

UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints to each author are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$102.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$51.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.).

8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1981 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Manufactured and first issued in Japan

George E. Andrews, The Rogers-Ramanujan reciprocal and Minc's partition function	251
Allan Calder, William H. Julian, Ray Mines, III and Fred Richman, ε -covering dimension	257
Thomas Curtis Craven and George Leslie Csordas, An inequality for the distribution of zeros of polynomials and entire functions	263
Thomas Jones Enright and R. Parthasarathy, The transfer of invariant pairings to lattices	281
Allen Roy Freedman and John Joseph Sember, Densities and summability	293
Robert Heller and Francis Aubra Roach, A generalization of a classical necessary condition for convergence of continued fractions	307
Peter Wilcox Jones, Ratios of interpolating Blaschke products	311
V. J. Joseph, Smooth actions of the circle group on exotic spheres	323
Mohd Saeed Khan, Common fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings	337
Samuel James Lomonaco, Jr., The homotopy groups of knots. I. How to compute the algebraic 2-type	349
Louis Magnin, Some remarks about C^∞ vectors in representations of connected locally compact groups	391
Mark Mandelker, Located sets on the line	401
Murray Angus Marshall and Joseph Lewis Yucas, Linked quaternionic mappings and their associated Witt rings	411
William Lindall Paschke, K -theory for commutants in the Calkin algebra	427
W. J. Phillips, On the relation $PQ - QP = -iI$	435
Ellen Elizabeth Reed, A class of Wallman-type extensions	443
Sungwoo Suh, The space of real parts of algebras of Fourier transforms	461
Antonius Johannes Van Haagen, Finite signed measures on function spaces	467
Richard Hawks Warren, Identification spaces and unique uniformity	483